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Introduction

• Future manned missions present unique challenges:
  - Require interoperability among space agencies
  - Reduced budgets for operations and development

• Mission Operations identifies two benefits of interest:
  - Increase interoperability among space agencies
  - Reduced cost of mission-specific deployment

• Interoperability Prototype covers three main topics:
  - Validation of the Mission Operations Protocol
  - Integration of legacy systems in an SOA
  - Exploration of the Data Distribution Service
Motivation

- Common exchange format between NASA control centers is necessary
- Investigating ground-to-ground standardization
- Negotiation of an data exchange format between control centers can be challenging.
Validation of MO Protocols

• Collaborate with the German Space Operations Center (DLR) to implement an interoperability prototype
  - Prototype implements five MO services:
    ◦ Action Service
    ◦ Parameter Service
    ◦ Alert Service
    ◦ Directory Service
    ◦ Login Service (internal access control)

• Identify additional capabilities for the services to meet human spaceflight operations concepts
Action, Alert and Parameter Service Architecture

JSC-OTF

- Service Application Layer C++
  - Common Services
  - Core Services
  - Common Object Model
  - Message Abstraction Layer
    - Binary Encoding
    - DDS Transport

DLR-GSOC

- Service Application Layer C++
  - Common Services
  - Core Services
  - Common Object Model
  - Message Abstraction Layer
    - Binary Encoding
    - DDS Transport
    - JMS Transport
Directory Service Architecture

JSC-OTF
- Service Application Layer
  - Java
- Common Services
- Core Services
- Common Object Model
- Language Mappings
- Message Abstraction Layer
  - XML
  - HTTP Transport

DLR-GSOC
- Service Application Layer
  - C++
- Common Services
- Core Services
- Common Object Model
- Transport Bridge
- Message Abstraction Layer
  - XML
  - HTTP Transport
  - JMS Transport
Encoding Specifications

- Encoding is currently mission implementation specific

- Developed a BNF like grammar to describe a binary encoding with emphasis on simplicity

- Developed an XML schema for encoding of the data structures necessary for the Directory Service
Bridging System Domains

• Use an “in care of” address to specify the routing information
  - otf-service-uri@otf-gateway-uri

• The Message Header URI contains the complete routing sequence similar to USENET addresses
In Care of Address Example

- **URI to**
  - ddsbin:action-service@
  - jmsbin:otf-gateway@
  - jmsbin:dlr-gateway

- **URI to**
  - jmsbin:action-client@

- **URI from**
  - jmsbin:action-client@
  - ddsbin:dlr-gateway
  - ddsbin:otf-gateway

- **URI to**
  - ddsbin:action-service@
  - jmsbin:otf-gateway@
  - jmsbin:dlr-gateway

- **URI to**
  - ddsbin:action-service@

- **URI from**
  - ddsbin:dlr-gateway
  - ddsbin:otf-gateway
Additional Capabilities

- Human spaceflight requirements for Action Service
- Significant departure from traditional unmanned environment:
  - Control a few number of resources with a large number of people
  - Consequences of sending the incorrect command are more serious when humans are aboard
  - Provides a distributed work environment to allow flight controllers and operators to collaborate
  - Maintain a dynamic and shared command repository
Integrating Legacy Systems

- Action Service interfaces with the ISS Command Server
  - Treated as just another client
  - Identified a set of eleven Station commands
- Parameter Service interfaces with the telemetry distribution system for ISS and the Space Shuttle
  - Identified a set of twenty corresponding ISS telemetry parameters
- Alert Service interfaces with the advisory service for ISS and the Space Shuttle.
Bridging Control Centers

Legacy Systems
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Legacy Systems
Extend Prototype Bridge

- Prototype bridge solution requires knowledge of complete routing sequence
- Extend solution to accommodate an arbitrary number of gateways.
- Maintain only the next hop routing information in the URI
3. publish(S, JSC-GW)
4. addLink(S, JSC-GW)
5. notify(S, JSC-GW)
6. publish(S, CC2-GW)
1. lookup(S, CC2-GW)

2. send[To=S, CC2-GW, From=C, C]

3. send[To=S, JSC-GW, From=C, CC2-GW]

4. send[To=S, S, From=C, JSC-GW]

5. send[To=C, JSC-GW, From=S, S]

6. send[To=C, CC2-GW, From=S, JSC-GW]

7. send[To=C, C, From=S, CC2-GW]
Data Distribution Service

• Prototype uses for bulk data transfer

• Plan to perform benchmark comparisons against legacy telemetry distribution system

• Investigate structured data messages
Transport Broker
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*NOTIFY
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PUBLISH__REGISTER
PUBLISH__REGISTER_ACK

*PUBLISH

PUBLISH__DEREGISTER
PUBLISH__REGISTER_ACK

Provider
Conclusion

• Specifications are sufficiently robust to allow NASA missions to collaborate

• Specifications are not yet capable of replacing existing systems

• Encourage NASA space centers to participate in the working group and propose capabilities necessary for mission support
Thank You

• Questions