Monitoring Delamination of Thermal Barrier Coatings During Interrupted High-Heat-Flux Laser Testing Using Luminescence Imaging

This presentation showed progress made in extending luminescence-base delamination monitoring to TBCs exposed to high heat fluxes, which is an environment that much better simulates actual turbine engine conditions. This was done by performing upconversion luminescence imaging during interruptions in laser testing, where a high-power CO2 laser was employed to create the desired heat flux. Upconversion luminescence refers to luminescence where the emission is at a higher energy (shorter wavelength) than the excitation. Since there will be negligible background emission at higher energies than the excitation, this method produces superb contrast. Delamination contrast is produced because both the excitation and emission wavelengths are reflected at delamination cracks so that substantially higher luminescence intensity is observed in regions containing delamination cracks. Erbium was selected as the dopant for luminescence specifically because it exhibits upconversion luminescence. The high power CO2 10.6 micron wavelength laser facility at NASA GRC was used to produce the heat flux in combination with forced air backside cooling. Testing was performed at a lower (95 W/cm²) and higher (125 W/cm²) heat flux as well as furnace cycling at 1163°C for comparison. The lower heat flux showed the same general behavior as furnace cycling, a gradual, “spotty” increase in luminescence associated with debond progression; however, a significant difference was a pronounced incubation period followed by acceleration delamination progression. These results indicate that extrapolating behavior from furnace cycling measurements will grossly overestimate remaining life under high heat flux conditions. The higher heat flux results were not only accelerated, but much different in character. Extreme bond coat rumpling occurred, and delamination propagation extended over much larger areas before precipitating macroscopic TBC failure. This indicates that under the higher heat flux (and surface & interface temperatures), the TBC was more tolerant of damage. The main conclusions were that high heat flux conditions can not only accelerate TBC debond progression but can also grossly alter the pathway of delamination.
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Objective

• Extend luminescence-based delamination monitoring to TBCs subjected to high heat flux.
  – Previous delamination monitoring by upconversion luminescence imaging limited to furnace cycling.
  – Furnace cycling does not adequately simulate engine conditions.
  – Thermal gradients present in high-heat-flux engine environment contribute additional driving forces for TBC delamination and may alter delamination progression pathway.
  – Valid diagnostics for predicting TBC remaining life must be based on measurements of TBCs exposed to engine-like high-heat-flux conditions.

Approach

• Perform upconversion luminescence imaging during interrupted high-heat-flux laser testing.
TBC Temperature Profile Progression
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Aging produces evolving thermal profile.
Detecting TBC Delamination by Reflectance-Enhanced Upconversion Luminescence

562 nm Er$^{3+}$ emission (high intensity)

980 nm illumination

562 nm Er$^{3+}$ emission (low intensity)

• Two-photon excitation of Er$^{3+}$ produces upconversion luminescence at 562 nm with near-zero background for strong delamination contrast.
• Yb$^{3+}$ absorbs 980 nm excitation and excites luminescence in Er$^{3+}$ by energy transfer.
• Delamination contrast achieved because of increased reflection of excitation & emission at TBC/crack interface.
Upconversion Luminescence Imaging
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High Heat-Flux Laser Testing

- High power CO₂ laser high-heat-flux rig

\[ q_{\text{thru}} = q_{\text{delivered}} - q_{\text{reflected}} - q_{\text{radiated}} \]

\[ k_{TBC}(t) = q_{\text{thru}} \cdot I_{TBC} / \Delta T_{TBC}(t) \]

- CO₂ laser heating
High-Heat-Flux Laser Testing Conditions

**Furnace Cycling**
- \( q = 0 \text{ W/cm}^2 \)
- \( T_{\text{surface}} \approx 1163^\circ\text{C} \)
- \( T_{\text{interface}} \approx 1163^\circ\text{C} \)
- \( \Delta T \approx 0^\circ\text{C} \)

**Heat Flux Test #1**
- \( q = 95 \text{ W/cm}^2 \)
- \( T_{\text{surface}} \approx 1290^\circ\text{C} \)
- \( T_{\text{interface}} \approx 1140^\circ\text{C} \)
- \( \Delta T \approx 150^\circ\text{C} \)

**Heat Flux Test #2**
- \( q = 125 \text{ W/cm}^2 \)
- \( T_{\text{surface}} \approx 1345^\circ\text{C} \)
- \( T_{\text{interface}} \approx 1175^\circ\text{C} \)
- \( \Delta T \approx 170^\circ\text{C} \)

YSZ:Er(1%),Yb(3%)

1 cycle = 60 min laser on + 3 min laser off
Upconversion Luminescence Images During Interrupted Laser Cycling Heat Flux Test #1, q = 95 W/cm²

1 laser furnace cycle = 60 min laser on + 3 min laser off

3.25 sec acquisition
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Comparison of Upconversion Luminescence Intensity During Interrupted Furnace vs. Laser Cycling

First indication of early stage of TBC delamination
Comparison of Upconversion Luminescence Intensity During Interrupted Furnace vs. Laser Cycling

specimen #1 fails at 380 furnace cycles
specimen #2 fails at 400 furnace cycles
specimen #3 fails at 335 laser cycles (lower q)

Laser cycling exhibits an initial incubation stage followed by accelerated delamination progression.
Temperature Sequence During High-Heat Flux Laser Testing

$q_{thru} = 125 \text{ W/cm}^2$

![Graph showing temperature sequence during high-heat flux laser testing. The graph includes temperature readings at different cycles, highlighting spallation and buckling phases.]
Upconversion Luminescence Images During Interrupted Laser Cycling Heat Flux Test #2, $q = 125 \text{ W/cm}^2$

1 laser furnace cycle = 60 min laser on + 3 min laser off

Localized Sub-millimeter Delamination Observed

TBC surface undulations*

Not observed for furnace cycled or lower heat flux test!

* Tolpygo & Clarke
Comparison of Upconversion Luminescence Intensity During Interrupted Furnace vs. Laser Cycling

Out-of-family, accommodates greater damage before TBC failure.
TBC Failure Occurs When Delamination Driving Force Exceeds Delamination Resistance

- Differential elastic expansion/contraction.
- Differential sintering shrinkage.
- Large transient thermal stresses at start of heating/cooling.
- Interface temperature increases as TBC thermal conductivity decreases.
- $\Delta T$ across crack produces energy release.
Summary

- Upconversion luminescence imaging successfully monitors delamination progression for TBCs exposed to high heat flux conditions.
- High-heat-flux conditions produce TBC debond progression that accelerates (relative to isothermal conditions).
- High-heat-flux conditions change path of TBC debond propagation (allowing bond coat rumpling).
- Diagnostic life prediction based on damage evolution occurring during isothermal exposures will grossly overestimate TBC remaining life under high heat flux conditions (even with same starting interface temperature).
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