Innovative Comparison of Transient Ignition Temperature at the Booster Interface, New Stainless Steel Pyrovalve Primer Chamber Assembly “V” (PCA) Design versus the Current Aluminum “Y” PCA Design
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An assessment of four spacecraft pyrovalve anomalies that occurred during ground testing was conducted by the NASA Engineering & Safety Center (NESC) in 2008. In all four cases, a common aluminum (Al) primer chamber assembly (PCA) was used with dual NASA Standard Initiators (NSIs) and the nearly simultaneous (separated by less than 80 microseconds (µs)) firing of both initiators failed to ignite the booster charge. The results of the assessment and associated test program were reported in AIAA Paper AIAA-2008-4798, NESC Independent Assessment of Pyrovalve Ground Test Anomalies.

As a result of the four Al PCA anomalies, and the test results and findings of the NESC assessment, the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) project team decided to make changes to the PCA. The material for the PCA body was changed from aluminum (Al) to stainless steel (SS) to avoid melting, distortion, and potential leakage of the NSI flow passages when the device functioned. The flow passages, which were interconnected in a Y-shaped configuration (Y-PCA) in the original design, were changed to a V-shaped configuration.
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The V-shape was used to more efficiently transfer energy from the NSIs to the booster. Development and qualification testing of the new design clearly demonstrated faster booster ignition times compared to the legacy Al Y-PCA design. However, the final NESC assessment report recommended that the SS V-PCA be experimentally characterized and quantitatively compared to the Al Y-PCA design. This data was deemed important for properly evaluating the design options for future NASA projects. This test program has successfully quantified the improvement of the SS V-PCA over the Al Y-PCA. A phase B of the project was also conducted and evaluated the effect of firing command skew and enlargement of flame channels to further assist spacecraft applications.

**Nomenclature**

- $2PT = \text{two-pressure-transducer (bomb tests)}$
- $CRES = \text{Corrosion Resistant Steel}$
- $D-PIC = \text{Dual Pyrotechnic Ignition Circuit}$
- $GRC = \text{Glenn Research Center}$
- $GSFC = \text{Goddard Space Flight Center}$
- $ICP = \text{Inductive Couple Plasma}$
- $JPL = \text{Jet Propulsion Laboratory}$
- $KSC = \text{Kennedy Space Center}$
- $LMSSC = \text{Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company}$
- $ms = \text{millisecond}$
- $NASA = \text{National Aeronautics and Space Administration}$
- $NESC = \text{NASA Engineering Safety Center}$
- $NSI = \text{NASA Standard Initiator}$
- $PCA = \text{Primer Chamber Assembly}$
- $PT = \text{pressure transducer}$
- $psia = \text{Pounds Per Square Inch Absolute}$
- $psi = \text{Pounds Per Square Inch (Static Pressure)}$
- $s = \text{seconds}$
- $SS = \text{stainless steel}$
- $SDO = \text{Solar Dynamics Observatory}$
- $WSTF = \text{White Sands Test Facility}$
- $Y-PCA = \text{Y-configured primer chamber/carrier assembly}$

**I. Introduction**

In October 2008, the NASA Engineering and Safety Center (NESC) generated a report entitled, *Conax Y-PCA (Primer Chamber Assembly) Booster Anomaly Investigation*. The report detailed an independent assessment of four spacecraft propulsion system pyrovalve anomalies that occurred during ground testing. In all four cases, a common aluminum (Al) PCA featuring dual NASA Standard Initiators (NSI) was used. In the ground tests, the nearly simultaneous (separated by less than 80 microseconds ($\mu s$)) firing of both initiators failed to ignite the booster charge.

As a result of the NESC’s assessment work and because the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) spacecraft planned to use pyrovalves with similar features, the MSL project team decided to make modifications to the PCA to avoid potential anomalies. Two modifications were made. The material for the PCA body was changed from Al to stainless steel (SS) to avoid melting and distortion of the NSI flow passages when the device functioned. Secondly, the interconnected flow passages were separated. Instead of a Y-shape configuration, a V-shape was used to more efficiently transfer energy from the NSIs to the booster charge (Fig. 1). Development and qualification testing of the new design clearly demonstrated improved performance in terms of shorter booster ignition times and greater margin for booster ignition.

However, the final NESC report of the ground test anomalies recommended that the SS V-PCA should be experimentally characterized and quantitatively compared to the Al Y-PCA design prior to widespread application in NASA programs. In addition to benefitting MSL as originally planned, this data would provide future NASA projects with information necessary to properly evaluate the selection and use of the SS V-PCA versus the Al Y-PCA. This assessment implements that recommendation.
II. Testing Test Apparatus

All phases of the test project, and both the Al Y-PCA and SS V-PCA test articles, used a sapphire window arrangement in the booster location (Fig. 1). The Al Y-PCA test article consisted of a sealing ring, a booster cover simulator (0.003-in. thick SS membrane), the sapphire window, and a spacer ring (Fig. 2). The parts were held in place by a retaining nut on the bottom of the PCA.

Figure 1. Comparison of Al Y-PCA (Heritage) to SS V-PCA (MSL: CRES-V).

The booster cover simulator was made from 304L SS supplied by the same vendor that makes the actual booster container. After the cover simulators were cut and before the tests, one side was sprayed with a very thin coating of flat black paint. This became the underside of the diaphragm and it provided a consistent emissivity for the infrared pyrometer.
The window material, industrial sapphire, was chosen because of its excellent transmissivity in the infrared range. Only a 4 percent loss across the window was estimated. Since the same sapphire window material was used in all of the tests, this energy loss was consistent for all of the tests (Figure 3).

The sealing ring (Fig. 4 and 5) was made from either 17-4PH or 15-5PH SS. Concentric rings were cut in these extremely small pieces to make a labyrinth seal. The sealing arrangement was successfully hydrotested to 30,000 psig and did not leak.

Figure 3. Sapphire Window System Parts showing a SS V-PCA.

Figure 4. Sapphire Window Assembly.
One pressure sense port for each NSI cavity was provided in the side of each PCA. The ports were made to accommodate Kistler model 603B1 pressure transducers. The ports were filled with Dow Corning 33 silicone grease. The infrared pyrometer used for the tests had a temperature range of 300 to 2,000 °C (572 to 3,632 °F) with a nominal response time of 6 µs.

III. Phase I, Y-PCA vs. V-PCA Testing

In the first phase of this assessment, single and dual simultaneous firings of the NSIs were performed in both PCA types to characterize the peak temperature delivered to the booster membrane/propellant charge interface (underside of the booster charge cover). Figure 6 shows typical results from a single NSI firing in an Al Y-PCA and typical results for a single NSI firing in a SS V-PCA are shown in Fig. 7. The SS V-PCA units delivered an average maximum booster/propellant interface temperature ~600 °F greater than that delivered by the Al Y-PCA units. The Al Y-PCAs ignite the booster propellant reliably if not fired simultaneously, but this improvement provides extra margin. It is also noted that, under conditions of the tests, the higher temperature was achieved in half the rise time; 776 µs for the SS V-PCAs versus 1,342 µs for the Al Y-PCAs. The SS V-PCA units produced pressures in the NSI cavity that averaged 3,000 psi greater than the Al Y-PCA units produced. Figure 8 compares the booster interface temperature results for all Phase I Tests.
Figure 7. Typical Results for a Single NSI firing in a SS V-PCA (Run 15).

Figure 8. Booster Propellant Interface Temperature Results for all Phase I Tests.
The tests showed that dual, simultaneous (within 20 µs) firing of the redundant NSIs significantly reduces the performance of either PCA design to the point where it is unlikely the booster charge would be reliably ignited. This is consistent with findings from previous NESC assessments. The booster interface temperature needed to ignite the titanium hydride/potassium perchlorate booster charge is ~1,000 °F. In some dual, simultaneous test firings, the maximum temperature observed was below or just slightly above the lower limit of 572 °F that could be detected by the test instrumentation. Table 1 provides a Phase I Test Data Summary.

### IV. Phase II, Effects of NSI Ignition Skew and PCA Passages Cross-sectional Area Changes

The second phase of the assessment evaluated the effects of various NSI ignition delays (skews) and PCA flow passages of greater cross-sectional areas. The SS V-PCAs were modified to have NSI flow passages with cross-sectional areas 2 and 4 times larger than the original design. The ignition circuitry was modified to allow variable NSI ignition delays (skews).

The second phase tests showed that even with flow paths having four times the original cross-sectional area, dual, simultaneous (within 20 µs) firings of the redundant NSIs significantly reduces performance to the point where it is doubtful the booster charge would be reliably ignited.

The flow paths with enlarged cross-sections (areas 2 and 4 times greater than the original design) produced lower pressures in the NSI cavity. The reduction was about 1,600 and 2,400 psi, respectively. This was not unexpected due to the larger free volume with enlarged flow paths. Some firings with larger flame passages did result in higher membrane temperatures while others did not.

When the flow path diameter and the skew are high, they exert a considerable downward effect on peak pressure. The flow paths with cross-sectional areas 2 and 4 times greater than the original design produced lower pressures in the NSI cavity. The reduction was about 1,600 and 2,400 psi, respectively. This was not unexpected due to the larger free volume with enlarged flow paths. Some firings with larger flame passages did result in higher membrane temperatures while others did not.

### Table 1. Phase I Test Data Summary.

| Run | Al - or - SS | Date      | Time  | Pressure Start Side A (µs) | Max Pressure Side A (psig) | Time Of MaxPress Side A (µs) | Pressure Start Side B (µs) | Max Pressure Side B (psig) | Time Of MaxPress Side B (µs) | Max Booster Interface Temp (of) | Time Of MaxTemp (µs) | Pressure at 800 µs Side A (psig) | Pressure at 800 µs Side B (psig) | Booster Interface Temp at 800 µs (of) |
|-----|-------------|-----------|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Pre | SS          | 02/23/10  | 13:56 | 137                      | 11,851                   | 151                        | 332                      | 4,996                    | 830                       | 2,679                   | 716                             | 4,777                            | 4,840                             | 2,669                             |
| 2   | SS          | 02/24/10  | 12:34 | 140                      | 10,926                   | 156                        | 255                      | 4,570                    | 824                       | 2,823                   | 835                             | 4,638                            | 4,527                             | 2,836                             |
| 7   | SS          | 02/25/10  | 9:40  | 147                      | 10,781                   | 166                        | 324                      | 4,996                    | 787                       | 1,967                   | 821                             | 4,933                            | 4,979                             | 1,957                             |
| 14  | SS          | 02/25/10  | 13:41 | 144                      | 10,436                   | 159                        | 314                      | 4,441                    | 870                       | 1,885                   | 726                             | 4,690                            | 4,232                             | 1,842                             |
| 15  | SS          | 02/25/10  | 14:55 | 147                      | 11,085                   | 169                        | 259                      | 4,805                    | 845                       | 2,485                   | 721                             | 4,811                            | 4,614                             | 2,352                             |
|     | Average     |           |       | 145                      | 10,807                   | 163                        | 288                      | 4,703                    | 832                       | 2,290                   | 776                             | 4,768                            | 4,588                             | 2,247                             |
| 3   | Al          | 03/01/10  | 13:29 | 130                      | 8,001                    | 156                        | 310                      | 2,269                    | 1,440                    | 1,691                   | 1,064                           | 3,857                            | 1,716                             | 1,404                             |
| 4   | Al          | 02/26/10  | 8:48  | 143                      | 6,881                    | 157                        | 286                      | 1,650                    | 1,241                    | 1,308                   | 1,053                           | 2,938                            | 1,580                             | 1,133                             |
| 8   | Al          | 02/26/10  | 9:29  | 138                      | 8,199                    | 154                        | 297                      | 1,860                    | 1,480                    | 1,412                   | 913                             | 3,337                            | 1,307                             | 1,264                             |
| 9   | Al          | 03/01/10  | 14:02 | 155                      | 6,560                    | 160                        | 292                      | 1,848                    | 1,130                    | 2,902                   | 804                             | 3,077                            | 1,762                             | 2,894                             |
| 9B  | Al          | 04/06/10  | 137   | 9,445                    | 154                      | 307                        | 2,149                    | 1,445                    | 1,154                    | 2,875                   | 3,892                           | 1,820                            | 846                               | ---                               |
|     | Average     |           |       | 141                      | 7,817                    | 156                        | 300                      | 1,955                    | 1,347                    | 1,391                   | 1,342                           | 3,420                            | 1,637                             | 1,508                             |

### Dual Simultaneous NSI Firings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>02/24/10</th>
<th>15:06</th>
<th>152</th>
<th>10,419</th>
<th>168</th>
<th>151</th>
<th>9,735</th>
<th>168</th>
<th>-----</th>
<th>----</th>
<th>9,252</th>
<th>9,196</th>
<th>---</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>03/01/10</td>
<td>14:43</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6,456</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6,244</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>10,210</td>
<td>4,863</td>
<td>4,857</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

1. The pyrometer does not read temperatures below 572 °F.
2. "Side A" refers to the fired side in a single NSI firing test; "Side B" is inert.
3. All times are measured from the start of the firing pulse (increase in amps).
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ignition margin and slower ignition times. With either design, the ignition of both NSIs within 20 µs or less of each other has a significant probability of failure to ignite the booster charge. Designers of spacecraft and pyrovalves now have additional information to make informed decisions regarding the trade-off between the greater weight and improved performance of the SS V-PCA. The team was able to characterize the time and pressure histories for various skew times and flame channel areas. The testing did not show consistent improvement with larger NSI flow channels with either greater temperatures at the booster interface or a reduced probability of failure with dual, simultaneous NSI firings. In addition to thermal analysis done by the team, SS PCA modeling was accomplished by Combustion Research and Flow Technology, Inc. (Craftech Industries, Inc.) under the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program in collaboration with this project. This helped provide an understanding of the booster stagnation condition that results from a simultaneous NSI firing. The modeling also suggested that additional chambers machined into the PCA might help preclude the stagnation condition and eliminate the anomaly. A single test was tried with small chambers machined in, but did not clearly demonstrate an improvement. A further increase in the volume of these chambers and additional testing would likely be necessary to reach firm conclusions on the merit of this modification. Table 2 is a summary of Phase II Test Data.

Because the assessment did not conduct tests with booster charges in place, the overall ability to drive the ram and actuate the pyrovalve could not be examined. This ability could be reduced by the increased tendency of the booster gases to flow back up the enlarged flow passages towards the NSI cavities and by the increase in free volume in the PCA with larger flame passages. Significant testing at the pyrovalve assembly level would be needed to fully understand the effect of larger flame passages. The larger flow channels are a significant departure from both the heritage Al Y-PCA and SS V-PCA flight-qualified designs.

### Table 2. Phase II Test Data Summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10,437</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9,781</td>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>9,641</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>3,410</td>
<td>16,434</td>
<td>1,574</td>
<td>13,039</td>
<td>16,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>11,389</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>2,913</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>2,532</td>
<td>15,076</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>10,780</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>3,078</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>5,661</td>
<td>15,371</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9,715</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>1,723</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>10,719</td>
<td>229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10,670</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>2,275</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>2,494</td>
<td>13,344</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>7,838</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>3,402</td>
<td>16,460</td>
<td>1,456</td>
<td>10,145</td>
<td>16,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>8,618</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1,837</td>
<td>2,555</td>
<td>3,018</td>
<td>13,734</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>9,440</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>1,896</td>
<td>1,578</td>
<td>5,765</td>
<td>12,212</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,010</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2,095</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10,477</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>9,017</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1,733</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>3,208</td>
<td>14,220</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>8,493</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>2,517</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>3,140</td>
<td>13,094</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9,637</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>1,723</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10,146</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>8,435</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>3,312</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>4,074</td>
<td>14,027</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>8,828</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>3,052</td>
<td>12,826</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9,321</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9,808</td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>6,852</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>3,479</td>
<td>16,285</td>
<td>1,562</td>
<td>9,692</td>
<td>16,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>8,243</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>3,630</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>4,140</td>
<td>10,114</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2,501</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>3,528</td>
<td>11,546</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9,208</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>1,957</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9,785</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>7,208</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>3,630</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>3,528</td>
<td>10,389</td>
<td>706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9,161</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>3,700</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9,077</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

1. All times are from the start of the firing signal.
2. A red highlight indicates a temperature too low to ignite the booster propellant.
3. A dash "-" indicates a temperature lower than the 572 °F lower limit detectable by the pyrometer. This means that the temperature could be anywhere between 72 °F and 572 °F.
V. PCA Thermal Analysis

To better understand the contribution of various heat transfer mechanisms in the PCA, both simplified and detailed thermal analyses were performed by the NASA Technical Fellow for Passive Thermal and his NESC Technical Discipline Team. Three heat transfer mechanisms and their effect on booster cap thermal response were investigated as part of this study. From the analysis, the following conclusions are drawn:

a. Convective heat transfer, by itself, does not account for the temperature rise and melting during booster cap testing;

b. Deposition of liquid zirconia spray onto the booster cap results in more heat transfer than convection alone. Zirconia deposition and the subsequent phase change from the liquid to solid state may assist in booster cap heating and subsequent melting, but does not produce booster cap temperatures in agreement with the booster cap transient temperature response observed during testing. Larger quantities of zirconia deposition increase the propensity to melt and accelerate the temperature rise of the booster cap bottom;

c. Detailed thermal analysis suggests that a hemispherical globule of zirconium–potassium perchlorate (ZPP) with a radius of $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ in. with a mass of $5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ mg ($1.14 \times 10^{-8}$ lbm) can liberate sufficient energy to locally melt through the booster cap. Deposition of as little as 20 percent of the unburned ZPP (~4.6 mg, or $1 \times 10^{-5}$ lbm) can liberate sufficient energy to melt the entire booster cap. Subsequent two-dimensional axisymmetric thermal analysis shows that local melt-through can be accomplished with considerably less ZPP. From this, it is concluded that < 4.6 mg ZPP burning in contact with the booster cap is sufficient to produce the observed response.

VI. Statistical Analysis and Results

The Phase I test was designed using some design of experiments (DOE) methods. The statement of problem was clearly developed. The size of the test was governed by resource considerations rather than a quantitative statement regarding a confidence level on a difference the team desired to be able to find, but the subject matter experts had reason to believe this test would be sufficient to show clear and useful differences. They were correct in this assessment, as will be seen. Phase IIB was designed to evaluate the relationship between firing skew and the cross-sectional area of the flow passages. It was assumed that the following model would apply:

$$ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Skew} + \beta_2 \text{Area} + \beta_{12} \text{Skew} \times \text{Area} + \beta_{11} \text{Skew}^2 + \beta_{22} \text{Area}^2 $$

where the $\beta$’s are linear regression parameters fit using the data.

The statistical analysis of the results confirmed clearly that within the tested range of the two inputs studied, no-fires were entirely possible at low skews. A no-fire in this test at high skew and channel area suggests that the channel area does not mitigate the risk of a no-fire, and a no-fire at more than 500 µs could occur. Temperatures increase with increasing skew, but are fairly insensitive to channel area. Channel area affects pressure and increases variability of the time it takes to attain peak temperature.

VII. Numerical Simulations of Single and Simultaneous Dual Firing NSIs in the SS VPCA Design

In addition to the PCA thermal analysis discussed in Section V, SS PCA numerical simulations (computer modeling) were accomplished by Craftech under the SBIR program, in collaboration with this project. Details of this modeling are provided in the final report. This numerical modeling effort, based on computational fluid dynamics, provided an improved understanding of the gas and particle flow physics within the V-PCA. One of the primary issues explored by this test project, the dual, simultaneous NSI ignition anomaly was explained as interaction of the shocks formed by the two NSIs and stagnation at the booster interface. The computer modeling indicated that the stagnation condition and reflected waves reduce the amount of hot, burning ZPP particles reaching the booster membrane, causing the membrane temperature to be dramatically lower. Modeling of a modified V-PCA with additional volume chambers on either side of the flow channels was also accomplished.
VIII. Conclusions

Although the AL Y-PCA has demonstrated the ability to consistently ignite a booster with a single NSI firing, or when the firing skew is greater than 2 ms, the SS V-PCA units avoid flame passage melting and erosion, energy loss, and potential leakage of the NSI flow products. The V-PCA units demonstrated improved performance in that the average maximum booster/propellant interface temperature was ~600 °F greater than that delivered by the Al Y-PCA units. The higher temperatures delivered to the booster interface provide added assurance of booster propellant ignition. The higher temperatures with the SS V-PCAs were achieved in approximately half the time; 776 µs average for the SS V-PCAs versus 1,342 µs average for the Al Y-PCAs. This has resulted in faster ignition of the booster as demonstrated in other related testing.

The SS V-PCA units produced pressures in the NSI cavity that were also ~3,000 psi greater than the Al Y-PCA units. However, the testing showed that dual, simultaneous (within 20 µs) firing of the redundant NSIs significantly reduces the performance of either PCA design to the point where it is doubtful the booster charge would be reliably ignited. The booster interface temperature needed to ignite the titanium hydride/potassium perchlorate booster charge is ~1,000 °F under rapid rise conditions present in the pyrovalve. In about 50 percent of the dual, simultaneous test firings, the maximum temperature observed was below or just slightly above the lower limit of 572 °F that could be detected by the test instrumentation. Also in Phase II, even with flow paths enlarged to 4 times the original cross-sectional area, test data indicated that dual simultaneous (within 20 µs) firings of the redundant NSIs significantly reduces performance to the point where it is again doubtful the booster charge would be reliably ignited. The assessment did not show consistent improvement with larger NSI flow channels, either with greater temperatures at the booster interface or a reduced probability of failure with dual, simultaneous NSI firings. Statistical analysis was used to ensure good experiment design and to help judge the significance of the test results. Thermal analysis was accomplished, which helped the team understand the processes in which heating of the diaphragm occurs so rapidly. This provided insight into the burning zirconia spray environment at the booster interface. Numerical simulation of single and simultaneous dual firing NSIs gave insight into the reasons very low skew firings resulted in such low temperatures at the SS V-PCA booster interface. Two-dimensional animations were provided that show how the shock reflections actually caused burning particulate to be carried away from the booster interface.

It was recommended that the SS V-PCA be used on future NASA programs since the improved ignition margin and erosion resistance makes it more robust (unless weight factors dictate otherwise). This is deemed especially important for manned spaceflight applications, such as on the Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle (Orion).
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Innovative Comparison of Transient Ignition Temperature at the Booster Interface, New Stainless Steel Pyrovalve Primer Chamber Assembly “V” (PCA) Design versus the Current Aluminum “Y” PCA Design

Regor Saulsberry, Stephen McDougle, Roberto Garcia, William Sipes, Kenneth Johnson, Steven Rickman, Ashvin Hosangadi
Introduction

• In 2008, the NASA Engineering & Safety Center (NESC) investigated four spacecraft pyrovalve anomalies that occurred during ground testing:
  – All had a common aluminum (Al) primer chamber assembly (PCA) with dual NASA Standard Initiators (NSIs)
  – Nearly simultaneous (separated by less than 80 microseconds (µs)) firing of both initiators failed to ignite the booster charge
  – The results of the assessment and associated test program were reported in AIAA Paper AIAA-2008-4798, NESC Independent Assessment of Pyrovalve Ground Test Anomalies
• Based on the NESC report, the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) project team evaluated design changes to the pyrovalves:
  – PCAs would be made from stainless steel (SS) instead of Al
  – The internal flow passages would be “V” instead of “Y” shaped

• The changes had two goals:
  – Provide extra margin for booster charge ignition
  – Reduce possibility of burning through the side of the PCA
Empirical testing by Lockheed-Martin showed that the new design provided extra ignition margin.

The final NESC report of the ground test anomalies recommended that the two PCA types be experimentally and quantitatively compared prior to widespread application by NASA programs.
Introduction

Normally Closed (NC) Pyrovalve
Block Diagram (SS V-PCA)
General Test Plan

• Phase I – Compare temperature at the booster charge interface for both PCA types
  – Use methodologies and equipment developed previously, but improve the sapphire window sealing arrangement at the booster interface
  – Perform single NSI and dual, simultaneous (<10 µs skew) NSI firings

• Phase II – Consisted of two parts to further evaluate the SS V-PCA
  – Phase IIA – Evaluate different NSI flow channel cross-sectional areas with single and dual, simultaneous NSI firings
  – Phase IIB – Evaluate staggered NSI firing times and flow channel cross-sectional areas

This briefing is for status only and does not represent complete engineering data analysis
Underside of Booster Cover Simulator (0.003-in CRES) to be Viewed with High Speed Optical Pyrometers and Video Cameras

NOTE: Legacy Al Y-PCA Shown Here
Sapphire Window System Parts

Sapphire Window Parts (SS V-PCA Is Shown)

Retainer Nut  Outer Ring  Sapphire Window  Sealing Ring

S.S. V-PCA Primer Chamber Assembly

304 L S.S. Booster Cover Simulator
(side facing pyrometer is lightly painted black)

Sapphire Window Parts (SS V-PCA Is Shown)
Improved Sealing Ring Design

- Made from either 17-4PH or 15-5PH stainless steel
- Concentric rings were cut in the sealing surface to make a labyrinth seal
- Hydro-tested to 30,000 psig with no leakage

Sealing Rings Shown Alongside a U.S. Dime
Overall Test Setup

- High Speed Pyrometer
- High Speed Video Camera
Data Acquisition and Control Systems

• Temperature was measured with an infrared pyrometer
  – Response time of 6 µs
  – Temperature range of 572 °F to 3632 °F
• Pressure in each NSI cavity was measured with miniature, high frequency, dynamic pressure sensors
• Firing circuitry provided variable timing with respect to firing one or both NSIs with a precision of ± 2 µs. The system provided firing current of 22 to 24 amps vs the normal NSI firing current of about 3 to 5 amps to minimize small variations in firing times
Data Acquisition and Control Systems

- Data was acquired at 1 to 2 MHz for either 20 or 40 ms, depending on test requirements
  - The data system is capable of 60 MHz
  - Special attention was paid to eliminate ground loops or other interferences
- Effects on the booster cover simulator were recorded with high speed video at 20,000 frames per second
Phase I Test Results

Typical Results From A Single NSI Firing In An AI Y-PCA

- Pressure Port A
- Pressure Port B
- Booster Interface Temperature
- 2nd Order Curve Fit

Pyrometer lower detection limit is 572 °F

Estimated True Peak Pressure

Pressures equalize at ~1500 μs. Max temperature just prior to flow stoppage
Phase I Test Results

Typical Results From A Single NSI Firing In An SS V-PCA

- Pressure Port A
- Pressure Port B
- Booster Interface Temperature
- 2nd Order Curve Fit

Pyrometer lower detection limit is 572 °F

Estimated True Peak Pressure

Pressures equalize at ~ 900 µs. Max temperature just prior to flow stoppage
Phase I Test Results

Booster Propellant Interface Temperature for Single NSI Firings

572 °F is the minimum detection limit for the IR pyrometer
Phase I Test Results

NSI Current vs Time for All Phase I Tests

- The NSI bridgewire and firing circuitry are heating which increases resistance. Voltage is constant, so current decays.
- NSI ignition and bridgewire burnout
- Programmed 100 µs delay before current is applied to the NSI
Phase I Test Results

• The average maximum temperature was 2290 °F for the SS V-PCA, but only 1391 °F for the legacy Al Y-PCA.

• Each SS V-PCA test melted a hole through each booster cover simulator while the Al Y-PCA produced only very small, irregular cracks.

• The SS V-PCAs produced much higher temperatures and pressures and were more likely to produce the desired penetration of the booster cover and booster charge ignition than the Al Y-PCAs.

Booster Cover Simulator From Run # 2 Shows A Hole Typical For A Single NSI, SS V-PCA Test
Phase II Approach

- Phase IIA, SS V-PCAs with enlarged flow channels
  - Evaluated the improvement in energy delivered to the booster interface
  - Also tested dual, simultaneous NSI firings to determine if this failure mode is still possible if the flow channel is larger

- Phase IIB, SS V-PCAs with enlarged flow channels and staggered NSI firings (skews)
  - Provide further investigation of staggered NSI firings at various area ratios
Phase IIA Test Results

Phase IIA Run 4, SS V-PCA with .085 in. Diameter Flow Channels
Phase IIA Test Results

Phase IIA Run 1A, SS V-PCA With .120 in. Diameter Flow Channels (Dual, Simultaneous Firing)

Temperature below detectable limit
Phase IIA Results

- One dual, simultaneous NSI firing with 4x the nominal cross-sectional area was performed
  - Actual skew was 12 µs
  - Failed to produce enough temperature rise at the booster propellant interface to register on the pyrometer (572 °F or more)
  - 1000 to 1100 °F is the estimated requirement to ignite the booster propellant
- Three tests, one each with 1x, 2x, and 4x cross-sectional area and 16 ms skew, were performed and all produced a maximum temperature at the booster interface of 3400 °F
- Found that a short skew of 12 µs or less may fail to ignite the booster propellant even with a large flow channel diameter and the more efficient SS V-PCA design
- The pyrovalve would fail to function
### Phase IIA Results – Sample High Speed Videos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image No.</th>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Channel Dia (in.)</th>
<th>Skew (µs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2_1</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2_2</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3A_1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3A_2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4_1</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4_2</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical Analysis and Results

• Phase I
  – Statement of problem was clearly developed
  – Size of the test project was governed by resource considerations, but was sufficient to show clear and useful differences

• Phase II
  – Designed to evaluate the relationship between firing skew and the cross-sectional area of the flow passages
  – It was assumed that the following model would apply:
    • \( Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Skew} + \beta_2 \text{Area} + \beta_{12} \text{Skew} \times \text{Area} + \beta_{11} \text{Skew}^2 + \beta_{22} \text{Area}^2 \)
    • \( \beta \)'s are linear regression parameters fit using the data
• Phase IIB Results
  – Within the tested range of the two inputs studied, no-fires are entirely possible at low skews
  – A no-fire at high skew and channel area suggests that channel area does not mitigate the risk of a no-fire, and a no-fire at more than 500 µs could occur
  – Temperatures increase with increasing skew, but are fairly insensitive to channel area
  – Channel area affects pressure and increases variability of the time it takes to attain peak temperature
Thermal Analysis

- Simplified and detailed thermal analyses were performed by NASA Technical Fellow for Passive Thermal and his NESC Technical Discipline Team
  - Convective heat transfer, by itself, does not account for the temperature rise and melting during this testing
  - Deposition of liquid zirconia spray onto the booster cap results in more heat transfer than convection alone
    - Zirconia deposition and the subsequent phase change from the liquid to solid state may assist in booster cap heating and subsequent melting, but does not produce booster cap temperatures in agreement with the booster cap transient temperature response observed during testing
    - Larger quantities of zirconia deposition increase the propensity to melt and accelerate the temperature rise of the booster cap bottom
Thermal Analysis

- Detailed thermal analysis suggests that a hemispherical globule of zirconium–potassium perchlorate (ZPP) with a radius of $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ in with a mass of $5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ mg ($1.14 \times 10^{-8}$ lbm) can liberate sufficient energy to locally-melt through the booster cap.

  - Deposition of as little as 20 percent of the unburned ZPP (~4.6 mg, or $1 \times 10^{-5}$ lbm) can liberate sufficient energy to melt the entire booster cap.

  - Subsequent two-dimensional axisymmetric thermal analysis shows that local melt-through can be accomplished with considerably less ZPP. From this, it is concluded that < 4.6 mg ZPP burning in contact with the booster cap is sufficient to produce the observed response.
Numerical Simulations

• Numerical simulations were accomplished by Craftech Industries, Inc.
• This numerical modeling effort, based on computational fluid dynamics, provided an improved understanding of the gas and particle flow physics within the V-PCA
  – One of the primary issues explored by this test project, the dual, simultaneous NSI ignition anomaly, was explained as interaction of the shocks formed by the two NSIs and stagnation at the booster interface
  – The stagnation condition and reflected waves appeared to reduce the amount of particles (hot burning) from reaching the booster membrane, causing the membrane temperature to be dramatically lower
Numerical Simulations

• Modeling of a modified V-PCA with additional chambers on either side of the flow channels
• Based on this modeling, one SS V-PCA was modified and tested
  – Dual, simultaneous firing
  – Object was to seek improved mitigation of the potential dual, simultaneous failure mode by observing better temperature results at the booster propellant interface
Accumulation of Particles Near the Booster Cap

- The mass of particles in a zone near the booster cap is plotted below
  - Figure on right shows location of mass integration zone
  - Figure on left shows the time-varying total mass of particles in the integration zone normalized by the mass of particles contained within a single initiator charge
- After 100 ms, the dual firing case shows half the amount of particles contained in the volume near the booster cap than the single firing case, despite having twice the amount of particles
- Due to the flow reversal, fewer particles are impacting the booster cap in the dual firing scenario
- Fundamental hypothesis for failure of simultaneous dual-firing still valid
Modified SS V-PCA Test

SS V-PCA Modified with Additional Chambers

.085 TYP FOR 2x RESONANCE CHAMBERS (TOPS AND BOTTOMS)

.015 SLOT LENGTH ON TOP SURFACE

.0785
Modified SS V-PCA Test

Resonant Chamber Test Compared to a Similar Dual, Simultaneous Firing (Phase IIB, Run 1)
Modified SS V-PCA Test

- Pressure curves are very nearly identical, indicating very good correspondence between the two tests.
- Although the modified SS V-PCA produced a higher temperature it is still below the threshold needed to ignite the booster powder reliably.
- Temperature rise with the modified SS V-PCA is very slow, indicating that heat transfer to the booster cover simulator is still restricted by flow stagnation.
- Additional testing with larger volumes is needed.
Findings

1. The new SS design has a clear advantage over the heritage Al PCAs for single NSI firings
   - SS V-PCA delivered about 600 °F more energy to the booster propellant interface that the Al Y-PCA
   - SS V-PCA delivered higher temperatures in approximately half the time; 776 µs average for the SS V-PCAs versus 1342 µs average for the Al Y-PCAs
   - SS V-PCA produces a 38% higher pressure on the average (as measured in the NSI cavity)

2. Dual, simultaneous (within 20 µs) firing of the redundant NSIs significantly reduces the performance of either PCA design to the point where it is doubtful the booster charge would be reliably ignited. The actual threshold for “no fire” may be higher than 20 µs skew, but no failures were observed at 250 µs skew and higher
3. Even with flow paths enlarged to 4 times the original cross-sectional area, test data indicates that dual, simultaneous (within 20 µs) firings of the redundant NSIs significantly reduces performance to the point where it is doubtful the booster charge would be reliably ignited.

4. The assessment did not show consistent improvement with larger NSI flow channels, either with greater temperatures at the booster interface, or with a reduced probability of failure with dual, simultaneous NSI firings.
1. When the flow path diameter and the skew are high, they exert a considerable downward effect on peak pressure. The flow paths with cross-sectional areas 2 and 4 times greater than the original design produced lower pressures in the NSI cavity. The reduction was about 1600 psi and 2400 psi, respectively. This was not unexpected due to the obviously larger free volume with the enlarged flow paths.

2. Increasing the flow passage diameter from the original 0.060-in. diameter to 0.125-in. (4 times the original cross-sectional area) lowers the maximum pressure inside of the PCA by about 2000 psi or about 21%.
3. A new and innovative temperature measurement method was developed and used for this assessment that is accurate to within 50 °F over a range of 572 °F to 3632 °F and has an extremely rapid response time of 10 µs or less. This may be valuable to other projects/programs.

4. SS PCA modeling was accomplished by Craftech. This not only provided a better understanding of the booster stagnation condition that results from a simultaneous NSI firing, but also suggested that additional chambers machined into the PCA might help preclude the stagnation condition and mitigate the anomaly. Fully exploring this mitigation was beyond the scope of this assessment.
1. Further explore, through testing, the merit of chambers of larger volume as recommended by Craftech to determine if this eliminates the dual, simultaneous restriction, which could reduce mission risk.

2. Both Al Y-PCA design and SS V-PCA initiator firings should be skewed by at least 2 ms to eliminate the potential for a dual, simultaneous ignition of the initiators that could cause a pyrovalve malfunction. During testing, no anomalies were noted when command skew was greater than 250 µs, but the additional skew will provide margin for minor control system variability.
3. Programs should perform additional testing if closely sequenced pyrotechnic events make it desirable to reduce skew below 2 ms

4. The SS V-PCA should be used on future NASA programs for improved margin unless weight factors dictate otherwise. This is believed to be especially important for manned spaceflight applications such as on Multi-purpose Crew Vehicle (Orion)
• Dual, simultaneous NSI test results with both PCA designs failed to produce temperatures above the detectable limit of the pyrometer (572 °F)
  – 1000 to 1100 °F is estimated to be the minimum temperature required to ignite the booster propellant
  – In both tests, the NSI hot gases and particles produced only minimal damage to the booster cover simulator
  – This is a credible failure mode with either PCA design
Assessment Summary

Heritage Aluminum Design With “Y”-Shaped Flow Channel Versus MSL Stainless Steel Design with “V”-shaped Flow Channel

Posttest, Sectioned Aluminum Y-PCA

Posttest, Sectioned Stainless Steel V-PCA
Phase II B - Post-Test Images of The Booster Cover Simulators, Sapphire Windows, and Sealing Rings
Phase IIB Experiment Design

- Combination of L/D and Skew testing into one experiment makes it reasonable
  - For each factor level combination, use a single PCA
  - Block one day, running a set number of trials each day; run test over two days
  - Randomize within blocks, holding all other sources of variability as constant as possible

### Phase IIB Test Matrix As Performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Diameter (in)</th>
<th>Cross-section</th>
<th>Nominal Skew (µs)</th>
<th>Actual Skew (µs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10/21/10</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>1X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10/26/10</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>4X</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10/26/10</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>1X</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10/26/10</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>1X</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11/04/10</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>1X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11/04/10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11/05/10</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>4X</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11/05/10</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>1X</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11/15/10</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>4X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11/16/10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11/16/10</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>4X</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11/19/10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11/24/10</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>4X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11/30/10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11/30/10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>12/03/10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>12/08/10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image No.</td>
<td>Run No.</td>
<td>Channel Dia (in.)</td>
<td>Skew (µs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>481</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>242</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>243</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>14_1</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>14_2</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Assessment Summary

- Supporting Data From Earlier Testing
  - Overall Legacy AI Y-PCA body of historic data, including NESC, MSL, LM, MRO data (20 tests)
    - 518 µs to ignition, 475 µs standard deviation
    - Time referenced to start of NSI pressure rise
  - MSL SS V-PCA, 12 Tests
    - 301 µs to booster ignition, 111 µs standard deviation
Test Matrices

• A statistical design of experiments approach was used to plan the tests
  – Effective use of test hardware
  – Random test order to reduce effects of uncontrolled variables
  – Some compromises had to be made for operational efficiency and problems with the sapphire windows
Understanding How the Device Works

- What are the mechanisms for potential energy loss?
  - Thermal paths such as phase change of the aluminum
  - Mechanical paths such as deformation of Y-PCA body materials might cause permanent volume increases and associated heating of the interior of the Y-PCA body.
  - Kinetic Energy and Flow
  - Byproducts of Y-PCA materials participating with the ZPP burn and substantially reducing the number of product moles
  - Incomplete burning of the ZPP in the NSI

- Energy balance analyses performed at WSTF
  - Gordon-McBride chemical reaction computer code

- Subject of another paper