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Summary

This presentation is a summary of the core-noise implications of NASA's primary N+3 aircraft concepts. These concepts are the MIT/P&W D8.5 Double Bubble design, the Boeing/GE SUGAR Volt hybrid gas-turbine/electric engine concept, the NASA N3-X Turboelectric Distributed Propulsion aircraft, and the NASA TBW-XN Truss-Braced Wing concept. The first two are future concepts for the Boeing 737/Airbus A320 US transcontinental mission of 180 passengers and a maximum range of 3000 nm. The last two are future concepts for the Boeing 777 transpacific mission of 350 passengers and a 7500 nm range. Sections of the presentation cover: turbofan design trends on the N+1.5 time frame and the already emerging importance of core noise; the NASA N+3 concepts and associated core-noise challenges; the historical trends for the engine bypass ratio (BPR), overall pressure ratio (OPR), and combustor exit temperature; and brief discussion of a noise-research roadmap being developed to address the core-noise challenges identified for the N+3 concepts. The N+3 conceptual aircraft have (i) ultra-high bypass ratios, in the range of 18 – 30, accomplished by either having a small-size, high-power-density core, an hybrid design which allows for an increased fan size, or by utilizing a turboelectric distributed-propulsion design; and (ii) very high OPR in the 50 – 70 range. These trends will elevate the overall importance of turbomachinery core noise. The N+3 conceptual designs specify the need for the development and application of advanced liners and passive and active control strategies to reduce the core noise. Current engineering prediction of core noise uses semi-empirical methods based on older turbofan engines, with (at best) updates for more recent designs. The models have not seen the same level of development and maturity as those for fan and jet noise and are grossly inadequate for the designs considered for the N+3 time frame. An aggressive program for the development of updated noise prediction tools for integrated core assemblies as well as and strategies for noise reduction and control is needed in order to meet the NASA N+3 noise goals.

The NASA Fundamental Aeronautics Program has the principal objective of overcoming today's national challenges in air transportation. The SFW Reduced-Perceived-Noise Technical Challenge aims to develop concepts and technologies to dramatically reduce the perceived aircraft noise outside of airport boundaries. This reduction of aircraft noise is critical to enabling the anticipated large increase in future air traffic.
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Current Trends (N+1.5)

- Overall cycle changes:

  BPR  FPR  N1

  Non-core propulsion noise components will be reduced at all power levels

- High-power-density, low-emission cores:

  OPR  T4

  Core-noise components will be increased at all power levels
NASA N+3 Aircraft Concepts

- Advanced Tube and Wing
  - MIT Double Bubble D8.5
  - Boeing/GE SUGAR Volt
  - Northrop Grumman SELECT
- NASA Truss-Braced Wing
- Evolution of Hybrid Wing Body
  - MIT HWB
  - Boeing/GE SUGAR Ray
  - NASA Turbo Electric

Emerging core designs appear game changing
MIT/P&W Double Bubble D8.5

- Natural progression for B737/A320 mission
  - three rear-mounted, UHB, geared turbofans – BPR = 20
  - small high-power-density cores – OPR = 50
  - advanced lean direct injection (LDI) combustor
  - multi-segment rearward acoustic liners

Noise from high-power-density, low-emissions core ignored!
MIT D8 Noise Assessment

- D8 shielding & treatment
- UHB reduces fan & jet
- Observer further away

- D8.1: CFM56 class
  - 12,000 lbf/engine
- D8.5: UHB geared
  - 8,500 lbf/engine

-60 EPNdB reduction relative to Stage 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPNdB</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>D8.1 Config</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>UHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Approach - step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Approach - threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Fan Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Faired Undercarriage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MIT D8 Series Challenges

NRA Conclusions:

- Small-core-size engine technology
- Boundary-layer-ingesting (BLI) propulsion
- Propulsion-airframe integration/exhaust system

- \[ BPR = \frac{w_F}{w_c} \]
  - BPR ↑ by \( w_F \) ↑
  - BPR ↑ by \( w_c \) ↓
- \( w_c \) ↓ means radial size ↓ possible
- Traditionally, high-power-density implied reduced axial size
BPR Historical Trend (MIT)

Technology change: from direct drive turbofan to geared turbofan

Technology change: high BPR turbofans

Historical Data Source:
OPR Historical Trend (MIT)

Sources:
$T_{41}$ Historical Trend (MIT)

MIT/P&W D8.5 Core-Noise Issues

- Small-core-size engine technology challenge
  - unknown impact on noise from solutions
- Combustor
  - high OPR noise increase
  - advanced LDI combustor
- Turbine/Compressor
  - axial-radial design implications on noise
    - well outside of empirical data base (small size/high power density)
  - reduced axial length means less real estate for acoustic liners
- Moderate $T_{41}$
  - improves prospect for using advanced acoustic liners

From: MIT Team NASA FAP Technical Conference Presentation 2011-03-15
Boeing/GE SUGAR Volt-hFan

- B737/A320 mission
  - two UHB, hybrid gas-turbine/electric engines – BPR = 18
  - high-power-density cores – OPR = 59, with advanced combustor
  - strut-braced, low-weight high wing
  - advanced passive core-nozzle acoustic treatment
  - aggressive active noise suppression in combustor

Lack of information about noise analysis and goal not met!
SUGAR Volt Characteristics

- Laminar-flow maximized
- Larger fan by addition of electric motor
- Removable batteries
  - added battery weight depends on mission
- Noise reduction of -22 EPNdB
  - relative to SUGAR Free
  - SUGAR High based
  - electric-drive effects ignored (pros/cons)

SUGAR Volt is a derivative of SUGAR High Concept
**Boeing/GE SUGAR Acoustic Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>SUGAR Free</th>
<th>Refined SUGAR</th>
<th>Super Refined SUGAR</th>
<th>SUGAR High</th>
<th>SUGAR Volt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propulsion</td>
<td>CFM56</td>
<td>gFan</td>
<td>gFan+</td>
<td>gFan+</td>
<td>hFan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta)EPNL (dB)</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td><strong>Potentially lower than gFan+</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*reference case – proprietary value (B737NG Certification: -8 dB)

**ENGINE CORE ACOUSTIC TECH.**

- adv. passive noise suppression
  - acoustic treatments
  - blade and OGV optimization
- adv. active noise suppression
  - low-noise combustor
  - flow control

- Ultra-high PR core compressor
- Advanced electric motor & gearbox
- VAN
- Highly-loaded LPT
- Advanced combustor
- GT/Electric Hybrid GE hFan
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GE hFan Core-Noise Issues

- Turbine noise likely increased
  - highly loaded LPT blades and reduced stage spacing increases tone-noise source strength and complexity
  - reduced stage solidity reduces turbine-tone attenuation

- Combustor noise likely increased
  - advanced combustor design (if not done right)
  - high OPR

- Hybrid electric-drive effects unchartered
  - electric motor likely quieter than combustor
  - GT off-design issues?

- Detailed noise study yet to be carried out for SUGAR Volt
NASA N3-X Distributed Turboelectric

- Distributed-propulsion concept for B777 mission
  - 15 superconducting motor-driven fans in continuous nacelle
    - higher propulsive efficiency through spanwise BLI and wake fill-in
  - two wing-tip mounted superconducting turbogenerators
    - may give performance benefit through tip-vortex interference
    - two large cores more thermally efficient than many small cores

Detailed noise analysis yet to be carried out
NASA N3-X Engine Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions &amp; thrust requirements</th>
<th>SLS</th>
<th>RTO</th>
<th>TOC*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SL/MN0.00/ISA</td>
<td>90,000 lbf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SL/MN0.25/ISA+27</td>
<td>65,000 lbf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30kft/MN0.84/ISA</td>
<td>27,750 lbf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BPR:</th>
<th>SLS (BPR)</th>
<th>RTO (BPR)</th>
<th>TOC (BPR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31@RTO</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27@ADP</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPR:</th>
<th>SLS (FPR)</th>
<th>RTO (FPR)</th>
<th>TOC (FPR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.3@ADP</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 x 42.7”</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V^{amb} (fps)</th>
<th>SLS</th>
<th>RTO</th>
<th>TOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>836</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrust (lbf)</td>
<td>124,100</td>
<td>67,760</td>
<td>27,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V^{fn} (fps)</th>
<th>SLS</th>
<th>RTO</th>
<th>TOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>653</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPR</th>
<th>SLS</th>
<th>RTO</th>
<th>TOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T_4 (R)</th>
<th>SLS</th>
<th>RTO</th>
<th>TOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3460</td>
<td>3412</td>
<td>3260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V^{cn} (fps)</th>
<th>SLS</th>
<th>RTO</th>
<th>TOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1191</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
N3-X TeDP Core-Noise Issues

- No shielding possible because of wing tip location
- Compressor inlet tone noise
  - high-OPR design consequences – likely outside of experience base
  - good potential for liner treatment with forward mounted generator
- Combustor noise – due to very high OPR
- Turbine noise
  - high $T_4$ makes acoustic treatment more of a challenge
  - electric gear box to distributed-propulsion allows high shaft speed
- Core jet noise
  - exhaust velocity $> 1000$ fps @ RTO
- Noise study yet to be carried out
NASA TBW-XN Truss-Braced Wing

- B777 mission
  - GE90-like engines assumed for initial design study
  - optimal wing & truss architecture for high L/D
  - large-aspect-ratio, thin and light wing with maximum laminar flow
  - Goldschmeid propulsor device – thrust vectoring and no tail
    - distorted inflow and jet noise issues

Enough details about propulsion system not yet available
**Observations**

- **NASA N+3 Concepts Summary**
  - UHB (18 – 30) in three different ways
    - small-size, low-flow-rate, high-power-density core
    - hybrid gas turbine/electric – electric motor allows for larger fan
    - turboelectric distributed propulsion
  - increased OPR (50 – 70)
  - moderate $T_4$ in some concepts – more real estate for liners

- All imply need for advanced core-noise reduction methods
  - advanced liners
  - passive and active core-noise control
Current Status and Future Goals

- Current engineering prediction of core noise uses semi-empirical methods based on older turbofan engines, with (at best) updates for more recent designs
  - the models have not seen the same level of development and maturity as those for fan and jet noise and will be inadequate for the game-changing designs considered for the N+3 time frame

- Ultimately the goal is to develop design tools that allow for the routine co-design of high-efficiency, low-emission combustors with the compressor and turbine assembly – in near term:
  - develop high-fidelity computational tools and reduced-order models for coupled combustor-turbine assemblies
  - obtain benchmark data for validation from rigs and real engines

- Initiate work on treatment and control strategies
Roadmap

- Currently under development and internal NASA discussion
- Being designed to account for emerging N+3 concepts
  - current engineering prediction tool modules not up to task
  - high-fidelity simulations needed to understand potential new physics
  - benchmark experiments needed to validate both simulations and reduced-order models
  - ultimately, real-engine tests will be needed
  - acoustic treatment and control strategies
- Work is envisioned to be carried out by multiple NASA organizations and potential external partners
- Again, the following material is preliminary
Noise Prediction & Modeling Approach

Develop Tools Allowing Routine Direct Design of N+3 Integrated Core Assemblies

High-Fidelity Simulation of Integrated Core Components

High-Fidelity Combustor Simulation Using Compressible & Reactive-Flow LES

Include Effects of Rig Tailpipe or Combustor-Turbine Interface

High-Fidelity Turbine Simulation Using:
1) LES Methods for Pressure & Entropy Interaction with Turbine Stages
2) URANS for multi-stage tone noise

Consider Combustor, HPT, LPT and Tailpipe as Loosely Coupled

Develop Integrated-Combustor-Turbine Models Suitable for Incorporation into Engineering Noise Prediction Tools (Multiple Fidelity)

Obtain High-Quality Data for Validation and Support of Modeling Efforts

Increasing Complexity – Canonical – Rigs @ Near-Engine Conditions – Real Engines

roadmap under development
Noise Reduction Approach

Develop Effective Strategies and Technologies for N+3 Integrated-Core-Assembly Passive Noise Reduction

- Fan-noise acoustic-liner technologies
- Emerging high-temperature materials
- Emerging strategies for broadband suppression

Assess and Develop Strategies

Leverage

Test and develop promising technologies

Test in GFIT, or similar facilities

Down select

Rig-test @ near-engine conditions

Down select

Test under realistic engine conditions in rigs and real engines

Multiple organizations at GRC, LaRC, and potential external partners

Product

N+3 treatment technologies

roadmap under development
Noise Reduction Approach

Develop Novel Concepts for Passive and Active N+3 Core-Noise Control

Nascent research areas

- Passive combustion-instability control
- Active combustion-instability control
- Active suppression of multi-tonal and broadband turbine noise

Leverage

Assess and Develop Strategies

Test and develop promising technologies

Canonical experiments

Adapt for engine control systems

Down select

Rig-test @ near-engine conditions

Down select

Multiple organizations at NASA and potential external partners

Test under realistic engine conditions in rigs and real engines; ultimately tied into real control system

Product

N+3 passive/active control technologies

roadmap under development
Extra Slides
High-Power-Density, Low-Emission, Small-Core Combustor-Noise Issues

- N+1.5 BPR & OPR trend continued
- N+1.5 $T_4$ trend reversed
- CMC & less cooling
- Smaller radius

- Higher OPR increases noise
- Lower $T_4$: more acoustic liners
- Low-emission design may increase noise
- Implications for turbine attenuation unknown
NASA N3-X TeDP HWB Concept Lineage

NASA N+2 N2A

NASA N+3 CESTOL

CAMBRIDGE-MIT SAX-40

N3-X
# Engine Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CFM56-7B</th>
<th>D8.5 UHB</th>
<th>GE hFan</th>
<th>N3-X</th>
<th>GE90-115B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of engines</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15/2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLS Thrust (lbf)</td>
<td>26,300</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>18,800  (GT)</td>
<td>124,100 (total)</td>
<td>115,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan diameter (in)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>15 x 42.7</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPR</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPR</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{41} (R)$</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>2,880</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>&lt; 3,460</td>
<td>3,215*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustor</td>
<td>SAC/DAC</td>
<td>LDI</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>N+3</td>
<td>DAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Non-proprietary NPSS result*
Noise Prediction & Modeling Approach

- Integrated Combustor-Turbine Assembly
  - High-Fidelity Combustor Simulation – *direct computation of combustor noise using compressible and reactive-flow LES methods; include effects of combustor-turbine interface or rig tailpipe; obtain benchmark data for validation and additional support of modeling*
  - High-Fidelity Turbine Simulation – *direct computation of pressure and entropy interaction with turbine stages using compressible LES methods; consider the combustor, HPT, LPT, and exit nozzle as loosely coupled; URANS for tone noise; obtain benchmark data for validation and additional support of modeling work*
  - Reduced-Order Modeling of Integrated Combustor-Turbine Assembly – *develop models suitable for for incorporation into engineering-prediction tools such as ANOPP2; allow for variable-fidelity modeling of core components; validate with real-engine data*

*roadmap under development*
Noise Reduction Approach

- Assess/develop emerging novel concepts and advanced materials for combustor-turbine-assembly noise reduction
  - Assess and Develop Strategies – leverage acoustic-liner technologies developed for fan-noise reduction using emerging high-temperature materials and strategies for broadband suppression; work involves multiple organizations at GRC, LaRC, and potential external partners
  - Passive Acoustic-Liner Technology – test and develop promising technologies in GFIT, or similar facilities; down-select concepts and rig-test at near-engine conditions; test successful concepts under realistic engine conditions in rigs and real engines; work is performed in-house and/or with external partners

roadmap under development
Noise Reduction Approach

- Assess/develop novel concepts for passive and active core-noise control
  - Assess and Develop Strategies – *leverage technologies being developed for passive and/or active combustion-instability control to also reduce incoherent combustor broadband noise; develop novel concepts for active reduction of combustor noise and multitonal and broadband turbine noise; work involves multiple organizations at NASA and potential external partners*
  - Passive/Active Core-Noise-Reduction Technology – *test and develop passive- and active-control strategies in canonical experiments; adapt active strategies for incorporation into engine-control systems; test in rigs at near-engine conditions; test and validate in real engines, ultimately tied into a real engine-control system; work is performed in-house and/or with external partners*

roadmap under development