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Several methods for analyzing the particle spectra from extremely large solar proton events, called Ground-Level Enhancements (GLEs), have been developed and utilized by the scientific community to describe the solar proton energy spectra and have been further applied to ascertain the radiation exposures to humans and radio-sensitive systems, namely electronics. In this paper 12 GLEs dating back to 1956 are discussed, and the three methods for describing the solar proton energy spectra are reviewed. The three spectral fitting methodologies are EXP [an exponential in proton rigidity (R)], WEIB [Weibull fit: an exponential in proton energy], and the Band function (BAND) [a double power law in proton rigidity]. The EXP and WEIB methods use low energy (MeV) GLE solar proton data and make extrapolations out to ~1 GeV. On the other hand, the BAND method utilizes low- and medium-energy satellite solar proton data combined with high-energy solar proton data deduced from high-latitude neutron monitoring stations. Thus, the BAND method completely describes the entire proton energy spectrum based on actual solar proton observations out to ~10 GeV. Using the differential spectra produced from each of the 12 selected GLEs for each of the three methods, radiation exposures are presented and discussed in detail. These radiation exposures are then compared with the current 30-day and annual crew exposure limits and the radiation effects to electronics.

Nomenclature

cSV = centi Sievert  
GLE = Ground Level Enhancement  
GOES = Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite  
HEPAD = High Energy Proton and Alpha Detector  
MEPAD = Medium Energy Proton and Alpha Detector  
SPE = Solar Proton Event
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I. Introduction

Solar protons events (SPEs) represent the single-most significant source of acute radiation exposure to humans and space systems during lunar and deep-space missions. Occasionally, extremely large SPEs occur, called Ground Level Enhancements (GLEs), that contain protons having energies extending into the GeV range. Early in the “Space Age era,” particle detectors on satellites had limited energy resolution capability. Malitson and Webber suggested an exponential in proton rigidity fit as a means of describing the energy spectrum of an SPE based on two data points: integral fluence $\Phi > 30$ MeV and $> 100$ MeV (protons/cm$^2$). Beyond 100 MeV the particle energy spectrum was extrapolated out to several GeV. This methodology was used by the scientific community for several decades. Later, an energy spectrum fitting method, called a Weibull fit, was introduced by Xapsos, et al. Most recently, Tylka and Dietrich and Atwell, et al. applied a Band function fit, which is a double power law in proton rigidity. Their method is based on actual proton data observed from medium (10-100 MeV) and high (several hundred MeV) proton energies (GOES MEPAD and HEPAD and other satellites) and from cascade secondary neutrons produced during nuclear collisions of the incoming solar protons with the Earth’s atmosphere (>$1$ GV). These neutrons are measured at the high latitudes by a network of neutron monitoring stations. These authors have successfully applied the Band function fitting method to ~70 GLEs dating back to 1956. Since this method completely describes the entire proton energy spectrum for an event, this is the method that is strongly suggested that the scientific community use for future GLE SPE assessment and analysis.

In this paper we have selected 12 GLEs from the original ~70 GLEs and present the integral and differential proton energy spectra ranging from 10 MeV to 5 GeV. In addition, we have taken the differential spectra and computed “skin” and “Blood-Forming Organ (BFO)” radiation exposures using the NASA Langley Research Center HZETRN 2010 high energy particle transport/dose code. These skin and BFO exposures for the 12 GLEs are compared with the current NASA 30-day and annual crew exposure limits.

II. The Three Fitting Methods

A. The Exponential in Proton Rigidity Method (EXP)

The exponential in particle rigidity fitting method of Malitson and Webber is based on two proton integral data points: $>30$ MeV and $>100$ MeV. The mathematical expression for the integral energy spectrum is:

$$\Phi (>E) = N_o * \exp (-R/R_o)$$

where $\Phi (>E)$ is the integral energy fluence in protons/cm$^2$, $N_o$ is a normalization constant, $R$ is the proton rigidity (proton momentum) in MV (million volts), and $R_o$ is the characteristic rigidity in MV. The proton rigidity $R$ is related to the proton energy (MeV) by:

$$R (MV) = \sqrt{(E^2 - 2*m_o*E)}$$

where $E$ is the proton energy (MeV) and $m_o$ is the rest mass of the proton (938 MeV).

B. The Weibull Function Fitting Method (WEB)

Xapsos, et al. applied a Weibull function to several SPEs that has the mathematical integral form:

$$\Phi = \Phi_o * \exp (-k*E^\alpha)$$

where $\Phi$ can be either the proton fluence or proton flux having energy that exceeds a threshold energy $E$ (MeV). The constants $\Phi_o$, $k$, and $\alpha$ are determined by a nonlinear regression fit to the energy spectrum of interest. The differential energy spectrum is easily found by differentiating with respect to $E$.

$$\partial\Phi/\partial E = \Phi_o*k* \alpha*E^{\alpha-1} \exp (-k*E^\alpha)$$

They found that the 3 fitting parameters can easily be extracted from either of the above two equations using a standard Marquardt–Levenberg nonlinear regression routine. For several SPEs analyzed by these authors, they show the following Weibull parameters:
In two other papers, Kim, et al.\textsuperscript{9,10} analyzed a number of SPEs some of which were GLEs using a Weibull distribution of the form

\[ \Phi(>E) = P_o \exp(-aE^b) \]

We compared the results of two of the events in Table 1 above (29 Sept. 1989 and 19 Oct. 1989) with those given in ref. 9, Table 4, which is shown below:

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
SPE & \( \Phi(>30 \text{ MeV}) \) & \( P_o \) & \( a \) & \( b \) \\
\hline
9/29/1989 & \( 1.35 \times 10^9 \) & \( 1.90 \times 10^{10} \) & 0.5493 & 0.4643 \\
10/19/1989 & \( 4.23 \times 10^9 \) & \( 1.91 \times 10^{12} \) & 2.5677 & 0.256 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Weibull Fitting Parameters for Several SPEs\textsuperscript{9}}
\end{table}

It is also noted that Kim, et al.\textsuperscript{9} fitted the SPEs out to a maximum energy value of 1000 MeV (1 GeV).

\section{The Band Function Fitting Method (BAND)}

The fitting method we suggest is that of Tylka and Dietrich\textsuperscript{3} and Atwell, et al.\textsuperscript{4} where \( \sim70 \) GLEs dating back to 1956 were fitted using a Band function\textsuperscript{5}, (thoroughly discussed in the above two references) which is a double power law in proton rigidity. This method fits \textit{actual} solar proton data over the \textit{entire} SPE energy spectrum ranging from 10 MeV to 5 GeV.

\section{12 Ground Level Enhancements (GLEs)}

The 12 GLEs utilized for analysis in this paper are:

- 1956 February 23
- 1960 November 12
- 1960 November 15
- 1961 July 18
- 1968 November 18
- 1971 January 24
- 1989 September 29
- 1989 October 19
- 1997 November 6
- 2000 July 14
- 2001 November 4
- 2003 November 2

These 12 events were selected, since they were analyzed using a Weibull function by Kim, et al.\textsuperscript{9} and provided a means of comparison with the Band function. The following plots show a comparison of the three fitting methods, Band, exponential and Weibull, for both the integral and differential fitted spectra for the 12 GLEs. Note that we also show a comparison of the combined 1960 November 12 and 1960 November 15 GLEs.

In every case we find that the exponential in proton rigidity fitting method grossly under-estimates both the Band and Weibull fitting methods.
Comparing the Band method with the Weibull method, we find considerable variation using the Weibull fitting method, which we find rather puzzling. The only reasonable agreement was for the 1956 February 23 GLE, and this may just be a fortuitous fluke. Figures 1. (a) – (m) compare the integral and differential spectra for the GLEs.

Figure 1 (a) 1956 Feb 23 GLE

Figure 1 (b) 1960 Nov 12 GLE
Figure 1 (c) 1960 Nov 15 GLE
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Figure 1 (d) 1960 Nov 12-15
Figure 1 (e) 1961 July 18

Figure 1 (f) 1968 Nov 18
IV. Radiation Exposure Calculations

We now present radiation exposure calculations for the 12 GLEs plus the exposures for the combined 12 & 15 November 1960 events. Using the NASA LaRC HZETRN 2010 code and the differential spectra for the dozen plus
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GLEs, the skin and BFO exposures were computed and compared with the 30-day and annual NASA crew exposure limits. These are shown in Figures 2. (a) – (m). The green dash line indicates the amount of aluminum shielding required to not exceed the BFO 30-day limit for some of the events.

![Figure 2 (b) 1960 Nov 12 GLE](image)
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1960 Nov 12-15 GLEs - Skin & BFO Exposures
Band, Exponential & Weibull Spectra
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Figure 2 (d) 1960 Nov 12-15

1961 July 18 GLE - Skin & BFO Exposures
Band, Exponential & Weibull Spectra
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Figure 2 (e) 1961 July 18
1968 Nov 18 GLE - Skin & BFO Exposures
Band, Exponential & Weibull Spectra

1971 Jan 24 GLE - Skin & BFO Exposures
Band, Exponential & Weibull Spectra
Figure 2 (j) 1997 Nov 6

1997 Nov 6 - Skin & BFO Exposures
Band, Exponential & Weibull Spectra

Figure 2 (k) 2000 July 14

2000 July 14 GLE & ESP - Skin & BFO Exposures
Band, Exponential & Weibull Spectra
V. Conclusions

A number of conclusions can be made from the previous assessments for the 12 GLEs selected for this study:

1. The 12 GLEs selected for this study were made since it provided a means of comparison with the Band and Weibull fitting methods.

2. Three spectral fitting methods, Band, exponential and Weibull, were compared; in all 12 cases the exponential in proton rigidity fitting method grossly under-estimated the other two methods and consequently grossly under-estimated the dose equivalent.

3. The Band method completely describes the proton spectrum over the entire energy range from 10 MeV to 5 GeV.

4. Only one case, 1956 February 23, showed a reasonable comparison between the Band and Weibull spectral fitting methods; for the other 11 cases the Weibull method varied considerably when compared with the Band method.

5. Skin and BFO dose equivalent values for the 12 GLEs were computed using the NASA Langley Research Center high energy particle transport/dose code; the values were compared with the NASA crew exposure limits for 30-days and an annual limit.

6. Of the 12 GLEs analyzed, four GLEs (1960 November 12, 1968 November 18, 1971 January 24, and 1997 November 6) produced an order of magnitude or higher proton fluences, when using the Weibull method:
   a. For the 1960 November 12 event, the Weibull method produced ~a factor of 10 higher proton fluence for energies <100 MeV when compared with the Band method.
   b. For the 1968 November 18 event, the Weibull method produced ~a factor of 10 higher proton fluence for energies <400 MeV when compared with the Band method.
   c. For the 1971 January 24 event, the Weibull method produced ~a factor of 10 higher proton fluence for energies <200 MeV when compared with the Band method.
   d. For the 1997 November 6 event, the Weibull method produced ~a factor of 10 higher proton fluence for energies <1000 MeV (1 GeV) when compared with the Band method.

7. Seven of the 12 GLEs required additional aluminum shielding ranging from 2.5-22.0 g/cm² aluminum to stay at or below the 30-day BFO crew exposure limit as shown in Figs. 2 (a), 2 (b), 2 (d), 2 (h), 2 (i), 2 (k), and 2 (l).

8. We strongly recommend that the Band function spectral fitting method be utilized by the scientific community for analyzing any future GLEs.

References