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Pilot Aircraft Interface Issues

- UAS Pilot/Operator
  - Loss of senses
    - Audition
    - Vestibular Cues
    - Olfactory
    - Monocular vision & reduced FOV (e.g., 30 degrees)

- Long duration missions
- Crew handovers
- No standard requirements/training
  - USAF - rated pilots
  - Army - specially trained soldiers
  - Raven operators - one week of training
Pilot Aircraft Interface Issues

- Ground Stations
  - Lack of standardization
  - Lack of application of 70+ years manned cockpit experience
  - Huge disparity in level of automation & proposed use of NAS
    - Raven, Predator, Shadow, Global Hawk
  - Rush to service
    - Advanced Concepts Technology Demonstrations
    - Engineering displays became operational
      - Improved GCS efforts are underway
  - Proprietary
  - Generally not built with eye toward NAS
  - UAS specific issues
    - Delays
    - Loss of link
    - Contingency operations
    - Link strength/Type
    - Data-link Frequency Use
    - Vehicle Speed/maneuverability (pilots and ATC)
    - Shifting human-automation functional allocation (particularly for SA/CA & landings)
Scope

In scope:
- NASA will address those issues related to UAS integration into the NAS – based on information requirements analysis
- Develop guidelines for a UAS/GCS to operate in the NAS/ Demonstrate proof of concept
- Generic PAI issues (e.g., operator FOV) when needed to effectively test UAS-NAS integration

Out of scope:
- Determination of pilot v. non-pilot qualifications for UAS operation
## Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of UAS</th>
<th>User Interaction</th>
<th>Airspace Req’d</th>
<th>Cap/ Req</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small (Raven)</td>
<td>R/C, Portable</td>
<td>G (2k), TFR</td>
<td>Ground based?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Size (Shadow)</td>
<td>Semi-Auto, Mobile</td>
<td>E (10k)</td>
<td>Sense &amp; Avoid, Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large (Predator)</td>
<td>Manual, Fixed</td>
<td>A (18-45k)</td>
<td>Sense &amp; Avoid, Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large (Global Hawk)</td>
<td>Auto, Fixed</td>
<td>A, E (18-60k)</td>
<td>Sense &amp; Avoid, Traffic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Employed by DHS, USAF, Army

**Primary**

**Support**
Pilot Aircraft Interface Definitions

• PAI – Pilot Aircraft Interface (includes visual, auditory, tactile displays and controls)
• GCS – Ground Control Station
• SA – Situation Awareness = sum of informational elements aggregated in context sensitive nodes weighted by importance
• Workload – Effort expended to perform the required task (NASA-TLX, Secondary tasks)
• UAS Pilot/operator – “Controller” of UAS
• Full Mission Simulation – High fidelity, integrated with ATC sim, SA/CA
PAI Objectives

- Objective: Database and proof of concept for guidelines for GCS compliance
  - **Rationale:**
    - Provide research test-bed to develop guidelines
    - Modify GCS for NAS Compliance to provide proof of concept
  - **Approach:**
    - Assess current state of GCS technology
    - Information Requirements Definition
    - SME Workshop
    - Modify an Existing GCS for NAS Compliance
    - Define exemplar UAS (choose system to develop prototype)
    - Define Candidate Displays & Controls
    - Evaluate/ refine in Simulations
    - Demonstrate in flight
  - **Deliverables:**
    - Information Requirements Report
    - Workshop Proceedings
    - Technical Reports/ papers on Simulations & Flight Demo
    - Database for guidelines
### Database and proof of concept for guidelines for GCS compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Used For</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Proceedings of UAS In the NAS HF Workshop</td>
<td>DoD, tech elements, Industry</td>
<td>Req’ts &amp; Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Info Requirements</td>
<td>DoD, Industry</td>
<td>Guidelines and sims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Candidate PAI Suite</td>
<td>DoD, Industry</td>
<td>PAI refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Full Mission Simulation</td>
<td>DoD, Industry</td>
<td>+ Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Integrated Flight Demo</td>
<td>DoD, Industry</td>
<td>Proof of concept</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Objective: Develop Human Factors Guidelines for GCS Operation in the NAS

- **Rationale:**
  - Provide guidelines for GCS integration into the NAS
  - Encourage standardization of primary flight displays (especially with respect to operation in the NAS)
  - Publish in conjunction with standards organization

- **Approach:**
  - Define Scope/Issues
  - Identify on-going efforts (military, foreign)
  - Identify appropriate standards organization
  - Develop guidelines for exemplar UAS
  - Develop guidelines for remaining classes of UAS

- **Deliverables:**
  - Technical Reports
  - Guidelines
# Develop Human Factors Standards/Guidelines for GCS Operation in the NAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Used For</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Std. Org, DoD, Industry</td>
<td>Compliance and basis for additional classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guidelines for 1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; Category of UAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Std. Org, DoD, Industry</td>
<td>Comment/Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Guidelines for 2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;/3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; Category of UAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Final Document</td>
<td>Std. Org, DoD, Industry</td>
<td>Guidelines for Compliance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Initial Partnering Effort: Workshop

• Objectives:
  1. Hold workshop to identify critical Human Factors issues related to operation of UAS in the NAS from the perspective of researcher, stakeholders (e.g. DHS, DoD), and users (i.e. UAS operators/pilots) [Day 1&2].
  2. Review and receive feedback on current PAI plan to ensure key areas are being addressed [Day 2].

• Attendees
  – UAS Human Factors Researchers:
    • AFRL, Navy, BYU, MIT, ASU, Texas A&M, U of Illinois, OSU
  – Representatives from Stakeholders from:
    • Air Force, Army, Navy, FAA, and DHS
  – UAS Operators/Pilots

• Deliverable
  – Workshop Proceedings: documenting the efforts undertaken for this program and other efforts in the area of UAS human factors. Can serve as input to a larger Roadmap for UAS integration into the NAS
Facilities

- Multi-UAV Simulation (MUSIM) – Ames
- Air Traffic Control Lab – Ames
- Universal Ground Control Station – Dryden
- Flight Deck Display Research Lab – Ames
- Air Traffic Operations Lab - Langley
- Operational AIRSTAR GCS – Langley
- IDEAS Lab – Langley
- Small UAS aircraft and operations labs – Ames, Langley, Dryden
- Manned surrogate UAS – Langley
- Ikhana MQ-9 - Dryden