Oxidation of TaSi$_2$-Containing ZrB$_2$-SiC Ultra-High Temperature Materials
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Abstract: Hot pressed coupons of composition ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC-5 v% TaSi$_2$ and ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC-20 v% TaSi$_2$ were oxidized in stagnant air at temperatures of 1627 and 1927°C for one, five and ten 10-minute cycles. The oxidation reactions were characterized by weight change kinetics, x-ray diffraction, and SEM/EDS. Detailed WDS/microprobe quantitative analyses of the oxidation products were conducted for the ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC-20 v% TaSi$_2$ sample oxidized for five 10-minute cycles at 1927°C. Oxidation kinetics and product formation were compared to ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC with no TaSi$_2$ additions. It was found that the 20 v% TaSi$_2$ composition exhibited improved oxidation resistance relative to the material with no TaSi$_2$ additions at 1627°C. However, for exposures at 1927°C less oxidation resistance and extensive liquid phase formation were observed compared to the material with no TaSi$_2$ additions. Attempts to limit the liquid phase formation by reducing the TaSi$_2$ content to 5 v% were unsuccessful. In addition, the enhanced oxidation resistance at 1627°C due to 20 v% TaSi$_2$ additions was not achieved at the 5 v% addition level. The observed oxidation product evolution is discussed in terms of thermodynamics and phase equilibria for the TaSi$_2$-containing ZrB$_2$-SiC material system. TaSi$_2$-additions to ZrB$_2$-SiC at any level are not recommended for ultra-high temperature (>1900°C) applications due to excessive liquid phase formation.
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INTRODUCTION

ZrB$_2$ and related materials are considered for ultra-high temperature applications such as leading edges of hypersonic vehicles due to their high melting points. However, application of these materials is limited due to their poor oxidation resistance, low toughness, and low thermal shock resistance [1]. This paper addresses methods to improve the oxidation resistance of ZrB$_2$. ZrB$_2$ oxidizes to form B$_2$O$_3$ and ZrO$_2$. At temperatures between 450 and about 1100°C, liquid phase boria is formed [2]. This liquid phase provides a somewhat protective liquid layer that slows oxygen transport to the underlying substrate. At temperatures greater than 1100°C, the B$_2$O$_3$ boils off allowing rapid transport of oxygen through the zirconia scale to the underlying ZrB$_2$ substrate. Additions of 20 v% SiC to ZrB$_2$ [3] provided improved oxidation resistance since the formation of a silica scale provides protection to much higher temperatures dependent on the amount of additive and oxidation condition [4]. However, at temperatures above the melting point of silica (T$_{m}$=1723°C [5]), the liquid film can be lost to volatility and shear forces in high velocity applications [6]. Many studies have been conducted to add additional constituents to the ZrB$_2$-SiC system in attempts to further increase the oxidation resistance. TaSi$_2$ is one such constituent that has been considered to increase the oxidation resistance.

It has been demonstrated that Ta additions to ZrB$_2$-based materials showed improved oxidation resistance relative to the baseline materials at moderately high temperatures (T≤1627°C). Talmy et al. showed that two to twenty mol% TaB$_5$ additions to ZrB$_2$-SiC resulted in improved oxidation resistance at temperatures between 1200 and 1400°C [7]. Similarly, Ta$_5$Si$_3$ and Ta$_2$Si$_2$ additions in the amounts of 8-31 v% showed improved oxidation resistance relative to ZrB$_2$ at temperatures between 1100 and 1400°C in air for times of two to three hours [8,9]. In all cases, Talmy showed evidence for immiscible glass formation in the oxidation products and attributed the improved oxidation resistance to the steeply rising liquidus temperatures, increased viscosity, and decreased oxygen diffusivity [7] of the phase-separated glasses. Opila et al. [10] showed that ZrB$_2$ - 20 v% SiC -20 v% TaSi$_2$ resulted in a ten-fold reduction in oxide thickness relative to ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC after oxidation at 1627°C for 100 minutes in stagnant air. This improvement was attributed to the presence of Ta rather than the increased Si-content. The mechanism for improved oxidation behavior was not determined, however, it was proposed that this result was either due to formation of immiscible glass phases or Ta-doping of the ZrO$_2$ scale.

TaSi$_2$ has a melting point of approximately 2200°C [11,12] so the material itself has high temperature capability. The expected oxidation products, SiO$_2$ and Ta$_2$O$_5$ have melting points of 1723°C [5] and 1872°C (α-Ta$_2$O$_5$) [13] respectively. Upon oxidation at temperatures above 1872°C,
Ta\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2} is therefore expected to form a liquid phase. For mixed ZrB\textsubscript{2}-SiC-TaSi\textsubscript{2}, the oxidation productS are expected to be more complex. The formation of Ta\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}-xZrO\textsubscript{2} phase V with x reportedly varying between 5 and 8 [14-16] is possible. The melting temperature of this phase is unknown, but has been reported to be >1870°C [14]. Thus, this oxidation product is also likely to limit the temperature capability of TaSi\textsubscript{2}-containing ZrB\textsubscript{2}-SiC compositions. It was proposed [10] that additions of small amounts (<20v%) of TaSi\textsubscript{2} to ZrB\textsubscript{2} might result in oxidation to form Ta\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} in solution with ZrO\textsubscript{2}, thus preventing formation of these low melting oxides. While the extent of Ta\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} solubility is unknown, a phase diagram for the related Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}-ZrO\textsubscript{2} system shows 5 to 10 mol% solubility of Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} in ZrO\textsubscript{2} [16].

The objectives of this work are to: 1) Extend the previous work with ZrB\textsubscript{2} -20 v% SiC -20 v% TaSi\textsubscript{2} [10] to the lower TaSi\textsubscript{2} level of 5 v% for developing enhanced oxidation resistance at both 1627 and 1927°C, and 2) Interpret the results of a detailed characterization of ZrB\textsubscript{2} -20 v% SiC -20 v% TaSi\textsubscript{2} before and after oxidation using microprobe and quantitative WDS to understand liquid phase formation arising from TaSi\textsubscript{2} additions, the whereabouts of the Ta in the oxide scale, and possible steps to mitigate liquid-phase formation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY**

ZrB\textsubscript{2}-SiC-TaSi\textsubscript{2} coupons were prepared from powders described in Table 1. Processing history is summarized in Table 2. Several batches of the same composition were made. The powders were mixed, and then milled using Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} media in hexane in a Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} mill for 24 h. The powders were vacuum hot pressed using a graphite die. Further details can be found in reference [17]. Sample coupons of 2.5 cm × 1.2 cm × 0.3 cm were machined from hot pressed plates. The coupons were ultrasonically cleaned in detergent (Micro-90, International Products Corporation), de-ionized water, acetone, and alcohol prior to oxidation. X-ray diffraction and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy of as-machined and cleaned coupons indicated ZS20TS contained ZrB\textsubscript{2}, SiC, TaSi\textsubscript{2} and a minor amount of a (Zr, Ta) boride solution.

### Table 1. Powders Used for Sample Preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Particle Size</th>
<th>Purity%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZrB\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Cerac</td>
<td>&lt;10μm</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>HC Starck</td>
<td>&lt;5 μm</td>
<td>&gt;99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaSi\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Cerac</td>
<td>80%-325 mesh (-44 μm)</td>
<td>&gt;99.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Summary of Compositions, Designations, and Processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Designation/Oxidation Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Density, g/cm\textsuperscript{3}</th>
<th>Relative Density, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZrB\textsubscript{2}-20 v% SiC</td>
<td>ZS</td>
<td>2000°C, 10ksi, 2h</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB\textsubscript{2} -20 v% SiC -20 v% TaSi\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>ZSTS20-1627</td>
<td>1600°C, 10ksi, 2h</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB\textsubscript{2} -20 v% SiC -20 v% TaSi\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>ZSTS20-1927</td>
<td>1600°C, 10ksi, 2h</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB\textsubscript{2} -20 v% SiC -5 v% TaSi\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>ZSTS5-1627</td>
<td>1750°C, 10ksi, 2h</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB\textsubscript{2} -20 v% SiC -5 v% TaSi\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>ZSTS5-1927</td>
<td>1700°C, 10ksi, 2h</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial surface areas and sample weights were recorded. The samples were oxidized at 1627°C in stagnant air in a bottom loading CM Inc. MoSi\textsubscript{2} element box furnace. The samples were oxidized at 1927°C in stagnant air in a bottom loading DelTech, Inc. zirconia element furnace. Three coupons were loaded into a slotted calcium-stabilized zirconia firebrick setter (98.7% purity). Two lines of contact existed between the setter and sample. The coupons were oxidized for ten minute cycles. On heat-up to 1627°C, the furnace temperature reached approximately 100 degrees less than the set temperature in 1 minute. Heating to the set temperature was complete 5 minutes into the heating cycle. The DelTech furnace temperature fell by approximately 75 degrees during the loading process. Samples were removed from the furnace and cooled in ambient conditions for 10 minutes between cycles. A coupon was removed after one cycle, five cycles, and ten cycles. A maximum oxidation time of 100 minutes was achieved. Post-oxidation weight changes were recorded where possible. Some coupons stuck to the zirconia setter due to extensive glass formation.

Optical macrographs were taken of all coupons after oxidation. The sample surfaces were analyzed by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) Hitachi S-4700, and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) to determine phases present before and after oxidation as well as oxide microstructures. Several materials were also examined by FESEM and EDS in cross-section prepared by non-aqueous cutting and polishing procedures to preserve boria-containing phases. The ZSTS20 coupon previously oxidized for five cycles (50 minutes total exposure) at 1927°C was also characterized extensively by Wavelength Dispersive Spectroscopy (WDS) microprobe (JEOL 8200). Each reported phase composition determined by microprobe is the average of five measurements.

**RESULTS**

**Oxidation Kinetics**

The weight change results for ZS, ZSTS5, and ZSTS20 obtained at 1627°C in stagnant air are shown in Fig. (1). Macrophotos of the materials after oxidation at 1627°C are shown in Fig. (2). Surface SEM images are shown in Fig. (3) for all three compositions while cross sections of the oxide scale are shown in Fig. (4). XRD results for all the oxidized materials were similar and showed only ZrO\textsubscript{2} (monoclinic) with minor amounts of ZrO\textsubscript{2} (cubic). No additional phases were observed. Macrographs of ZS, ZSTS5, and ZSTS20...
after oxidation at 1927°C are shown in Fig. (5). The results for ZS and ZSTS20 were previously published [10] but are shown here for comparison.

Fig. (1). Weight change results obtained at 1627°C in stagnant air for ZrB2-20 v% SiC baseline material compared to compositions containing 5 and 20 v% TaSi2. ZS and ZS20TS from ref. [9].

The weight change results and macrophotos for the sample exposed at 1627°C show that ZrB2-20 v% SiC -20 v% TaSi2 shows the best oxidation behavior. The addition of 5 v% TaSi2 to ZrB2 -20 v% SiC is insufficient to lead to phase separation in the glass, whereas 20 v% TaSi2 additions result in immiscible glass formation as shown in Fig. (3). The oxidation thickness is reduced approximately ten times for 20 v% TaSi2 relative to the material with no additives as shown in Fig. (4) [10]. The oxide cross-section for the sample with 5 v% TaSi2 is not shown since the scale was bubbled and varied irregularly in thickness between 4 and 100 µm for a sampling of 20 locations. At 1927°C, the material with no TaSi2 exhibits the best oxidation behavior since liquid phase formation is limited. Extensive liquid formation is observed for both the 5 and 20 v% TaSi2 addition levels.

Microstructural/Compositional Characterization of ZSTS20 Before and After 1927°C Exposure in Stagnant Air for 50 Minutes

In previous work [10], EDS analysis of the ZSTS oxidation products was complicated by the fact that the Ta Mα peak (1.709 keV) has almost complete overlap with the Si Kα peak (1.739 keV). Ta identification was made primarily by observing the low intensity Ta Lα peak at 8.145 keV. In addition, phases with high Si content had much darker contrast than those with high Ta content. Nevertheless, it was uncertain where Ta was incorporated into the oxidation products. In addition, the composition of the low melting phase was unknown, preventing an understanding of possible ways to improve the high temperature oxidation resistance of ZSTS materials. In this paper, microprobe wavelength dispersive spectroscopy (WDS) was used to more completely characterize the oxidation products. The following microprobe results are presented beginning with the substrate and proceeding outward through the layers of the oxide products formed to identify the key reactions occurring during the oxidation exposure at 1927°C. Results are summarized in Table 3.

Substrate Before Oxidation

After hot pressing, in addition to the starting phases ZrB2, SiC, and TaSi2, an additional phase zirconium rich boride phase (Zr,Ta)Bx was observed as shown in Fig. (6). This solution phase has been previously observed [8,10] and is reasonable since both ZrB2 and TaB2 have a hexagonal crystal structure. XRD results showed that the (100), (101), and (111) peaks for ZrB2 all exhibited shoulders displaced by 0.1 to 0.5 degrees 2theta higher indicating reduction of the lattice parameters of the hexagonal ZrB2 structure with the introduction of TaB2.

Substrate After Oxidation

After oxidation, the TaSi2 is no longer found in the substrate throughout the entire thickness as shown in Fig. (6). Fig. (6b) was obtained near the center of the coupon. The Ta is now present as a carbide (Ta,Zr)Cx. The amount of ZrB2 and SiC have both decreased relative to the starting material whereas the amount of (Zr,Ta)Bx phase has increased. Porosity is found throughout the substrate.

Substrate Near Oxide Interface

Fig. (7) shows elemental analysis at the substrate/oxide interface. Note that ZrB2 and SiO2 are present just below ZrO2-containing oxide scale in a very thin layer. Below this layer, all Si-containing phases are depleted for approximately 150 µm.

Oxide Near Oxide Interface

Figs. (7, 8) show that Ta is found near the ZrB2/ZrO2 interface in the small (~1 µm) grains of Ta oxyborides with brightest contrast. Large ZrO2 grains are observed in a sea of SiO2. Figs. (7, 8) also show that Ta and B are present at low levels throughout much of the oxide scale.
Fig. (3). Secondary electron images showing surface morphology of the ZS (top), ZSTS5 (middle), and ZSTS20 (bottom) after oxidation at 1627°C for 100 min in stagnant air. Details of area in white box on left are shown in higher magnification on the right. ZrO$_2$ and glass (g) phases are labeled in the right hand micrographs. Note that only the ZSTS20 shows evidence of immiscible glass formation: g1 is silica rich while g2 contains Al and Mg impurities.

Fig. (4). Secondary electron images showing cross-sections of the ZS and ZSTS20 after oxidation at 1627°C for 100 min in stagnant air [10]. White arrows show the oxide thickness.
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Fig. (5). Macrographs of ZS, ZSTS5, and ZSTS20 after oxidation at 1927°C for 10 minute cycles in stagnant air.

Table 3. Summary of Phases/Compositions Determined by WDS/Microprobe for ZSTS20 after Oxidation at 1927°C in Stagnant Air for 5 ten-Minute Cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>ZrB₂</th>
<th>SiC</th>
<th>TaS₂</th>
<th>(Zr,Ta)Bₓ</th>
<th>(Zr,Ta)Cₓ</th>
<th>ZrO₂ (at% Ta)</th>
<th>SiO₂ (at% Ta)</th>
<th>Ta(O,B)ₓ</th>
<th>Ta(C,B)ₓ</th>
<th>(Zr,Ta)Oₓ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substrate as hot-pressed</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Zr/Ta=4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x=1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate after oxidation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ Zr/Ta=3.5</td>
<td>✓ Zr/Ta=0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x=1.4</td>
<td>x=1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate near oxide interface</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x=2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxide near interface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ (0.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x=2.0 (0.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle portion of attached scale</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ B/O=2.2</td>
<td>✓ B/O=1.7</td>
<td>C/B=1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x=1.4</td>
<td>x=0.7</td>
<td>x=1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer surface, detached scale</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ (0.6, 1.3)</td>
<td>✓ x=3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Zr/Ta=1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 areas analyzed</td>
<td>x=2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x=2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. (6). Backscattered electron image of ZSTS20 substrate before and after oxidation for 50 min at 1927°C in stagnant air. Four phases are present in the hot-pressed material (from brightest to darkest contrast): TaSi₂, (Zr,Ta)B₁.₅, ZrB₂, and SiC. The exposed sample contains (from brightest to darkest contrast) (Zr,Ta)Cₓ, (Zr,Ta)Bₓ, ZrB₂, SiC and porosity.

Fig. (7). Backscattered electron image and corresponding WDS dot maps for the substrate/oxide interface of ZSTS20 after oxidation at 1927°C for five 10-minute cycles in stagnant air. The red line corresponds to the approximate location of the ZrO₂/ZrB₂ interface as discussed in the text.
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Middle Portion of Attached Scale

The phases present in this portion of the scale are large columnar ZrO₂ grains in SiO₂, as shown in Fig. (9). In addition to the finely dispersed Ta oxyborides, a band of larger grains of Ta(C,B) is observed amongst the other oxide phases. Finally, the ZrO₂ grains appear to show surface reaction with the surrounding amorphous phase, as shown in Fig. (10).

Outer Surface, Detached Scale

The phases observed on the outer detached scale and shown in Fig. (11) include ZrO₂ found both as large grains and as dendrites, SiOₓ with small amounts of dissolved Ta
and Zr and x=3, and a (Zr,Ta) oxide with a cation/anion stoichiometry of 2:5 as would be expected for Ta₂O₅, however, two thirds of the cations are Zr.

Fig. (10). Backscattered electron image of the middle of the oxide scale formed on ZSTS20 after oxidation at 1927°C for five 10-minute cycles in stagnant air. Same area as Fig. (9). The red arrows highlight the bright contrast surface layer found on zirconia suggesting Ta-enrichment.

DISCUSSION
Optimization of TaSi₂ Content

Twenty vol % addition of TaSi₂ to ZS promoted improved oxidation behavior at 1627°C. Both the weight change (Fig. 1) and the oxide thickness (Fig. 4) confirm this result. The appearance of the oxide surface indicates glass immiscibility, as shown in Fig. (3). Talmý et al [7-9] attribute similar observations of glass immiscibility to Ta additions to the system. EDS analysis [10] of the immiscible phases in Fig. (3) were inconclusive. The darkest phase showed EDS consistent with SiO₂. The medium contrast phase showed results consistent with Al and Mg impurities concentrated in a SiO₂-rich phase. In addition, a trace peak attributed to Ta and or Zr was also observed [10]. The bright phase had EDS results consistent with ZrO₂ and a slight trace of Ta or Si. The trace of Ta or Si could be oxide doping or a sampling volume effect. The sample was unavailable for further microprobe analysis to better characterize the composition of the surface oxide phases since it had been cross-sectioned, mounted and polished for oxide thickness measurements. Thus, while glass immiscibility has been observed, the mechanism for improved oxidation resistance due to Ta additions is still not certain.

The oxidation weight gain of ZSTS5 was similar to ZS (no TaSi₂ additions) at 1627°C. After only ten minutes of oxidation the ZSTS5 scale showed significant amounts of the pink scale observed previously for the ZSTS20 samples (Fig. 2). However, this pink oxide was not able to establish a protective scale at longer times and the glassy appearance of the ZSTS5 after ten cycles was similar in appearance to the ZS material. SEM images of the surface oxide (Fig. 3) show more uniform dispersion of the ZrO₂ in a continuous silica-rich phase relative to ZS, but glass immiscibility of the oxidized ZSTS20 was not observed.

At 1927°C, it was hoped that the reduction in TaSi₂ from 20 to 5 vol % would eliminate the excess liquid formation attributed to Ta-containing low melting phases, possibly by incorporation of the Ta into the ZrO₂. While five vol % TaSi₂ additions to ZS did reduce the amount of liquid phase relative to ZSTS20, there was still formation of significant amounts of liquid phase indicated by sample distortion, as shown in Fig. (5).

Not only did the reduction in TaSi₂ to 5 vol % fail to provide additional oxidation protection to ZS at 1627°C, the reduction was not enough to eliminate liquid phase formation at 1927°C. Thus, optimization of TaSi₂ content is not possible to allow improved oxidation behavior at both 1627 and 1927°C.

Characterization of ZSTS20 Before and After Oxidation at 1927°C in Stagnant Air for 50 Minutes

Substrate Phases: As Hot-Pressed

The composition of the hot-pressed material is summarized in Table 3 while images of the material can be seen in Fig. (6). The formation of (Zr,Ta)B₁.₅ indicates that TaSi₂ is unstable with respect to ZrB₂. The stoichiometry of the new phase (Zr,Ta)B₁.₅ suggests that Ta is added into the ZrB₂ structure without additional boron, resulting in a material with a lower relative boron content. XRD results indicated that the lattice parameters of the (Zr,Ta)B₁.₅ phase were slightly smaller. These results are consistent with substitution of the smaller Ta atom on the Zr site and/or incorporation of B vacancies in the (Zr,Ta)-diboride phase. Table 4 shows the relative thermodynamic stability of a series of compounds important for this material system [5]. It can be seen that silicides are the least stable with Ta compounds less stable than the corresponding zirconium-based compounds. These trends are consistent with the
observed conversion of TaSi₂ to \((Zr,Ta)B_{1.5}\) during hot-pressing.

Table 4. Free Energy of Formation \(\Delta G_f\) 1927°C(kJ/mol) M\(_x\)X\(_y\)\(_z\)M\(_x\) for Compounds of Interest in the ZSTS System [5]. Values for \(T_2O_5\) are Shown Normalized for 1 Ta and 1 \(O_2\) for Comparison to Other Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\Delta G_f) (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaSi₂</td>
<td>-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaC</td>
<td>-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaB₂</td>
<td>-189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaO₂₅</td>
<td>-834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{88}O₂</td>
<td>-451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrSi₂</td>
<td>-121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrC</td>
<td>-177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB₂</td>
<td>-277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>-692</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Substrate Phases: After Oxidation at 1927°C

After oxidation, no TaSi₂ was observed in the matrix. In addition to \(ZrB_2\), SiC, and \((Zr,Ta)B_{1.5}\), a new phase (\(Ta(Zr)C_{1.4}\)) is formed. The amount of the \((Zr,Ta)B_{1.4}\) has increased, the tantalum content in this boride phase has increased and the resulting B stoichiometry has also decreased slightly consistent with more Ta addition to the structure. This suggests continued reaction between TaSi₂ and \(ZrB_2\). A possible explanation for additional loss of TaSi₂ and the appearance of both voids and the tantalum-rich carbide could be a reaction of the following form:

\[
TaSi₂ + SiC + 1.5 O₂(g) = TaC + SiO₂(g), \quad \Delta G_{rxn} = -79kJ/mol \quad (1)
\]

with the free energy data obtained from the FACT database [5]. This reaction is a type of active oxidation in which both TaSi₂ and SiC are consumed forming TaC as the silicon leaves as a vapor species. TaC is more stable than SiC (\(AG_f 1927°C = -140kJ/mol\) vs -41kJ/mol) [5] making this a likely reaction route.

Oxide Phases Formed: ZrO₂

\(ZrO₂\) is observed as large grains tending toward a columnar structure throughout the scale. The amount of Ta dissolved in the \(ZrO₂\) structure increased toward the outer surface of the oxide, but remained low, 1.3 at% or less. This suggests that incorporation of Ta into the \(ZrO₂\) is limited for \textit{in situ} thermally grown oxides. Higher contents of \(T_2O_5\) have been incorporated in zirconia by traditional powder or sol gel ceramic processing techniques [18-20]. There are two consequences of this limited solubility of Ta in thermally grown zirconia. First, oxygen ion transport through the zirconia will not be reduced substantially due to oxygen vacancy concentration reduction associated with pentavalent Ta occupying quadravalent zirconium sites. Ionic conductivity and ion diffusivities are related through the Nernst Einstein equation [21]. Thus, oxygen ionic conductivity measurements as a function of dopant concentration can give information about the changes in oxygen diffusivity. While data for the dependence of ionic conductivity in \(T_2O_5\)-doped \(ZrO₂\) are not available in the literature, data obtained for \(ZrO₂\) co-doped with \(Y₂O₃\) and \(T₂O₅\) show less than an order of magnitude decrease in ionic conductivity for 2mol% \(T_2O_5\) additions [22,23]. Thus, a \(T_2O_5\) content of about 1% in \(ZrO₂\) is not likely to substantially reduce the oxidation rates of ZS materials. Second, since solubility of Ta in thermally grown \(ZrO₂\) is limited, any excess Ta is likely to form low melting oxide phases which are detrimental to oxidation resistance at temperatures exceeding their melting point. The formation of zirconia dendrites shown in Fig. (11) is evidence of zirconia incorporation in and precipitation from these low melting phases.

There is additional evidence of zirconia reaction with Ta in the bright contrasting phases formed around each zirconia grain as seen in Fig. (10). Microprobe was not conducted on these surface reaction phases, so the composition is unknown. One possibility is that this phase is the V-phase, \(T_2O_5-xZrO₂\) (\(x = 5 \text{ to } 8\)). An additional zirconium tantaltate phase was observed phase separated in the silica in the outer detached layer of the oxide scale, though this phase has the 2:5 stoichiometry of \(T_2O_5\).

Oxide Phases Formed: SiO₂

\(SiO₂\) is observed as the continuous phase that surrounds the columnar zirconia grains as well as any Ta-containing phases. Microprobe results indicate the expected \(SiO₂\) oxygen stoichiometry near the oxide/substrate interface. The oxygen stoichiometry deviates moving outward through the oxide scale while correspondingly more Ta is incorporated in the silica. A maximum of 2.5 at% Ta is observed in the silica. At the outer surface, small spheroids of phase-separated zirconium tantaltate are observed in the silica as previously mentioned and shown in Fig. (11). The stoichiometric and compositional trends indicate that “pure” silica is formed at the oxide/matrix interface and more complex silicate melts are formed in the outer portion of the oxide as the scale thickens.

Oxide Phases Formed: Ta-Oxides

\(T_2O_5\), the thermodynamically stable oxide of Ta is not observed anywhere in the oxide scale. Near the substrate/oxide interface, small submicron precipitates of Ta-oxyboride are dispersed in both the \(ZrO₂\) and the \(SiO₂\) (Fig. 8). The observation that these particles are dispersed in the zirconia supports the limited solubility of Ta in the \(ZrO₂\).

Proceeding outward through the scale, larger 10 \(μm\) sized particles of Ta(C,B) grains are observed in a layered structure (bright phase in Fig. 9). This layer corresponds to the highest concentration of Ta observed in the oxide scale as shown in Fig. (7). The existence of these large non-oxide grains is surprising since they are surrounded by oxide. However, based on the Ellingham diagram calculated using the Fact database [5] shown in Fig. (12), tantalum oxides are the least thermodynamically stable, so that for a limited amount of oxygen, zirconia is expected to form preferentially. A similar phenomenon was observed in which BN remained unoxidized when surrounded by \(SiO₂\) due to the relative thermodynamic stabilities of the boria and silica [24].

As discussed above, two zirconium tantaltate phases are observed in the outer portions of the scale while more Ta is observed dissolved in both the zirconia and the silica. These
more complex tantalates are found in the outer portions of the scale suggesting further Ta reactions occur at longer exposure times.

**Fig. (12).** Ellingham diagram for oxides in the ZSTS system calculated with FACT53 data and FactSage [5].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Previous results [10] have shown that additions of 20 v% TaSi$_2$ to ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC resulted in markedly improved oxidation resistance at 1627°C in air for 100 minutes. This improved oxidation resistance is likely attributed to Ta-induced glass phase immiscibility and resulting lower transport rates of oxygen through the more viscous glasses. However, it has now been demonstrated that addition of the smaller amount of 5 v% TaSi$_2$ to ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC is insufficient to result in glass immiscibility and improved oxidation resistance. TaSi$_2$ additions to ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC in both 5 and 20 v% amounts resulted in extensive liquid phase formation when exposed for 50 minutes in stagnant air at 1927°C. Extensive microprobe characterization of the 20 v% TaSi$_2$-containing sample, 1927°C exposure, has shown that TaSi$_2$ additions are not suitable for applications at 1927°C for a number of reasons. TaSi$_2$ is unstable in the matrix with respect to ZrB$_2$. During oxidation, TaSi$_2$ in the presence of SiC appears to undergo active oxidation forming TaC and SiO(g) leaving voids in the substrate. During oxidation, Ta is incorporated in the zirconia in amounts of 1.3 at% or less, suggesting that in-situ doping of the zirconia to decrease oxygen transport rates through the zirconia is limited. During oxidation, Ta also forms oxyboride, silicate, and zirconate phases which result in extensive amounts of liquid phase formation and poor oxidation resistance. Ta-additions to ZrB$_2$-20 v% SiC are not recommended for ultra-high temperature (>1900°C) applications.
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