Remote sensing of the urban heat island effect across biomes in the continental USA
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1. Introduction

In 2008 more than half of the world's population were urban dwellers and the urban population is expected to reach 81% by 2030 (UNFPA, 2007). As the process of global urbanization accelerates both in intensity and area there is growing interest in understanding its implications with respect to a broad set of environmental factors including net primary production (Imhoff et al., 2000), biodiversity (Reid, 1998; Ricketts & Imhoff, 2003; Sisk et al., 1994), and climate and weather at local, regional, and global scales (Trenberth et al., 2007).

Urban heating and the formation of the urban heat island (UHI) is one attribute of urban land transformation that is of interest across science disciplines because the UHI signal reflects a broad suite of important land surface changes impacting human health, ecosystem function, local weather and possibly climate. The UHI phenomenon is generally seen as being caused by a reduction in latent heat flux and an increase in sensible heat in urban areas as vegetated and evaporating soil surfaces are replaced by relatively impervious low albedo paving and building materials. This creates a difference in temperature between urban and surrounding non-urban areas. This temperature differential was first referred to as the Urban Heat Island by Manley (1958) and since then a large effort has been devoted to the study of this important urban phenomenon using both air temperature and surface temperature (e.g. Grimmond & Oke, 2002; Quattrochi & Ridd, 1994; Shepherd & Burian, 2003; Rosenzweig et al., 2005).

Many observational studies estimated the magnitude of UHI by comparing ground based observed air temperature in urban and rural weather stations (e.g., Oke, 1973). In general the air temperature defined UHI has a strong diurnal cycle and is more important at night. The potential impact of UHIs on long term air temperature trend...
analyses is well known and a considerable effort has been made to
make for assessing urbanization risk to biodiversity (Reid, 1998;
Ricketts & Imhoff, 2003; Sisk et al., 1994).

In this paper we use a combination of satellite and ecological map
data to characterize and inter-compare the UHI response across
biomes in the continental U.S. We examine the relationship between %
ISA and land surface temperature across many cities, calculate
seasonal UHI for cities in similar ecological settings, and compare
the amplitude of the UHI for the major biomes.

2. Methods and data

2.1. Terrestrial ecoregions

One of our primary objectives is to study the influence of ecological
context on UHI amplitude for varying urban densities. Since the degree
to which urbanization alters ecosystem function or state is relative to
what was there before, the ecological setting within which the process
occurs establishes the baseline conditions for quantifying change. To
allow comparisons of urban places within and between settings we use
the terrestrial ecoregions map developed by Olson et al. (2001) to
stratify the analyses and constrain the sampling around each urban area
according to its biome. The ecoregions map divides the continental
United States into 10 biomes each representing an assemblage of
biophysical, climate, botanical, and animal habitat characteristics
defining a distinct geographical area. We chose to stratify sampling of
U.S. cities using this perspective because climate factors are contained in
them as well as other biogeographical information needed to under-
stand the dynamic arena within which ecological processes and
anthropogenic influences such as urbanization most strongly interact.

We sub-divided Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forests into a northern group (FE) and
a southern group (FA) otherwise all other biomes are as rendered by the Olson
ecoregions map (Olson et al., 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE</td>
<td>Baltimore MD, Boston MA, Cleveland OH,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbus OH, Washington DC, Detroit MI,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Milwaukee WI, Minneapolis MN, New York NY,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Philadelphia PA, Pittsburgh PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Atlanta GA, Charlotte NC, Memphis TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GN</td>
<td>Chicago IL, Oklahoma City OK, Omaha NE,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saint Louis MO, Tulsa OK, Wichita KA,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kansas City, KS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>Albuquerque NM, El Paso TX, Las Vegas NV,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phoenix AZ, Tucson AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Fresno CA, Los Angeles CA, Sacramento CA,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego CA, San Jose CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>Austin TX, Dallas TX, San Antonio, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>Houston TX, New Orleans LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>Portland OR, Seattle WA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1  
The top 38 most populated urban areas in the continental U.S. used in this study
grouped by biome.  

We chose to stratify sampling of U.S. cities using this perspective because climate factors are contained in
them as well as other biogeographical information needed to under-
stand the dynamic arena within which ecological processes and
anthropogenic influences such as urbanization most strongly interact.
In this study we analyze data for 38 of the most populated urban areas in the continental United States occurring across six of the largest biomes. We further subdivide two of the larger biomes in the set (Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forest and Temperate Grasslands, Savannas and Shrublands) differentiating Northeast and Mid-Atlantic forest and Northern versus Southern Mid-western grasslands (Table 1). In this study, all temperature and NDVI data collected for an individual urban area is included in the analysis only if they remain in the dominant biome. This eliminates cross-over into different bioclimatic environments as a potential contaminant of the observed temperature differences within an urban area and allows grouping and comparison of UHI effects by biome.

2.2. Classification of urban density

We use the impervious surface area (ISA) data from the Landsat-derived, continental scale land cover map (NLCD) in a Geographic Information System-based spatial analysis to identify individual urban areas, stratify them internally according to ISA density, and estimate their size. The fractional area impervious surface data were derived using Landsat 7 ETM+ and IKONOS differentiating man-made surfaces from natural or vegetated surfaces (see Yang et al., 2002). While this parameter does not contain retrievable information about albedo or 3-D structure, it captures development intensity as a function of the extent and spatial distribution of the collection of man-made surfaces within a pixel. Conversion intensity based on ISA can be related to changes in the biophysics of the land surface including sensible and latent heat fluxes within the urban surface and boundary layers through comparison with other spatially co-registered data. Most recently, Yuan and Bauer (2007) and Xian & Crane (2005) demonstrated that ISA data developed from ETM+ and the NLCD could be used to make rigorous comparisons of urban density and surface temperature at local scales suggesting broader application would be possible with appropriate temperature data.

We use a 25% ISA threshold to define polygons in the Landsat-based thematic data. The 25% threshold identifies a reasonable boundary between urban and low intensity residential lands (Lu & Weng, 2006) and provides reasonably spatially coherent urban groupings (Fig. 1). As such the polygons we define here overlap named cities but do not necessarily match incorporated or cadastral (administrative) boundaries. Most important; however, is the close match between the area defined by the 25% ISA threshold and the MODIS landcover map classifying Urban Built-Up land (Fig. 1d). MODIS LST retrieval algorithms rely on this map for estimating surface emissivity (Wan et al., 2004) so a close match here ensures that temperature comparisons within the urban polygon are based on retrievals using the same parameter sets.

Once individual city place urban polygons are defined we further stratify the landscape within and around them using classes based on ISA and distance. In this paper we define five zones based on classes of % ISA in concentric rings emanating outward from the highest ISA in a city to the lowest: 1) Urban Core = pixels having 75% to 100% ISA (these are the highest in a city polygon); 2) Urban1 = pixels having ISA between 75% and 50% (75% > ISA ≥ 50%); 3) Urban2 = pixels ...
having between 50% and 25% ISA (50%>ISA≥25%) — this is the last urban zone and its outer boundary identifies the 25% threshold; 4) Suburban = pixels located in a buffer zone 0–5 km adjacent to and outside the 25% ISA contour (pixels have less than 25% ISA); and 5) Rural (or non-urban) = pixels located in a 5 km wide ring located between 45 and 50 km away from the 25% ISA contour and having less than 5% ISA (Fig. 2a,b). This rural ring is chosen to be at an optimal distance far enough from the urban core to represent a remote rural area yet not too far to infringe into the 25% contour of an adjacent urban area or another biome. Pixels that fall into overlapping biomes, other urban areas or topographic elevations ± 50 m off the mean elevation of the urban core are excluded from the analysis.

2.3. Land surface temperature (LST) and NDVI

To characterize the surface temperature and the presence of vegetation within the ISA zones, we use MODIS-Aqua Version 5, 8-day composite (MOD11A2) LST with high quality control (Wan et al., 2004) and 16-day composite NDVI (Huete et al., 1994, 1997) at 1 km × 1 km resolution (both covering 2003 to 2005). LST’s from MOD11A2 are retrieved from clear-sky (99% confidence) observations at 1:30 PM and 1:30 AM using a generalized split-window algorithm (Wan & Dozier, 1996). The coefficients used in the split window algorithm are given by interpolating a set of multi-dimensional look-up tables (LUT) derived by linear regression of MODIS simulation data from radiative transfer calculations over wide ranges of surface and atmospheric conditions. These look up tables have been continuously upgraded (Wan et al., 2004) and comparisons between MODIS LST’s and in-situ measurements across a wide set of test sites indicate the accuracy is better than 1 K with an RMS (of differences) less than 0.5 K in most cases (Wan, 2008; Wang et al., 2008).

The LST data are used to characterize the horizontal temperature gradient across the urban area and NDVI is used to describe the vegetation density temporal variation for each urban zone. As mentioned previously, temperature and NDVI data for each zone in an urban area are only collected if they remain in the dominant biome for that city. This eliminates cross-over into different bio-climatic environments as a potential contaminant of the observed temperature differences within an urban area and allows grouping and comparison of UHI effects by biome.

2.4. Topographic and population data

Topographic data are used as a filter to exclude from the analysis temperature differences due to elevation and shading. We use the ~925 m SRTM30 (Farr & Kobrick, 2000) dataset to determine a mean elevation of the urban area and exclude from analysis all pixels whose elevation is outside the +/− 50 m window from the mean elevation.

Fig. 2. Panels A and B show examples of the typical layout of the five urban zones defined for each city. Urban Core, Urban1 and Urban2 are based on %ISA of each pixel. The Suburban zone is composed of pixels with less than 25% ISA occurring within a 5 km wide ring adjacent to the 25% ISA contour. The Rural zone is a ring that is 45–50 km in distance from the 25% ISA contour composed of pixels with less than 5% ISA. Pixels that cross biomes or significant elevation changes (± 50 m) are excluded. UHI (urban–rural) calculations use MODIS LST averages from the Urban Core and Rural zones. Panel C shows the cities used in this analysis and their biomes according to Olson et al. (2001).
We also use the 30arc sec (~925 m) US Census Grids 2000 (Deichmann et al., 2001) produced by the Center for International Earth Science Information Network to characterize the population.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The UHI and ecological context

Using the population data, we identified 38 of the most populated urban areas in the continental United States distributed over eight different biomes (Table 1, Fig. 2c). For each urban area, a spatial stratification defining the five ISA zones is applied. A 0.1 NDVI threshold is used to exclude water, bare soil and other non-vegetated pixels from the spatial and temporal average in the rural zone of each urban area.

For all cities across the biomes, the impervious surface area decreases from the urban core with about 80% average ISA to the rural area where all pixels have less than 5% ISA. Also, the average impervious surface fraction within the five zones identified in each city is remarkably similar indicating that the ISA zonal classification is sufficiently consistent for inter-comparison (Fig. 3a). The average summer daytime MODIS land surface temperature (1:30 PM local time averaged for June, July and August) for all cities and zones show that temperatures increase with ISA in all cases except urban areas in desert and xeric shrubland ecoregions (DE) (Fig. 3b). Despite the difference in spatial resolution, the MODIS 1 km NDVI tracks the ISA data remarkably well showing an increase outward from the urban core in all biomes except DE which shows a convex pattern (Fig. 3c). The anomalous NDVI and LST patterns for desert and xeric shrubland cities is likely a result of increased vegetation and latent heat flux in less dense 50–25% urban and suburban fringe areas due to resource (water) augmentation in those areas. This pattern has been noted previously for U.S. desert cities using AVHRR (Imhoff et al., 2004) and Landsat (Xian & Crane; 2005).

In terms of the UHI, we calculated the average temperature differences (Urban Core LST – Rural LST) for all the cities in each biome for summer (June/July/August) and winter (December/January/February) daytime (1:30 PM) and nighttime (1:30 AM). The UHI responses for the eight different biomes were all significantly different ($p = 0.01$) and clearly show the effect of ecological context on seasonal and diurnal UHI amplitudes (Fig. 4). As expected, with surface temperatures the greatest temperature differences are noted in daytime (Roth et al., 1989). On average we found that the summer UHI was significantly larger than the winter UHI. Energy demand in metropolitan areas is determined by a complex variety of factors related to the nature of the demand, the spatial distribution of high demand centers and numerous other factors. However, our results suggest that for residential areas potential increases in cooling requirements in summer would more than offset gains realized by heating in winter. The amplitude of summer daytime UHI appears to

![Fig. 3. Average remotely-sensed parameters of the five zones (see Fig. 2) for cities in each of the eight biomes defined in this study. Panel A represents the fractional imperviousness area (%), Panel B shows the average summer (Jun–Aug) MODIS NDVI for each zone in each group, and Panel C shows the average summer daytime (around 1:30 PM local time) land surface temperature derived from MODIS product.](508.png)
be related to the standing biomass of the surrounding biome decreasing from forests to grasslands and reversing to a heat sink in desert cities. The largest average summer daytime UHI (7 to 9 °C) for example is noted for cities displacing temperate broadleaf, mixed, and temperate coniferous forest biomes (FE, FA, and FW). In high biomass forested biomes, urban areas are surrounded by dense and tall vegetation which intercepts and re-evaporates precipitation at potential rates and diffuses water from the deep soil to the atmosphere during the process of photosynthesis, thus keeping the surrounding regions much cooler than the less vegetated urban core. In contrast, urban areas dominated by shorter low biomass vegetation such as grassland, shrublands, and savannah (groups GN, MS, GS, and GT) produce a less intense UHI with amplitudes ranging from 4 to 6 °C. In these regions, the zones surrounding the Urban Core are

![Urban-Rural Temperature for Cities Grouped by Biome](image)

**Fig. 4.** Average urban heat island for cities in the different biomes (please refer to Table 1 and Fig. 2 for the definition of biomes). Urban temperature is chosen from the most inner contour (Urban Core) of each city and the Rural temperature is the average of all the non-impervious pixels (ISA<0.1%) in the outermost contour (45–50 km buffer ring). Temperature differences for four periods are examined: average summer (Jun–Aug) daytime LST (1:30 PM), average summer nighttime LST (1:30 AM), average winter (Dec–Feb) daytime, and average winter nighttime LST.

![A comparison of remotely-sensed parameters](image)

**Fig. 5.** A comparison of remotely-sensed parameters of the five urban zones found for a city in temperate broadleaf and mixed forest (Baltimore, Maryland, USA) and desert and xeric shrublands (Las Vegas, Nevada, USA). Panel A represents the average imperviousness area (%), Panel B shows the average summer (Jun–Aug) MODIS NDVI, and Panel C shows the average summer daytime MODIS LST for each zone.
sparsely vegetated and restore an important part of the absorbed solar energy as sensible heating; thus reducing the horizontal temperature gradient between the Urban Core and the Rural Zone. In urban areas surrounded by deserts and xeric shrublands (DE) this temperature contrast weakens and even reverses. The summer daytime UHI data for DE was actually slightly negative (−1 °C) and taken in isolation it would tend to corroborate the heat sink effect noted for many desert cities. However, the summer nighttime and winter daytime and nighttime UHI’s for DE are still positive and large enough to make the cities warmer overall than the outlying areas. Urban areas in the DE biome were also the only ones to consistently show a larger nighttime UHI effect. To further examine the influence of ecological context we compare average summer daytime LST across ISA zones for Baltimore, Maryland, located in the Northeastern Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forest (group FE) and Las Vegas, Nevada, an urban area built within the Desert and Xeric Shrubland biome and arid climate conditions (Fig. 5).

The two urban areas are similar with respect to the average fractional ISA found in each of the defined urban zones (Fig. 5a); however, the density of the vegetation surrounding them, as illustrated by the NDVI (Fig. 5b) is sharply different. The NDVI difference between the Rural Zone and the Urban Core is 0.4 in Baltimore and less than 0.1 for Las Vegas. In Las Vegas; however, the vegetation density increases (initially) away from the Urban Core (e.g., Urban1, Urban2 and Suburban) then drops again in the Rural Zone. This suggests that the less dense urban zones (Urban2 and Suburban) in Las Vegas are supporting plant growth (e.g., exotic tree and shrub species and lawns through irrigation) at a rate greater than that of the surrounding biome (Imhoff et al., 2004). These two cities demonstrate the importance of ecological context as a modulator of the UHI effect. While the urban core in Baltimore creates a well-defined UHI with amplitude of 9.3 °C, in Las Vegas, it points to a possible heat sink (about 0.5 °C — a small number relative to the accuracy of the MODIS LST product). If only the Urban2 zone (50–25% ISA) in Las Vegas is compared to its rural zone, there is a temperature increase of 1.6 °C. These results at least trend in the direction of a potential heat sink effect for arid cities postulated by some (e.g., Bounoua et al., 2009; Shepherd, 2005, 2006). Composite averaged summer day LST profiles across the Urban Core for the two urban areas are illustrated in Fig. 6.

3.2. Quantifying the relationship between LST and ISA

We quantify the relationships between the fractional area of impervious surfaces and the UHI by analyzing the LST and ISA anomalies for all 38 urban areas. The close spatial overlap of the MODIS landcover (urban built-up) with the three urban zones we define in this study (Urban Core, Urban1 and Urban2) ensures that the emissivities assigned to the MODIS LST split-window algorithm for temperature retrieval are mostly the same (Fig. 2d). Even though the differences in the emissivities are small this further reduces the possibility of LUT induced signal in the comparison of LST’s between ISA zones (Wan, 2008).

For each urban polygon we compute the mean summer daytime LST anomaly of each zone by subtracting from its LST the average obtained over all five zones. As expected, changes in ISA fraction strongly affect changes in LST. For all of the urban areas combined, changes in ISA control about 70% of the variance in LST. In general, at a 0.001 significance level, about 60–90% of the variance in LST is explained by changes in ISA and these results are well in line with similar comparisons of ISA and LST for the Twin Cities Metroplex in Minnesota (Yuan & Bauer, 2007). With the exception of DE, in general there is positive relationship between LST and ISA. We found the ISA/LST relationship to be strongest for urban areas in forested biomes (e.g., group FE, FA and FW) where variations in ISA explain more than 85% of the variation in LST (Table 2, Fig. 7a). We also note that the rate of change in LST as a function of ISA is different for urban areas in forested biomes forests versus those characterized by short vegetation. For example, at 95% confidence limit, the slope of the linear fit is 10% for temperate forested groups FE, FA, and FW while it is only 6% for shorter vegetations (e.g., group GN, MS, GS, and GT). This implies that the rate of temperature increase with ISA is influenced by ecological context (i.e., regional climate, surrounding vegetation, soils) as well as its impervious surface fractional area driven evaporation capacity. One possible explanation for this is that short vegetation surrounding urban areas in the southern and central parts of the country will experience heat stress more quickly than forest vegetation. This would cause a more frequent reduction of the transpiration rates in those biomes thereby increasing the surface.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomes</th>
<th>Linear fit</th>
<th>Slope (with 95% confidence interval)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All combined</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.073 0.066–0.080</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.107 0.098–0.115</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.092 0.089–0.114</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GN</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.077 0.067–0.086</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.078 0.061–0.095</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.066 0.050–0.081</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.058 0.029–0.086</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.092 0.062–0.122</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for five zones are used in each city: 1) Urban Core (75%>ISA ≥50%); 2) Urban1 (75%>ISA ≥50%); 3) Urban2 (50%>ISA ≥25%); 4) suburban (pixels located in a buffer zone of 0–5 km adjacent to and outside the 25% ISA contour); and 5) Rural (non-urban pixels located in a 5 km wide ring located between 45 and 50 km away from the 25% ISA contour). LST data were collected between 2003 and 2005.

* Linear fit is not significant for desert cities.
temperature at canopy level and contribute to the attenuation of the thermal contrast between the urban core and the surroundings.

For the desert groups, a polynomial relationship better describes the LST and ISA anomalies (Fig. 7b). The polynomial relationship explains about 26% of the LST variance in the five desert urban areas (group DE). Remarkably, however, if only Las Vegas and Phoenix are considered, more than 70% of the LST variance is explained by changes in ISA. This would indicate that there is considerable variation in the UHI response for desert cities possibly due to differences within the biome or the physical characteristics of the urban surfaces such as albedo and 3D structure not identifiable in the ISA data.

The heat island effect tends to be strongly dependent on the presence of vegetation within the different urban zones. For instance in Las Vegas and Phoenix, the coolest summer surface temperature is found in the third zone (Urban2) which has a fractional ISA between 50% and 25% and the highest NDVI. From this zone, LST increases in both directions (inward towards the urban hot core and outward towards the surrounding rural desert) by about 1 °C. The surface temperature in the urban core is similar to that of the desert for an ISA anomaly of about 40%.

3.3. UHI and urban extent in temperate forest setting

To examine the relationship between the total size of the urban area and the amplitude of the UHI we compare the total area of each urban polygon (summed areas of zones ISA 25% and greater) to the Urban Core–Rural temperature difference for 45 randomly selected cities within the NE biome. This sample set includes those cities listed in Table 2 under FE plus 34 additional cities located in that biome. Size in this case is the total contiguous area for an urban polygon defined by the 25% ISA threshold. We group the 45 cities based on size and analyze diurnal and seasonal UHI (Fig. 8). Our analysis indicates that for cities within this biome, the summer daytime UHI is strongly correlated to size. For instance, the averaged UHI is about 1.5 °C for urban areas smaller than 10 km², while it about 10 °C for urban areas larger than 1000 km² (Fig. 8). This relationship holds true during the winter but with a much weaker UHI amplitude ranging from about 2.0 °C for urban areas smaller than 10 km² to 3.5 °C for urban areas larger than 1000 km². A similar pattern is observed during the nighttime. The difference between the summer and winter UHI amplitude is indicative of the vegetation function in these temperate mixed forests. During summer when vegetation is physiologically active, the evaporative cooling is strong during the day and creates a pronounced UHI between impervious and vegetated zones. During winter, the contrast between the urban and rural zones is subdued when leaves are off and photosynthetic activity is down-regulated by cold temperatures. During summer nighttime, the UHI persists in all urban areas but with smaller amplitudes ranging from 1 °C for urban areas less than 1 km² to 3.5 °C for those urban areas larger than 1000 km². During winter nights, the UHI effect is less than 1 °C for the largest urban area.

Within the Northeastern temperate broadleaf mixed forest biome, the relationship between the UHI amplitude and total urban area is consistent among all of the cities (Fig. 9). The relationship between the UHI amplitude and size is log-linear and is given by:

\[ \Delta T_{\text{Urban-Rural}} = 3.48 \log(\text{Area}) + 1.75 \]

and explains about 71% of the variance in UHI with a standard error of ±1.6 °C. This result is similar to the log-linear relationship between
the UHI and the population size described in Oke (1973, 1976) and Landsberg (1981) only here we relate it directly to the size of the transformed surface (i.e., total area in a city having $\text{ISA} \geq 25\%$).

4. Summary

In this study we combine remote sensing data from different platforms to assess the urban heat island amplitude and its relationship to urban spatial structure and size for a large number of cities across a variety of eco-climatic regions over the continental United States. We use a LandSat ETM+ and IKONOS based dataset estimating the fractional area of impervious surfaces at 30 m and compare them to 1 km land surface temperature data from the MODIS instrument on the Aqua satellite for 38 of the most populous cities in the continental United States.

In general we find that the fraction ISA is a good predictor of LST for all cities in the continental United States in all biomes except deserts and xeric shrublands. The fraction of ISA explains about 70% of the total variance in LST for all cities combined with the highest correlations (90%) in the Northeastern U.S. where urban areas are embedded in temperate broadleaf and mixed forests. More importantly, these correlations are consistent for small, medium and large urban areas. In most biomes, the LST is linearly proportional to the ISA fraction. The relationship between temperature and ISA for urban areas in deserts and xeric shrublands is more complicated requiring a second order polynomial with large variations in outcome if the analysis is conducted on fewer cities in the group. In desert type ecosystems suburban zones with moderate ISA fractions are cooler than the surrounding rural desert fringe and the urban core where ISA is usually above 75%.

In terms of UHI’s and biomes our results show that ecological context is a statistically significant modulator of the diurnal and seasonal UHIs in the continental United States. The largest (urban–rural) temperature differences for all biomes are found for summer midday and the greatest amplitudes are found for urban areas displacing forests (6.5–9.0 °C) followed by temperate grasslands (6.3 °C), and tropical grasslands and savannas (5.0 °C) respectively. Urbanization initiates a differential heating process between impervious surfaces, generally made of concrete and other heat absorbing materials, and the surrounding naturally vegetated landscapes. The contrast between urban cores and rural zones is driven by the surrounding land use type and is often accentuated during the time when the vegetation is physiologically active, especially in forested lands. In biomes with short vegetation the contrast is less. Similarly, the amplitude of the UHI is significantly diminished during the winter season when vegetation loses its leaves or is stressed by lower temperatures. Urban areas in deserts behave differently showing very little change relative to their non-urbanized surroundings. Our data show an overall heat sink pattern similar to that described by previous studies (e.g., Brazel et al., 2000; Pena, 2008); however, while our findings are consistent with theory, the temperature differences we find here are small (between 0.2 and 2.2 °C) relative to the known accuracy of the MODIS LST product. In this paper we begin a characterization and inter-comparison of the UHI in the continental United States from a biomes perspective. This approach allows a broad-scale appreciation of how ecological context influences UHI’s. However, it does not reveal the complexities found in most urban environments where significant ecological variation is present at the finer scale. A logical next step to this characterization would be to use higher spatial resolution biome information capable of showing ecoregional variations within a city. Such an approach would permit city-scale investigations about the interplay between urban surfaces and their ecological settings and their impact on UHI and other related environmental issues.

Finally, this research highlights a significant positive relationship between the urban heat island magnitude, the size of the urban area, and ecological setting estimated entirely from remotely sensed observations. The use of ISA as an estimator of the extent and intensity of urbanization is more objective than population density based methods and can be consistently applied across large areas for inter-comparison of impacts on biophysical processes. Overall, our results suggest that remotely-sensed land surface temperature provides an adequate characterization of both the magnitude and spatial extent of the urban heat island and allow objective comparisons of urban heat island effects around urban areas of different sizes at continental scales without the significant bias encountered in conventional ground observations.
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