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Introduction: Olivine is one of the most important minerals in Earth and planetary sciences. Fayalite Fe2+2SiO4, the ferrous end-member of olivine, is present in some terrestrial rocks and primitive meteorites (CV3 chondrites [1,2]). A ferric fayalite (or ferrifayalite), Fe2□Fe3+(SiO4)2 laihunite, has been reported in Earth samples (magnetite ore, metamorphic and volcanic rocks… [3,4]) and in Martian meteorites (nakhlites [5]). Laihunite was also synthesized at 1 atmosphere between 400 and 700°C [6]. This mineral is believed to form by oxidation reactions such as:

\[
\begin{align*}
6 \text{Fe}^{2+}2\text{SiO}_4 + 2 \text{O}_2 &= 3 \text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{(SiO}_4)_2 + \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \\
6 \text{fayalite} + 2 \text{O}_2 &= 3 \text{laihunite} + \text{magnetite}
\end{align*}
\]

or

\[
\begin{align*}
8 \text{Fe}^{2+}2\text{SiO}_4 + 3 \text{O}_2 &= 4 \text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{(SiO}_4)_2 + 2 \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \\
8 \text{fayalite} + 3 \text{O}_2 &= 4 \text{laihunite} + 2 \text{hematite}
\end{align*}
\]

It is characterized by superstructures along the c axis which accomodate the XFe3+ (=Fe3+/(Fe3++Fe2+)) ~0.66 in the mineral structure [6,7]. Until now, fayalite was believed to have no pure Fe3+ end-member. Here, we show evidence for the stability of a pure ferrifayalite end-member and for potential minerals with XFe3+ between 2/3 and 1.

Experimental and analytical methods: A synthetic fayalite sample was mixed with other components in order to get a basalt+anhydrite+calcite (BCA) composition (see [8] for details on this study). The sample was analyzed after low temperature non-equilibrated experiments in order to get information about the destabilization processes of fayalite.

Experimental. The starting BCA mixture was introduced in Au capsules which were welded at the two extremities in order to limit exchange with the surrounding assembly. A piston-cylinder was used to impose a pressure of 1 GPa and temperatures of 700°C, 800°C and 900°C for 1 h. Oxidation conditions during the experiments are estimated to be at or above FMQ. Analytical. Samples were mounted in epoxy resin, ground and polished. Phase relations were determined by scanning electron microscopy and phases were analyzed using an electron microprobe (EPMA, 1µm beam). Some fayalite grains were then selected for transmission electron microscope (TEM) analyses on sections prepared using focused ion beam (FIB) techniques.

Results: After the experimental runs, fayalite grains are still present but are clearly oxidized. Spinel structure iron oxides (magnetite or maghemite) have also formed.

Micro-analyses. The oxidized fayalite contains micrometer-sized regions that have a Fe/Si ratio below that of fayalite and contain less than 0.05wt% MgO and less than 0.1wt% MnO. Microprobe analyses of these regions fall into two compositional groups. Group 1 has a mean Fe/Si ratio around 1.6, corresponding to laihunite, while Group 2 has a mean Fe/Si ratio around 1.3. This latter composition, which does not correspond to any known mineral, is on the oxidation trend defined by fayalite and laihunite (Fig. 1).

Nano-analyses. TEM imaging and analyses of the oxidized fayalite regions show that they are heterogeneous: “pure ferrifayalite” regions (group 2 compositions) are made of a nano-scale assemblage of SiO2 and fayalite or laihunite (Fig. 2), while laihunite regions (group 1) contain nano-grains of magnetite and SiO2.
Crystal structure. Structural characterization of fayalite and laihunite was made using a Selected Area Electron Diffraction Patterns (SAED) in the TEM (Fig. 3). The structure of the “pure ferrifayalite” could not be determined, as it is systematically exsolved into SiO₂ and fayalite or laihunite (Fig. 2).

Iron oxidation state. The Fe³⁺ content was determined using Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy. Our analyses confirm the presence of Fe³⁺ in phase inferred to be laihunite and its absence in fayalite.

Discussion: Our experiments resulted in the oxidation of the fayalite starting product. But while EPMA analyses at the micrometer scale suggest oxidation products close to laihunite and pure ferrifayalite composition, TEM nano-analyses revealed more complex assemblages. We suggest that the assemblages formed in a two-stage process:

Oxidation of fayalite. This oxidation was probably produced by reaction with calcite and anhydrite. Laihunite and iron oxide formed by an oxidation reaction such as (1). From EPMA micro-analyses, fayalite oxidation also lead to stoichiometric ferrifayalite. The fact that EPMA analyses plot close to the composition expected for these end-members is strong evidence, since such compositions could not be produced by mixtures of the starting fayalite or/and the produced laihunite with Fe-oxydes, or with silica (Fig. 1). Our experiments therefore suggest a possible solid solution between fayalite and its Fe³⁺ equivalent, with cation ordering on the M-sites creating at least one intermediate member, laihunite. The stability conditions and structure of the pure ferric fayalite remain, however, to be determined.

Exsolution-reduction. We suggest that the nanometric “inclusions” and “lamellar” textures observed in the “pure ferrifayalite” regions result from exsolution processes. Following our observations in the 900 and 800°C samples, the “pure ferrifayalite” regions (group 2) were reduced following, respectively, the reactions:

\[ 3 \Box_2 \text{Fe}^{3+}_2(\text{SiO}_4)_3 = 4 \text{Fe}^{2+}_4(\text{SiO}_4)_2 + \text{SiO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]  
and  
\[ \Box_2 \text{Fe}^{3+}_2(\text{SiO}_4)_3 = 2 \text{Fe}^{2+}_3\text{SiO}_4 + \text{SiO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]

The laihunite regions (group 1) were destabilized following the reaction:

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+}_2\Box_2(\text{SiO}_4)_2 = \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4 + 2 \text{SiO}_2 \]

The causes of these breakdown reactions are not known. They could be due to the quenching of temperature at the end of the experiments, or to equilibration processes during the experiments. These destabilisations might explain why highly oxidized fayalite (XFe³⁺>2/3) has never been observed in nature so far.