The generation, propagation and radiation of sound from a perfectly expanded Mach 2.5 cold supersonic jet flowing through an enclosed rigid-walled duct with an upstream J-deflector have been numerically simulated with the aid of OVERFLOW Navier-Stokes CFD code. A one-equation turbulence model is considered. While the near-field sound sources are computed by the CFD code, the far-field sound is evaluated by Kirchhoff surface integral formulation. Predictions of the farfield directivity of the OASPL (Overall Sound Pressure Level) agree satisfactorily with the experimental data previously reported by the author. Calculations also suggest that there is significant entrainment of air into the duct, with the mass flow rate of entrained air being about three times the jet exit mass flow rate.

Nomenclature

\[ c \] = sound velocity
\[ d_j \] = jet exit diameter
\[ f \] = frequency
\[ m \] = mass flow rate
\[ M \] = Mach number, \( u/c \)
\[ p \] = pressure
\[ p' \] = acoustic pressure disturbance
\[ r \] = distance from the sound source
\[ Re \] = Reynolds number, \( \rho_j u_j d_j / \mu_j \)
\[ St \] = pressure
\[ T \] = temperature
\[ u \] = velocity
\[ x, y, z \] = Cartesian coordinates

GREEK SYMBOLS

\[ \mu \] = dynamic viscosity
\[ \rho \] = density

SUBSCRIPTS

\[ j \] = jet
\[ \infty \] = ambient fluid

I. Introduction

The overall process of sound emission from partially ducted rocket exhausts is of great practical interest in the understanding of sound suppression systems for launch vehicles. Clean (dry) launch pads with ducted exhausts...
are preferable to those fitted with water deluge systems for sound suppression with regard to maintenance costs and
the frequency of launches. A detailed knowledge of the behavior of the sound radiation from these ducted exhausts
is useful in the design and optimization of the sound suppression systems.

The totality of the far field sound from ducted exhausts is composed of the transmission of sound from the free
supersonic jet (upstream of the duct inlet) to the far field, transmission of sound within the duct, and radiation of
sound from the duct exit and the subsonic jet (exiting the duct) to the far field. Recent experiments on enclosed duct
by Kandula et al.\textsuperscript{1-3} have indicated that the jet confinement has a significant effect on sound emission and directivity,
suggesting that the duct is modifying the sound generation and propagation. According to Lighthill\textsuperscript{4,5}, turbulent
mixing is responsible for sound generation in jets, with Mach wave radiation (Fflowcs Williams\textsuperscript{6}, Tam\textsuperscript{7})
manifesting itself in supersonic turbulent jets due to supersonic convection of large scale eddies relative to the
ambient.

A number of studies were reported on enclosed subsonic flows typical of turbofan engine inlet/exhaust ducts
(for example, Eversman & Roy\textsuperscript{8}; Ozyoruk & Long\textsuperscript{9}). However, many of the theoretical studies on sound emission
from turbulent supersonic jets are focused on unconfined (free) jets (Freund et al.\textsuperscript{10}; Gamet & Estivalezes\textsuperscript{11}; Kandula
& Caimi\textsuperscript{12}). The present study is concerned with a theoretical simulation of the effect of the enclosing duct on the
radiated noise from cold supersonic jets. Predictions of sound power levels will be compared to the experimental
data\textsuperscript{1-3}.

I. Computational Simulation

A. Geometry and Experimental Data

Fig. 1 shows the geometry of the jet-duct configuration considered in the experimental data of Refs. 1-3. The
origin of the coordinate system lies in the ground plane, with the y-axis coinciding with the jet axis. The jet
impinges vertically on an upstream J-deflector (30 deg. to the vertical) of the duct before exiting horizontally
through the duct. The nozzle exit diameter is 1 in. The nozzle exit is 73 in. above the ground level, and 10 in. above
the duct inlet plane. The duct exit cross section is 6 in. x 12 in. Photographic views of the free jet and the ducted jet
testing with Mach 2.5 cold nitrogen jet are displayed in Figs. 2a and Fig. 2b respectively. Fig. 2c shows the sound
directivity in the ducted jet system\textsuperscript{1-3}, with data taken along an 80 in. arc radius about the jet axis, and in a horizontal
plane 54 in. above the ground level. In Fig. 2c, the quantity \( h \) refers to the height of the nozzle exit plane above the
duct inlet plane.

B. Grid System

A front view of the grid system is presented in Fig. 3a. Fig. 3b shows a representative view of the lateral extent
of the grid system. The overall grid system consists of 8 blocks with a total of about 4x10\textsuperscript{6} grid points. Individual
grids for the jet, duct, etc., are generated using GRIDGEN grid generation program. The inter-grid communication
is established by the Pegasus Code (Benek et al.\textsuperscript{15}).

C. Flow Parameters

The Mach number of the exhaust jet is 2.5, and the jet Reynolds number is 58,300. The jet is perfectly expanded
(nozzle exit pressure equals the ambient pressure \( p_\infty \) of 14.7 psia). The exit static temperature of the jet is 222 R,
indicating that it is a cold jet. The ambient temperature is 530 R.

D. Solution Procedure

The nearfield solution for the mean flowfield and the acoustic field is obtained by the OVERFLOW CFD
Navier-Stokes code (Kandula & Buning\textsuperscript{14}). A one-equation turbulence model of Spalart-Allmaras\textsuperscript{15} is employed,
which solves for the turbulent kinetic energy. Multi-gridding scheme is chosen for accelerated convergence.
Initially the steady hydrodynamic flowfield is obtained by running the code with time-stepping. Steady state is
achieved in about 2000 iterations. Starting from the steady state solution, a three-dimensional periodic disturbance
is applied at the nozzle exit. The disturbance frequency is based on a Strouhal number \( St =0.2 \). Thomson's outflow
acoustic boundary condition (Thompson\textsuperscript{16}) and radiation boundary condition of Tam and Webb\textsuperscript{17} are considered.
The code is run for about 22000 iterations to ensure that a periodic state is approached.

In the far field, the sound pressure levels are determined by Kirchhoff formulation (Kirchhoff\textsuperscript{18} with data on the
Kirchhoff surface obtained by the CFD code. The method is presented in Lyrintzis & Mankbadi\textsuperscript{19}, and has been
recently considered by the author for an axisymmetric supersonic jet simulation (Kandula and Caimi\textsuperscript{12}). The data on
the Kirchhoff surface includes time history of pressure, normal pressure derivative, and pressure-time derivative.
II. Results

A. CFD/Kirchhoff Solution

1. Air Entrainment

Calculations show that there is significant entrainment of air into the duct. Fig. 4 displays the convergence history of the duct exit mass flow rate until steady state is established. It is seen that the entrained air flow is about 3.2 times the mass flow rate of jet exit. This result is representative of entrainment in practical exhaust duct configurations.

2. Instantaneous Flowfield

Fig. 5 displays the instantaneous Mach number contours in the duct and in the region downstream of the duct exit at a lateral location near the axis of the duct. While the jet exit Mach number is 2.5, the Mach number of flow at the duct exit is subsonic around Mach 0.45.

3. Acoustic Pressure

The acoustic pressure (obtained by subtracting the mean pressure from the instantaneous pressure) for the jet-duct system is illustrated in Fig. 6a. The directivity of Mach wave radiation from the initially supersonic jet (unenclosed portion) is observed. The plot also shows the diffraction pattern of sound around the duct. Fig. 6b shows an expanded view of the acoustic pressures within the duct, showing the character of sound reflections and propagation within the duct. It also reveals the acoustic pressure contours in the jet, showing the three-dimensional character of the disturbance in the jet. Calculations show that the OASPL at the location of the jet impingement within the duct is of the order of about 180 dB. Fig. 6c exhibits the acoustic pressure in a near lateral view, showing the near-spherical spreading of the subsonic exhaust from the duct.

B. Comparison with Experimental Data

The acoustic far field computations of sound levels are based on Kirchhoff surface integral. Fig. 7 presents a comparison of the predictions of the directivity of the OASPL with the test data\textsuperscript{1,3} for a closed duct. The OASPL data are presented as a function of the azimuthal angle measured from the axis normal to the duct exit plane. While the free jet data for the OASPL shows symmetry, the OAPSL for the closed duct shows considerable directivity, with the OASPL decreasing with the increasing angle. Satisfactory agreement is noted between the theory and the data. The theory predicts the OASPL with an accuracy of about 2 dB. The results and comparisons will be useful in the development of scaling laws for ducted jet noise, such as those considered for free jets (Kandula and Vu\textsuperscript{2}).

III. Conclusion

Numerical simulation of sound from a supersonic jet passing through a rigid duct with a J-deflector has been carried out. The results provide details of the sound radiation from the free jet and the acoustic field within the duct. The presence of the duct significantly modifies the character of the far-field directivity. Significant level of entrainment of air into the duct has been noted.
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Fig. 1 Geometry of the ducted exhaust jet configuration.

Fig. 2a. Photograph of the nitrogen supersonic free jet.
Fig. 2b. Photograph of the nitrogen supersonic jet flowing through an enclosed duct.

Fig. 2c. Effect of nozzle height on the directivity of sound radiation for a closed duct.
Fig. 3a Grid system for the exhaust (side view).

Fig. 3b Grid system for the exhaust (lateral view).
Fig. 4 Convergence history of the duct exit mass flow rate.

Fig. 5 Instantaneous Mach number contours in the exhaust jet.
Fig. 6a Acoustic pressure contours in the jet-duct system (excluding the duct).

Fig. 6b Acoustic pressure contours in the duct region.
Fig. 6c Acoustic pressure in the lateral view (including the duct).

Fig. 7 Comparison of the directivity of Overall Sound Pressure Level.