Preprocessing Inconsistent Linear System for a Meaningful Least Squares Solution

Syamal K. Sen
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, 150 West University Boulevard, Melbourne, FL 32901-6975, United States
sksen@fit.edu

and

Gholam Ali Shaykhian
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Technical Integration Office (IT-G), Information Technology (IT) Directorate, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, United States
ali.shaykhian@nasa.gov

Abstract Mathematical models of many physical/statistical problems are systems of linear equations. Due to measurement and possible human errors/mistakes in modeling/data, as well as due to certain assumptions to reduce complexity, inconsistency (contradiction) is injected into the model, viz. the linear system. While any inconsistent system irrespective of the degree of inconsistency has always a least-squares solution, one needs to check whether an equation is too much inconsistent or, equivalently too much contradictory. Such an equation will affect/distort the least-squares solution to such an extent that renders it unacceptable/unfit to be used in a real-world application. We propose an algorithm which (i) prunes numerically redundant linear equations from the system as these do not add any new information to the model, (ii) detects contradictory linear equations along with their degree of contradiction (inconsistency index), (iii) removes those equations presumed to be too contradictory, and then (iv) obtain the minimum norm least-squares solution of the acceptably inconsistent reduced linear system. The algorithm presented in Matlab reduces the computational and storage complexities and also improves the accuracy of the solution. It also provides the necessary warning about the existence of too much contradiction in the model. In addition, we suggest a thorough relook into the mathematical modeling to determine the reason why unacceptable contradiction has occurred thus prompting us to make necessary corrections/modifications to the models – both mathematical and, if necessary, physical.
Preprocessing in Matlab Inconsistent Linear System for a Meaningful
Least Squares Solution

Syamal K. Sen
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, 150 West
University Boulevard, Melbourne, FL 32901-6975, United States
sksen@fit.edu

and

Gholam Ali Shaykhian
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Technical Integration Office
(IT-G), Information Technology (IT) Directorate, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899,
United States
ali.shaykhian@nasa.gov

Abstract Mathematical models of many physical/statistical problems are systems of
linear equations. Due to measurement and possible human errors/mistakes in
modeling/data, as well as due to certain assumptions to reduce complexity, inconsistency
(contradiction) is injected into the model, viz. the linear system. While any inconsistent
system irrespective of the degree of inconsistency has always a least-squares solution,
one needs to check whether an equation i.e. an information is too much inconsistent or,
equivalently, too much contradictory. Such an equation will affect/distort the least­squares solution to such an extent that it becomes unacceptable/unfit to be used in a real­world application. We propose an algorithm, in Matlab, which (i) can detect and prune
numerically redundant linear equations from the system, if necessary, as these do not add
any new information to a non-least-squares model, although they do have significant
impact in a least-squares model, (ii) detects contradictory linear equations along with a
degree of contradiction (inconsistency index) and then (iii) obtain the minimum norm
least-squares solution of the acceptably inconsistent reduced (pruned) linear system as
well as that of non-reduced linear system without too contradictory equations. The
resulting two solution vectors will be different in general and have important implication
in a real-world environment. The algorithms presented in Matlab may reduce the
computational and storage complexities and also may improve the accuracy of the
solution. These also detect and provide the necessary warning if there exists a highly
contradictory equation in the model. In addition, we suggest a thorough relook into the
mathematical modeling to determine the reason why unacceptable contradiction has
occurred thus prompting one to make necessary corrections/modifications to the models –
both mathematical and, if necessary, physical. We will focus here mainly on the non­
over-determined linear systems rather than over-determined systems which are often
usually the case in a least-squares problem.
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1. Introduction

There are many physical problems whose mathematical models turn out to be numerical linear systems \( Ax = b \), with more equations than the number of variables (over-determined), or with less equations than the number of variables (underdetermined), or with the number of equations same as the number of variables. Specifically, statistical problems giving rise to multivariate linear/multiple regression models are encountered in many real-world problems such as weather forecasting, psychological research, and business management. The systems will in general be inconsistent, i.e. the equations in a system will be, in general, contradictory to a varying extent. Consequently there will be no solution that will satisfy the linear system. If the system happens to be consistent (non-contradictory), then there will always be a solution which will satisfy all the equations in the system. If we attempt to find a least-squares solution \( x_i \) of such a consistent system, it will always be a true solution of the system and sum of the squares of the residuals \( \| Ax_i - b \|^2 \) will always be a numerical zero (defined in the context) [3].

A least-squares solution of any linear system \( Ax = b \), consistent or not, always exist and can be readily computed just by computing the true solution of the ever consistent system \( A'Ax = A'b \), where \( t \) denotes the transpose. A least-squares solution \( x_i \) is that solution for which the sum of the squares of the residuals viz. \( \| Ax_i - b \|^2 \) is the least, where \( \| \| \) denotes the Euclidean norm. This solution may not be unique. However, one of the possible least-squares solutions, viz. \( x_{ml} \) for which \( \| Ax_{ml} - b \|^2 \) and also \( \| x_{ml} \| \) are both minimum out of all possible least-squares solutions \( x_i \), is known as the minimum-norm least-squares solution (\( mls \)). The \( mls \) \( x_{ml} \) is always unique while the solution \( x_i \) may not be unique. When a least-squares solution of the system \( Ax = b \) is not unique, the system will then always have infinity of least-squares solutions. Consider, for example, the system \( Ax = b \), where

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
4 & 5 & 6 \\
7 & 8 & 9
\end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix}
6 \\
15 \\
23
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Using the Matlab commands (keyed in one line)

```matlab
>> A=[1 2 3;4 5 6;7 8 9], b=[6 15 23]', B=A'*A, c=A'*b, xt=B\c, nt=norm(A*xt-b),
    xmt=pinv(A)*b, nmt=norm(A*xmt-b), nxt=norm(xt), nxmt=norm(xmt)
```

we obtain a least-squares solution \( xt = [3.4091 \ -4.4848 \ 3.9091]' \) and the \( mls \) \( xmt = [0.6944 \ 0.9444 \ 1.1944]' \). Yet another least-squares solution is obtained using the Gauss reduction involving the following Matlab commands, which have used the foregoing matrix B and vector c,
This second least-squares solution then in an exact form is $x_r = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 1 & \frac{7}{6} \end{bmatrix}'$. There are, in fact, infinity of least-squares solutions for the foregoing problem. The sum of the squares of the residuals viz. $\|Ax - b\|^2$ for all the foregoing three solutions, viz. $x_r = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 1 & \frac{7}{6} \end{bmatrix}'$, $x_t = \begin{bmatrix} 3.4091 & -4.4848 & 3.9091 \end{bmatrix}'$, $xmt = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6944 & 0.9444 & 1.1944 \end{bmatrix}'$ are numerically the same, viz. 0.4082 while their norms are different. These are 1.6750, 6.8569, and 1.6736, respectively.

Here we will be computing the numerical $mls$ $x_{ml}$ instead of the solution $x_1$. For any least-squares problem, computing $x_{ml}$ instead of $x_1$ is absolutely fine and is usable for any real-world application unless one has some other constraints in mind.

It may be seen that the number of solutions of any linear system could be either 0 or just 1 or infinite. It can never be just 2 or just 3 or just $n$, where $n$ is a finite positive integer. For if there are two solutions then a linear combination of these two solutions is also a solution of the system $Ax = b$.

We present in section 2 the algorithms consisting of (i) pruning redundant (linearly dependent) equations, (ii) locating the equations, if any, that are unacceptably contradictory along with a computation of the inconsistency index $inci$, and (iii) computing the $mls$ of the pruned system that does not have too much (unacceptable inconsistency) contradiction in the context. The pruned system, i.e. the system without linearly dependent rows, involves less storage and less computation resulting in less computational error as well as less space (storage) complexity. However, in the context of a least-squares solution for a least-squares model, pruning linearly dependent rows may alter the least-squares solution vector significantly to make the desired solution worse or better depending on the problem on hand. One may compute both the pruned solution and the un-pruned solution to correlate/interpret them in the physical/statistical problem under consideration. An un-pruned least-squares solution of the inconsistent system involving several linearly dependent rows of the augmented matrix $[A, b]$ is significant unlike a one for a fully consistent system. The algorithm detects excessive contradiction in an equation, if any, in the system and cautions the concerned researcher so that he falls back to the physical problem and the resulting mathematical model, finds out the reason for unacceptable inconsistency in the model, takes appropriate corrective measures, and then computes the required solution. It will definitely not serve any purpose to compute the $mls$ (that can always be easily computed) of any system (having unacceptable inconsistency). In section 3, we provide numerical examples while in section 4 we include conclusions.

2. The Algorithm
The algorithm is comprised of three sub-algorithms, viz. pruning sub-algorithm, over-inconsistency detection sub-algorithm, and mls solver sub-algorithm. We briefly describe these sub-algorithms with a numerical example. However, it is always possible as well as easy to combine these sub-algorithms into one algorithm and execute the algorithm.

Sub-algorithm 1 (Pruning redundant equations) Consider the linear system (generally inconsistent)

\[ Ax = b, \]  

where \( A \) is a given numerical \( m \times n \) matrix, \( b \) is a given numerical \( m \)-vector, and \( x \) is an \( n \)-vector to be computed in the least-squares sense. We assume or we know for certain that the very first equation of the system (usually over-determined) \( Ax = b \) is correct. We first consider the augmented matrix \( C = (A, b) \) which will be our coefficient matrix. The right-hand side column vector \( d \) is computed as the row sum of the matrix 

\[ C = c_g, \]  

That is, \( d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_{ij}, i = 1(1)m \). Thus the system \( Cy = d \) is always consistent. We now use the following Matlab program (self-explanatory) to sieve out the linearly dependent rows (if any) of \( C \) as these rows are not required for computing a solution vector. For the mathematics/justification of this program refer [1, 2]

function pruningldrows(C);
% C=Usually augmented matrix (A,b) of Ax=b
% Redundant (linearly dependent) rows of the C are pruned.
b=sum(C')'; A=C; redrow(1)=0;

B=A; c=b; rrow=0;
[m n]=size(A); p=0;
x=zeros(n,1); r=0; P=eye(n); k=1;
abar=sum(sum(abs(A)))/(m*n); bbar=sum(abs(A(:,n-1)))/m;
for i = 1:m
    a=A(i,:); brow=b(i); u=P*a; v=(norm(u'))^2; inconsistency = brow-a'*x;
    if abs(v) >= 0.00005*abar %Permits 4 significant digit accuracy
        P=P-u*u'/v; x = x + inconsistency*u/v; r=r+1;
    else
        % Store indices of redundant rows in a vector.
        redrow(k)=i; k=k+1; rrow=1;
    end
end;

disp('Given unpruned matrix is'); C

disp('Linearly dependent rows are'); redrow
if rrow==1
c(redrow)=[]; B(redrow,:)=[];
end
if p -= 1
    S=size(B);
    if S(1)<=m
        disp('The rank of the matrix C or the pruned matrix B is '); disp(r);
        disp('The pruned matrix B is'); B
    end
where \( Ab = [A, b] \) is the augmented matrix where the first 5 columns constitute the coefficient matrix \( A \) while the last column of \( Ab \) is the right-hand side \( b \) vector which is constructed by the row-sum of each row of the matrix \( A \). Consequently, the system \( Abx = bb \) is always consistent. The Matlab command `>> pruningldrows([Ab bb])` produces pruned system as follows.

Given unpruned matrix is

\[ C = [Ab bb] \]

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Linearly dependent rows are redrow = 2 5 6 7

The rank of the matrix \( C \) or the pruned matrix \( B \) is 3

The pruned matrix \( B \) is

\[ B = \]

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Sub-algorithm 2** (Over-inconsistency detection) Having pruned linearly dependent (redundant) rows, the resulting system will be left with equations \( Bx = c \) which could be (i) consistent or (ii) acceptably inconsistent or (iii) unacceptably (over-) inconsistent (in the context). In case (i), we compute the \( mls \ x_{ml} \) (unique) of \( Bx = c \), which is a true solution of \( Bx = c \), i.e. \( \| Bx_{ml} - c \| = 0 \) (numerical zero). In case (ii) also, we compute the \( mls \ x_{ml} \) of \( Bx = c \), which is not a true solution but a solution such that the norm \( \| Bx_{ml} - c \| \) i.e. the square-root of the sum of the squares of the residual, is a minimum
and not a numerical zero. In case (iii), once we detect an abnormally (too) inconsistent equation or, equivalently, an outlier (analogous to a data point which is far away from other data points or a cluster of data points in statistics), we do not proceed to compute the mls of \( Bx = c \) as it may not often be useful. Instead, we go back to the original physical (real-world) problem along with its corresponding mathematical model to determine the cause of such an unacceptably large contradiction (inconsistency) and take the necessary corrective measures before computing the mls of \( Bx = c \). The following Matlab code (program) \texttt{nclinsolver(A, b)} which is self-explanatory detects the equations that cause over-inconsistency [2].

\begin{verbatim}
function [ ]=nclinsolver(A,b); [m, n]=size(A); 
%NC-LINSOLVER: Near-consistent Linear System Solver
' The matrix A and vector b of the system Ax=b are', A,b,
P=eye(n); sd=0; x(1:n)=0; x=x'; delb(1:m)=0; delb=delb'; bo=b; r=0;
abar=0; for i=1:m, for j=1:n, abar=abar+abs(A(i,j));
end; abar=abar/(m*n);
bbar=0; for i=1:m, bbar=bbar+abs(b(i)); end; bbar=bbar/m;
for i=1:m
  u=P*A(i,:) '; v=norm(u)A2; s=b(i) -A(i,:)*x; c=0;
  if v<=.00005*abar & abs(s)<=.00005*bbar, delb(i)=-s; sd=-s; b(i)=b(i)+delb(i); s=0;
  elseif v>.00005*abar & abs(s)<=.00005*bbar; delb(i)=0; end;
  if v>.00005*abar, x=x+u*s/v; P=P-u*u'/v; c=1; delb(i)=0; end;
  r=r+c;
end;
if abs(sd)>0.00005*(abar+bbar)*0.5, 'The system Ax=b is
inconsistent.', end;
inci=norm(delb)/norm([A,b]); err=norm(bo-A*x)/norm(x);
' The projection operator P = (I - A+A) is', P,
' The rank of the matrix A is', r,
' The inconsistency index is', inci,
' Modification in vector b, i.e., Db is', delb,
' Vector b of the nearest consistent system is', b,
' Solution vector of the nearest consistent system is', x,
' Error in the solution vector x is', err
\end{verbatim}

The matrix \( AAA \) is our \( B \) matrix of the pruned system \( Bx = b \) while the vector \( bbb \) is our vector \( b \) of the pruned system. The pruned system could be consistent or acceptably inconsistent or unacceptably inconsistent. We now detect the equation that causes the inconsistency, using the Matlab command \texttt{>> nclinsolver(AAA, bbb)}. The matrix \( AAA \) and vector \( bbb \) of the system \( AAAx=bbb \) are

\[
AAA = 
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 15 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The system $Ax=b$ (i.e. $AAx=bbb$) is inconsistent. The projection operator $P = (I - A^+A)$ (i.e. $P = (I - A^*A)$ needed for a solution $x_c = Pz$, of the homogeneous equation $Ax = 0$, where $z$ is an arbitrary column vector and $A^+$ is the minimum-norm least squares ($ml$) inverse of the matrix $A$, also called the pseudo-inverse or the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A$, is

$$P =
\begin{pmatrix}
0.4000 & -0.4000 & -0.2000 & -0.0000 & 0.2000 \\
-0.4000 & 0.7000 & -0.2000 & -0.1000 & -0.0000 \\
-0.2000 & -0.2000 & 0.8000 & -0.2000 & -0.0000 \\
-0.0000 & -0.1000 & -0.2000 & 0.7000 & -0.4000 \\
0.2000 & -0.0000 & -0.2000 & -0.4000 & 0.4000
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The rank of the matrix $A$ is $r = 2$. The inconsistency index is $inci = 6.5950$. Modification in vector $b$, i.e., $Db$ is $delb = [0 \ -355 \ 0]^T$. Vector $b$ of the nearest consistent system is $b = [15 \ 45 \ 10]^T$. Solution vector of the nearest consistent system is $x = [5.0000 \ 3.5000 \ 2.0000 \ 0.5000 \ -1.0000]^T$. Error in the solution vector $x$ is $err = 54.4545$. $delb$ in the foregoing solution shows that the second element, viz. $-355$ is unacceptably large. Ideally it should have been close to zero for an acceptable inconsistency. This implies that one needs to fall back to the original physical problem and its mathematical model to ascertain why such a large contradiction has occurred and to take necessary corrective measures. The inconsistency index $inci$ as well as the error in the solution vector provide us enough information about the equation which has become too contradictory. One may recall that we assumed that the very first equation representing an information is correct. Or, in other words, we have already carefully checked from the physical model and its corresponding mathematical model that the first equation is correct or any of the equations of the system which is definitely known to be correct will be placed as our very first equation. This is because all the other equations, each one of which representing an information (an assertive sentence), are checked against the very first equation. A more desirable thing will be to assemble all those equations in the beginning (at the top) which have been checked and rechecked thoroughly and found to be definitely correct. In the foregoing system, clearly the second equation is the one which is too inconsistent and may be considered an outlier in a statistical sense.

However, if we have the same foregoing system with 40 instead of 400, then we will have inconsistency index $inci$, $delb$ (to make the system consistent), as well as $err$ (error in the solution vector $x$) as

$$inci = 0.0929, \ delb = [0 \ 5 \ 0]^T,$$ 

and $err = 0.7670$,

respectively. In the given context, such a relatively small contradiction could be acceptable.
The solution vector of the nearest consistent system in the foregoing nclinsolver program has been shown so that one can compare the actual *mls* to be computed by the sub-algorithm 3 against it although it is not our focus.

**Sub-algorithm 3 Minimum-norm least-squares solver (ml solver)** Having confirmed that the system $Bx = c$ is an acceptably inconsistent system from the sub-algorithm 2, we simply compute the *mls* of $Bx = c$. While one can always compute simply a least-squares solution (need not be unique) of $Bx = c$ by any of the available numerical methods in literature [4-11], we compute the *mls* simply by using the one concise Matlab command >>xc=pinv(B)*c. This solution is also a least-squares solution and it serves our purpose perfectly well as long as our system is not too large. If the system is too large so that it is beyond the scope of the general Matlab command *pinv* from both storage point of view as well as from error point of view, we need to use a special software package suited for computing a least-squares solution of the system.

Our acceptably inconsistent system is $\text{AAA}x = \text{bbb}$, where

\[
\text{AAA} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 15 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and $\text{bbb} = [15 \ 40 \ 10]'$.

>> x=pinv(\text{AAA})*\text{bbb}

\[
x = [5.3000 \ 3.6500 \ 2.0000 \ 0.3500 \ -1.3000]'
\]

One may compare the error $\text{errml}$ due to this *mls* of the system $\text{AAA}x = \text{bbb}$ with error $\text{errnc}$ due to the solution of the foregoing nearest consistent system in Matlab as follows.

>> \text{errml}=norm(\text{AAA}* [5.3000 \ 3.6500 \ 2.0000 \ 0.3500 \ -1.3000]'-\text{bbb})

\[
\text{errml} = 1.5811
\]

>> \text{errnc}=norm(\text{AAA}*[5.0000 \ 3.5000 \ 2.0000 \ 0.5000 \ -1.0000]'-\text{bbb})

\[
\text{errnc} = 5
\]

It can be seen that error in the *mls* of the system is less possibly prompting us to infer that the inconsistency is acceptable. Although we do not have sufficient pressing reason to bother about nearest consistent system, we could see how much deviation in the right-hand side vector bbb is required for the original pruned inconsistent system to be consistent. If the numerical deviation is too much, then evidently the inconsistency is also too much and is unacceptable for a real-world implementation. Consider, for instance, the foregoing system with $\text{bbb}=[15 \ 400 \ 10]'$ instead of $\text{bbb}=[15 \ 40 \ 10]'$. Then we have
>> bbb=[15 400 10]' 

bbb = [15 400 10]' 

>> xml=pinv(AAA)*bbb 

xml = [-16.3000 -7.1500 2.0000 11.1500 20.3000]' 

>> errml1=norm(AAA* [5.3000 3.6500 2.0000 0.3500 -1.3000]'-bbb) 

errml1 = 359.5031 

This error errml1 is sufficiently greater than the foregoing errnc. This indicates that the inconsistency is too large to be accepted. However, the acceptance of inconsistency very much depends on the context and is somewhat subjective.

Consider the following constructed (using Matlab rand command) over-determined system Ax=b.

>> A=rand(12, 5), b=sum(A')' 

A =
0.8147 0.9572 0.6787 0.6948 0.7094
0.9058 0.4854 0.7577 0.3171 0.7547
0.1270 0.8003 0.7431 0.9502 0.2760
0.9134 0.1419 0.3922 0.0344 0.6797
0.6324 0.4218 0.6555 0.4387 0.6551
0.0975 0.9157 0.1712 0.3816 0.1626
0.2785 0.7922 0.7060 0.7655 0.1190
0.5469 0.9595 0.0318 0.7952 0.4984
0.9575 0.6557 0.2769 0.1869 0.9597
0.9649 0.0357 0.0462 0.4898 0.3404
0.1576 0.8491 0.0971 0.4456 0.5853
0.9706 0.9340 0.8235 0.6463 0.2238

b = [3.8548 3.2207 2.8966 2.1616 2.8034 1.7286 2.6613 2.8318 3.0368 1.8769 2.1347 3.5982]' 

The augmented matrix \(C = [A \ b]\) is obtained as follows.

>> C=[A b] 

C =
0.8147 0.9572 0.6787 0.6948 0.7094 3.8548
0.9058 0.4854 0.7577 0.3171 0.7547 3.2207
The 13th, 14th, and 15th rows of $C$ are constructed using the following three Matlab commands.

```matlab
>> C(13,:)=2*C(12,:)+3*C(11,:)
>> C(14,:)=-1*C(10,:)+4*C(9,:)
>> C(15,:)=-1*C(8,:)+4*C(7,:)
```

Thus the $15 \times 6$ augmented matrix $C$ is then

$$C =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.8147 & 0.9572 & 0.6787 & 0.6948 & 0.7094 & 3.8548 \\
0.9058 & 0.4854 & 0.7577 & 0.3171 & 0.7547 & 3.2207 \\
0.1270 & 0.8003 & 0.7431 & 0.9502 & 0.2760 & 2.8966 \\
0.9134 & 0.1419 & 0.3922 & 0.0344 & 0.6797 & 2.1616 \\
0.6324 & 0.4218 & 0.0189 & 0.3816 & 0.1626 & 1.7286 \\
0.2785 & 0.7922 & 0.7060 & 0.7655 & 0.1190 & 2.6613 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 & 2.8318 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 & 3.0368 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 & 1.8769 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 & 2.1347 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 & 3.5982 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 & 2.1347 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 & 1.8769 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 & 2.8318 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 & 3.0368 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 & 1.8769 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 & 2.1347 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 & 3.5982
\end{bmatrix}
$$

We then inject inconsistency in 14th and 15th equations

```matlab
>> C(14,6)=C(14,6)-1, C(15,6)=C(15,6)+2 (%Inconsistency is injected in 14th and 15th equations)
```

Thus the augmented matrix $C$ of the inconsistent system becomes

$$C =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.8147 & 0.9572 & 0.6787 & 0.6948 & 0.7094 & 3.8548 \\
0.9058 & 0.4854 & 0.7577 & 0.3171 & 0.7547 & 3.2207 \\
0.1270 & 0.8003 & 0.7431 & 0.9502 & 0.2760 & 2.8966 \\
0.9134 & 0.1419 & 0.3922 & 0.0344 & 0.6797 & 2.1616 \\
0.6324 & 0.4218 & 0.0189 & 0.3816 & 0.1626 & 1.7286 \\
0.2785 & 0.7922 & 0.7060 & 0.7655 & 0.1190 & 2.6613 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 & 2.8318 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 & 3.0368 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 & 1.8769 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 & 2.1347 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 & 3.5982 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 & 2.1347 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 & 1.8769 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 & 2.8318 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 & 3.0368 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 & 1.8769 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 & 2.1347 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 & 3.5982
\end{bmatrix}
$$
If we use the Matlab command `pruningldrows(C)` to prune linearly dependent rows then we have:

Linearly dependent rows are

redrow = 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 15

The rank of the matrix C or the pruned matrix B is 6

The pruned matrix B is

\[
B = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
0.8147 & 0.9572 & 0.6787 & 0.6948 & 0.7094 & 3.8548 \\
0.9058 & 0.4854 & 0.7577 & 0.3171 & 0.7547 & 3.2207 \\
0.1270 & 0.8003 & 0.7431 & 0.9502 & 0.2760 & 2.8966 \\
0.9134 & 0.1419 & 0.3922 & 0.0344 & 0.6797 & 2.1616 \\
0.6324 & 0.4218 & 0.6555 & 0.4387 & 0.6551 & 2.8034 \\
0.0975 & 0.9157 & 0.1712 & 0.3816 & 0.1626 & 1.7286 \\
0.2785 & 0.7922 & 0.7060 & 0.7655 & 0.1190 & 2.6613 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 & 2.8318 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 & 3.0368 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 & 1.8769 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 & 2.1347 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 & 3.5982 \\
2.4140 & 4.4154 & 1.9383 & 2.6294 & 2.2034 & 13.6005 \\
2.8651 & 2.5873 & 1.0615 & 0.2577 & 3.4986 & 9.2702 \\
0.5671 & 2.2093 & 2.7924 & 2.2669 & -0.0224 & 9.8133
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The rank of the augmented matrix is obtained using the Matlab command `rank(C)` as 6 (thus C is a matrix with full-column rank).

Thus the right-hand side vector bb of the pruned linear system \(Bx=bb\) is obtained using the command `bb=B(:,6)` as

\[
bb = [3.8548 \ 3.2207 \ 2.8966 \ 2.1616 \ 2.8034 \ 9.2702]^T
\]

while the coefficient matrix B is sieved out using the command `B=B(1:6, 1:5)` as

\[
B = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
0.8147 & 0.9572 & 0.6787 & 0.6948 & 0.7094 \\
0.9058 & 0.4854 & 0.7577 & 0.3171 & 0.7547 \\
0.1270 & 0.8003 & 0.7431 & 0.9502 & 0.2760 \\
0.9134 & 0.1419 & 0.3922 & 0.0344 & 0.6797 \\
0.6324 & 0.4218 & 0.6555 & 0.4387 & 0.6551 \\
0.0975 & 0.9157 & 0.1712 & 0.3816 & 0.1626 \\
0.2785 & 0.7922 & 0.7060 & 0.7655 & 0.1190 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 \\
2.4140 & 4.4154 & 1.9383 & 2.6294 & 2.2034 \\
2.8651 & 2.5873 & 1.0615 & 0.2577 & 3.4986 \\
0.5671 & 2.2093 & 2.7924 & 2.2669 & -0.0224
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(The original augmented matrix B no longer exists.)

The Matlab program >> nclinsolver(B, bb) when executed produces the following results (omitting, however, the print output of the coefficient matrix B and the right-hand side vector bb).

The system $Ax=b$ (i.e. $Bx=bb$) is inconsistent.

The projection operator $P = (I - A+A)$ i.e. $P = (I - B^* B)$ is

$$P = 1.0e-014 *$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.2554</th>
<th>-0.3381</th>
<th>-0.2512</th>
<th>0.3830</th>
<th>-0.0999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.3381</td>
<td>0.0216</td>
<td>-0.1015</td>
<td>-0.0459</td>
<td>0.4447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.2512</td>
<td>-0.1015</td>
<td>-0.1620</td>
<td>0.0737</td>
<td>0.4038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3830</td>
<td>-0.0459</td>
<td>0.0737</td>
<td>0.0737</td>
<td>-0.4698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0999</td>
<td>0.4447</td>
<td>0.4038</td>
<td>-0.4698</td>
<td>-0.1887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

which is a numerical null (zero) matrix.

The rank of the matrix $A$ (i.e. $B$) is $r = 5$

The inconsistency index is $inci = 0.0729$

Modification in vector $b$, i.e., $Db$ is $delb = [0 0 0 0 0 0.9985]$\textsuperscript{t}

Vector $b$ of the nearest consistent system is

$$b = [3.8548 \ 3.2207 \ 2.8966 \ 2.1616 \ 2.8034 \ 10.2687]^t$$

Solution vector of the nearest consistent system is

$$x = [1.0007 \ 1.0000 \ 1.0002 \ 1.0001 \ 0.9989]^t$$

Error in the solution vector $x$ is $err = 0.4466$

If we now consider the un-pruned system $CCx=bc$, where

$$bc = [3.8548 \ 3.2207 \ 2.8966 \ 2.1616 \ 2.8034 \ 1.7286 \ 2.6613 \ 2.8318 \ 3.0368 \ 1.8769 \ 2.1347 \ 3.5982 \ 13.6005 \ 9.2702 \ 9.8133]^t$$
and

\[ CC = \]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0.8147 & 0.9572 & 0.6787 & 0.6948 & 0.7094 \\
0.9058 & 0.4854 & 0.7577 & 0.3171 & 0.7547 \\
0.1270 & 0.8003 & 0.7431 & 0.9502 & 0.2760 \\
0.9134 & 0.1419 & 0.3922 & 0.0344 & 0.6797 \\
0.6324 & 0.4218 & 0.6555 & 0.4387 & 0.6551 \\
0.0975 & 0.9157 & 0.1712 & 0.3816 & 0.1626 \\
0.2785 & 0.7922 & 0.7060 & 0.7655 & 0.1190 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 \\
2.4140 & 4.4154 & 1.9383 & 2.6294 & 2.2034 \\
2.8651 & 2.5873 & 1.0615 & 0.2577 & 3.4986 \\
0.5671 & 2.2093 & 2.7924 & 2.2669 & -0.0224
\end{bmatrix}
\]

then we have the \textit{mls} \( x_1 \) of the pruned system \( Bx-bb \) and the \textit{mls} \( x_2 \) of the non-pruned system \( CCx=bc \) obtained using the commands

\[
>> x1=pinv(B)*bb, x2=pinv(CC)*bc
\]

as

\[
x_1 = [1.4061 \ 0.8277 \ 1.0575 \ 1.2195 \ 0.4759]^t
\]
\[
x_2 = [0.8656 \ 0.8763 \ 1.5941 \ 1.1482 \ 0.7234]^t.
\]

Their respective norms are, using

\[
>> \text{norm}(x1), \text{norm}(x2),
\]

2.3439 and 2.4290, respectively.

\[
>> BB=[B;C(15,1:5)], bbb=[bb; C(15,6)]
\]

\[
BB =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.8147 & 0.9572 & 0.6787 & 0.6948 & 0.7094 \\
0.9058 & 0.4854 & 0.7577 & 0.3171 & 0.7547 \\
0.1270 & 0.8003 & 0.7431 & 0.9502 & 0.2760 \\
0.9134 & 0.1419 & 0.3922 & 0.0344 & 0.6797 \\
0.6324 & 0.4218 & 0.6555 & 0.4387 & 0.6551 \\
2.8651 & 2.5873 & 1.0615 & 0.2577 & 3.4986 \\
0.5671 & 2.2093 & 2.7924 & 2.2669 & -0.0224
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\verb|>> x3=pinv(BB)*bbb|

\verb|x3 = [1.3955 1.4626 1.8621 0.2521 -0.1601]^

\verb|>> norm(x3)|

\verb|2.7647|

\verb|>> x1=pinv(B)*bb|

\verb|x1 = [1.4061 0.8277 1.0575 1.2195 0.4759]^

\verb|>> norm(x1)|

\verb|2.3439|

\verb|>> CC|

\verb|CC =|

\begin{array}{cccccc}
0.8147 & 0.9572 & 0.6787 & 0.6948 & 0.7094 \\
0.9058 & 0.4854 & 0.7577 & 0.3171 & 0.7547 \\
0.1270 & 0.8003 & 0.7431 & 0.9502 & 0.2760 \\
0.9134 & 0.1419 & 0.3922 & 0.0344 & 0.6797 \\
0.6324 & 0.4218 & 0.6555 & 0.4387 & 0.6551 \\
0.0975 & 0.9157 & 0.1712 & 0.3816 & 0.1626 \\
0.2785 & 0.7922 & 0.7060 & 0.7655 & 0.1190 \\
0.5469 & 0.9595 & 0.0318 & 0.7952 & 0.4984 \\
0.9575 & 0.6557 & 0.2769 & 0.1869 & 0.9597 \\
0.9649 & 0.0357 & 0.0462 & 0.4898 & 0.3404 \\
0.1576 & 0.8491 & 0.0971 & 0.4456 & 0.5853 \\
0.9706 & 0.9340 & 0.8235 & 0.6463 & 0.2238 \\
2.4140 & 4.4154 & 1.9383 & 2.6294 & 2.2034 \\
2.8651 & 2.5873 & 1.0615 & 0.2577 & 3.4986 \\
0.5671 & 2.2093 & 2.7924 & 2.2669 & -0.0224
\end{array}

\verb|>> bc|

\verb|bc = [3.8548 3.2207 2.8966 2.1616 2.8034 1.7286 2.6613 2.8318 3.0368 1.8769 \\

\verb|>> x2=pinv(CC)*bc|
x2 = [0.8656 0.8763 1.5941 1.1482 0.7234]^t

>> norm(x2)

2.4290

3. Numerical Example

Consider the non-over-determined inconsistent system \( Ax = b \) created by the following Matlab commands:

```matlab
>> A=rand(4,6); A(5,:)=1.5*A(1,:)+2*A(2,:); A(6,:)=3*A(2,:)-1.7*A(3,:)+4*A(4,:), b=sum(A')'; b(5)=b(5)+2; b(6)=b(6)-1
```

where the coefficient matrix \( A \) and the right-hand side column vector \( b \) are (correct up to 4 decimal digits)

\[
A =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.6787 & 0.6555 & 0.2769 & 0.6948 & 0.4387 & 0.1869 \\
0.7577 & 0.1712 & 0.0462 & 0.3171 & 0.3816 & 0.4898 \\
0.7431 & 0.0971 & 0.9502 & 0.7655 & 0.4456 \\
0.3922 & 0.8235 & 0.0344 & 0.7952 & 0.6463 \\
2.5336 & 1.3256 & 0.5077 & 1.6764 & 1.4212 & 1.2598 \\
2.5788 & -0.5594 & 3.2672 & -0.5263 & 3.0241 & 3.2970
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
b = [2.9316 \ 2.1635 \ 3.7076 \ 2.7235 \ 10.7244 \ 10.0815]^t,
\]

respectively although the actual computation was done with 15 digits. If one tries to compute the rank of \( A \) with the foregoing 4-digit entry for each element then one will find that the rank of \( A \) as 6 instead of 4. This is due to rounding errors. However, if one retains all the 15 digits for each element of \( A \) and then compute the rank of \( A \), then he will get the rank as 4 and the rank of the augmented matrix \([A \ b]\) as 5.

The system \( Ax = b \) is inconsistent. The 5th and 6th rows of the matrix \( A \) is linearly dependent on the foregoing four rows. The augmented matrix \( C \) obtained by the Matlab command \( >> C=[A \ b] \) is (correct up to 4 decimal digits)

\[
C =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.6787 & 0.6555 & 0.2769 & 0.6948 & 0.4387 & 0.1869 & 2.9316 \\
0.7577 & 0.1712 & 0.0462 & 0.3171 & 0.3816 & 0.4898 & 2.1635 \\
0.7431 & 0.7060 & 0.0971 & 0.9502 & 0.7655 & 0.4456 & 3.7076 \\
0.3922 & 0.0318 & 0.8235 & 0.0344 & 0.7952 & 0.6463 & 2.7235 \\
2.5336 & 1.3256 & 0.5077 & 1.6764 & 1.4212 & 1.2598 & 10.7244 \\
2.5788 & -0.5594 & 3.2672 & -0.5263 & 3.0241 & 3.2970 & 10.0815
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The linearly dependent (ld) row of C obtained using the command >> pruningldrows(C) is its 6th row. The rank of the matrix C or the pruned matrix B is 5 (The input data were kept correct up to 15 decimal digits and not up to 4 decimal digits in actual computation).

The right-hand side column vector bb is (correct up to 4 decimal digits) \( bb = [2.9316, 2.1635, 3.7076, 2.7235, 10.7244]^T \). The pruned matrix B is (up to 4 decimal digits), using the command >> \( BB=B(:,1:6) \),

\[
BB = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
0.6787 & 0.6555 & 0.2769 & 0.6948 & 0.4387 & 0.1869 \\
0.7577 & 0.1712 & 0.0462 & 0.3171 & 0.3816 & 0.4898 \\
0.7431 & 0.7060 & 0.0971 & 0.9502 & 0.7655 & 0.4456 \\
0.3922 & 0.0318 & 0.8235 & 0.0344 & 0.7952 & 0.6463 \\
2.5336 & 1.3256 & 0.5077 & 1.6764 & 1.4212 & 1.2598 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

We now detect the equation(s), using the command >> nclinsolver(BB,bb), that are inconsistent as follows.

The system \( Ax=b \) (i.e. \( BBx=bb \)) is inconsistent. The rank of the matrix \( A \) (i.e. \( BB \)) is \( r = 4 \). The inconsistency index is \( inci = 0.1746 \). Modification in vector \( b \), i.e., \( Db \) is \( delb = [0, 0, 0, 0, -2.0001]^T \). The fifth element of \( delb \) depicts that the fifth equation is inconsistent. Vector \( b \) (i.e. \( bb \)) of the nearest consistent system is \( b = [2.9316, 2.1635, 3.7076, 2.7235, 8.7243]^T \). Solution vector of the nearest consistent system is \( x = [1.1102, 0.8803, 0.9555, 0.9595, 1.2137, 0.7351]^T \). Error in the solution vector \( x \) is \( err = 0.8266 \).

The \( mls \) of the pruned system and that of un-pruned system along with their norms are given using the Matlab commands

\[
>> x1=pinv(BB)*bb, x1n=norm(x1), x2=pinv(A)*b, x2n=norm(x2)
\]

where \( x1 = 1.0e+003 * [3.8250, -6.4839, -0.5869, 1.0113, 6.5185, -9.3243]^T \) is the \( mls \) of the pruned system and \( x1n = 1.3692e+004 \) is the norm of \( x1 \).

The \( mls \) of the un-pruned system is \( x2 = 1.0e+004 * [0.7794, 2.2436, -1.5833, -3.4841, 2.6687, -1.6636]^T \) and its norm is \( x2n = 5.4933e+004 \).

The square-roots of the sums of the squares of the residuals of the pruned and un-pruned systems are, using the commands >> \( x1t=norm(BB*x1-bb) \), \( x2t=norm(A*x2-b) \), \( x1t = 1.2841e-011 \) and \( x2t = 2.3662e-010 \), respectively. Here we see that the sum of the squares of the residuals for the pruned system is less than that of un-pruned system. In reality, it can be the other way also for some other least-squares problems. However, the foregoing inconsistency due to the fifth equation may be quite acceptable in most real-world computations.

4. Conclusions
Inconsistency for non-over-determined system $Ax = b$ is due to linear dependence of a row of the matrix $A$ while the corresponding element of $b$ on the right-hand side is not linearly dependent. In other words the row(s) of $A$ are linearly dependent while the corresponding row(s) of the augmented matrix $[A, b]$ are not.

It is possible to know globally whether inconsistency is relatively large or not by computing the sum of squares of the residuals, viz. $\|Ax_m - b\|$, where $\| \|$ denotes the Euclidean norm and $x_m$ is the mls of the system $Ax = b$. This sum should be acceptably low in the context. But it is not possible to know the equations which have caused the inconsistency. In fact, it is more important to detect the equations which cause the excessive inconsistency and take necessary corrective measures before proceeding. Hence it is necessary to fall back on to the original physical/statistical problem and the corresponding mathematical model and find out the reason for over-inconsistency and correct the model accordingly before proceeding to compute the mls.

While for consistent systems, linearly dependent rows do not carry any new information and are hence redundant, for inconsistent systems, the linearly dependent rows do carry information about the physical problem and hence do not always deserve to be pruned (in many contexts). The mls for pruned and non-pruned inconsistent systems will be, in general, different. The sum of the squares of the residuals could be significantly more or significantly less than that for the non-pruned system. The foregoing numerical examples demonstrate this fact.

We have omitted the algorithms in mathematical form and provided them in Matlab codes (programs). One can readily translate these codes to algorithms in mathematical form if it is more convenient to appreciate the essence of the algorithms.

For pruning linearly dependent rows as well as for the detection of the equations that cause inconsistency, one may use Gauss reduction with partial pivoting. But this method involves row interchanges and also the need for keeping track of row numbers. These, specifically row interchanges, are not desirable in many situations. For instance, a situation where row interchanges disturb the structure of the matrix such as the one having one main diagonal with two diagonals above and two diagonals below. Of course, both pruning and detection of inconsistent equations assume that the first equation is definitely correct. This does not necessarily imply that some other subsequent linearly independent equation is also correct.
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Abstract

Mathematical models of many physical/statistical problems are systems of linear equations. Due to measurement and possible human errors/mistakes in modeling/data, as well as due to certain assumptions to reduce complexity, inconsistency (contradiction) is injected into the model, viz. the linear system. While any inconsistent system irrespective of the degree of inconsistency has always a least-squares solution, one needs to check whether an equation i.e. an information is too much inconsistent or, equivalently, too much contradictory. Such an equation will affect/distort the least-squares solution to such an extent that it becomes unacceptable/unfit to be used in a real-world application. We propose an algorithm, in MatLab, which (i) can detect and prune numerically redundant linear equations from the system, if necessary, as these do not add any new information to a non-least-squares model, although they do have significant impact in a least-squares model, (ii) detects contradictory linear equations along with a degree of contradiction (inconsistency index) and then (iii) obtain the minimum norm least-squares solution of the acceptably inconsistent reduced (pruned) linear system as well as that of non-reduced linear system without too contradictory equations. The resulting two solution vectors will be different in general and have important implication in a real-world environment. The algorithms presented in MatLab may reduce the computational and storage complexities and also may improve the accuracy of the solution. These also detect and provide the necessary warning if there exists a highly contradictory equation in the model. In addition, we suggest a thorough relook into the mathematical modeling to determine the reason why unacceptable contradiction has occurred thus prompting us to make necessary corrections/modifications to the models – both mathematical and, if necessary, physical. We will focus here mainly on the non-over-determined linear systems rather than over-determined systems.
Least-Squares Solution

A least-squares solution of any linear system $Ax = b$, consistent or not, always exist and can be readily computed just by computing the true solution of the ever consistent system $A^t Ax = b$, ($t$ denotes the transpose)

A least-squares solution $x_l$ is that solution for which the sum of the squares of the residuals viz. $||Ax_l - b||^2$ is the least ($|| \cdot ||$ denotes an Euclidean norm)

This solution may not be unique. However, one of the possible least-squares solutions, viz. $x_{ml}$ for which $||Ax_{ml} - b||^2$ and also $||x_{ml}||$ are both minimum out of all possible least-squares solutions, is known as the minimum-norm least-squares solution ($mls$).

The $mls$ $x_{ml}$ is always unique while the solution $x_l$ may not be unique.
A norm is a function that assigns a strictly positive length or size to all vectors in a vector space, other than the zero vector.

A simple example is the 2-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) equipped with the Euclidean norm.

In MATLAB function `norm` returns the 2-norm (Euclidean norm).

Example:

\[
a = -2:2 \\
a = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

The 2-norm of \( a \) is equal to

\[
\text{sn} = \text{norm}(a)
\]

\[
\text{sn} = 3.1623
\]

With each nonzero vector one can associate a unit vector that is parallel to the given vector. For instance, for the vector \( a \) its unit vector is

\[
\text{unitvector} = a / \text{sn}
\]

\[
\text{unitvector} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.6325 & -0.3162 & 0 & 0.3162 & 0.6325 \end{bmatrix}
\]
The norm function calculates several different types of matrix and vector norms. If the input is a vector or a matrix:

- \( n = \text{norm}(X, 2) \) returns the 2-norm of \( X \).
- \( n = \text{norm}(X) \) is the same as \( n = \text{norm}(X, 2) \); 2-norm is default in MatLab.
- \( n = \text{norm}(X, 1) \) returns the 1-norm of \( X \).
- \( n = \text{norm}(X, \infty) \) returns the infinity norm of \( X \).
- \( n = \text{norm}(X, 'fro') \) returns the Frobenius norm of \( X \).

In addition, when the input is a vector \( v \):

- \( n = \text{norm}(v, p) \) returns the p-norm of \( v \). The p-norm is \( \text{sum}(\text{abs}(v)^p)^{1/p} \).
- \( n = \text{norm}(v, \infty) \) returns the largest element of \( \text{abs}(v) \).
- \( n = \text{norm}(v, -\infty) \) returns the smallest element of \( \text{abs}(v) \).
norm()

Example

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A^*A = A^T A = \begin{bmatrix}
5 & 3 \\
3 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\sigma_1^2 = 6.8541 \text{ and } \sigma_2^2 = .1459
\]

\[
\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2} = 2.618
\]
pinv() -- Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of a matrix

\[ B = \text{pinv}(A) \]

If \( A \) is square and not singular, then \( \text{pinv}(A) \) is an expensive way to compute \( \text{inv}(A) \)

If \( A \) is not square, or is square and singular, then \( \text{inv}(A) \) does not exist

In these cases, \( \text{pinv}(A) \) has some of, but not all, the properties of \( \text{inv}(A) \).

If \( A \) has more rows than columns and is not of full rank, then the overdetermined least squares problem “minimize norm(\( A^*x \)-\( b \))” does not have a unique solution.

\[
\begin{align*}
\end{bmatrix} \\
b & = \begin{bmatrix} 260 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\[ x = \text{pinv}(A) * b \text{ which is} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
x & = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1538 \\ 1.4615 \\ 1.3846 \\ 1.3846 \\ 1.4615 \\ 1.1538 \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\text{and norm}(x) & = 3.2817
\end{align*}
\]
Least-Squares Solution

Consider, for example, the system \( Ax = b \), where

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Using the MatLab script:

```matlab
A=[1 2 3;4 5 6;7 8 9]
b=[6 15 23]' 
B=A'*A 
c=A'*b 
xt=B\c 
nt=norm(A*xt-b) 
xmt=pinv(A)*b 
nmt=norm(A*xmt-b) 
nxt=norm(xl) 
xm=norm(xmt)
```

we obtain a least-squares solution:

\[
xt = \begin{bmatrix} 3.4091 \\ -4.4848 \\ 3.9091 \end{bmatrix}, \quad xmt = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6944 \\ 0.9444 \\ 1.1944 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Least-Squares Solution

It may be seen that the number of solutions of any linear system could be either 0 or just 1 or infinite.

It can never be just 2 or just 3 or just \( n \) where \( n \) is a finite positive integer.

For if there are two solutions then a linear combination of these two solutions is also a solution of the system, \( Ax = b \).

However, in the context of a least-squares solution for a least-squares model, pruning linearly dependent rows may alter the least-squares solution vector significantly to make the desired solution worse or better depending on the problem on hand.

One may compute both the pruned solution and the un-pruned solution to correlate/interpret them in the physical/statistical problem under consideration.

An un-pruned least-squares solution of the inconsistent system involving several linearly dependent rows of the augmented matrix \([A, b]\) is significant unlike a one for a fully consistent system.
The Algorithm

The algorithm is comprised of three sub-algorithms:
1. pruning sub-algorithm
2. over-inconsistency detection sub-algorithm
3. solver sub-algorithm

Let's consider linear system:

\[ Ax = b \]

\( A \) is a given numerical \( m \times n \) matrix
\( b \) is a given numerical \( n \)-vector
\( x \) is an \( n \)-vector to be computed

Sub-algorithm 1 (Pruning redundant equations)

Consider the augmented matrix: \( C = (A, b) \)

The right-hand sided column vector \( d \) is computed as the row sum of the matrix \( C = c_i \)

\[ d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_{ij}, \quad i = 1(1)m \]

The \( C_y = d \) is always consistent
The Algorithm – MatLab program

function pruningldrows(C);
% C=Usually augmented matrix (A,b) of Ax=b
%Redundant (linearly dependent) rows of the C are pruned.
b=sum(C.'); A=C; redrow(1)=0;

disp('Given unpruned matrix is'); C
B=A; c=b; rrow=0;
[m n]=size(A); p=0;
x=zeros(n,1); r=0; P=eye(n); k=1;
abar=sum(abs(A(:,:)))/(m*n); bbar=sum(abs(A(:,n-1)))/m;
for i = 1:m
    a=A(i,:); brow=b(i); u=P*a; v=(norm(u))^2; inconsistency = brow-a’*x;
    if abs(v) >= 0.00005*abar %Permits 4 significant digit accuracy
        P=P-u*u’/v; x = x + inconsistency*u/v; r=r+1;
    else
        % Store indices of redundant rows in a vector.
        redrow(k)=i; k=k+1; rrow=1;
    end
end; disp('Linearly dependent rows are'); redrow

if rrow==1
c(redrow)=[]; B(redrow,:)=[];
end
if p == 1
    S=size(B);
    if S(1)<=m
        disp('The rank of the matrix C ‘);
        disp('or the pruned matrix B is ‘);
        disp(r);
        disp('The pruned matrix B is ‘);B
    end
End

>> Ab =
    1    2    3    4    5   15
    2    4    6    8   10   30
    3    6    9   12   15  400
    1    1    1    1    1   10
    2    2    2    2    2   10
    8   16   24   32   40  120
   11  23  35  47  59  175

Ab= [A, b] is the augmented matrix
the first 5 columns constitute the coefficient matrix A the last column of Ab is the right-hand side bb vector which is constructed by the row-sum of each row of the matrix A.
The Algorithm – MatLab program

Given unpruned matrix is

\[ C = [Ab \ bb] \]

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 15 & 30 \\
2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 30 & 60 \\
3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 15 & 400 & 445 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 10 & 15 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 20 \\
8 & 16 & 24 & 32 & 40 & 120 & 240 \\
11 & 23 & 35 & 47 & 59 & 175 & 350 \\
\end{array}
\]

Linearly dependent rows are redrow = 2  5  6  7

The rank of the matrix C or the pruned matrix B is 3

The pruned matrix B is:

\[
B =
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 15 & 30 \\
3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 15 & 400 & 445 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 10 & 15 \\
\end{array}
\]
The Algorithm – MatLab program

Sub-algorithm 2 (Over-inconsistency detection)

Having pruned linearly dependent (redundant) rows, the resulting system will be left with equations $Bx = c$ which could be

(i) consistent or
(ii) acceptably inconsistent or
(iii) unacceptably (over-) inconsistent (in the context)

In case (i), we compute the $mls x_{ml}$ (unique) of $Bx = c$, which is a true solution of $Bx = c$, i.e.

$$\| Bx_{ml} - c \| = 0 \text{ (numerical zero)}$$

In case (ii) also, we compute the $mls x_{ml}$ of $Bx = c$ which is not a true solution but a solution such that the Norm $\| Bx_{ml} - c \|$ i.e. the square-root of the sum of the squares of the residual, is a minimum and not a numerical zero.

In case (iii), once we detect an abnormally (too) inconsistent equation or, equivalently, an outlier (analogous to a data point which is far away from other data points or a cluster of data points in statistics), we do not proceed to compute the $mls$ of $Bx = c$. 
The Algorithm – MatLab program

The following Matlab code (program) nclinsolver(A, b) detects the equations that cause over-inconsistency

% Computational NC-LINSOLVER (Matlab program) The following program is self-explanatory.
% A general LINSOLVER program that includes near-consistent linear %system.
% Reference: S.K. Sen and Sagar Sen, Linear System: Relook, concise algorithms, and Matlab

function[ ]=nclinsolver(A,b); [m, n]=size(A);
%NC-LINSOLVER: Near-consistent Linear System Solver
'The matrix \(A\) and vector \(b\) of the system \(Ax=b\) are', A,b,
P=eye(n); sd=0; x(1:n)=0; x=x'; delb(1:m)=0; delb=delb'; bo=b; r=0;
abar=0; for i=1:m, for j=1:n, abar=abar+abs(A{i,j}); end; end; abar=abar/(m*n);
bbar=0; for i=1:m, bbar=bbar+abs(b{i}); end; bbar=bbar/m;
for i=1:m
  u=P*A{i,:}'; v=norm(u)^2; s=b(i) - A(i,:)*x; c=0;
  if v<=.00005*abar & abs(s)>=.00005*bbar, delb(i)=-s; sd=-s; b(i)=b(i) +delb(i); s=0;
  elseif v<=.00005*abar & abs(s)<=.00005*bbar; delb(i)=0; end;
  if v>=.00005*abar, x=x+u*s/v; P=P-u'*u'/v; c=1; delb(i)=0; end; r=r+c;
end;
The Algorithm – MatLab program

if abs(sd)>.00005*(abar+bbar)*0.5, 'The system Ax=b is inconsistent.', end;
inci=norm(delb)/norm([A,b]); err=norm(bo-A*x)/norm(x);
'The projection operator P = (I - A+A) is', P,
'The rank of the matrix A is', r,
'The inconsistency index is', inci,
'Modification in vector b, i.e., Db is', delb,
'Vector b of the nearest consistent system is', b,
'Solution vector of the nearest consistent system is', x,
'Error in the solution vector x is', err

Matlab command:
>> nclinsolver(A, b)
The Algorithm – MatLab program

The matrix AA and vector bb are pruned system
The pruned system could be consistent or acceptably inconsistent or unacceptably inconsistent

MatLab command :
>> nclinsolver(AA, bb)

AA =
1 2 3 4 5
3 6 9 12 15
1 1 1 1 1

bb = [15 400 10]t

The system Ax=b (i.e. AAx = bb) is inconsistent
The projection operator P = (I - A+A)
i.e. \[ P = (I - A^+A) \]
needed for a solution \[ x_c = Pz \]
of the homogeneous equation \[ Ax = 0 \]
where z is an arbitrary column vector and \[ A^+ \]
is the minimum-norm least squares (m! ) inverse of the matrix A (called the pseudo-inverse or the Moore-Penrose inverse)

\*

pseudo-inverse or the Moore-Penrose inverse of \( A \) is

\[
P =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.4000 & -0.4000 & -0.2000 & -0.0000 & 0.2000 \\
-0.4000 & 0.7000 & -0.2000 & -0.1000 & -0.0000 \\
-0.2000 & -0.2000 & 0.8000 & -0.2000 & -0.2000 \\
-0.0000 & -0.1000 & -0.2000 & 0.7000 & -0.4000 \\
0.2000 & -0.0000 & -0.2000 & -0.4000 & 0.4000
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The rank of the matrix \( A \) is \( r = 2 \)
The inconsistency index is \( \text{inci} = 6.5950 \).
Modification in vector b, i.e.,
Db is \( \text{delb} = [0 \ -355 \ 0]^t \)
Vector b of the nearest consistent system is \( b = [15 \ 45 \ 10]^t \)
Solution vector of the nearest consistent system is \( x = [5.0000 \ 3.5000 \ 2.0000 \ 0.5000 \ -1.0000]^t \)
Error in the solution vector \( x \) is \( \text{err} = 54.4545 \).
\( \text{delb} \) in the foregoing solution shows that the second element, viz. -355 is unacceptably large.
The Algorithm – MatLab program

Sub-algorithm 3
Minimum-norm least-squares solver (solver)

Having confirmed that the system is an acceptably inconsistent system from the sub-algorithm 2, we simply compute the mls of \( Bx = c \).

by using the MatLab command

\[
\texttt{>>xc=pinv(B)*c}
\]

Our acceptably inconsistent system is \( AAx = bb \), where

\[
AA = \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 15 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\]

and \( bb = [15 \ 40 \ 10]^t \)

\[
\texttt{>> x = pinv(AA)*bb}
\]

\[
x = [5.3000 \ 3.6500 \ 2.0000 \ 0.3500 \ -1.3000]^t
\]
The Algorithm – Numerical Example

Consider the non-over-determined inconsistent system created by the following MatLab commands

```
>> A=rand(4,6); A(5,:)=1.5*A(1,:)+2*A(2,:);
A(6,:)=3*A(2,:)-1.7*A(3,:)+4*A(4,:); b=sum(A')';
b(5)=b(5)+2; b(6)=b(6)-1
```

where the coefficient matrix A and the right-hand side column vector b are (correct up to 4 decimal digits)

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix}
0.6787 & 0.6555 & 0.2769 & 0.6948 & 0.4387 & 0.1869 \\
0.7577 & 0.1712 & 0.0462 & 0.3171 & 0.3816 & 0.4898 \\
0.7431 & 0.7060 & 0.0971 & 0.9502 & 0.7655 & 0.4456 \\
0.3922 & 0.0318 & 0.8235 & 0.0344 & 0.7952 & 0.6463 \\
2.5336 & 1.3256 & 0.5077 & 1.6764 & 1.4212 & 1.2598 \\
2.5788 & -0.5594 & 3.2672 & -0.5263 & 3.0241 & 3.2970
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ b = \begin{bmatrix}
2.9316 \\
2.1635 \\
3.7076 \\
2.7235 \\
10.7244 \\
10.0815
\end{bmatrix} \]

The system is inconsistent. The 5th and 6th rows of the matrix A is linearly dependent on the foregoing four rows

The augmented matrix C obtained by the MatLab command

```
>> C=[A b]
```

is (correct up to 4 decimal digits)

\[ C = \begin{bmatrix}
0.6787 & 0.6555 & 0.2769 & 0.6948 & 0.4387 & 0.1869 & 2.9316 \\
0.7577 & 0.1712 & 0.0462 & 0.3171 & 0.3816 & 0.4898 & 2.1635 \\
0.7431 & 0.7060 & 0.0971 & 0.9502 & 0.7655 & 0.4456 & 3.7076 \\
0.3922 & 0.0318 & 0.8235 & 0.0344 & 0.7952 & 0.6463 & 2.7235 \\
2.5336 & 1.3256 & 0.5077 & 1.6764 & 1.4212 & 1.2598 & 10.7244 \\
2.5788 & -0.5594 & 3.2672 & -0.5263 & 3.0241 & 3.2970 & 10.0815
\end{bmatrix} \]

The linearly dependent ( ) row of C obtained using the MatLab command

```
>> pruningldrows(C)
```

is its 6th row

The rank of the matrix C or the pruned matrix B is 5 (The input data were kept correct up to 15 decimal digits and not up to 4 decimal digits in actual computation)
The Algorithm – Numerical Example

The right-hand side column vector $\mathbf{b}$ is (correct up to 4 decimal digits)

$$
\mathbf{bb} = [2.9316 \ 2.1635 \ 3.7076 \ 2.7235 \ 10.7244]^T
$$

The pruned matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is (up to 4 decimal digits), using the command

```matlab
>> BB = B(:,1:6),
```

$$
\mathbf{BB} = \\
0.6787 \ 0.6555 \ 0.2769 \ 0.6948 \ 0.4387 \ 0.1869 \\
0.7577 \ 0.1712 \ 0.0462 \ 0.3171 \ 0.3816 \ 0.4898 \\
0.7431 \ 0.7060 \ 0.0971 \ 0.9502 \ 0.7655 \ 0.4456 \\
0.3922 \ 0.0318 \ 0.8235 \ 0.0344 \ 0.7952 \ 0.6463 \\
2.5336 \ 1.3256 \ 0.5077 \ 1.6764 \ 1.4212 \ 1.2598
$$

We now detect the equation(s), using the command

```matlab
>> nclinsolver(BB,bb)
```

The system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{BBx} = \mathbf{bb}$) is inconsistent

The rank of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{BB}$) is $r = 4$

The inconsistency index is $\text{inci} = 0.1746$

Modification in vector $\mathbf{b}$, i.e., $\mathbf{Db}$ is

$$
\mathbf{delb} = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ -2.0001]^T
$$

The fifth element of $\mathbf{delb}$ depicts that the fifth equation is inconsistent.

Vector $\mathbf{b}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{bb}$) of the nearest consistent system is

$$
\mathbf{b} = [2.9316 \ 2.1635 \ 3.7076 \ 2.7235 \ 8.7243]^T
$$

Solution vector of the nearest consistent system is

$$
\mathbf{x} = [1.1102 \ 0.8803 \ 0.9555 \ 0.9595 \ 1.2137 \ 0.7351]^T
$$

Error in the solution vector $\mathbf{x}$ is $\text{err} = 0.8266$. 
The Algorithm – Numerical Example

Pruned system and un-pruned system along with their norms are given using the MatLab commands

```matlab
>> x1=pinv(BB)*bb, x1n=norm(x1), x2=pinv(A)*b, x2n=norm(x2)
```

where:

- \(x1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3.8250 & -6.4839 & -0.5869 & 1.0113 & 6.5185 & -9.3243 \end{bmatrix}^t\) is the of the pruned system and
- \(x1n = 1.3692e+004\) is the norm of \(x1\).

The of the un-pruned system is

- \(x2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7794 & 2.2436 & -1.5833 & -3.4841 & 2.6687 & -1.6636 \end{bmatrix}^t\) and its norm is
- \(x2n = 5.4933e+004\).
The Algorithm – Numerical Example

The square-roots of the sums of the squares of the residuals of the pruned and un-pruned systems are (using the commands):

```matlab
>> x1t = norm(BB*x1-bb)
>> x2t = norm(A*x2-b)
```

$x1t = 1.2841e-011$ and $x2t = 2.3662e-010$

Here we see that the sum of the squares of the residuals for the pruned system is less than that of un-pruned system.

In reality, it can be the other way also for some other least-squares problems.

However, the foregoing inconsistency due to the fifth equation may be quite acceptable in most real-world computations.
Conclusion

Inconsistency for non-over-determined system is due to linear dependence of a row of the matrix while the corresponding element of on the right-hand side is not linearly dependent.

It is possible to know globally whether inconsistency is relatively large or not by computing the sum of squares of the residuals, viz. \( \| \mathbf{r} \|_2 \), where \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) denotes the Euclidean norm and \( \mathbf{r} \) is the residual of the system.

This sum should be acceptably low in the context. But it is not possible to know the equations which have caused the inconsistency.

In fact, it is more important to detect the equations which cause the excessive inconsistency and take necessary corrective measures before proceeding.

Hence it is necessary to fall back on to the original physical/statistical problem and the corresponding mathematical model and find out the reason for over-inconsistency and correct the model accordingly before proceeding to compute the...
Conclusion

For consistent systems, linearly dependent rows do not carry any new information and are hence redundant.

For inconsistent systems, the linearly dependent rows do carry information about the physical problem and hence do not always deserve to be pruned (in many contexts).

The pruned and non-pruned inconsistent systems will be, in general, different.

The sum of the squares of the residuals could be significantly more or significantly less than that for the non-pruned system.

The foregoing numerical examples demonstrate this fact.

We have omitted the algorithms in mathematical form and provided them in MatLab codes (programs).

One can readily translate these codes to algorithms in mathematical form if it is more convenient to appreciate the essence of the algorithms.
Conclusion

For pruning linearly dependent rows as well as for the detection of the equations that cause inconsistency, one may use Gauss reduction with partial pivoting.

Gauss reduction method involves row interchanges and also the need for keeping track of row numbers.

These, specifically row interchanges, are not desirable in many situations. For instance, a situation where row interchanges disturb the structure of the matrix such as the one having one main diagonal with two diagonals above and two diagonals below.

Of course, both pruning and detection of inconsistent equations assume that the first equation is definitely correct.

This does not necessarily imply that some other subsequent linearly independent equation is also correct.
Thank you!
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