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Fission has been considered for in-space propulsion since the 1940s. Nuclear Thermal Propulsion (NTP) systems underwent extensive development from 1955 – 1973, completing 20 full power ground tests and achieving specific impulses nearly twice that of the best chemical propulsion systems. Space fission power systems (which may eventually enable Nuclear Electric Propulsion) have been flown in space by both the United States and the Former Soviet Union. Fission is the most developed and understood of the nuclear propulsion options (e.g. fission, fusion, antimatter, etc.), and fission has enjoyed tremendous terrestrial success for nearly 7 decades.

Current space nuclear research and technology efforts are focused on devising and developing first generation systems that are safe, reliable and affordable. For propulsion, the focus is on nuclear thermal rockets that build on technologies and systems developed and tested under the Rover/NERVA and related programs from the Apollo era. NTP Affordability is achieved through use of previously developed fuels and materials, modern analytical techniques and test strategies, and development of a small engine for ground and flight technology demonstration. Initial NTP systems will be capable of achieving an Isp of ~900 s at a relatively high thrust-to-weight ratio.

The development and use of first generation space fission power and propulsion systems will provide new, “game changing” capabilities for NASA. In addition, development and use of these systems will provide the foundation for developing extremely advanced power and propulsion systems capable of routinely and affordably accessing any point in the solar system.

The energy density of fissile fuel ($8 \times 10^{13}$ Joules/kg) is more than adequate for enabling extensive exploration and utilization of the solar system. For space fission propulsion systems, the key is converting the virtually unlimited energy of fission into thrust at the desired specific impulse and thrust-to-weight ratio.

This presentation will discuss potential space fission propulsion options ranging from first generation systems to highly advanced systems. Ongoing research that shows promise for enabling second generation NTP systems with Isp > 1000 s will be discussed, as will the potential for liquid, gas, or plasma core systems. Space fission propulsion systems could also be used in conjunction with simple (water-based) propellant depots to enable routine, affordable missions to various destinations (e.g. moon, Mars, asteroids) once in-space infrastructure is sufficiently developed. As fuel and material technologies advance, very high performance Nuclear Electric Propulsion (NEP) systems may also become viable. These systems could enable sophisticated science missions, highly efficient cargo delivery, and human missions to numerous destinations. Commonalities between NTP, fission power systems, and NEP will be discussed.
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Two Types of Space Nuclear Systems Flown to Date

**Radioisotope Decay (Pu-238)**

- Long history of use on Apollo and space science missions
  - 44 RTGs and hundreds of RHUs launched by U.S. during past 4 decades
- Heat produced from natural alpha (α) particle decay of Plutonium (Pu-238)
- Used for both thermal management and electricity production

\[ \text{Heat Energy} = 0.023 \text{ MeV/nucleon (0.558 W/g Pu-238)} \]

\[ \text{Natural decay rate (87.7-year half-life)} \]

**Fission (U-235)**

- Used terrestrially for over 65 years
  - Fissioning 1 kg of uranium yields as much energy as burning 2,700,000 kg of coal
- Heat produced from neutron-induced splitting of a nucleus (e.g. U-235)
  - At steady-state, 1 of the 2 to 3 neutrons released causes a subsequent fission in a “chain reaction”
- Heat converted to electricity, or used directly to heat a propellant

\[ \text{Heat Energy} = 0.851 \text{ MeV/nucleon} \]

\[ \text{Controllable reaction rate (variable power levels)} \]
Two Types of Space Nuclear Systems

- Radioisotope Power Systems
  - 44 Successful U.S. Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generators (RTGs) flown since 1961
  - Some Examples:
    - Apollo SNAP-27 (1969-72)
    - Viking SNAP-19 (1975)
    - Voyager MHW-RTG (1977)
    - Galileo GPHS-RTG (1989)
    - New Horizons GPHS-RTG (2005)

- Fission Reactor Systems
  - SNAP-10A (1 launched 1965)
  - BUK (31 launched 1970-1988)
  - TOPAZ (2 launched 1987)
  - Significant space fission technology developed via non-flight programs.
Fission Straightforward, Highly Developed for Certain Applications

• Creating a fission chain reaction is conceptually simple
  – Requires right materials in right geometry
• Good engineering needed to create safe, useful, long-life fission systems
  – 1938 Fission Discovered
  – 1939 Einstein letter to Roosevelt
  – 1942 Manhattan project initiated
  – 1942 First sustained fission chain reaction (CP-1)
  – 1943 X-10 Reactor (ORNL), 3500 kWt
  – 1944 B- Reactor (Hanford), 250,000 kWt
  – 1944-now Thousands of reactors at various power levels
Recent Interest in Fission Surface Power (FSP) to Support Moon / Mars Exploration

• Continuous Day/Night Power for Robust Surface Ops
• Same Technology for Moon and Mars
• Suitable for any Surface Location
  – Lunar Equatorial or Polar Sites
  – Permanently Shaded Craters
  – Mars Equatorial or High Latitudes
• Environmentally Robust
  – Lunar Day/Night Thermal Transients
  – Mars Dust Storms
• Operationally Robust
  – Multiple-Failure Tolerant
  – Long Life without Maintenance
• Highly Flexible Configurations
  – Excavation Shield Permits Near-Habitat Siting
  – Option for Above-Grade System or Mobile System (with shield mass penalty)
  – Option for Remote Siting (with high voltage transmission)
  – Option for Process Heat Source (for ISRU or habitat)
Recent Interest in Fission Surface Power (FSP) to Support Moon / Mars Exploration

• Safe During All Mission Phases
  – Launched Cold, No Radiation Until Startup
  – Safe during Operation with Excavation or Landed Shield
  – Safe after Shutdown with Negligible Residual Radiation

• Scalable to Higher Power Levels (kWs to MWs)

• Performance Advantages Compared to PV/RFC
  – Significant Mass & Volume Savings for Moon
  – Significant Mass & Deployed Area Savings for Mars

• Competitive Cost with PV/RFC
  – Detailed, 12-month “Affordable” Fission Surface Power System Cost Study Performed by NASA & DOE
  – LAT2 FSP and PV/RFC Options had Similar Overall Cost
  – Modest Unit Cost Enables Multiple Units and/or Multiple Sites

• Technology Primed for Development
  – Terrestrial Reactor Design Basis
  – No Material Breakthroughs Required
  – Lineage to RPS Systems (e.g. Stirling) and ISS (e.g. Radiators, Electrical Power Distribution)
• Hydrogen from propellant tank (not shown) directly heated by reactor and expanded through nozzle to provide thrust.

• ~850 second Isp demonstrated in ground tests at high thrust/weight.

• Potential for > 900 s Isp with advanced fuel forms and cycles.

• Potential Applications
  Rapid robotic exploration missions throughout solar system
  Piloted missions to moon or Mars
Near-term NTP enhances or enables missions throughout the inner solar system.

NTP could enhance or enable the ability to reach new destinations

NTP could enable a robust, flexible, and affordable exploration program

As envisioned, NTP reduces required launch mass, reduces trip time, and increases mission opportunity. Over time, NTP could reduce exploration costs
### NTP History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project/Development Area</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Various projects were initiated in the 1950s, focusing on fundamental feasibility and early reactor concepts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projects like KIWI and Phoebus were developed, focusing on reactor design and performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970s</td>
<td></td>
<td>The NERVA program began, characterized by extensive ground testing and thermal transient studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980s</td>
<td></td>
<td>The SEI project was initiated, focusing on high-performance reactor concepts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Major advancements in fuel fabrication and thermal management were achieved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continued focus on reactor design and development for space missions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project Formulation
- **Rover** 1960
- **Phoebus** 1960
- **N.Furnace** 1960
- **NERVA** 1960
- **CERMET/GE-710** 1960

### Russian / CIS Development
- **NERVA** 1961
- **CERMET/GE-710** 1961

### US Contracts
- **RIFT** 1967
- **NERVA** 1967
- **Phoebus 2** 1967
- **Particle In-Pile Experiment** 1977
- **SEI** 1989

### System Studies
- **Reusable Mars Transfer Vehicle using Single 75 klbf Engine** 1969
- **Flight test system formulation** 1975
- **Flight program formulation for SEI** 1985
- **Particle-bed reactor** 1995

### Research & Technology Development
- **Fundamental feasibility** 1955
- **Engine burn time** 1961
- **Start-up & shut-down cycles** 1962
- **Thermal transients** 1963
- **Ground testing** 1964

- **Characterized performance for human lunar and Mars applications** 1965
- **CERMET fuel fabrication and fundamental feasibility** 1966

- **Carbide fuel development** 1967
- **Assessments** 1968

- **Flight test system formulation** 1969
- **Flight program formulation for SEI** 1970
- **Trade space definition for human Mars missions** 1971
- **Human missions to outer planets, asteroids, and early Mars vicinity** 1972

- **Systems studies for human Mars mission applications** 1973
- **Ongoing facility studies, NERVA fuel & PEWEE design recovery** 1974

**Phoebus 1969** 5,140 MW 55,400 lbf

**Phoebus 2 1967** 5,000 MW 250,000 lbf
Rover/NERVA Engine Comparison

Evolution of Rover Reactors

Kiwi A
1958-1960
100 MW
0 lbf Thrust

Kiwi B
1961-1964
1,000 MW
50,000 lbf Thrust

Phoebus 1
1965-1966
1,000 & 1,500 MW
50,000 lbf Thrust

Phoebus 2
1967
5,000 MW
250,000 lbf Thrust

XE-Prime
1969
1,140 MW
55,400 lbf Thrust

NERVA engines based largely on the Kiwi B reactor design.

Culmination of NERVA Program
**Phoebus-2A**

- Tested 1968
- 5 GW Reactor Core (tested at 4.2 GW)
- 805 seconds Isp space Equiv.
- 250,000 lbf Thrust
XE’ Engine
- Tested 1969
- 1.1 GW Reactor Core
- 820 seconds Isp space Equiv.
- 55,000 lbf Thrust
Facilities / Development Strategies Exist for 21st Century Program

- **NTR Element Environmental Simulator (NTREES)**
  - Non-nuclear testing of potential nuclear thermal propulsion fuel elements.
  - Up to 3000 K fuel temperature.
  - Near-prototypic hydrogen flow for single channels (potential upgrade to full-element).
What has changed in the past 20 years?

- Over a thousand Kuiper Belt objects identified since 1992.  
  - Composed primarily of methane, ammonia, water.

- Small icy moons, asteroids, and comets also identified.

- Could nuclear thermal “steam” rockets change orbits of icy bodies?  
  - In theory, any vapor can be used for NTP propellant  
  - No chemical reactions required  
  - Improved NTP materials will improve performance  
  - Gravity assists to reduce required ΔV

- Could icy bodies be propellant depots?  
  - Volatiles used directly as propellant in NTP-based transportation system

- Could icy bodies enable terraforming?
Could Ice Depots Enable Rapid Transits and Sustainable Exploration?

**Three-Burn Quick Mars Trip**
Quickest Mission w/o Becoming Hyperbolic

1000 A.U. Ellipse is Near to a Solar System Escape Trajectory
Time to Mars approx. 2.3 months

- $r_{aphelion 1} \approx 2.92$ A.U.
- $\Delta V_1$ (from LEO) = 5.01 km/s
- $\Delta V_2$ (from $S_1$ to $S_2$) = 5.75 km/s
- $\Delta V_3$ (from $S_2$ to Mars) = 20.3 km/s
- Payload: 100 mt
- IMLEO: 1763.6 mt

- $r_{aphelion 1} \approx 4.42$ A.U.
- $\Delta V_1$ (from LEO) = 5.96 km/s
- $\Delta V_2$ (from $S_1$ to $S_2$) = 4.06 km/s
- $\Delta V_3$ (from $S_2$ to Mars) = 20.3 km/s
- Payload: 100 mt
- IMLEO: 1774.6 mt

Larry Kos
MSFC/TD31
8/4/99

www.nasa.gov
Could Modern Design, Technology, and Thermal Hydraulics Enable Extremely High Temperature / High Isp Systems?

- **Solid Core Nuclear Rocket**
- **Liquid Core Nuclear Rocket**
- **Open-Cycle Gas Core Nuclear Rocket**
- **Closed-Cycle Gas Core Nuclear Rocket**
Could an Affordable Experiment be Devised to Demonstrate the Fundamental Feasibility of a High Thrust (T/W > 1) Very High Isp (> 1500 s) NTP System?

Need method for ensuring adequate thermal contact between hydrogen and liquid uranium.

Need method for containing or recapturing high temperature uranium vapor.

Could a low-temperature analog be devised?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uranium Metal Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Vapor Pressure (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2235</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2564</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2859</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3234</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3727</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4402</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

• Fission power and propulsion systems can enable exciting space exploration missions. These include bases on the moon and Mars; and the exploration, development, and utilization of the solar system.

• In the near-term, fission surface power systems could provide abundant, constant, cost-effective power anywhere on the surface of the Moon or Mars, independent of available sunlight. Affordable access to Mars, the asteroid belt, or other destinations could be provided by nuclear thermal rockets.

• In the further term, high performance fission power supplies could enable both extremely high power levels on planetary surfaces and fission electric propulsion vehicles for rapid, efficient cargo and crew transfer. Advanced fission propulsion systems could eventually allow routine access to the entire solar system. Fission systems could also enable the utilization of resources within the solar system.