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Abstract

NASA plans human exploration near the South Pole of the Moon, and other locations where the environment is extremely cold. This paper reports on the heat transfer performance of a loop heat pipe (LHP) exposed to extreme cold under the simulated reduced gravitational environment of the Moon. A common method of spacecraft thermal control is to use a LHP with ammonia working fluid. Typically, a small amount of heat is provided either by electrical heaters or by environmental design, such that the LHP condenser temperature never drops below the freezing point of ammonia. The concern is that a liquid-filled, frozen condenser would not restart, or that a thawing condenser would damage the tubing due to the expansion of ammonia upon thawing.

This paper reports the results of an experimental investigation of a novel approach to avoid these problems. The LHP compensation chamber (CC) is conditioned such that all the ammonia liquid is removed from the condenser and the LHP is nonoperating. The condenser temperature is then reduced to below that of the ammonia freezing point. The LHP is then successfully restarted.

Introduction

The loop heat pipe (LHP) with ammonia working fluid is a common heat transport device used in spacecraft. There are many publications outlining the basic operation of a LHP (Ref. 1). There has been some work on freezing of a LHP radiator with application to the microgravity environment, but none on freezing for the lunar gravity environment, commonly taken as one-sixth that of Earth (Ref. 2). Since partial gravity is available, this novel concept uses this gravity to drain the condenser of working fluid. There appears to be no reason why this concept cannot also work in microgravity, but this remains to be proved through testing. Once empty, the condenser tubes do not have to withstand the stress generated by expansion of frozen ammonia upon thawing, a limiting case for the structural integrity of the tubing. The deleterious effects of expansion and contraction of the empty aluminum tubing and attached radiator hardware would still have to be considered, but are generally considered manageable with proper design and testing. Thus, more applied engineering work in materials and structures would be needed to verify this concept as appropriate for a particular mission.
At the surface of the South Pole of the Moon, the environment is extremely cold. Shadows from the surrounding terrain could cause the absence of solar illumination on the spacecraft for a period of several days. During this time, the spacecraft heat rejection radiator may need to be turned off and not reject any heat. It is possible that any ammonia left in the condenser would freeze and form a blockage in the condenser. Later, when the radiator is restarted, the blockage would prevent vapor from moving through the condenser. Restart would not be possible, without some auxiliary heating method to melt the ammonia blockage.

Another option for surviving extreme cold environments is to use a different working fluid called propylene. Propylene freezes at about −185 °C, much lower than ammonia which freezes at −78 °C. This is a common working fluid for robotic spacecraft that must survive cold environments. The environment and mission design of robotic spacecraft are unlikely to produce LHP temperatures below −185 °C. In the rare case of environments that would actually produce LHP temperatures below −185 °C, heater power would be required to maintain the radiator temperature above freezing. In this case, maintaining the LHP above −185 °C, instead of above −78 °C, would require much less heater power, a definite advantage for spacecraft. However, the disadvantage of using propylene, instead of ammonia, is that it lowers the loop heat pipe performance by about one third. In fact, the South Pole lunar environment and mission design will most likely produce radiator temperatures even lower than −185 °C for several days. This method described here would lessen the heater power needed to keep the radiator warm, or obviate the need for thaw heaters if the thermal control approach were to allow the radiator to freeze.

A mini LHP was operated at room temperature to verify its integrity. A tilt test was done to compare LHP performance of the simulated gravity of the Moon to that of the baseline microgravity environment. Liquid nitrogen was then poured over the condenser to bring the temperature of the condenser below the freezing point of ammonia. Thermocouples placed on the outside of the LHP were monitored with a commercial data acquisition system. The LHP was tilted such that the gravity vector along the length of the tubing was one-sixth that of Earth. Dry ice was also placed on the compensation chamber (CC) in order to draw liquid into the CC.

The LHP used here was manufactured by Thermacore and delivered to Goddard Spaceflight Center (GSFC) in 1997. The LHP was shipped to Glenn Research Center (GRC) in 2002. In the summer of 2007, the LHP was tested at GRC as part of NASA’s Exploration Technology Development Program. The LHP survived an approximate 10 year dormant period and appears to be in good working order. Although not a rigorous life test, this investigation is a good indication of the viability of the long term operability of LHP.

**Hardware Setup and Procedure**

The LHP was built in 1997 by Thermacore as one of the deliverables for a Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) contract number NAS5-97024. A small copper block attached to the evaporator supplied heat via a 500 W cartridge heater. The area of the copper block evaporator interface was 4.25 cm². The outer evaporator diameter was 1.6 cm. The condenser length was about 3.8 m and attached to a thin aluminum plate. A cooling coil was attached to the opposite side of the aluminum plate, through which a Propylene Glycol/water solution was circulated to control the condenser. More details of the LHP construction are available from the final report (Ref. 3).

Twenty copper-constantan thermocouples were attached at various locations along the LHP and within the copper block heater. Figure 1 shows the locations of these thermocouples. The condenser area is marked by the locations of thermocouple 9 through 14. The evaporator is shown as thermocouples 4, 5, and 6. The CC is marked by thermocouples 19 and 20. The heater block has thermocouples 1, 2, and 3 attached to the outside. Fibrous insulation was used to shield the entire test article from the surrounding air. A Polyscience Model 5105P chiller was connected to the LHP to circulate the coolant. The coolant used was a 50/50 propylene glycol/water mix.
There were three different insulations used in our setup. Two pieces of 2 in. thick Fibrex fiberglass insulation was placed on the bottom and top of the LHP. This provided 4 in. of fiberglass insulation on either side. A layer of 1 in. thick low density semi-rigid PVC foam insulation was placed between the LHP and the fiberglass. This was used because of its water resistant attribute. When the LHP was chilled to extreme cold temperatures, some moisture in the air condensed on the panel. This would have caused a problem, had the fiberglass insulation been in direct contact with this moisture. The data acquisition module was a combination of two separate modules. The Omega OMB-DAQ-56 was paired with the Omega OMB-PDQ1 expansion module. Each module allowed for 10 differential inputs, giving us a total of 20 differential channels. The accuracy of the data acquisition module was 0.015 percent of the reading 0.002 percent of range (exclusive of noise). The module was then plugged into a personal laptop computer where data was recorded. The electrical power source used for the heater block was an ISE Variac voltage source, model number 3PN1010B-DVM. It had an input of 120 VAC, single phase, and 50/60 Hz. The output was 0 to 140 VAC, 1.4 kVA, and 10 A. A Fluke 77III multimeter was wired in between the voltage source and heater, such that power could be calculated from the measurement of current and applied voltage.

For the baseline test, the LHP was oriented in the horizontal position and a chiller was used to maintain the condenser at the desired temperature. Verifying that the LHP was still functioning correctly was vital to the outcome of the experiments. The LHP had not been operated prior to testing at GRC for almost 10 years. The goal of the verification test was to make certain that the LHP was still operating normally. A baseline test was run by Thermacore between 1996 and 1998. The LHP was insulated with 4 in. of fiberglass insulation on the top and bottom, and about 1 in. of Kaowool ceramic insulation around the sides. The chiller was set to –10 °C at TC#21, the input port for the coolant. Power input into the copper heater block ranged from 20 to 80 W, in 10 W increments. Each power input step was allowed about 20 minutes to reach steady state, per Thermacore test requirements. The data was collected at five distinct locations around the LHP. Those locations were the evaporator wall, the vapor line, the condenser end, the liquid return line, and the compensation chamber cap.

For the tilt test, there were two orientations of the LHP. The first baseline test occurred with the LHP in the horizontal plane, simulating performance in microgravity. The second test occurred with the LHP at an angle. The LHP condenser was raised about 10 cm about the axis of the evaporator, with the angle from evaporator to condenser being 9.6°. This produced a gravity vector from the condenser to the
evaporator which was equivalent to about one-sixth of Earth’s gravity, as measured along the plane of the condenser. The chiller was used to maintain the condenser at the desired temperature.

For the freezing tests, crushed dry ice was placed on the condenser area of the LHP, near the locations of thermocouples 13 and 14. Liquid nitrogen was poured over the dry ice. The dry ice served to contain the liquid nitrogen at the desired location. The thermocouple temperatures were monitored carefully to ensure the condenser temperature dropped below the freezing point of ammonia (−77 °C). The freezing tests occurred with the LHP setup to simulate the lunar gravity environment. Dry ice was placed in contact with the CC to reduce the CC temperature to below the evaporator temperature and help draw liquid ammonia into the CC. To get the CC as cold as possible, aluminum square tubing was wrapped in Kaowool insulation. Round grooves were cut out on one end of the tubing. The top half of the nylon block was removed to expose the CC wall. The tubing sat vertically on the nylon block. The tubing was packed with crushed dry ice and a steel weight was placed on top to keep contact between the CC wall and dry ice. A thermocouple was placed at the CC wall and temperatures as low as −25 °C were consistently recorded at this location.

Once the CC had reached a cold steady state temperature, the condenser end of the LHP was frozen in order to simulate the freezing temperatures on the Moon. The top layers of insulation were removed. Crushed dry ice was placed on the last two lines at the condenser end (TC#13 and TC#14). Liquid nitrogen was then poured at consistent time intervals about every 30 sec, for about 4 minutes. The crushed ice served to contain the liquid nitrogen in the desired condenser area. This maintained condenser temperatures below the freezing point of ammonia for about 10 minutes. If there had been any liquid ammonia still left in the lines, it would have frozen in this time period. With the condenser end still below the freezing point of ammonia, the heater was turned on. No Propylene Glycol coolant was circulated to the panel at any time during the tests. It is interesting to note that dry ice alone was not sufficient to freeze the ammonia even though the temperature of dry ice is −78 °C. The contact resistance of the sublimating carbon dioxide gas provided good thermal insulation between the dry ice and the condenser tubes.

The criteria for determining that the condenser was not blocked by frozen ammonia were:

1. TC#7 and TC#8 had to be cooler than the evaporator temperature. Otherwise, the LHP could not have been working.
2. The condenser end should be increasing in temperature, thus gaining heat from the evaporator.
3. The liquid return line temperature, TC#16, is decreasing, indicating that cool liquid is moving from the condenser to the CC.

If these conditions were met, it was a good indication that vapor was moving from the evaporator to the condenser and that the LHP had no frozen ammonia blockage in the condenser.

Results and Discussion

For the baseline test, conditions of the original test at the manufacturer were duplicated. Even though about 10 years had passed since the first test, the temperature-power profiles look very similar. Figure 2 shows the original 1997 test results taken from the final report (Ref. 3). Figure 3 shows the test results from GRC in 2007. Some discrepancy does exist, due to the fact that the thermocouple data acquisition system did not arrive with the LHP, and new thermocouples were attached to the test article at locations that were thought to be the same as during the original test. The attachment method was by aluminum tape during the GRC test and by clamps during the original tests. Also, the thermocouple numbering scheme for the testing at GRC is different than the original test. It should be noted that in Figures 2 and 3, the identification of the location is not only by number, but also by description. For the important parameter, the vapor to liquid return line temperature drop, both tests show similar values, about 10 °C at 80 W. The absolute value of these temperatures is lower, and this could be due to more effective insulation in the GRC tests. For example, the original tests used 1 in. fiberglass insulation, and the GRC tests used 4 in. of fibrous fiberglass insulation.
For the tilt test, the condenser was raised about 10 cm. The LHP was left undisturbed overnight to allow the liquid to resettle. Note the scale has changed from Figures 2 and 3 such that the highest power level was only 50 W for these tests. Also, the condenser temperature changed from –10 to –2 °C, because the Polyscience chiller was not able to achieve the –10 °C for the tilt tests. Results show similar temperatures when compared to Figure 3, after considering the 8 °C temperature rise of the condenser temperature. For example, TC#1 shows 33 °C in Figure 4 for 50 W, and subtracting 8 °C, makes the TC#1 temperature close to that in Figure 3 for 50 W, which is 25 °C. This tilt test shows that the lunar gravity environment does not affect the performance of the LHP, as tested in this orientation, with this particular method of simulating the lunar gravity environment.

For the freezing tests, the condenser was again simulating lunar gravity. For results shown in Figure 5, “Freeze Run 2,” liquid nitrogen was used to freeze the condenser end. There are a few main features in the graph that are worth pointing out. The first dip in the graph that is visible, TC#19, is the chilling of the CC. Temperatures for TC#7 and TC#16 are higher than the CC and evaporator, indicating that warm liquid is possibly moving from the condenser into the evaporator as well as from the condenser into the CC. This is happening because of gravity and because of the lower vapor pressure in the CC created by the chilling of the CC. At the 9:50:24 mark, the CC temperature was stable, so the process to freeze the condenser began. One can see the extreme drop in temperature for TC#14, which remained below –77 °C for about 10 minutes.
The lowest temperature for TC#14 was −120 °C. Ten minutes is ample time for any liquid ammonia that might be in the condenser lines to freeze. At 10:00:24, 30 W was applied to the heater block. All temperatures near the evaporator and CC begin to rise at about the same rate. During this time, we did not see the LHP start due to the fact that the vapor line temperature just downstream of the evaporator (TC#7) was actually higher than the evaporator temperature (TC#6). As discussed earlier, 30 W is sufficient to start the loop under normal conditions. However, it appears that this freezing condition requires larger startup heat than at room temperature conditions.

After it was apparent the loop would never start under these conditions, 60 W was applied to the heater block at 10:43:24. TC#9 represents the midpoint of the condenser and begins to rise rapidly in a somewhat step increase profile. The condenser, TC#14, does not change in a step profile, but continues rising at the same rate. It appears that the startup has produced some movement of a warm liquid front past the condenser location of TC#9, but that the front has not reached the location of TC#14. At
10:47:24, TC#6 rises above TC#7, indicating there is vapor flow from the evaporator to the condenser. Since there appears to be heat transfer in the expected flow direction, it appears that the LHP began the startup process and there appears to have been no frozen ammonia blockage in the condenser.

Results for an additional freeze test are shown in Figure 6. For these tests, 60 W was applied initially, instead of 30 W. The coldest condenser temperature was –137.0 °C. The condenser portion of the LHP was maintained below –77 °C for approximately 12 minutes, which would have allowed sufficient time for the ammonia to freeze, if there had been any liquid ammonia in the condenser section. However, this conditioning method should leave no liquid ammonia in the condenser section. At 10:23:55, 60 W was applied to the heater block. At 10:48:00, the condenser temperatures began to rise rapidly, indicating that heat was being transferred from the evaporator to the condenser. Also, the liquid return line temperature, TC#16, began to drop, indicating that cold liquid or vapor had begun moving from the condenser to the CC. Both of these trends indicate that the loop startup had begun. It therefore appears that there was no frozen ammonia blockage in the condenser. Similar temperature profiles were observed for Freeze Run 3 as were observed for Freeze Run 2 during startup.

**Conclusion**

There were several important accomplishments during this investigation: (1) A mini LHP was tested after approximately 10 years in storage and appeared to work normally, (2) this testing demonstrates that this novel method for surviving the cold lunar environment is practical, (3) this testing has shown that an ordinary LHP was robust enough to withstand temperatures well below the design temperature and well below the temperature of frozen ammonia, (4) it appears that larger startup heat is necessary under these conditions when compared to room temperature startup conditions, and (5) it was shown that the reduced gravity of the Moon does not affect the performance of this LHP, when compared to performance in a zero-gravity environment.

To implement this novel method of conditioning a LHP for a flight project, an actual flight LHP would require thermal cycle testing, with the number of cycles and temperature extremes determined by a consideration of both flight environments and expected failure mechanisms.

Further testing with larger LHP is recommended, since human-rated spacecraft have larger heat rejection requirements than demonstrated with this mini LHP.
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