The vaporization of B2O3 in a reducing environment leads to formation of both B2O3(g) and B2O2(g). While formation of B2O3(g) is well understood, many questions about the formation of B2O2(g) remain. Previous studies using B(s) + B2O3(l) have led to inconsistent thermodynamic data. In this study, it was found that after heating, B(s) and B2O3(l) appear to separate and variations in contact area likely led to the inconsistent vapor pressures of B2O2(g). To circumvent this problem, an activity of boron is fixed with a two-phase mixture of FeB and Fe2B. Both second and third law enthalpies of formation were measured for B2O2(g) and B2O3(g). From these the enthalpies of formation at 298.15 K are calculated to be -479.9 ± 41.5 kJ/mol for B2O2(g) and -833.4 ± 13.1 kJ/mol for B2O3(g). Ab initio calculations to determine the enthalpies of formation of B2O2(g) and B2O3(g) were conducted using the W1BD composite method and show good agreement with the experimental values.
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Vaporize B$_2$O$_3$(l) in Reducing Environments
- Applications and need for thermodynamic data
  - Ceramic processing
  - Corrosion of high-temperature ceramics
- Two vaporization routes
  - B$_2$O$_3$(l) = B$_2$O$_3$(g)
  - 2/3 B (or other reductant) + 2/3 B$_2$O$_3$(l) = B$_2$O$_3$(g)
- Approach [1]
  - Ab initio study using quantum chemical composite methods
  - Knudsen effusion mass spectrometry (KEMS) [2]

Previous Studies
- Thermodynamic of B$_2$O$_3$(g) well understood, reliable data available
- Questions on 2B(l) + 1/2O$_2$(g) = B$_2$O$_3$(g) issues with B and B$_2$O$_3$(l) rxn

Theoretical Heats of Formation:
Quantum Chemistry Composite Methods [8–12]
- Equilibrium geometry and frequencies computed at B3LYP/VTZ+1 level
  - Linear O-B-B-O shape for the B$_2$O$_3$ (a) and V shape for B$_2$O$_3$ (g)
- W1BD Method, Gaussian Software [9,10]
  - Basis set: aug-cc-pVTZ n = D, T, or Q
- Relativistic corrections/spin-orbit coupling effects included
- In the W1BD Modification of the W1 method, Buczek Dubeuske to simplify calculations
- Enthalpies of reaction determined from where all other reactants and products have known enthalpies of formation

Glenn Research Center
Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometer [2]
- Resistance heated cell
- Cross axis electron impact ionizer; 90° magnetic sector, and ion counting detector

Analysis of Data: Determine $\Delta H^\circ_{298.15}$
- Use heats of formation of other compounds:
  - 4/3 FeB(s) + 2/3 B$_2$O$_3$(g) = B$_2$O$_3$(g) + 2/3 Fe$_2$B(s)
  - 4/3 FeB(s) + 2/3 B$_2$O$_3$(g) = 4/3 Fe(s) + 4/3 B$_2$O$_3$(g) + 4/3 Fe$_2$B(s) [17]
  - 2/3 FeB(s) = 4/3 Fe(s) + 2/3 B(s) [17]
- Total error must include error in each of these heats

Selection of Proper Reductant for B$_2$O$_3$
- B + B$_2$O$_3$
  - Used by other investigators
  - B$_2$O$_3$ does not wet B
  - Changing contact area for 2/3 B + 2/3 B$_2$O$_3$(g) = B$_2$O$_3$(g)
  - Led to lack of reproducible B$_2$O$_3$(g) vapor pressures
- Use FeB/FeB + B$_2$O$_3$
  - Two-phase mixture fixes B activity
  - No changes in mixture—contact area remains constant
  - 4/3 FeB + 2/3 B$_2$O$_3$(g) = B$_2$O$_3$(g) + 2/3 Fe$_2$B
  - Give reproducible vapor pressures

Analysis of Data: Determine $\Delta H^\circ_{298.15}$ B$_2$O$_3$(g)

Summary of Data for $\Delta H^\circ_{298.15}$ B$_2$O$_3$(g)

Summary of Data for $\Delta H^\circ_{298.15}$ B$_2$O$_3$(g)

Conclusions
- Theoretical and experimental determination of heat of formation of B$_2$O$_3$(g) and B$_2$O$_3$(g)
- Theoretical: Quantum chemistry composite method
- Experimental: Use FeB/FeB/FeB mixture to set B activity, gave more reliable results than B alone
- For B$_2$O$_3$(g) $\Delta H^\circ_{298.15}$ = -479.9 ± 25.7 kJ/mol
- For B$_2$O$_3$(g) $\Delta H^\circ_{298.15}$ = -833.4 ± 13.1 kJ/mol
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