Global multi-year O₃-CO correlation patterns from models and TES satellite observations
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Abstract. The correlation between measured tropospheric ozone (O₃) and carbon monoxide (CO) has been used extensively in tropospheric chemistry studies to explore the photochemical characteristics of different regions and to evaluate the ability of models to capture these characteristics. Here, we present the first study that uses multi-year, global, vertically resolved, simultaneous and collocated O₃ and CO satellite (Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer) measurements, to determine this correlation in the middle/lower free troposphere for two different seasons, and to evaluate two chemistry-climate models. We find results that are fairly robust across different years, altitudes and timescales considered, which indicates that the correlation maps presented here could be used in future model evaluations. The highest positive correlations (around 0.8) are found in the northern Pacific during summer, which is a common feature in the observations and the G-PUCCINI model. We make quantitative comparisons between the models using a single-figure metric (C), which we define as the correlation coefficient between the modeled and the observed O₃-CO correlations for different regions of the globe. On a global scale, the G-PUCCINI model shows a good performance in the summer (C = 0.71) and a satisfactory performance in the winter (C = 0.52). It captures midlatitude features very well, especially in the summer, whereas the performance in regions like South America or Central Africa is weaker. The UKCA model (C = 0.46/0.15 for July–August/December–January on a global scale) performs better in certain regions, such as the tropics in winter, and it captures some of the broad characteristics of summer extratropical correlations, but it systematically underestimates the O₃-CO correlations over much of the globe. It is noteworthy that the correlations look very different in the two models, even though the ozone distributions are similar. This demonstrates that this technique provides a powerful global constraint for understanding modeled tropospheric chemical processes. We investigated the sources of the correlations by performing a series of sensitivity experiments. In these, the sign of the correlation is, in most cases, insensitive to removing different individual emissions, but its magnitude changes downwind of emission regions when applying such perturbations. Interestingly, we find that the O₃-CO correlation does not solely reflect the strength of O₃ photochemical production, as often assumed by earlier studies, but is more complicated and may reflect a mixture of different processes such as transport.

1 Introduction

Tropospheric ozone (O₃) and carbon monoxide (CO) are well-known pollutants, majorly affecting the oxidizing capacity of the troposphere and exerting a direct (O₃) and indirect (CO and O₂) influence on climate. In addition to being driven by natural and anthropogenic emissions, their concentrations also depend on a wide range of chemical and physical processes that take place in the troposphere (Logan et al., 1981; Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000). CO is a precursor of O₃, but the relationship between their abundances is not easily defined, as it also depends on the concentrations of other trace gases and on the meteorological conditions. The stratospheric influence on tropospheric chemistry and the deposition of O₃ on the Earth’s surface can make this relationship even more complicated.
In the past, the correlations between O$_3$ and CO concentrations from observations have been used in order to understand the anthropogenic influence on O$_3$. The most thoroughly studied area has been the northeastern US and the pollution outflow region in the northern Atlantic. There have been studies on this region using surface measurements (Parrish et al., 1993; Chin et al., 1994; Parrish et al., 1998; Cádены et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002; Honrath et al., 2004; Mao and Talbot, 2004) and using aircraft measurements (Buhr et al., 1996; Daum et al., 1996; Cooper et al., 2002a,b; Huntresser et al., 2005). They all showed that O$_3$-CO correlations in the summer, when photochemistry is at its peak, are positive and often strong, depending on the weather patterns that prevailed during the measurement period (Cooper et al., 2002a,b).

Since CO is a good indicator for industrial and biomass burning pollution (Logan et al., 1981), a positive correlation with O$_3$ indicates that a region has experienced photochemical O$_3$ production from its precursors (including CO). A negative O$_3$-CO correlation is found in the northern Atlantic in the winter (Parrish et al., 1998; Cádены et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002; Mao and Talbot, 2004) which can indicate that O$_3$ destruction through NO$_x$ (NO + NO$_2$) titration is favoured when pollution increases. However, in Chin et al. (1994) and Real et al. (2008) it was also discussed that negative correlations can sometimes result from secondary CO production from hydrocarbon oxidation (which leads to CO being produced but O$_3$ being consumed), instead of photochemical O$_3$ destruction. Similarly, positive O$_3$-CO correlations can be explained by CO destruction, rather than O$_3$ production. However, we expect that these alternative explanations do not apply to the majority of cases. We also need to note that there are cases when the O$_3$-CO correlation is driven by factors not affected by chemistry, like when a strong stratospheric intrusion occurs. In such cases, it is expected that the correlation will be negative, since stratospheric air is rich in O$_3$ but poor in CO (e.g. Parrish et al., 1998).

For another pollution outflow region, the northwestern Pacific, several studies (Jaffe et al., 1996; Kajii et al., 1998; Pochanart et al., 1999; Mauzerall et al., 2000; Tsutsumi and Matsueda, 2000; Kato et al., 2004; Suthawaree et al., 2008; Tanimoto et al., 2008) found that the broad characteristics of the O$_3$-CO correlations are similar to those of the northern Atlantic: positive correlations in the summer and negative in the winter, whereas in spring, when stratospheric intrusions are common, correlations are often negative (Hsu et al., 2004). Apart from those well-known pollution outflow regions, other correlation analyses using in-situ measurements have focused on different areas around the globe, including continental Asia and America (Chin et al., 1994; Naja et al., 2003; Gao et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006, 2008; Ding et al., 2009), Europe (Zahn et al., 2000; Fischer et al., 2003), the eastern Pacific (Nowak et al., 2004; Bertschi and Jaffe, 2005; Swartzendruber et al., 2008), the tropics (Andreae et al., 1994; Harris et al., 1998; Sanhueza et al., 1999; Chan et al., 2002; Takegawa et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2004; Henne et al., 2008; Ancellet et al., 2009; Shim et al., 2009) and high latitude sites (Jaffe et al., 1998; Harris et al., 2000). These analyses revealed similarities and differences between the various regions, which will not be documented here, but will be referred to later in this manuscript whenever necessary.

Although there have been many studies that examined the important topic of O$_3$-CO correlations, their reliance on surface/aircraft observations has left much of the globe unexplored. The aircraft campaigns provided relatively good (though far from complete) spatial coverage, but for limited periods. The satellite observations from the Tropospheric Emissions Spectrometer (TES; Beer et al., 2001) provide a unique opportunity to study the global multi-year O$_3$-CO correlation from collocated and simultaneous, vertically resolved tropospheric O$_3$ and CO data. There have been a few recent studies that have taken advantage of this dataset for this purpose, but they have either focused on particular regions (Zhang et al., 2008; Shim et al., 2009) or examined a limited time-period (Zhang et al., 2006). Here, we expand the scope of Zhang et al. (2006) (who focused on July 2005) to examine the middle/lower free tropospheric O$_3$-CO correlation patterns around the globe in two different seasons (Northern Hemisphere summer/winter), using a multi-year (2005–08) dataset. Since the O$_3$-CO correlation analysis provides information on whether a model captures O$_3$ levels for the right reasons (Chin et al., 1994), we also employ two different models that we evaluate in terms of their ability to capture the correlation patterns. Furthermore, we perform a sensitivity analysis, in which the effect of different emissions on the correlations is examined.

In Sect. 2 we provide some information about the TES observations and the two models used (G-PUCCINI, UKCA). Section 3 presents CO, O$_3$ and O$_3$-CO correlations as observed by TES and as simulated in the models, as well as an analysis of performance of the models by using the correlation coefficient between the modeled and the observed O$_3$-CO correlations (C). Section 4 analyzes the results of a sensitivity analysis that examines whether emissions drive the broad features of the correlations and Sect. 5 discusses potential reasons for differences between the models and TES observations. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Sect. 6. The purpose of this study is not to separately analyze and explain the detailed features of correlations in all different parts of the globe, but to document global correlation maps that can be used for future model evaluation, and discuss the broad features found, with an emphasis on the possible role of emissions as a driver.
2 Datasets

2.1 TES satellite data

The Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) is a high-resolution (0.1 cm$^{-1}$), infrared, Fourier Transform spectrometer aboard the NASA Aura satellite. Aura satellite follows a polar Sun-synchronous orbit with an equator crossing time at 01:45 and 13:45 local time and has a repeat cycle of 16 days. TES covers a wide spectral range (650 to 3050 cm$^{-1}$) and has an averaged nadir footprint of about 5 km by 8 km (Beer et al., 2001). The spectral radiances measured by TES are used to retrieve the species’ (e.g. O$_3$, CO) mixing ratio profiles using the optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000; Bowman et al., 2002, 2006). The retrieved natural logarithm of the mixing ratio profile $\hat{x}$ can be expressed as:

$$\hat{x} = x_a + A(x - x_a) + \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $x$ and $x_a$ are the natural logarithms of the true atmospheric profile and of the a priori profile respectively, $A$ is the averaging kernel matrix, expressing the sensitivity of the retrieval to the true state, and $\epsilon$ is the observational error. $x$, $x_a$, $A$ and $\epsilon$ are part of the standard TES product. Also, a master quality flag that we use to exclude bad data points is available with the TES product. $x_a$ consists of monthly means produced from MOZART model simulations. The TES products are on 67 vertical levels with a varying layer thickness. In this study we use the version 4 of TES global survey data, each of which consists of data from 16 full orbits.

TES O$_3$ data have been evaluated by comparison to ozonesondes (e.g. Worden et al., 2007; Nassar et al., 2008; Bowman et al., 2009; Boxe et al., 2010), aircraft data (e.g. Richards et al., 2008), and satellite data (OMI/MLS) (e.g. Osterman et al., 2008). These studies show that TES O$_3$ generally has a positive bias that varies between 3–10 ppbv (Nassar et al., 2008) in the troposphere. TES CO has been validated against aircraft data and has been shown to have a slightly negative (<10 %) bias in midlatitudes and a slightly positive bias (<10 %) in the tropics (Luo et al., 2007; Lopez et al., 2008). The TES O$_3$ and CO data have been used extensively to examine a variety of scientific topics (e.g. Parrington et al., 2008; Bowman et al., 2009; Shim et al., 2009; Hegarty et al., 2010), including the investigation of the 3-D O$_3$ radiative forcing as observed from space (Aghedo et al., 2011).

2.2 G-PUCCINI model data

G-PUCCINI is the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) model for Physical Understanding of Composition-Climate INteractions and Impacts (Shindell et al., 2006b). The composition model (including both gases and aerosols) is fully embedded in the GISS modelE climate model (Schmidt et al., 2006). Tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry are simulated simultaneously. Tropospheric chemistry includes basic NO$_x$-HO$_x$-O$_x$-CO-CH$_4$ interactions as well as PAN, isoprene, alkyl nitrates, aldehydes, alkenes and paraffins. The lumped hydrocarbon family scheme was derived from the Carbon Bond Mechanism-4 (Gery et al., 1989) and from the more extensive Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Model, following Houweling et al. (1998). The stratospheric chemistry includes chlorine- and bromine-containing compounds, and CFC and N$_2$O source gases. The full scheme includes 155 chemical reactions among 55 species. The main additions to the chemistry of the previous versions of G-PUCCINI are (a) the addition of acetone to the hydrocarbons following Houweling et al. (1998), (b) the dependence of polar stratospheric cloud formation on the abundance of nitric acid, water vapor and temperature (Hanson and Mauersberger, 1988), and (c) the addition of a reaction pathway for HO$_2$ + NO to yield HNO$_3$ (Butkovskaya et al., 2007). Photolysis rates are calculated using the Fast-J2 scheme (Wild et al., 2000; Bian and Prather, 2002), whereas other chemical reaction rate coefficients are from JPL-2000 (Sander et al., 2000). Tracer transport uses a non-diffusive quadratic upstream scheme (Prather, 1986). A full description of the model is given in Shindell et al. (2006b) and references therein.

The G-PUCCINI model has been extensively compared with observations and other models (e.g. Stevenson et al., 2006; Dentener et al., 2006; Shindell et al., 2006b,a; Sanderson et al., 2008; Fiore et al., 2009; Lamarque et al., 2010). It performs well at simulating tropospheric O$_3$ and CO concentrations, with some strengths and weaknesses that will be highlighted later in the discussion.

We ran the model at a 2° latitude by 2.5° longitude horizontal resolution, with increased effective resolution for tracers by carrying higher order moments at each grid box. This configuration has 40 vertical hybrid pressure layers from the surface to 0.1 hPa (∼80 km). Simulations were performed using observed sea-surface temperatures (Rayner et al., 2003) and linear relaxation of winds toward NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). We use present-day anthropogenic emissions for a variety of sectors produced in support of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) (Lamarque et al., 2010). For biomass burning we use data from the Global Fire Emissions Database version 2 (GFED2) (Randerson et al., 2007). Isoprene emissions are a function of vegetation type and leaf area index with responses to temperature and solar radiation based on the algorithm of Guenther et al. (1995, 2006). Vegetation emissions of alkenes and paraffin come from the GEIA dataset, and are based on Guenther et al. (1995). Lightning NO$_x$ emissions depend on the climate model’s convection based on the parameterization of Price et al. (1997). The abundances of long-lived species (including methane) have been prescribed to observations.
2.3 UKCA model data

UKCA is the UK Chemistry Aerosol Model (Morgenstern et al., 2009; O’Connor et al., 2011), which is based upon the UK Met Office’s Unified Model (UM) (Staniforth et al., 2005). We employ the model set up of Telford et al. (2010) who used the tropospheric version of the model (O’Connor et al., 2011). This has a medium-sized chemistry, simulating the NOx, HOx and O3 chemical cycles and the oxidation of CO, ethane, propane and isoprene, with 132 reactions among 60 species. Long-lived tracers, such as methane, are set to constant values throughout the atmosphere and O3 and NOx are overwritten above 30hPa with zonal mean values from the Cambridge 2-D model (Law and Pyle, 1993). Photolysis rates are calculated using the offline scheme of Law et al. (1998). Tracer transport is performed using the semi-Lagrangian scheme of Priestley (1993). An evaluation of the tropospheric version of the model can be found in O’Connor et al. (2011).

We ran the model at a 2.5° latitude by 3.75° longitude horizontal resolution. This configuration has 60 vertical hybrid height layers from the surface to 84km. Simulations were constrained by sea-surface temperatures (Rayner et al., 2003) and with winds and temperature “nudged” (Telford et al., 2008) toward ECMWF Operational analyses. We use the IPCC AR5 emissions inventory from 2000 for both the anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions ( Lamarque et al., 2010). Biogenic emissions of isoprene are from Guenther et al. (1995) and there are 40 Tg of acetone emitted per year, spatially distributed as the isoprene emissions (O’Connor et al., 2011). In addition, there are 45 Tg of CO emitted from the oceans and 18.4 Tg of NO emitted from soils with distributions taken from the GEIA project1. Lightning NOx emissions depend on the climate model’s convection based on the parameterization of Price and Rind (1994).

2.4 Data post-processing

Similarly to when comparing TES data with in-situ measurements ( sondes, aircraft; e.g. see Jones et al. (2003); Worden et al. (2007); Shim et al. (2009)), we have post-processed the model output in order to be directly comparable with the TES observations. This required: (i) sampling of the model 3-hourly output at the times and locations of the TES measurements, (ii) interpolating model data onto the 67 TES vertical pressure levels, and (iii) application of the TES a priori profiles and averaging kernels (hereafter, “TES operators”), in order to account for the measurement sensitivity (see Sect. 2.1) at different times and locations. Step (iii) involves the use of Eq. (1), but with the logarithm of the mixing ratios from the model (extracted and vertically interpolated) now replacing the true atmospheric profile. When the averaging kernel goes to zero, the model post-processed output will revert to the a priori profile values, as would the TES measurements. TES has been shown to be relatively sensitive in the vertical region on which we are focusing in this study (800–400 hPa), in several different geographical areas (Zhang et al., 2006; Worden et al., 2007; Richards et al., 2008; Osterman et al., 2008; Bowman et al., 2009; Shim et al., 2009; Hegarty et al., 2009).

Note that we have binned the processed observational and model data onto a 4° latitude by 5° longitude grid in order to smooth-out gaps in the observations. A similar smoothing was done in the correlation analysis of Zhang et al. (2006), but on a coarser grid (10° × 10°), since they considered only one month.

3 Results from TES and models

Figures 1 and 2 show the mean concentrations of CO and O3 for the period of interest (2005–08) and for the two seasons of interest (July–August and December–January, respectively). From Fig. 1, it is obvious that both models are able to capture the CO spatial variability fairly well: the northern hemispheric concentrations are much higher, and the biomass burning peaks are clearly visible for both seasons. Also, CO is more abundant in the Northern Hemisphere winter, which is reasonable due to the lower amounts of hydroxyl radical (OH) that are present in the troposphere in that season (reduced oxidizing capacity). The average CO concentrations in both models are higher than in TES for both seasons. This is in contrast to earlier results from a multi-model study (Shindell et al., 2006a), which found that the majority of models under-predicted CO in the troposphere, especially at boreal latitudes. Our result suggests that probably the new anthropogenic emissions dataset that we are using (AR5) includes improved emission estimates. Also, the differences between modeled and measured CO may have to do with the low biases of TES CO (see Sect. 2.1) especially at midlatitudes.

The spatial distribution of O3 in TES is captured well by the models (Fig. 2): there is high O3 in anthropogenically polluted and biomass burning regions, and over the former, O3 is highest in the summer, when photochemical activity is at its peak. Also, the highest values of ozone in the summertime are seen over Middle East, North Africa and central Asia in all datasets, consistent with previous studies for the middle troposphere (Liu et al., 2009; Worden et al., 2009). The G-PUCCINI model captures the levels of O3 very well, in agreement with what Shindell et al. (2006b) found for the middle troposphere. In the UKCA model, the spatial pattern is captured well, though the O3 levels appear to be somewhat lower than the observed. However, note that TES O3 has been found to be positively biased (see Sect. 2.1) in much of the globe, which implies that the UKCA is likely doing well, and better than this comparison implies.

1http://www.geiacenter.org/inventories/present.html
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Figure 3 shows global maps of the correlation coefficients between the 2005–08 O$_3$ and CO time series (daily means), as observed from TES and as simulated by the two models, for the two seasons of interest. In the TES map for July–August (panel a), it can be seen that several regions have positive correlations, with the highest ones being in the western part of the northern Pacific (up to 0.8). Positive summer O$_3$-CO correlations were also found in in-situ measurements within this region (e.g. Kajii et al., 1998; Mauzerall et al., 2000; Suthawaree et al., 2008). In our study, positive but lower correlations also extend further East, towards the US. In fact, the positive correlations are also found over the US, and become reinforced over the other major midlatitude pollution outflow region, from the east US coast towards the northern Atlantic, where we find positive correlations of up to 0.4–0.6. Positive summer O$_3$-CO correlations in this area are also in agreement with previous studies (e.g. Parrish et al., 1993, 1998; Honrath et al., 2004).

Other regions that stand out as areas of positive O$_3$-CO correlations include a big part of the tropics and subtropics, especially close to biomass burning regions. These areas have not been examined in detail in terms of the O$_3$-CO correlation in the past, but two studies for spring by Collins et al. (1996) (southern Atlantic) and by Shim et al. (2009) (Gulf of Mexico) revealed positive correlations. Also, positive correlations were found during fire events in northern Australia (Takegawa et al., 2003) and at a mountain site in Kenya (Henne et al., 2008), though in the latter correlations were weak.
Areas of negative correlations in July–August include high northern latitudes and the deserts of the Sahara and the Middle East (regions not studied in terms of O$_3$-CO correlation in the past). Also, much of continental Asia shows negative or weak correlations, in agreement with Naja et al. (2003); Wang et al. (2006), while areas closer to the large urban centers of East Asia show mainly positive correlations, in agreement with Gao et al. (2005); Wang et al. (2008); Ding et al. (2009). Apart from qualitatively agreeing fairly well with past in-situ observational studies, the TES O$_3$-CO correlation patterns that we find also broadly agree with the findings of Zhang et al. (2006) for July 2005 (where they use TES and the GEOS-Chem model).

The positive O$_3$-CO correlations found in TES data for the midlatitude pollution outflow regions in the summer, are still found in the winter (Fig. 3b), but with less latitudinal coverage, extending mainly up to 30–40° N. The higher latitude areas in northern Atlantic/Pacific now show negative correlations, in agreement with previous studies (e.g. Parrish et al., 1998; Kajii et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002; Suthawatee et al., 2008). This indicates that these latitudes, due to the lack of sunlight, do not experience intense photochemistry and are dominated by O$_3$ destruction and/or dry deposition. Occasionally, stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) can also drive these negative correlations, which, however, is more frequent in spring (Zhang et al., 2008). The tropics show positive correlations in regions where wildfire burning...
Fig. 3. Correlation coefficient of daily mean 2005–08 middle/lower free tropospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) and CO concentrations for TES (a, b), G-PUCCINI (c, d) and UKCA (e, f) in July–August (left panels) and December–January (right panels). We used data from 7 TES pressure levels between 800 and 400 hPa. TES sampling and operators have been applied to the model output. Also, the observational error has been taken into account for the model correlations, following Eq. (3) of Zhang et al. (2006). Stippling indicates the areas for which less than 40 sample members (i.e. days) were available for the correlation calculation. These are the same (but not shown) in the middle and lower panels (c, d, e, f), since the geographical and temporal sampling was identical with the upper panels. Within these regions with >40 sample members, correlations are statistically significant only where they are higher than 0.3 or lower than −0.3, based on a Pearson’s test (95 % confidence interval). The data have been smoothed by averaging on a 4×5 degree grid.

is expected during the December–January season, like West Africa and a large part of Central Africa. Most of the regions that showed negative correlations in July–August, still have negative correlations in December–January, like, for instance, the northern high latitudes, in agreement with Harris et al. (2000) who studied \( \text{O}_3 \)-CO correlations in Alaska during winter.

Apart from the \( \text{O}_3 \)-CO correlation coefficients, we also calculated the slopes of the TES \( \text{O}_3 \)-CO regression line, which represent the \( \text{O}_3 \)-CO enhancement ratio \( (\frac{d[\text{O}_3]}{d[\text{CO}]}\) and are shown in Fig. 4a, b. Slopes are useful to indicate the strength of the \( \text{O}_3 \)-CO relationships, and as such they have been reported in several observational studies (e.g. Parrish et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002). It is clear that the patterns of the slopes follow those of the correlations (Fig. 3a, b) very well. Additionally, in order to understand which regions with high correlations are associated also with high absolute variability of pollution, we calculated the TES \( \text{O}_3 \)-CO covariance (see Fig. 4c, d), from the same data used for the calculation of the correlation. It is evident that the areas with highest covariance are the biomass burning regions in both seasons and the midlatitude pollution outflow regions.
Fig. 4. \(O_3\)-CO slope (\(d[O_3]/d[CO]\)) (a, b) and covariance (c, d) from the 2005–08 800–400 hPa TES data for July–August (a, c) and December–January (b, d).

in the Northern-Hemisphere summer. On the other hand, the regions with the lowest absolute covariances cover most of the southern middle/high latitudes (>30° S) and much of the northern high latitudes (>50° N), suggesting that any discrepancies that we have seen so far regarding the correlation in these regions are not important.

For the calculation of the model \(O_3\)-CO correlations (see Fig. 3c, d, e, f), we have applied the observational error provided from the TES datasets to the model output using the following equation (Zhang et al., 2006):

\[
\text{Corr}(\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j) = \frac{\text{Cov}(x'_i, x'_j)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2_{x'_i} + \bar{x}^2_i} \sqrt{\sigma^2_{x'_j} + \bar{x}^2_j} [S]_{ii} [S]_{jj}}
\]

where \(\text{Corr}(\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j)\) is the correlation between any two elements \(\hat{x}_i\) and \(\hat{x}_j\) of the retrieved model \(O_3\) and CO profiles, \(x'_i\) and \(x'_j\) are the same elements under noise-free conditions, \(\text{Cov}(x'_i, x'_j)\) is the covariance of these elements, \(\bar{x}'_i\) and \(\bar{x}'_j\) are their mean values, \(\sigma_{x'_i}\) and \(\sigma_{x'_j}\) are their standard deviations, and finally \(S\) is the spectral measurement error covariance (we assumed that spectral measurement errors are small in the conversion from log mixing ratio to mixing ratio, and that the spectral measurement error covariance is the same for all scenes, as in Zhang et al., 2006). From the above formula it is clear that the correlations in the raw model data are expected to be higher than those shown in Fig. 3, but the application of the observational error reduced them and made the results directly comparable with TES. This was something that we did not need to do for Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, since on a monthly basis the error is expected to be zero. Note that we performed tests in which the observational error was varied by ±25%, to examine whether the model results depend on this quantity, but found that the main features are fairly insensitive to those perturbations.

G-PUCCINI model results capture many of the correlation patterns seen in the observations remarkably well for both seasons (Fig. 3c, d). There are certain features that are not captured, such as when the correlations become negative in the winter at high-latitudes over the Atlantic, but generally, many of the characteristics are simulated, including the seasonal variation of correlations over the northern Pacific. The UKCA model (Fig. 3e, f) map shows some similarities with TES in terms of distribution of positive and negative correlations. The main difference is that the correlations are systematically lower than the observations for both seasons.

In Fig. 5, we examine the relationship between the modeled and observed \(O_3\)-CO correlations using data from all over the globe. It is evident that the G-PUCCINI model does well in capturing the summer \(O_3\)-CO correlations, while in
the winter there seems to be a slight tendency for overestimation. The UKCA model tends to underestimate the O$_3$-CO correlations in both seasons, especially in the summer. Additionally, in order to quantitatively compare the models, we calculate the correlation coefficient ($C$) between modeled and observed O$_3$-CO correlations for different regions of the globe in both seasons (Table 1). It is clear that the areas where the G-PUCCINI model does particularly well cover much of the northern midlatitudes in the summer, with $C$ higher than 0.6 for North America, northern Atlantic, Europe and west northern Pacific. Areas with less good performance can be found in the tropics (e.g. South America, Central Africa), especially in the winter. The performance of the UKCA model is satisfactory for the northern midlatitudes in the summer, e.g. exhibiting a good performance at the Pacific outflow region. However, with the exception of the east northern Pacific, the performance at midlatitudes is not good in the winter. On the other hand, there are specific cases where the UKCA model seems to have more skill on capturing the O$_3$-CO correlation, such as in remote regions like South America and the east tropical Pacific in the winter.

4 Sensitivity analysis

4.1 Robustness of results

As discussed in Sect. 3, to calculate the model correlation coefficients shown in Fig. 3 (c, d, e, f), we have taken into consideration the observational error, in order to have a more consistent comparison with TES correlations. In Fig. 6a, we show how the G-PUCCINI model July–August correlations would look, had we not accounted for this error. As expected, the sign of correlations is identical with Fig. 3c, but the magnitude of correlations is larger. We underline that the magnitude of correlations seen in Fig. 6a is expected to be closer to what could be found in reality in the troposphere, since the correlations have not been degraded by observational error.

In Fig. 6b, we show how the G-PUCCINI O$_3$-CO correlations would look if the TES operators had not been applied to the model output (so that the only post-processing would have been the TES time/location sampling). It is clear that the results are very similar to Fig. 6a, with the exception of the Southern Ocean, where correlations change from negative to positive. This indicates that this region was heavily weighted towards the TES a priori. However, as seen in Fig. 4c, d, this is an area with very low O$_3$-CO covariance, thus this difference in correlations when ignoring the TES...
operators is not important, as it is not associated with large enough O₃ and CO fluctuations. Figure 6c shows how the correlations would look, had there been no TES time/location sampling. The plot indicates that the features of the maps that we have shown so far do not depend on the TES sampling.

Figure 6d shows the O₃-CO correlations for a single pressure level (562 hPa) within the vertical region of interest (800–400 hPa), rather than for the 800–400 hPa mean. One can conclude that our results do not depend on the choice of height in the middle/low free troposphere. The results appear to change more when looking at the upper troposphere (see panel e for correlations at 316 hPa), with e.g. correlations getting significantly lower over northern high latitudes, most likely due to the influence of STE. However, there are several features, especially in the northern midlatitudes, that remain similar even at this altitude.

From Fig. 6f, it can be seen that our results do not only apply for day-to-day variations of O₃ and CO, but are similar when considering somewhat longer timescales (quasi-weekly). Note that we have also tested how the correlations change when taking into account the raw 3-hourly model data instead of the daily averages, and how they change when deseasonalizing the O₃/CO time series (not shown). Again, little change has been found in our results (except for deseasonalization effects in the Southern Ocean, which were more drastic, but only when calculating correlations using days from all months of the year). Later in the manuscript (Fig. 8a and Fig. 9a), we also discuss that the O₃-CO correlations are not sensitive to the year that we choose.

For the UKCA model (Fig. 7), similar conclusions about the robustness of the results can be drawn. There are some notable exceptions, like the fact that when not applying the TES operators to the UKCA post-processing (Fig. 7b), (i) the northern high-latitude (>60° N) correlations become almost entirely negative, which is more in agreement with the observations, and (ii) the positive correlations over biomass burning regions in Africa become more widespread, which may be related to the fact that the convective processes are different in the two models. This suggests that the observed correlations can provide a useful constraint for vertical mixing, something that can be examined further in future work.

However, in general the correlation features found in our analysis seem to be robust and to characterize each model. Note that the same robustness exists in the observational data (no dependence on year, altitude within 800–400 hPa, timescale etc.). Additionally, most of the similarities found across different estimates, as described in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 for July–August, are also present in G-PUCCINI/UKCA results for December–January. In Fig. 6 g and Fig. 7 g we show the 2005–08 December–January correlations from the raw model output (compare with Fig. 3d and Fig. 3f) just for reference. Despite the similarities of the results from all the different ways of estimating the O₃-CO correlations within each model, the results from the two different models remain significantly different.

### 4.2 Perturbing emissions

Next, we analyze the results of four sensitivity model runs that were performed in order to assess the role of emissions in driving the correlation patterns. We focus on July–August, as we have demonstrated that this is the period with the most intense features in the Northern Hemisphere. Through tests that we have performed by comparing the O₃-CO correlations of 2005–08 with those from each individual year (e.g. compare Fig. 8a with Fig. 6c) we have found that the choice
of year does not matter much. So we performed the sensitivity experiments only for year 2006.

In each of the runs, one type of emission is “switched off” (no_ANTH for no anthropogenic, no_BB for no biomass burning, no_LIGHT for no lightning and no_VEG for no vegetation emissions). Figure 8a and Fig. 9a show the results from the unperturbed runs (BASE) in 2006.

In both models, it is clear that biomass burning is the emission that has the highest impact on the $O_3$-CO correlation in the tropics (Fig. 8c, Fig. 9c). Downwind of Central Africa and of South America, there is even a change in the sign of the correlation coefficients (from positive to negative). This implies that the photochemistry of these regions heavily depends on biomass burning. We expect that in December–January, the large impact of biomass burning will be seen in north equatorial Africa, where biomass burning is intense during that season. It is interesting to note that biogenic emissions (which are very important in the tropics) are not enough to sustain positive correlations in a large part of these regions. There appear to be some regions of positive changes...
Fig. 7. Testing the robustness of UKCA 2005–08 correlation coefficients seen in Fig. 3: (a) same as Fig. 3e but without the observational error; (b) same as (a) but without the TES operators application; (c) same as (b) but without the TES sampling (i.e. raw model output); (d) same as (c) but for 562 hPa instead of 800–400 hPa average; (e) same as (c) but for 316 hPa instead of 800–400 hPa average; (f) same as (c) but calculated using 5-daily (quasi-weekly) averages instead of daily. Also, we show the correlations from the raw model output for December–January in (g) (compare with Fig. 3f).

in the correlation coefficient in both models, like the outflow regions of pollution from Indonesia (Indian Ocean and equatorial Pacific). These are mostly areas with positive and in some cases high correlations, which become even higher following this perturbation.

The effect of the anthropogenic emissions (Fig. 8b, Fig. 9b) is more straightforward, especially at midlatitudes, where we find mostly reductions in the O₃-CO correlations when removing them. An exception, in the G-PUCCINI model, is some parts of East Asia, which possibly are dominated by NOₓ titration, where correlations are increased in the no_ANTH run. However, this feature is not seen in the UKCA model. Note that in the no_ANTH run, correlations preserve their sign in most of the regions, indicating that there are still other types of emissions in key areas (e.g. biogenic, for the north Atlantic) that preserve the O₃ production processes. In the no_VEGB run (panels d), correlations are again reduced in regions like, for example, the north Atlantic Ocean, but do not change sign. There are regions where positive correlations increase, like in the west coast of South
Fig. 8. Correlation coefficient of daily mean July–August 2006 middle/lower free tropospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) and CO concentrations in the BASE G-PUCCINI run (unperturbed; (a)) and in 4 sensitivity runs in summer. The four runs are: (b) no anthropogenic emissions, (c) no biomass burning emissions, (d) no emissions from vegetation (isoprene, alkenes, paraffin), and (e) no lightning emissions. All model data that fall into the 800–400 hPa region have been used (no time sampling/averaging kernel application).

America, an effect which is rather pronounced in the UKCA model. In general, we can claim that the no_VEG run produced the most uncertain results.

The perturbation of lightning in the no_LIGHT runs (Fig. 8e, Fig. 9e) changes the \( \text{O}_3 \)-CO correlation in various areas in the G-PUCCINI model, like the eastern Pacific and the Indian Ocean, but it does not affect substantially the correlations in the UKCA model. This result initiated an examination of the lightning parameterization in the UKCA model, which revealed that lightning \( \text{NO}_x \) is emitted at too low tropospheric levels in the model. This may explain the low correlations shown for the UKCA model in Fig. 3 for some of the regions, but not everywhere, as lightning does not seem to be a major driver in areas like the midlatitude outflow regions (see Fig. 8e).

5 Discussion

Had we found an emission type with a large impact on G-PUCCINI correlations, but not on UKCA, and with a large impact on regions with high correlations (midlatitude continental outflow regions, tropical biomass burning regions) we would have concluded that the treatment of particular types of emissions in the UKCA model (either the emissions themselves or their chemical fate in the troposphere) is the reason for its lower correlations in important areas. Note that we performed an extra experiment with removed VOC emissions (not shown) which showed that there are not drastic changes in the correlations when applying such a perturbation. This ruled out the possibility that the different lumping of VOCs in the two models could make a large difference.
Fig. 9. Correlation coefficient of daily mean July–August 2006 middle/lower free tropospheric O$_3$ and CO concentrations in the BASE UKCA run (unperturbed; a) and in 4 sensitivity runs in summer. The four runs are: (b) no anthropogenic emissions, (c) no biomass burning emissions, (d) no emissions from vegetation (isoprene and acetone), and (e) no lightning emissions. All model data that fall into the 800–400 hPa region have been used (no time sampling/averaging kernel application).

From the above, we conclude that the discrepancies most likely have to do with other factors. This is supported by the fact that the net chemical tendencies (total O$_3$ chemical production minus total ozone chemical loss) in 800–400 hPa (Fig. 10) do not differ much in terms of sign in the crucial regions that we have examined (northern Atlantic, northern Pacific, tropical Africa). In fact, both models show net chemical O$_3$ destruction in large parts of the regions where we have found substantial positive O$_3$-CO correlations. This indicates that, contrary to what is often stated, positive O$_3$-CO correlations cannot always be used as an indication for local photochemical O$_3$ production. In areas like the northern Pacific, we expect that frequent meridional transport of low-CO/low-O$_3$ air from lower latitudes could be playing a central role.

Increased STE in the UKCA model could have explained the lower O$_3$-CO correlations, especially at midlatitudes. However, global STE for 2006 in the model is 659 Tg yr$^{-1}$, which is well within reported ranges (e.g. Stevenson et al., 2006). In fact, the global downward flux of O$_3$ from a surface (380 hPa) right above our region of interest (800–400 hPa) is 20–30% lower in the UKCA model compared to the G-Puccini model. Apart from the influence of vertical mixing, we also expect that horizontal mixing can impact the correlations and their differences in the models. Additionally, convection can also be a source of differences. It is common that models forced with the same meteorology may simulate vertical transport of pollution in the tropics very differently, depending on the parameterization used (Hoyle et al., 2010), while very similar (or the same) models can produce
Fig. 10. Net chemical tendency of middle/lower free tropospheric (800–400 hPa) O\textsubscript{3} in July–August 2006 for the G-PUCCINI and UKCA unperturbed runs (BASE).

different results when forced with different meteorological analyses (Liu et al., 2010). In fact, the former study notes that nudged models, including UKCA, can misrepresent convective fluxes due to misrepresentation of surface fluxes, such as moisture, even if the large scale circulation is well represented. This was evidenced in too small a flux of idealised tracers in the UKCA model in the West African monsoon.

Another factor that can be driving the differences between the models is the use of an offline photolysis scheme in the UKCA versus an interactive photolysis scheme in G-PUCCINI. As found in Voulgarakis et al. (2009a,b), the effects of clouds on photolysis can drive sizeable changes in the chemistry, with impacts being stronger above and below clouds. Even when these effects are above/below our region of interest (800–400 hPa), they can still be affecting our results via transport of signals.

Apart from being a useful addition to future model intercomparisons, the method presented here will be an invaluable way of evaluating the next improved version of the UKCA model and understanding how its recent developments (Fast-JX photolysis, more realistic lightning NO\textsubscript{x}; not included here) impact its ability to simulate tropospheric chemistry.

6 Conclusions

We used TES satellite observations and two different models to provide the first global O\textsubscript{3}-CO correlation analysis using a multi-year dataset for two different seasons. A variety of features have been found to be robust across different datasets and different methods of estimating the correlation, and also consistent with past studies that used in-situ measurements. Areas that consistently show positive correlations are the tropical biomass burning regions for both seasons and the northern midlatitude continental outflow regions in the summer. Among the latter, the area with the highest correlations (>0.8) is the northern Pacific, not just near east Asia, but even close to the west coast of North America. Areas of negative correlations include the high northern latitudes in both seasons, as well as regions like the Sahara and the Middle East.

We evaluated two models’ (G-PUCCINI, UKCA) ability to capture the O\textsubscript{3}-CO correlations and found that G-PUCCINI has a good skill, especially in summer months, but also has some weaknesses, especially in the winter over the tropics. The UKCA model, whose simulated O\textsubscript{3} abundances are not very different to those of the G-PUCCINI model, is able to capture some of the features (e.g. in some remote regions), but in general has correlations that are systematically lower than TES and G-PUCCINI over various regions.

By performing a series of sensitivity experiments, we find that the only individual type of emission which is able to explain the sign of an important correlation pattern by its own, is biomass burning in the tropics. The rest of the features of the geographical distribution remain similar when excluding different individual types of emissions in our model experiments. However, the magnitude of the correlations do change, especially downwind of emission regions, where O\textsubscript{3} production is affected by the perturbations that we apply. The analysis also reveals that emissions and chemical processing are most likely not the reason for the UKCA model underestimates of O\textsubscript{3}-CO correlations. We discuss that the discrepancies are more likely due to differences in vertical and horizontal mixing.

There is still a lot to be examined and explained about the features of our correlation maps. In particular, more analysis could be performed to understand the influence of the dynamics on the O\textsubscript{3}-CO relationship. Studying the correlations at higher altitudes would possibly enhance our understanding of the role of STE and convection. It would also be very useful to examine the correlation patterns for other seasons, like Northern Hemisphere spring, when STE is more intense at midlatitudes. Upper tropospheric ozone and CO
data from the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) could also be used for these purposes. Another interesting implication of our approach would be to study the O$_3$-CO correlation in a modeled future atmosphere, taking into account climate change and stratospheric O$_3$ recovery, both of which have the potential to influence tropospheric chemistry.

In general, we have showed that the correlation maps presented here are fairly robust and we believe that they are suitable for use for comparisons in future model evaluations. The observed correlations (Fig. 3a, b) are in principle the most reliable, while the G-PUCCINI correlations from raw model output (Fig. 6c, g) can also provide a straightforward benchmark for comparison with other models (especially since raw model correlations have not been degraded by the observational error). This is especially true for the Northern Hemisphere summer, when performance is even better. Overall, the method presented here could be a useful addition to multi-model validation efforts that will be materialized in the future, providing insight into the models ability to simulate O$_3$ well for the right reasons. With further work, we may also be able to link discrepancies in the O$_3$-CO correlation with particular weaknesses in models, something that is not fully allowed by our current level of understanding.
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