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Atmospheric InfraRed Sounder (AIRS)

- High quality and global coverage
- L2 Version 5 temperature and moisture profiles
- Land and water soundings w/ separate errors
- Quality control using $P_{\text{best}}$ value in each profile
- Sensitivity study assimilating only AIRS profiles

AIRS QI’s for 17 Jan 2007
WRF Forecast/Assimilation System

- 12-km, 450x360 horizontal grid
- 50 vertical levels topped at 50 hPa
- WRF ARW initialized at 0000 UTC using NAM analysis
- AIRS profiles assimilated at observation time
- 7-9 h forecast used as background
- B matrix generated using 37 control forecasts
- Two 48-h forecast runs: Control and AIRS
Overall Impact on 6-h Precipitation

Combined forecast results for 12-48 h forecasts of precipitation for 37-days from winter 2007: verified against Stage IV precipitation

- Bias score shows F/O
  - Control runs over-forecast light rain and under-forecast moderate to heavy rain
  - Improved (closer to 1) for all thresholds with AIRS

- Equitable Threat Score shows forecasted and observed precipitation matches
  - Improvement at all thresholds except lightest
  - Best results for moderate thresholds (12.7 - 25.40 mm/6h)
Case Study: 12-13 February, 2007

2/12/07 1200Z Surface Analysis

Developing front draped across Texas

2/12/07 0742-0900Z AIRS

High-quality AIRS profiles in clear skies ahead of front

2/12/07 0900Z GOES IR Image
Case Study: 12-13 February, 2007

Impact of AIRS profile on WRF-Var Analysis

- Clear sky over the Gulf of Mexico allow for high-quality AIRS data to be assimilated
- AIRS profile is warmer near-surface and cooler in upper levels
- Entire column is moistened
- Result in a more unstable sounding

Gulf of Mexico soundings at 09 UTC
12 February 2007

transitioning unique NASA data and research technologies to operations
Case Study: 12-13 February, 2007

Impact of AIRS on analysis

- AIRS is warmer and moister than background
- Produces a warmer and moister analysis
- Results in a higher CAPE
Case Study: 12-13 February, 2007
24-h Forecast valid 00 UTC 2/13/2007

- Convective precipitation in Eastern Texas
  - Control produces some rain but does not capture significant precipitation
  - AIRS reproduces convective precipitation line
- Better representation of lower level moisture
- CAPE values above 1800 J kg\(^{-1}\) in NAM analysis
  - AIRS nicely represents CAPE
  - Control run gives no hint of higher CAPE values
Case Study: 12-13 February, 2007

AIRS impact on WRF forecasts

- stronger updraft and higher low-level moisture
- more unstable $\theta_e$ profile and near saturation in convective core
- more conducive for convective activity than the control forecast
Summary

• Prudent assimilation of AIRS thermodynamic profiles and quality indicators can improve initial conditions for regional weather models.

• AIRS-enhanced analysis has warmer and moister PBL

• Forecasts with AIRS profiles are generally closer to NAM analyses than CNTL.

• Assimilation of AIRS leads to an overall QPF improvement in 6-h accumulated precipitation forecasts.

• Including AIRS profiles in assimilation process enhances the moist instability and produces stronger updrafts and a better precipitation forecast than the CNTL run