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Gravity-based distillation methods may be applied to the purification of wastewater on the lunar base. These solutions to water processing are robust physical separation techniques, which may be more advantageous than many other techniques for their simplicity in design and operation. The two techniques can be used in conjunction with each other to obtain high purity water. The components and feed compositions for modeling waste water streams are presented in conjunction with the Aspen property system for traditional stage distillation. While the individual components for each of the waste streams will vary naturally within certain bounds, an analog model for waste water processing is suggested based on typical concentration ranges for these components. Target purity levels for recycled water are determined for each individual component based on NASA’s required maximum contaminant levels for potable water.

Optimum parameters such as reflux ratio, feed stage location, and processing rates are determined with respect to the power consumption of the process. Multistage distillation is evaluated for components in wastewater to determine the minimum number of stages necessary for each of 65 components in humidity condensate and urine wastewater mixed streams.
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CDS = Cascade Distiller Subsystem
CGCC = Column Grand Composite Curve
cm = Centimeter
CO₂ = Carbon Dioxide
EPA = Environmental Protection Agency
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HCA = Humidity Condensate Model A
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ISS = International Space Station
JSC = Johnson Space Center
kw = Kilowatt
MCL = Maximum Concentration Level
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I. Introduction

Many designs for water purification feature disposable parts or processes that produce waste. For example, carbon dioxide (CO₂) removal with lithium hydroxide is an effective, but not readily regenerable process [1]. The reaction product of CO₂ and lithium hydroxide is lithium carbonate, which makes this process non-regenerable. Biocatalytic processes are also less than favorable due to their high maintenance requirements. Distillation and air stripping are physico-chemical processes, which are robust and well established. This report evaluates the power consumptions, component removal feasibility, and scaling factors for the purification of wastewater on the lunar surface through these processes.

II. Target Concentrations of Impurities

The wastewater components described in the humidity condensate wastewater model A (HCA), and the urine wastewater described in a previous report [2], are identified in Table A and Table B. The procedure described for the distillation comparison test for mixing urine and humidity condensate was followed schematically such that 6.0 liters of urine wastewater and 7.8 liters of humidity condensate wastewater were mixed with the addition of Oxone® and sulfuric acid [3].

Appendix: Contaminant Concentration Specifications presents the National Aeronautics and Space Administration’s (NASA’s) required minimum contaminant levels for potable water (see Table A-1). To use these values, the organic alcohol components in the humidity condensate and urine condensate lists are grouped together and assigned to the value of maximum concentration of 500 micrograms per liter (µg/L). In order to calculate the maximum level for each individual alcohol component, the ratio of each alcohol to the total alcohol ratio was taken and multiplied by this maximum required level.

In the same manner as how the alcohols were assigned targets, the organic acids were grouped together and assigned a total maximum value of 500 µg/L. The organic acid ratios to the total organic acid concentrations were multiplied by the maximum allowable concentration for the category of organic acids. In this way, the ratios of organic acids to one another were kept constant from the wastewater level to the purified water level.

Ammonia was given the described minimum value in the chart of 0.5 milligrams per liter (mg/L). The target diethyl phthalate concentration was defined as the maximum concentration level (MCL) set by the environmental protection agency (EPA). If the compounds indicated were not alcohols or organic acids, then the target concentration was set to 1 percent of the initial value (with the exception of creatinine and urea, which were set at 0.01 percent).

This technique for defining target concentrations makes the water requirements more stringent than those described by NASA. Using these targets for component concentrations, the parameters of a column are defined such that they will meet or exceed these requirements. These values are just guidelines for the development of a feasible model for water purification. The design assures that NASA standards for potable water purity will be met.
1.1 Humidity Condensate

The target concentrations for HCA are defined as the same as for the mixed wastewater stream. This mixed stream serves as a model and a reference to the pretreatment of mixed wastewater streams used in the distillation comparison test. The target concentrations in the test are instructional so that the purified water will meet NASA’s standard for wastewater.

While the comparison of designs in distillation will rely on the values for individual streams given by Table B and Table C, the ultimate design of the system will be designed to meet the specifications set by Tables A-2 and A-3 in Appendix A. For the most part, the primary differences between Tables A and B in the main text and Tables A-2 and A-3 in Appendix A is that the components and their initial concentrations are different. The target concentration for each component, however, is the same in all Tables. The complete system discussed in this report is designed purify a mixed stream of humidity condensate and urine wastewater such as that being studied in the cascade distiller subsystem (CDS) distillation tests at NASA – Johnson Space Center (JSC) [3].
Table A: Humidity Condensate A Components

Note: These values come from an average of the occurrence through a number of Shuttle and Spacelab missions [4]. The flow rate for the feed stream was set at 10 kilograms per day (kg/day).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (alcohols are orange, organic acids are pink)</th>
<th>Concentration mg/L [2]</th>
<th>Target Concentration (after purification) [mg/L]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-propanol</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-propanediol</td>
<td>45.23</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprolactam</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycolic acid</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>8.181</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>8.136</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>7.239</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>3.916</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>3.737</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ethyl morpholine</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>2.415</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>1.247</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butoxyethoxyethanol</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-acetyl morpholine</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butoxyethanol</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.00042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-dimethylformamide</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanoic Acid</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutyl amine</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ethoxyethanol</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylphthalate</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanoic acid</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpholine</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanoic acid</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ethyl Hexanoic Acid</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(2-ethoxyethoxy)ethanol</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonanoic acid</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyric acid</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.000094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3,5-tri-2-propenyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-trione</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.0296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B: Components for Urine Distillation Modeling

Note: For samples of pretreated wastewater, 1-percent sulfuric acid will be added as a component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urine Wastewater</th>
<th>Pre-Mixed Concentration [mg/L]</th>
<th>Mixed with Humidity Condensate Water [mg/L]</th>
<th>Target Concentration [mg/L]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurine</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-glutamic acid</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippuric acid</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-D-glucose</td>
<td>7930</td>
<td>3410</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>13400</td>
<td>5760</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>1449</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium sulfate</td>
<td>2632</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium chloride</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium chloride</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium chloride</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium bicarbonate</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium sulfate</td>
<td>3068</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>303.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>10700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium phosphate</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Distillation

1.1 Energetic Requirements for Distillation

1.1.1 Pinch Technology Analysis

Pinch technology represents a methodology for minimizing the energy costs in processes. In a given process, such as a distillation, the heating and cooling requirements can be described through a cold composite curve and a hot composite curve. An example of a hot composite curve is shown in Figure 1. Figure 1(a) shows two separate parts of a process. In the first part of the process, a stream is cooled from 180 °C to 80 °C with a heat capacity of 20 kilowatts per degrees Celsius (kW/°C). In the second part, a stream is cooled from 130 °C to 40 °C with a heat capacity of 40 kW/°C. The x-axis is the enthalpy change for the process. The y-axis is the temperature change of the stream. In Figure 1(b), the composite curve represents the total system, not just the individual streams. In this composite curve, there are no points where one temperature corresponds to more than one enthalpy change. Where the two streams overlap in temperature in Figure 1(a), the heat capacity for the overlapping temperatures of the two streams is presented as the sum of the heat capacities of the two separate streams (in this case, 60 kW/°C) in the composite curve [see Figure 1(b)].
Figure 2: Construction of the Grand Composite Curve (GCC)

Figure 2a, shows the cold composite (the curve to the right of the other) and the hot composite curve. In order to make the grand composite curve (GCC), which is used in this section to optimize the heat management in the column in this section of the report, the temperature of the cold composite curve is raised by half of the minimum temperature difference of the two curves. The temperature of the hot composite curve is lowered by half of this same temperature difference. The result of this operation is shown in Figure 2b. The GCC is reached when the y-axis is shifted such that within the operating temperature of the process, the enthalpy difference between the cold composite curve and the hot composite curve at a given temperature is equal to the GCC. $\Delta T_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum difference in temperature between the two composite curves (Figure 2a). The “ideal” profile described in the following column targeting analysis describes the situation where a pinch is made and $\Delta T_{\text{min}}$ is equal to zero [5].

2.1 Column Targeting Analysis

2.2.1 Pinch Technology Analysis

![Diagram of Scheme 1 – Aspen Plus® Model for Placement of the Feed]

Figure 3: Scheme 1 – Aspen Plus® Model for Placement of the Feed

A model in Aspen Plus® was developed to determine the energy requirements for the distillation of water with a few basic components (see Figure 3). The components used in this model are sodium chloride (0.01), methanol (4.45 x 10⁻⁶), acetic acid (8.18 x 10⁻⁶), and sulfuric acid (0.00107). The mass fractions of the components input are indicated in brackets. Fifty-five percent of the heat from the condenser is used to heat the feed stream to the distillation column. The flow rate of the feed is 20 kg/day.

The height equivalent theoretical plate (HETP) for 6.35-millimeter (mm) Raschig rings is about 0.3 feet (ft). The diameter of the column is calculated by Aspen Plus® to be 6.3 centimeters (cm) [6]. A ten-stage column would then be 3 ft.
Figure 4: Feed Set at Stage 1

Note: Reflux Ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -814.1 watts (W). Reboiler Duty = 386.2 W.

2.2.2 Location of the Feed

Figure 4 through Figure 14 depict the column grand composite curves (CGCC) of the distillation column described in this section. The goal of this part of the study was to determine the optimum feed position with respect to the thermal analysis of the column. From the CGCC (T-H) plots drawn in the applicable figures, it can be seen that the positioning of the feed anywhere from stage 1 (the top of the column) to stage 8 (Figures 4 to 11) is non-ideal. The actual profile and the ideal profile are closest to each other when the feed stage is set to stage 10 (at the reboiler). As demonstrated in Figures 12 and 13 where the feed stage is added at stage 9, the position of the feed can be changed above stage 10 and the CGCC plots can describe the profile as closer to ideal when a heat duty is added one stage at the stage where the feed stream is connected (see Figure 13). The total duty of the additional heat stream and the reboiler is higher than the duty on the reboiler in Figure 14. The column construction depicted by Figure 14 is therefore used in the analysis of purity levels obtainable through a 10-stage separation with a distillation column for wastewater components.
Figure 5: Feed Set at Stage 2

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -938.5 W. Reboiler duty = 442.2 W.

Figure 6: Feed Set at Stage 3

Note: Reflux Ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W.
Figure 7: Feed Set at Stage 4

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W.

Figure 8: Feed Set at Stage 5

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W.
Figure 9: Feed Set at Stage 6

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W.

Figure 10: Feed Set at Stage 7

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W.
Figure 11: Feed Set at Stage 8

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W (55 percent of heat recycled from condenser to feed stream).

Figure 12: Feed Set at Stage 9

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W (55 percent of heat recycled from condenser to feed stream).
Figure 13: Feed Set at Stage 9

Note: Reflux Ratio = 1. Condenser duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 210.9 W (5 percent of heat recycled from condenser to feed stream). An additional duty of 700 W is added to stage 9.

Figure 14: Feed Set at Stage 10

Note: Reflux ratio = 1. Condensor duty = -937.9 W. Reboiler duty = 441.9 W (55 percent of heat recycled from condenser to feed stream).
2.2.3 Varying the Reflux Ratio

Changing the reflux ratio has an impact on both the extent to the separation of the components and the heat duty of the reboiler and condenser. Generally, the higher the reflux ratio the greater the separation and the more power is consumed in the separation process. Through the CGCC analysis in Figure 15 and Figure 16, it can be determined that the reflux ratio set to 1 gives the most ideal profile for the curves.

![Block B1: Column Grand Composite Curve (T-H)](image)

**Figure 15: Feed at Stage 10**

Note: When the reflux ratio is raised to 1.5, the column has a less ideal profile. Condenser duty = -1172.4 W. Reboiler Duty = 547.4 W.
Figure 16: Feed at Stage 10

Note: When the reflux ratio is raised to 2.0, the column has a less ideal profile. Condenser duty = -1406.8566 W. Reboiler duty = 652.960977 W.

2.2 Humidity Condensate Distillation Model

The Rafrac® column for HCA was run as a simulation with a reflux ratio of 1.0, a flow rate of 20 liters per day (L/day), and the feed inlet set to stage 10 of 10. The distillate-to-feed ratio was set at 0.85. The column pressure was set at 0.1 Bar. Fifteen-mm Raschig rings were selected as the packing material for the column. The HETP for this material is approximately 0.3 ft (from vendor). For a 10-stage column, where the starting stage of the packing was set at 2 and the final stage of packing was 9, the column diameter was calculated to be 6.4 cm. The average pressure drop/height was calculated to be 0.0071 bar/meter(m) (0.87 inches of water per foot (ft)) (for a flow rate of 20 kg/day).

The results of the separation through this column were analyzed to determine which components could be separated to their target concentrations in the distillate. For easier interpretation of the results, the components are analyzed in small groups of components. In the first group of components (see Table C), three components were not purified to their target values after 10 stages. These components are 2-propanol, acetic acid, and ethylene glycol. These components are written in red in Table C. Acetic acid is particularly difficult to remove because there is a tangent pinch on the water end in the x-y diagram of water and acetic acid (see Figure 17). The most common approach to separate acetic acid (if distillation is chosen to be the method) is to use an entrainer or solvent in an azeotropic distillation column to purify water contaminated with acetic acid. Another approach is to use a reactive distillation column [7].

Table C: Mass Fractions for Six Components in the Humidity Condensate Portion of Mixed Wastewater Stream

Note: Distillation with ten stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Mass Fraction</th>
<th>[Feed]</th>
<th>[Distillate]</th>
<th>[Target]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass Fraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>Stage 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-propanol</td>
<td>$2.59 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$3.02 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid</td>
<td>$8.18 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.58 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.3 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene Glycol</td>
<td>$5.73 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.46 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>$5.76 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.33 \times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>$5.76 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetyl Morpholine</td>
<td>$6.12 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.15 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
<td>$6.1 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprolactam</td>
<td>$6.62 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.28 \times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>$6.6 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 17: Example of a Pinch Point in an X-Y Diagram [8]

Urea is separated from water to the target purity in two stages. Acetyl morpholine is also separated after two stages. Propanediol is separated in three stages. Caprolactam is separated in two stages. See Figure 18 for a column profile plot indicating the concentrations of these components with respect to the stage number.
The components that reach their target concentrations in Table D are propionic acid, butyric acid, isobutyric acid, ethyl hexanoate, and ethyl morpholine. See Figure 19 for a plot of stage number vs. component concentration. The remaining components in Table D cannot be separated through a 10-stage distillation, thus, they require a different procedure for removal. Tables E and F show the concentrations of the rest of the humidity condensate components.
Table D: Mass Fractions for Eight Components in the Humidity Condensate Portion of Mixed Wastewater Stream

Note: Distillation with ten stages. Propionic acid is a borderline component; it is written in green. The components written in red cannot be separated in ten stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>[Feed]</th>
<th>[Distillate]</th>
<th>[Target]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycolic Acid</td>
<td>$5.71 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>$5.58 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.61 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>$4.56 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$3.02 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic Acid</td>
<td>$3.16 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$4.58 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>$4.45 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$5.17 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butoxyethanol</td>
<td>$6.33 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5.68 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5.9 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanol</td>
<td>$5.25 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$6.19 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric Acid</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$8.7 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic Acid</td>
<td>$2.19 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Hexanoate</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.55 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyric Acid</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5.45 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>$9.4 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylmorpholine</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.92 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 19: Separable Components

Note: Of the components that are separable, propionic acid separates after ten stages and butoxyethoxyethanol separates after six stages to the target concentration.
Table E: Mass Fractions for Nine Components in the Humidity Condensate Portion of Mixed Wastewater Stream

Note: The components listed in red cannot be removed from water sufficiently in a ten-stage column. The component listed in green is considered to be a borderline component. The remaining components can be separated sufficiently in a ten-stage column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>[Feed]</th>
<th>[Distillate]</th>
<th>[Target]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butoxyethanol</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5.74 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5.15 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethoxyethanol</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.98 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$3.29 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpholine</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$3.11 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanoic Acid</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$3.57 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutylamine</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.15 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl Phthalate</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.23 \times 10^{-29}$</td>
<td>$6.0 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanoic Acid</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.06 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 20: Liquid Composition Profiles of Components Separated Sufficiently in the Ten-stage Column

Note: Pentanoic acid and dimethylformamide are considered borderline cases. Borderline component, dimethylformamide require a minimum of ten stages for sufficient separation. Hexanoic acid requires five stages. Dibutylamine requires five stages. Diethyl phthalate requires one stage for separation to an acceptable purity level of water (see Figure 20).
Table F: Mass Fractions for Ten Components in the Humidity Condensate Portion of Mixed Wastewater Stream

Note: Components marked in red do not meet the criteria for separation. The remaining components are effectively separated by the ten-stage distillation column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>[Feed]</th>
<th>[Distillate]</th>
<th>[Target]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.28 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Pyrrolidone</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.92 \times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonanoic Acid</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$8.78 \times 10^{-30}$</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic Acid</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-28}$</td>
<td>$8.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethoxyethoxyethanol</td>
<td>$3.54 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$6.51 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacetone Alcohol</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.75 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methyl pyrrolidone requires two stages to achieve sufficient separation. Nonanoic acid requires one stage. Ethoxyethoxyethanol requires four stages. Diacetone alcohol requires three stages for adequate separation. See Figure 21.

![Block B1: Liquid Composition Profiles](image)

Figure 21: Stage Requirements for Separation of Four Components from Water

The components of the humidity condensate portion of the humidity condensate and urine wastewater stream, mixed as per the method described by the *Exploration Life Support Water Recovery Test Plan* (2009) [3], are denoted in Table G with their vapor pressures determined in the report, *Development of Urine and Humidity Condensate Waste Water Feed Models for Water Recovery Processing Simulations* [2].
The components that are effectively removed from water to NASA specifications are written in black. The remaining components are written in blue. These components require a different method to achieve an adequate removal from water. There are many potential methods for removing these components. Catalytic oxidation [9] and ion-exchange methods are two methods, which are currently in use aboard the International Space Station (ISS) for this purpose. Air stripping is later suggested in this report as a method for the removal of some of these components.
Table G: Components and Vapor Pressures Calculated from PLXANT Data Input into Aspen Plus®.

Note: The components not separated adequately are noted in blue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Estimated Values (Pa)</th>
<th>Literature Values (Pa)</th>
<th>Nearest Temperatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 C</td>
<td>40 C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>5.64E+05</td>
<td>8.77E+05</td>
<td>5.19E+05 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>4.70E+04</td>
<td>8.19E+04</td>
<td>1E+04 (-10.9 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>2.77E+04</td>
<td>5.22E+04</td>
<td>1E+04 (1.3 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>1.81E+04</td>
<td>3.89E+04</td>
<td>1E+04 (15.2 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>9.11E+03</td>
<td>2.06E+04</td>
<td>1E+04 (29.2 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycolic acid</td>
<td>5.10E+03</td>
<td>1.05E+04</td>
<td>1E5 (99 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>6.00E+03</td>
<td>1.41E+04</td>
<td>1E+03 (-1.3 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>4.82E+03</td>
<td>1.02E+04</td>
<td>1E+03 (-0.8 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>1.77E+03</td>
<td>4.21E+03</td>
<td>1E+03 (14.2 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpholine</td>
<td>1.26E+03</td>
<td>2.95E+03</td>
<td>1E+03 (64.5 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethoxyethanol</td>
<td>8.87E+02</td>
<td>2.31E+03</td>
<td>1E+02 (-1.3 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylmorpholine</td>
<td>6.64E+02</td>
<td>1.73E+03</td>
<td>6.71E+02 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-butanol</td>
<td>5.99E+02</td>
<td>1.67E+03</td>
<td>1E+03 (28 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanoic acid</td>
<td>5.50E+02</td>
<td>1.44E+03</td>
<td>1E+02 (0 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>4.29E+02</td>
<td>1.06E+03</td>
<td>1E+02 (5 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutylamine</td>
<td>2.94E+02</td>
<td>7.71E+02</td>
<td>1E+02 (10 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacetone alcohol</td>
<td>2.04E+02</td>
<td>5.80E+02</td>
<td>1E+02 (13 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-butyric acid</td>
<td>1.85E+02</td>
<td>5.29E+02</td>
<td>1E+02 (12.9 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyric acid</td>
<td>1.81E+02</td>
<td>5.23E+02</td>
<td>1E+02 (18.1 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butoxyethanol</td>
<td>1.16E+02</td>
<td>3.31E+02</td>
<td>1.17E+02 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethoxyethoxyethanol</td>
<td>2.49E+01</td>
<td>8.11E+01</td>
<td>1E+02 (40 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanediol</td>
<td>2.44E+01</td>
<td>9.13E+01</td>
<td>1E+01 (13 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeric acid</td>
<td>2.43E+01</td>
<td>8.31E+01</td>
<td>1E+01 (15.3 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylmorpholine</td>
<td>1.77E+01</td>
<td>5.23E+01</td>
<td>1.79E+01 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td>1.10E+01</td>
<td>3.90E+01</td>
<td>1E+01 (24 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylpyrrolidone</td>
<td>1.01E+01</td>
<td>3.38E+01</td>
<td>1E+01 (24 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanoic acid</td>
<td>5.40E+00</td>
<td>2.08E+01</td>
<td>1E+01 (33 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid</td>
<td>3.76E+00</td>
<td>1.56E+01</td>
<td>3.81 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buty oxyethoxyethanol</td>
<td>3.24E+00</td>
<td>1.22E+01</td>
<td>1E+00 (14 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl hexanoate</td>
<td>2.00E+00</td>
<td>4.20E+00</td>
<td>1E+00 (308 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl phthalate</td>
<td>1.62E+00</td>
<td>5.82E+00</td>
<td>1E+00 (12 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprolactam</td>
<td>4.93E-01</td>
<td>1.96E+00</td>
<td>1E+00 (36.8 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonanoic acid</td>
<td>1.17E-01</td>
<td>6.01E-01</td>
<td>1E+00 (48 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>1.56E-03</td>
<td>1.48E-02</td>
<td>1.6E-03 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Unless otherwise indicated, these numbers come from reference [9].
2.3 Urine Wastewater

To complete the analysis of what a ten-stage distillation column can separate effectively, the urine wastewater portion of the mixed stream is analyzed. The components to urine wastewater [2] are listed in Table H with their target concentrations. Of these sixteen components only four are not adequately separated from water through distillation with a ten-stage column.

Table H: Components for Urine Wastewater Portion of the Mixed Stream (Humidity Condensate and Urine Wastewater)

Note: The components written in red are not adequately separated from the water. The number of required stages is written in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mass Fraction</th>
<th>[Feed]</th>
<th>[Distillate]</th>
<th>[Target]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2.11 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.98 x 10^{-38}</td>
<td>5.0 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>5.76 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>6.74 x 10^{-49}</td>
<td>5.76 x 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>7.68 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>6.85 x 10^{-43}</td>
<td>7.7 x 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>3.41 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>4.15 x 10^{-102}</td>
<td>3.2 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric Acid</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>3.45 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.69 x 10^{-79}</td>
<td>1.8 x 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic Acid</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1.77 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>9.18 x 10^{-81}</td>
<td>9.3 x 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.59 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.79 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.4 x 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1.26 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.25 x 10^{-16}</td>
<td>1.17 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.16 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.52 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.7 x 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic Acid</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1.51e-005</td>
<td>1.58 x 10^{-37}</td>
<td>7.9 x 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippuric Acid</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>7.36 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.95 x 10^{-63}</td>
<td>3.9 x 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>5.03 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.17 x 10^{-89}</td>
<td>2.6 x 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurine</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2.25 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.49 x 10^{-42}</td>
<td>1.2 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2.03 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.59 x 10^{-34}</td>
<td>1.1 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.45 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.22 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.2 x 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.58 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.41 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.2 x 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As illustrated in Figure 22, the salts in urine wastewater will not be passed over to the distillate. These components require only one stage for separation from urine wastewater. The remaining components are shown in Table I.
Figure 22: Liquid Composition Profile of the Salts from the Ten-Stage Urine Wastewater Model
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urine Wastewater</th>
<th>Pre-mixed Concentration [mg/L]</th>
<th>Mixed with HC-Water [mg/L]</th>
<th>Target Concentration [mg/L]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurine</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-glutamic acid</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippuric acid</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-D-glucose</td>
<td>7930</td>
<td>3410</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>13400</td>
<td>5760</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>1449</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium sulfate</td>
<td>2632</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium chloride</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium chloride</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium chloride</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium bicarbonate</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium sulfate</td>
<td>3068</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>303.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>10700</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium phosphate</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As illustrated in Figure 23, urea and creatinine reach their target concentrations after two stages. Under acidic conditions, the ammonia only requires one stage for separation.
Figure 23: Liquid Phase Column Profile for Urea, Ammonia, and Creatinine

The estimated vapor pressures are recalled from the previous report (Development of Urine and Humidity Condensate Waste Water Feed Models for Water Recovery Processing Simulations) [2] as shown in Table J. The components written in blue are the components that cannot be adequately removed by a ten-stage distillation process where pure water is the distillate. However, the remaining components listed in the table can be separated by this method. From Table I and Figure 22, Figure 23, Figure 24, and Figure 25, it can be seen that for the components that can be separated, only a three-stage column is required to separate these compounds to give a high enough elimination.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Estimated Values (Pa)</th>
<th>Literature Values (Pa)</th>
<th>Nearest Temperatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>40 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>1.81E+04</td>
<td>3.89E+04</td>
<td>1E+04 (15.2 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>8.18E+03</td>
<td>1.88E+04</td>
<td>1E+04 (29.2 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>4.82E+03</td>
<td>1.03E+04</td>
<td>1E+03 (-0.7 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>4.61E+01</td>
<td>1.41E+02</td>
<td>1E+01 (9.6 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid</td>
<td>3.76E+00</td>
<td>1.49E+01</td>
<td>3.81 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>3.06E-02</td>
<td>2.07E-01</td>
<td>3.12E-02 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>2.84E-02</td>
<td>1.60E-01</td>
<td>2.89E-02 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurine</td>
<td>2.25E-02</td>
<td>1.29E-01</td>
<td>2.29E-02 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippuric acid</td>
<td>9.94E-05</td>
<td>7.59E-04</td>
<td>1.02E-04 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Glutamic acid</td>
<td>2.20E-06</td>
<td>3.13E-05</td>
<td>2.27E-06 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>1.67E-05</td>
<td>1.36E-04</td>
<td>1.71e-05 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>7.78E-07</td>
<td>8.98E-06</td>
<td>7.99E-07 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>4.79E-07</td>
<td>7.41E-06</td>
<td>4.9E-07 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>1.02E-07</td>
<td>1.26E-06</td>
<td>1.05E-07 (25 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>5.79E-10</td>
<td>1.31E-08</td>
<td>2.6E-03 (122 °C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table J: Estimations of Vapor Pressure for Components in Urine Wastewater

Note: The components are presented from most volatile to least volatile. Those compounds with vapor pressures equal to or less than oxalic acid can be separated by the ten-stage column described in this section. These values were determined not only to rank the components in terms of volatility, but also to assess the accuracy of the PLXANTs calculated for the components [2].

Figure 24: Liquid Composition Profile of Phenol, Glucose, Citric Acid, and Glutamic Acid
2.4 Distillation and Acetic Acid

The values reported in the previous water report, Development of Urine and Humidity Condensate Waste Water Feed Models for Water Recovery Processing Simulations [2] for equilibrium constant and antoines coefficient where input into this model in Aspen (See Figure 26). When 0.01 mass fraction of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) is added to a feed stream containing 8.18 x 10⁻⁶ mass fraction of acetic acid, most of the acetic acid is deprotonated and exists as acetate with a sodium counter-ion (Table K). This species is particularly non-volatile. It is therefore worthwhile considering a distillation process for acetic acid in a distillation column to determine the feasibility of basic wastewater streams in water processing in gravitational environments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mass Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>9.90 x 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid</td>
<td>1.94 x 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>5.75 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O⁺</td>
<td>2.10 x 10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH⁻</td>
<td>4.25 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CO₂⁻</td>
<td>8.04 x 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mass Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>9.88 x 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid</td>
<td>8.18 x 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfuric Acid</td>
<td>4.78 x 10⁻¹³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O⁺</td>
<td>2.40 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH⁻</td>
<td>3.41 x 10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSO₄⁻</td>
<td>7.56 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CO₂⁻</td>
<td>2.91 x 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>2.31 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table K: Output of the Basic Aspen Plus® Model Considering the Separation of Acetic Acid from Wastewater Under Basic Conditions

When the waste water contains 1-percent sulfuric acid, the results shown in Table L are obtained. There is little separation of acetic acid from the wastewater. Acetic acid is particularly difficult to remove from the water at low pH. If an architecture is developed that employs an alkaline wastewater rather than an acidic wastewater feed, the problem of acetic acid removal may be solved without the need to move to ion-exchange beds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mass Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>9.34 x 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid</td>
<td>6.97 x 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>3.81 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O⁺</td>
<td>2.60 x 10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH⁻</td>
<td>2.82 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CO₂⁻</td>
<td>5.33 x 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mass Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1.05 x 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid</td>
<td>2.91 x 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfuric Acid</td>
<td>2.84 x 10⁻¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O⁺</td>
<td>1.38 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH⁻</td>
<td>2.60 x 10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSO₄⁻</td>
<td>5.59 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CO₂⁻</td>
<td>8.30 x 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>7.09 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table L: Output of the Basic Aspen Plus® Model Considering the Separation of Acetic Acid from Wastewater Under Acidic Conditions
IV. Conclusions

This article concerns distillation as a processing technique for the removal of contaminants from wastewater. Through distillation, it is observed that the higher molecular weight components such as hippuric acid and histidine are removed readily by a one-stage system. Other components, such as propionic acid, require multiple stages for separation below their maximum tolerable levels. When the wastewater feed is acidic, the separation of organic acids such as acetic acid and formic acid becomes more difficult. Generally, a basic pH would allow for the effective separation of these components. On the other hand, ammonia is easily separated at acidic pH, when it is predominantly in the form of ammonium ions.
Appendix

Contaminant Concentration Specifications

Table A-1: Facility and Reclaimed Potable Water Quality Specification [10]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Specifications(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Solids</td>
<td>100 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color True</td>
<td>for reference only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>for reference only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor</td>
<td>for reference only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>40 microns (max size)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>4.5 - 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>1 NTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Gas (1)</td>
<td>(free at 37°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Gas (1)</td>
<td>(O.T.P.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inorganics Constituents</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>0.5 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>0.01 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>1.0 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.005 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>30 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride (total includes chloride)</td>
<td>200 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.05 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1.0 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine (total includes organic iodine)</td>
<td>15 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>0.3 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.05 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>50 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.05 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.002 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.05 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (NO3)</td>
<td>10 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>340 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>0.01 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.05 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>200 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfide</td>
<td>0.05 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>5 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bactericide</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Iodine (minimum)</td>
<td>1 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Iodine (maximum)</td>
<td>4 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aesthetics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>15 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbial</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total count: Bacteria/Fungi</td>
<td>100 CFU/100 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organic Parameters</strong> (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total acids</td>
<td>500 micro g/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>200 micro g/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organics</td>
<td>&lt; EPA MCL, per EPA method 524_2, rev. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-volatile organics</td>
<td>&lt; EPA MCL, per EPA method 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alcohols</td>
<td>500 micro g/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Organic Carbon (TOC) (3)</td>
<td>500 micro g/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncharacterized TOC (UOC) (3)</td>
<td>for reference only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) Maximum Contamination Level.
(2) No detectable gas using a volumetric gas vs. fluid measurement system - excludes CO2 used for aesthetic purposes.
(3) Each parameter/constituent maximum contamination level must be considered individually and independently of others.
(3) UTOC equals TOC minus the sum of analyzed organic constituents expressed in equivalent TOC.
Table A-2: Mixture of Humidity Concentrate and Urine Wastewater.

Note: A crew of four is anticipated to produce 6.0 kilograms (kg) of urine and 7.8 kg of humidity condensate per day. To this amount, 33.9 grams (g) of oxone and 14.7 g of sulfuric acid is added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (alcohols written in orange, organic acids written in pink)</th>
<th>Component Conc. (HCA-Blue + Urine-Green) [mg/L]</th>
<th>Urine+HC Total initial concentration</th>
<th>% Composition</th>
<th>Component Target Conc. [mg/L] EPA MCL written in Violet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.4396</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutyl amine</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochloric acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>18.04 + 468</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-propanol</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>8.181 + 1.5</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>3.737 + 5.133</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyric acid</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.000094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylphthalate</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanoic acid</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanoic acid</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>8.136</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>7.239 + 64</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-propanediol</td>
<td>45.23</td>
<td>25.33</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>1.247</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butoxyethoxyethanol</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.6328</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-acetyl morpholine</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprolactam</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butoxyethanol</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.00042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycolic acid</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-dimethylformamide</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>3.916</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpholine</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ethoxyethanol</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A-3: Mixture of Humidity Concentrate and Urine Wastewater (Continued from Table A-2)

Note: A crew of four is anticipated to produce 6.0 kg of urine and 7.8 kg of humidity condensate per day. To this amount, 33.9 g of oxone and 14.7 g of sulfuric acid is added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (alcohols written in orange, organic acids written in pink)</th>
<th>Component Conc. (HCA-Blue + Urine-Green) [mg/L]</th>
<th>Urine+HC Total initial concentration</th>
<th>% Composition</th>
<th>Component Target Conc. [mg/L] EPA MCL written in Violet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ethyl Hexanoic Acid</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(2-ethoxyethoxy)ethanol</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanoic Acid</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonanoic acid</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurine</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-glutamic acid</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippuric acid</td>
<td>171.1</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-D-glucose</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>768</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-ethyl morpholine</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>13400</td>
<td>5760</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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