permanent magnet pulls the contact plate, against the spring tension, into contact with the stator. To release the brake, one excites the electromagnet with a current of the magnitude and polarity chosen to cancel the magnetic flux of the permanent magnet, thereby enabling the spring tension to pull the contact plate out of contact with the stator.

The fail-safe operation of the commercial brake depends on careful mounting of the rotor in relation to the stator. The rotor/stator gap must be set with a tolerance between 10 and 15 mils (between about 0.25 and about 0.38 mm). If the gap or the contact pad is thicker than the maximum allowable value, then the permanent magnetic field will not be strong enough to pull the steel plate across the gap. (For this reason, any contact pad between the contact plate and the stator must also be correspondingly thin.)

In the proposed brake, the contact pad would be mounted on the stator via compression springs instead of on the rotor via tension springs. Optionally, a steel or ablative brake pad would be mounted on the rotor. There would be no permanent magnet. Instead of using a permanent magnet to pull the contact plate across the rotor/stator gap, one would use the compression springs to push the contact plate into the rotor. An electromagnet would be used to pull the contact plate against the compression springs to release the brake. If the critical gap between the contact plate and the electromagnet were to grow beyond the reach of the electromagnetic field, the brake could not be released: the contact plate would remain pushed against the rotor — that is, in the braked or fail-safe configuration.

In the proposed design, longitudinal movement of the shaft could be accommodated by increasing the throw of the compression springs. The tolerance on the rotor/stator gap could be increased to as much as tenths of an inch (several millimeters), and the failure mode would change from not being able to set the brake to not being able to release the brake. Also, inasmuch as the frictional braking contact would no longer be between the steel contact plate and the actuating electromagnet, a contact pad of any thickness or material could be mounted on the rotor.

This work was done by Toby B. Martin of Johnson Space Center. For further information, contact the Johnson Commercial Technology office at (281) 483-0837.
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Flow Straightener for a Rotating-Drum Liquid Separator

Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas

A flow straightener has been incorporated into a rotary liquid separator that originally comprised an inlet tube, a shroud plate, an impeller, an inner drum, an outer drum, a housing, a pitot tube, and a hollow shaft motor. As a consequence of the original geometry of the impeller, shroud, inner drum, and hollow shaft, swirl was created in the airflow inside the hollow shaft during operation. The swirl speed was large enough to cause a significant pressure drop. The flow straightener consists of vanes on the back side of the shroud plate. These vanes compartmentalize the inside of the inner drum in such a way as to break up the flow path and thereby stop the air from swirling; as a result, the air enters the hollow shaft with a predominantly axial velocity instead of a swirl. Tests of the rotary liquid separator at an airflow rate of 10 ft³/min (0.0047 m³/s) revealed that the dynamic pressure drop was 8 in. of water (~2 kPa) in the absence of the flow straightener and was reduced to 1 in. of water (~0.25 kPa) in the presence of the flow straightener.

This work was done by James R. O’Coin, David G. Converse, and Donald W. Rethke of Hamilton Sundstrand Space Systems International, Inc., for Johnson Space Center. For further information, contact Kristen M. Balukonis, Contract Administrator at Hamilton Sundstrand Space Systems International, Inc.

One Hamilton Road
Windsor Locks, CT 06096-1010
Telephone No.: (860) 654-6000
MSC-23149