SN 2010AY IS A LUMINOUS AND BROAD-LINED TYPE IC SUPERNOVA WITHIN A LOW-METALLICITY HOST GALAXY


Abstract

We report on our serendipitous pre-discovery detection and detailed follow-up of the broad-lined Type Ic supernova SN 2010ay at $z \approx 0.067$ imaged by the Pan-STARRS1 3π survey just $\approx 4$ days after explosion. Combining our photometric observations with those available in the literature, we estimate the explosion date and the peak luminosity of the SN, $M_R \approx -20.2$ mag, significantly brighter than known GRB-SNe and one of the most luminous SNe Ibc ever discovered. We measure the photospheric expansion velocity of the explosion from our spectroscopic follow-up observations, $v_{ph} \approx 19.2 \times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$ at $\approx 40$ days after explosion. In comparison with other broad-lined SNe, the characteristic velocity of SN 2010ay is $\approx 2-5 \times$ higher and similar to the measurements for GRB-SNe at comparable epochs. Moreover the velocity declines two times slower than other SNe Ic-BL and GRB-SNe. Assuming that the optical emission is powered by radioactive decay, the peak magnitude implies the synthesis of an unusually large mass of $^{56}$Ni, $M_{Ni} = 0.9^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ M$_\odot$. Our modeling of the light-curve points to a total ejecta mass, $M_{ej} \approx 4.7$ M$_\odot$, and total kinetic energy, $E_{K,51} \approx 11$. Thus the ratio of $M_{Ni}$ to $M_{ej}$ is at least twice as large for SN 2010ay than in GRB-SNe and may indicate an additional energy reservoir. We also measure the metallicity ($\log(O/H) + 12 = 8.19$) of the explosion site within the host galaxy using a high S/N optical spectrum. Our abundance measurement places this SN in the low-metallicity regime populated by GRB-SNe, and $\approx 0.2(0.5)$ dex lower than that typically measured for the host environments of normal (broad-lined) Ic supernovae. Despite striking similarities to the recent GRB-SN 100316D/2010bh, we show that gamma-ray observations rule out an associated GRB with $E_{\gamma} \approx 6 \times 10^{48}$ erg (25-150 keV). Similarly, our deep radio follow-up observations with the Expanded Very Large Array rule out relativistic ejecta with energy, $E \gtrsim 10^{48}$ erg. These observations challenge the importance of progenitor metallicity for the production of a GRB, and suggest that other parameters also play a key role.

Subject headings: Surveys:Pan-STARRS1 — gamma-rays: bursts — supernovae: individual (2010ay)
1. INTRODUCTION

Recent observations have shown that long-duration gamma-ray bursts are accompanied by Type Ic supernovae (SNe) with broad absorption features (hereafter, “broad-lined” (BL)), indicative of high photospheric expansion velocities (see Woosley & Bloom 2006 for a review). This GRB-SN connection is popularly explained by the favored “collapsar model” (MacFadyen et al. 2001), in which the gravitational collapse of massive ($M \gtrsim 20 M_\odot$) progenitor star gives birth to a central engine – a rapidly rotating and accreting compact object – that powers a relativistic outflow. At the same time, not all SNe Ic-BL show evidence for a central engine. Radio observations constrain the fraction of SNe Ic-BL harboring relativistic outflows to be less than a third (Soderberg et al. 2006a, 2010). The physical parameter(s) that distinguish the progenitors of GRB-associated SNe from other SNe Ic-BL remains debated, while theoretical considerations indicate that progenitor metallicity may play a key role (Woosley & Heger 2006). In the collapsar scenario, massive progenitor stars with metallicity above a threshold, $Z \gtrsim 0.3 Z_\odot$, lose angular momentum to metal line-driven winds, preventing the formation of a rapidly rotating compact remnant, and in turn, a relativistic outflow. At the same time, the hydrogen-free spectra of SNe Ic-BL indicate that their stellar envelopes have been stripped prior to explosion, requiring higher metallicities (e.g., $Z \approx Z_\odot$) if due to radiation driven-winds (Woosley & Heger 1995). Alternatively, short-period ($\sim 0.1$ days) binary interaction may be invoked to spin up stars via tidal forces as well as cause mass loss via Roche lobe overflow (Podsiadlowski et al. 2004; Fryer & Heger 2003). However, even in the binary scenario, GRB formation is predicted to occur at higher rates in lower-metallicity environments, where the radius and mass loss rates of stars should be smaller (Izzard et al. 2004). Observationally, most GRB-SNe are discovered within dwarf star-forming galaxies (Fruchter et al. 2006) characterized by sub-solar metallicities, $Z \lesssim 0.5 Z_\odot$ (Levesque et al. 2010a). This has been interpreted as observational support for the metallicity-dependent collapsar model. Meanwhile, SNe Ic-BL without associated GRBs have historically been found in more enriched environments (Modjaz et al. 2008), motivating the suggestion of an observationally determined “cut-off metallicity” above which GRB-SNe do not form (Kocevski et al. 2009). However, this difference may be partly attributable to the different survey strategies: SNe have been found in large numbers by galaxy-targeted surveys biased towards more luminous (and therefore higher metallicity) environments, while GRB host galaxies are found in an untargeted manner through their gamma-ray emission.

Against this backdrop of progress, recent observations have begun to call into question some aspects of this scenario. First, several long-duration GRBs have now been identified in solar or super-solar metallicity environments (e.g., GRB 020819; Levesque et al. 2010). Similarly, the luminous radio emission seen from SN Ic-BL 2009bb pointed unequivocally to the production of copious relativistic ejecta resembling a GRB afterglow (Soderberg et al. 2010) while the explosion environment was characterized by a super-solar metallicity, $Z \sim 1 - 2 Z_\odot$ (Levesque et al. 2010c). Together with the growing lack of evidence for massive progenitor stars for SNe Ic in pre-explosion Hubble Space Telescope images (Smartt 2009), a lower mass ($M \sim 10 - 20 M_\odot$) binary progenitor system model (with a gentler metallicity dependence) is gaining increasing popularity (Yoon et al. 2010). Multi-wavelength studies of SNe Ic-BL in metal-poor environments may shed further light on the role of metallicity on nature of the progenitor and the explosion properties, including the production of relativistic ejecta.

Fortunately, with the recent advent of wide-field optical transient surveys (e.g., Catalina Real-time Transient Survey (CRTS), Drake et al. 2004; Panchromatic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System; (Pan-STARRS1, abbreviated PS1) Kaiser et al. 2002; Palomar Transient Factory; Law et al. 2009) SNe Ic-BL are now being discovered in metal-poor environments, $Z \sim 0.5 Z_\odot$ (Arcavi et al. 2010; Modjaz et al. 2011) thanks to an unbiased search technique. In this paper, we present pre-discovery Pan-STARRS1 imaging and multi-wavelength follow-up observations for the SN Ic-BL 2010ay discovered by CRTS (Drake et al. 2010). In §2 we report our optical (Pan-STARRS1, Gemini, William Herschel Telescope) and radio (Expanded Very Large Array; EVLA) observations. In §3 we model the optical light-curve and analyze the spectra to derive the explosion properties of SN 2010ay. In §4 we use our observations of SN 2010ay with the EVLA to place strict limits on the presence of relativistic outflow. In §5 we draw from gamma-ray satellite coverage to rule out a detected gamma-ray burst in association with SN 2010ay. In §6 we derive the explosion site metallicity and find it to be significantly sub-solar and typical of most GRB-SNe host environments. In §7 we discuss the implications of these findings in the context of the explosion and progenitor properties and conclude in §8.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Discovery by CRTS

SN 2010ay was discovered by the Catalina Real-time Transient Survey (Drake et al. 2004) on 2010 March 17.38 UT (Drake et al. 2010) and designated CSS100317:123527+270403, with an unfiltered magnitude of $m = 17.5$ mag and located $\lesssim 1$′′ of the center of a compact galaxy, SDSS J123527.19+270402.7 at $z = 0.067$ (Table 1). We adopt a distance, $D_L = 297.9$ Mpc, to the host galaxy,[19] and note that the Galactic extinction toward this galaxy is $E(B-V) = 0.017$ (Schlegel et al. 1998). Pre-discovery unfiltered images from CRTS revealed an earlier detection of the SN on Mar 5.45 UT at $m \approx 18.2$ mag and a non-detection from Feb 17.45 UT at $m \gtrsim 18.3$ mag (Drake et al. 2010).

A spectrum obtained on Mar 22 UT revealed the SN to be of Type Ic with broad features, similar to the GRB-associated SN 1998bw spectrum obtained near maximum light (Filippenko et al. 2010). This classification was confirmed byPRIET (2010) who additionally reported photometry for the SN (see Table 2). After numerically subtracting the host galaxy emission, they estimate an unusually bright absolute magnitude of $V \approx -19.4$ mag.

[19] We assume $H_0 = 71$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, $\Omega_M = 0.27$
2.2. Pre-Discovery Detection With Pan-STARRS1 3π

The field of SN 2010ay was serendipitously observed with the PS1 3π survey in the weeks preceding its discovery. Pan-STARRS1 is a wide-field imaging system at Haleakala, Hawaii dedicated to survey observations (Kaiser et al. 2002). The PS1 optical design (Hodapp et al. 2003) uses a 1.8 meter diameter f/4.4 primary mirror, and a 0.9 m secondary. The telescope illuminates a diameter of 3.3 degrees. The Pan-STARRS1 imager (Tonry & Onaka 2009) comprises a total of 60 4800 × 4800 pixel detectors, with 10 µm pixels that subtend 0.258 arcsec. The PS1 observations are obtained through a set of five broadband filters designated as g′, r′, i′, z′, and y′. These filters are similar to those used in previous surveys, such as SDSS (Fukugita et al. 1996). However, the g′ filter extends 20 nm redward of g, the z′ filter is cut off at 930 nm, and SDSS has no corresponding y′ filter (Stubbs et al. 2010).

The field of SN 2010ay was observed on 2010 February 21st (r−band) and February 25th (i′-band, Figure 1). On each night, four exposures were collected following the strategy of the PS1-3pi survey (Chambers et al., in preparation). Following the CRTS discovery and announcement of SN 2010ay, we geometrically registered SDSS pre-explosion images to the PS1 images and performed digital image subtraction using the ISIS package.
In both our Gemini and WHT spectra, broad absorption features associated with the SN are clearly detected in addition to narrow emission lines typical of star forming galaxies. We distinguish the host galaxy emission from the continuum dominated by the highly broadened SN emission by subtracting a high-order spline fit to the continuum. Both SN and host galaxy spectral components are shown in Figure 3. As illustrated in the Figure, the broad, highly-blended spectral features of SN 2010ay resemble those of the Type Ic-BL SN 2010bh (associated with GRB100316D) at a similar similar epoch (Chornock et al. 2010). In particular, the broadening and blueshift of the feature near 6355 Å are similar for SN 2010ay and SN 2010bh, and are broader and more blueshifted than in SN 1998bw at a comparable epoch. We discuss the comparison between these two further in §3.3 and §6.2.

Additionally, we obtained 60 s gri-band images of SN 2010ay on 2010 April 11.4 UT using GMOS. The data were reduced using the *gemini* package in IRAF, and photometry was performed using the standard GMOS zero-points. We measure that \([g, r, i] = [18.90, 18.32, 18.04] \pm 0.1\) mag.

Imaging photometry is not available at the epoch of our WHT spectrum. We perform synthetic photometry on the spectrum to extract the flux at the central frequency of the R-band (6527 Å) and find \(R = 18.2 \pm 0.2\) mag. We then subtract the host galaxy flux numerically.

### 2.3.1. Host galaxy features

We measure fluxes of the narrow emission lines from the host galaxy in our Gemini spectrum, as reported in Table 3. We fit a Gaussian profile to each narrow emission line; for nearby lines such as [N II] and Hα, we fit multiple Gaussians simultaneously. We model the local continuum with a linear fit to 20 Å regions on either side of each line.

The host galaxy is significantly reddened as evidenced by the flux ratio of Hα to Hβ, \(\approx 3.44\). We infer E(B-V) = 0.2 mag (A_V = 0.6 mag), as measured from the Balmer decrement in our Gemini spectrum, assuming \(R_V = 3.1\), Case B recombination (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006), and the reddening law of Cardelli et al. (1989). This is similar to the value derived from the SDSS DR8 nuclear fiber spectroscopy line fluxes for the host galaxy (E(B-V) = 0.2 mag). The value reported in Modjaz et al. (2010) was also similar: E(B-V) = 0.3 mag. Furthermore, we note that the color (\(B - V = 0.78 \pm 0.07\) mag) as reported by Prieto (2010) at 4 d after R-band peak is significantly redder than SN Ibc color curve templates (Drout et al. 2010), further supporting a non-negligible host galaxy extinction.

---
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Fig. 3.— Optical spectra of SN 2010ay from Gemini (24 days after R-band peak) and the WHT (14 days). The spectrum is plotted decomposed into SN (above, with narrow lines clipped) and host galaxy (below, from Gemini, with spline-fit subtracted) components for clarity. The spectrum of SN 2010bh from Chornock et al. (2010) is given in black for comparison, at 21.2 days after the GRB 100316D trigger (∼10.0 days after R-band peak, Cano et al. 2011a). The spectrum of SN 1998bw at +19 days from Patat et al. (2001) is also plotted. Both are transformed to the redshift of SN 2010ay. The SNe are shifted in flux for clarity. In the lower plot, relevant host galaxy emission lines are marked with a red line and labeled.

2.4. Radio Observations

We observed SN 2010ay with the EVLA (Perley et al. 2009) on three epochs, 2010 March 26, 2010 April 29, and 2011 May 7. All EVLA observations were obtained with a bandwidth of 256 MHz centered at 4.9 GHz. We used calibrator J1221+2813 to monitor the phase and 3C286 for flux calibration. Data were reduced using the standard packages of the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS). We do not detect a radio counterpart to SN 2010ay in these observations and place upper limits of \( F_\nu < 46, 42, 30 \mu Jy \) (3σ) for each epoch respectively corresponding to upper limits on the spectral luminosity spanning \( L_\nu < (3.6 - 5.5) \times 10^{27} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \).

As shown in Figure 4, these limits are comparable to the peak luminosities observed for ordinary SNe Ibc (Berger et al. 2003a; Soderberg 2007; Chevalier & Fransson 2006; Soderberg et al. 2010 and references within) and a factor of \( 10^2 \) to \( 10^3 \) less luminous than the radio afterglows associated with GRBs 020903, 030329, and 031203 at early epochs (Berger et al. 2003b; Soderberg et al. 2004a,b; Frail et al. 2005). In comparison with the radio luminosities observed for the relativistic SNe 1998bw (Kulkarni et al. 1998) and 2009bb (Soderberg et al. 2010), SN 2010ay is a factor of \( \gtrsim 10 \) less luminous. The only relativistic explosion with detected radio emission below our EVLA limits is the weak and fast fading XRF 060218 (Soderberg et al. 2006a).

3. INITIAL CONSTRAINTS

3.1. Light Curve Modeling

We construct an R-band lightcurve for SN 2010ay using the observations described in §2.3 (Table 2). We convert the \( i_{P1} \) band data points to the \( R \)-band assuming
Fig. 4.— EVLA upper limits for SN 2010ay (black arrows) are compared with the observed radio light-curves for ordinary SNe Ibc (grey; Soderberg 2007 and references within) and the radio afterglows of all GRB-SNe within $z \leq 0.25$. SN 2010ay is a factor of $10^2$ to $10^3$ less luminous than XRF 020903 (orange; Soderberg et al. 2004a), GRB 030329 (blue; Berger et al. 2003b; Frail et al. 2005), and GRB 031203 (Soderberg et al. 2004b). Relativistic, engine-driven SNe 1998bw (red; Kulkarni et al. 1998) and 2009bb (dark blue; Soderberg et al. 2010) are a factor of 10 more luminous than the SN 2010ay limits on a comparable timescale, while XRF 060218 lies a factor of a few below the limits. We constrain the radio counterpart to be no more luminous than XRF 060218 and comparable to the peak luminosities of ordinary SNe Ibc.

TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Line Flux</th>
<th>(10^{-16} \text{erg s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[O II]3726, 3729</td>
<td>52 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III]4363</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>24.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III]4959</td>
<td>30.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III]5007</td>
<td>90.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N II]6548</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ha</td>
<td>84.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N II]6584</td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S II]6717</td>
<td>7.46 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S II]6731</td>
<td>5.59 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All fluxes have been measured from our Gemini spectrum. No reddening correction has been applied. There is an additional systematic uncertainty in the flux measurements of $\sim 10\%$ due to flux calibration.

The unextincted $i' - R$ color observed for the Type Ib/BL SN 1998bw at the appropriate epoch (Galama et al. 1998). Photometry for the unfiltered CRTS images was reported by Drake et al. (2011) after transformation to the synthetic V-band (A.J. Drake, private communication); we therefore assume the $V - R$ color of SN 1998bw at the appropriate epoch. For the Pan-STARRS1 photometry, the host galaxy flux was subtracted using a template image. For all other photometry, we have subtracted the flux of the host galaxy numerically assuming the magnitude reported in Table 1. A total (Galactic + host) reddening of $E(B-V)=0.2$ mag has been assumed (see §2.3.1).

To estimate the explosion date of SN 2010ay we have fit an expanding fireball model to the optical light curve (Figure 2), following Conley et al. (2006). In this model, the luminosity increases as

$$ L \propto \left( \frac{t - t_0}{1 + z} \right)^{-2} $$

We derive an explosion date $t_0$ of 2010 February 21.2±1.5. Here we have assumed an index $n = 2$. This suggests that the PS1 3π $r_p$ band pre-discovery detection image of SN 2010ay was taken $\sim 4$ days after the
explosion. This early observation provides a valuable datapoint for estimating the explosion date and also for constraining the rise-time of the SN.

In Figure 2 we compare the light curve of SN 2010ay to the SN Ibc light-curve template of Drout et al. (2010) after stretching by a factor of (1 + z). The template provides a reasonable fit to optical evolution of SN 2010ay. Fitting the template to our photometry we derive (reduced $\chi^2 = 0.5$) a date of R-band peak of 2010 March 18±2 UT (MJD 55273±2) and a R-band peak magnitude of $M_R \approx −19.7$ mag before extinction correction. As discussed in [2.3.1] based on the Balmer decrement observed for the host galaxy emission lines, we assume an extinction of $E(B−V) = 0.2$ mag. Applying this correction, the peak absolute magnitude is $M_R \approx −20.2 \pm 0.2$ mag. We note that this fitted value is $\approx 0.2$ mag fainter than estimated from the data point near peak. Here, the uncertainty is dominated by the template fitting.

Regardless of the extinction correction, SN 2010ay is brighter than all the 25 SNe Ibc in the sample of Drout et al. (2010), except for SN 2007D ($M_R \approx −20.65$ mag, which was also significantly extincted: $A_V \approx 1.0$ mag). Assuming an intrinsic V-R color of zero at peak (e.g. 1998bw: Galama et al. 1998; Patat et al. 2001), SN 2010ay is also brighter than any of the 22 GRB and XRF-producing SNe in the compilation of Cano et al. (2011b), all corrected for extinction, and is 1.5 standard deviations from the mean brightness. The peak magnitude is only $\sim 1$ mag below that of the Type Ic SN 2007bi ($M_R = −21.3 \pm 0.1$ mag), which Gal-Yam et al. (2009) report as a candidate pair-instability supernova.

3.2. Large Nickel Mass for SN 2010ay

We use the available photometry for SN 2010ay discussed above to derive the mass of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ required to power the optical light-curve under the assumption that the emission is powered by radioactive decay. Using the relation between $M_{\text{Ni}}$ and $M_R$ found by Drout et al. (2010), log($M_{\text{Ni}}$) = (−0.41 $M_R$ − 8.3) $M_\odot$, we estimate that SN 2010ay synthesized a nickel mass of $M_{\text{Ni}} = 0.9^{+0.1}_{−0.01} M_\odot$. We have estimated the uncertainty in the $M_{\text{Ni}}$ estimate by propagation of the uncertainty in the template fitted peak magnitude — systematic uncertainties are not included. If we instead adopt the most luminous individual data point in the light curve as the peak value, rather than the smaller peak value from template fitting, we find $M_{\text{Ni}} \approx 1.2 M_\odot$.

The $M_{\text{Ni}}$ estimate for SN 2010ay is larger than that of all but one (SN 2007D) of the 25 SNe Ibc in the Drout et al. (2010) compilation and significantly larger than the estimate for GRB-SN 2010bh, $M_{\text{Ni}} = 0.12 \pm 0.01 M_\odot$, as estimated by Cano et al. (2011a). On the other hand, $M_{\text{Ni}}$ of SN 2010ay is at least 3× smaller than for SN 2007bi ($M_{\text{Ni}} \approx 3.5 − 4.5 M_\odot$, Young et al. 2010). A pair instability supernova should produce $M_{\text{Ni}} \gtrsim 3 M_\odot$ (Gal-Yam et al. 2009).

3.3. Unusually high photospheric expansion velocity

As illustrated in Figure 3 the broad, highly-blended spectral features of SN 2010ay at the time of the WHT observations (14 days after R-band peak, see [3.3]) resemble those of SN 2010bh at a similar time (10.0 d after peak, Chornock et al. 2010). In particular, the blueshift of the feature near 6355 Å is larger than in SN 1998bw and more similar to SN 2010bh. This feature is commonly associated with Si II $\lambda 6355$ Å (e.g. Patat et al. 2001). However, this feature has two clearly-detectable absorption minima in SN 2010ay, but not in SN 2010bh. This could be due to increased blending in SN 2010bh or the absence of contaminating lines. The red ends of the SN 2010ay and SN 2010bh spectra (rest wavelength $> 7500$ Å) have similar P-Cygni features, but the emission and absorption components in SN 2010bh are each blueshifted by $\sim 200$ Å more than in the spectrum of SN 2010ay. Chornock et al. (2010) attribute this feature to the Ca II NIR triplet, with a $gf$-weighted line centroid of 8479 Å, and find a velocity that is high, but consistent with the early-time velocity of Si II $\lambda 6355$ Å ($30 – 35 \times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$).

We estimate the photospheric expansion velocity ($v_{ph}$) from the minimum of the Si II $\lambda 6355$ Å absorption feature. We smooth the spectrum using an inverse-variance-weighted Gaussian filter (Blondin et al. 2006, with $dA/\lambda = 0.005$) and measure the minimum position of the redmost component of the absorption profile. The blue component of the absorption profile shifted blueward over time, suggesting that it is produced by a combination of ions separated by several $10^3$ km s$^{-1}$, such as He I $\lambda 5876$ Å and Na I D, whose relative optical depth changes with time.

The photospheric velocity inferred from the Si II $\lambda 6355$ Å feature is $\sim 2\times$ faster than that of SN 1998bw at similar times, and more similar to that of SN 2010bh (Figure 3). For SN 1998bw (Patat et al. 2001) measured $\sim 10^6$ km s$^{-1}$ at +13 days. For SN 2010bh, Chornock et al. (2010) measured $v_{ph} \approx 26 \times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$ at +10.0 days after explosion. Prieto (2010) reported a velocity of $v_{ph} \approx 22.6 \times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$ from the Si II $\lambda 6355$ Å feature in a spectrum of SN 2010ay taken at +4 days. From our [WHT,Gemini] spectra taken [+14,+24] days after R-band peak (see [3.1]), we estimate $v_{ph} \approx [19.2,18.3] \times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$.

In addition to the broadening of the spectral features and the blueshift of the Si II $\lambda 6355$ Å line, additional lines of evidence suggest a high photospheric expansion velocity for SN 2010ay. We measure $v_{ph} \approx [21.7, 20.1] \times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$ from the Ca II NIR triplet on the smoothed [WHT,Gemini] spectra, relative to a line center at 8479 Å. This is within a few $10^3$ km s$^{-1}$ of the $v_{ph}$ we measure from Si II $\lambda 6355$ Å at these epochs. Furthermore, we do not detect the broad emission “bump” near 4500 Å in either of our spectra. This feature was also absent in SN 2010bh, but was identified in SN 2003dh, SN 2006aj, and several IC-BLs not associated with GRBs and normal SNe Ic; Chornock et al. (2010) suggest that the absence of this feature indicates a high expansion velocity if it is due to blending of the iron lines to the blue and red of 4500 Å.

We compare the late-time expansion velocity of SN 2010ay to other SNe IC-BL and GRB-SNe with detailed time-dependent velocity measurements from the literature in Figure 3. In this figure, we also fit power-law gradients to the time-evolution of the velocity of these
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>$v_{30}^0$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNe Ic-BL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997ef</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002ap</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003jd</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007bg</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007ru</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010ay</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Engine-driven SNe Ic-BL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>$v_{30}^0$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998bw</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003dh</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003lw</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006aj</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009bb</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010bh</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: To the velocity measurements for each SN, we have fit a power law of the form $v_{30} = v_{30}(t/30)^\alpha$, where $t$ is the time since explosion in days and $v_{30}$ is the velocity at 30 days in units of $10^3$ km s$^{-1}$. The parameter $\alpha$ then represents the exponential velocity gradient and $v_{30}$ is a characteristic velocity. These power law fits are illustrated in Figure 5.

SN 2010ay and 2010bh share high characteristic velocities at 30 days after explosion and velocity gradients that are slow relative to other broad-lined Ic SNe with and without associated GRBs. For SN 2010ay, $v_{30} = 22$ is $2 - 5 \times$ larger than for other SNe Ic-BL without associated GRBs (6 for 1997ef, 4 for 2002ap, 10 for 2003jd, 7 for 2007bg, and 10 for 2007ru) and is similar to the GRB-SN 2010bh ($v_{30} = 24$). No other GRB-SN or SN Ic-BL has $v_{30} > 15$. The SNe Ic-BL and GRB-SNe with the most shallow velocity gradients among these twelve objects have $\alpha < -0.5$; they are SN 2006aj ($\alpha = -0.3$), SN 2007bg ($\alpha = -0.2$), SN 2010ay ($\alpha = -0.4$), and SN 2010bh ($\alpha = -0.2$). Two of these objects are GRB-SNe, one is SN 2007bg (whose small velocity at early times distinguishes it from other SNe Ic-BL), and the other is SN 2010ay. The velocity of SNe 2010ay and 2010bh, respectively, declines about 2 and $4 \times$ more slowly than the other SNe Ic-BL (mean and standard deviation: $\alpha = -0.8 \pm 0.3$) and about 1.5 and $3 \times$ more slowly the GRB-SNe ($\alpha = -0.6 \pm 0.3$).

Given the high peak luminosity of SN 2010ay (3.1), we also consider the velocity measurements for the candidate pair-instability SN 2007bi. Velocity measurements for SN 2007bi are only available at late times (> 50 d, Young et al. 2010). Fitting to these late-time 

3.4. Ejecta Mass and Energy

We use the scaling relations provided by [Drout et al. 2010], based on the original formalism of Arnett (1982) and modified by Valenti et al. (2008), to derive the total mass of the ejecta and the kinetic energy.

$$M_j = 0.8 \left( \frac{\tau_e}{8d} \right)^2 \left( \frac{v_{ph}}{10,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) M_\odot \ (2)$$

$$E_K = 0.5 \left( \frac{\tau_e}{8d} \right)^2 \left( \frac{v_{ph}}{10,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^3 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg} \ (3)$$

We assume the fitted peak magnitude for SN 2010ay ($M_R = -20.2 \pm 0.2$, [3.1]), the photospheric expansion velocity we measure from our WHT spectrum at 14 days after maximum light ($v_{ph} = 19.2 \times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$, [3.3]), and a characteristic time (light-curve width) consistent with the data and the mean value from the [Drout et al. 2010] sample of SNe Ic-BL ($\tau_e = 14$ d).

Using these values, the total mass ejected was $M_j \approx 4.7 M_\odot$, and the total kinetic energy of the explosion was $E_K \approx 10.8 \times 10^{51}$ ergs. Hereafter we refer to the definition $E_{K,51} = E_K/10^{51}$ ergs.

The systematic uncertainties associated with this modeling dominate the statistical uncertainties. In particular, the models rely on the assumptions of homologous expansion, spherical symmetry, all $^{56}$Ni centralized at the center of the ejecta, optically thick ejecta and constant opacity.

We note that an earlier measurement of the photospheric velocity is preferred for optical modeling. Since we have argued that SN 2010ay and SN 2010bh have similar characteristic velocities ($v_{30}^0$), if we instead adopt a higher velocity of 25,000 km s$^{-1}$ as measured for SN 2010bh by [Cano et al. 2011a], we estimate $M_j \approx 6.1 M_\odot$ and $E_{K,51} \approx 23.9$ for SN 2010ay.

The $M_j$ and $E_{K,51}$ of SN 2010ay are consistent with the mean for SNe Ic-BL in the [Drout et al. 2010] sample ($4.7^{+2.3}_{-1.8} M_\odot$ and $11^{+4}_{-3} M_\odot$, respectively), because the authors assumed a velocity ($v_{ph} = 2 \times 10^4$ km s$^{-1}$) similar to the late-time velocity we measure. For comparison, SN 2010bh had a total ejecta mass of $\sim 2 M_\odot$ and a total kinetic energy of $E_{K,51} \approx 13$ [Cano et al. 2011a].

The ratio of Ni to total ejecta mass is $\sim 0.2$ for SN 2010ay, significantly higher than the values typical of SNe Ic-BL and GRB-SNe. For comparison, the ratio is just $\sim 0.05$ for SN 2010bh. Adopting the values derived from bolometric light curve modeling by Cano et al. [2011a], the $M_{Ni}/M_j$ and $M_{Ni}/M_{ej}$ ratios for other GRB-SNe are: $\sim 0.5 M_\odot$ and $\sim 0.06-0.22$ (1998bw), $\sim 0.4 M_\odot$ and $\sim 0.08$ (2003dh), $\sim 0.15 M_\odot$ and $\sim 0.07-0.1$ (2006aj), and $\sim 0.2 M_\odot$ and $\sim 0.06$ (2009bb). This ratio for SN 2010ay is larger than that of all but 4 of the 25 SNe of [Drout et al. 2010].
Fig. 5.— A comparison of the time-evolving photospheric expansion velocity of SN 2010ay and other SNe Ic-BL (red) and GRB-SNe (blue) from the literature. Typical uncertainties in velocity estimates are 10%. For each SN, we fit a power law of the form $v_{ph} = v_{30}^{ph}(t/30)^{\alpha}$, where $t$ is the time in days, $v_{30}^{ph}$ is the velocity at 30 days since explosion (dashed vertical line), and $\alpha$ is the velocity gradient. The velocities for SNe 1997ef, 2003dh, 2003lw are from Mazzali et al. (2006), as determined by spectral modeling. The velocities for all other SNe are measured from the Si II λ6355Å feature as follows: SN 2007ru are from Sahu et al. (2009); SN e 1998bw, 2006aj, and 2010bh are from Chornock et al. (2010), from spectra in references therein; SN 2007bg are from Young et al. (2010); SNe 2002ap, 2009bb, and 2003jd are from Pastorello et al. (2011) and references therein.

(Chomiuk et al. 2013; Quimby et al. 2011).

4. CONSTRAINTS ON RELATIVISTIC EJECTA

We use our EVLA upper limits for SN 2010ay spanning $\Delta t \approx 29 - 433$ days to constrain the properties of the shockwave and those of the local circumstellar environment. The radio emission from SNe Ibc and GRBs is produced by the dynamical interaction of the fastest ejecta with the surrounding material (Chevalier 1982). The kinetic energy of the ejecta is converted, in part, to internal energy of the shocked material which itself is partitioned between relativistic electrons ($\epsilon_e$) and amplified magnetic fields ($\epsilon_B$). Following the breakout of the shockwave from the stellar surface, electrons in the environment of the explosion are shock-accelerated to relativistic velocities with Lorentz factor, $\gamma_e$ and distributed in a power-law distribution characterized by $N(\gamma_e) \propto \gamma_e^{-p}$. Here, $p$ characterizes the electron energy index. The particles gyrate in amplified magnetic fields and give rise to non-thermal synchrotron emission that peaks in the radio and mm-bands in the days to weeks following explosion with observed spectral index, $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-(p-1)/2}$. At lower frequencies the emission is suppressed due to synchrotron self-absorption which defines a spectral peak, $\nu_p$ (Chevalier 1998).
The dynamics of the shockwave determine the evolution of the synchrotron spectrum, and in turn, the properties of the observed radio light-curves. In the case of SNe Ibc, there are three primary scenarios for the dynamical regime of the ejecta depending on the shock velocity, \( v = \beta c \) (associated Lorentz factor, \( \Gamma \)): (i) non-relativistic (\( v \approx 0.2c \)) free-expansion as in the case of ordinary SNe Ibc \( \text{(Chevalier 1998)} \), (ii) a decoupled and relativistic (\( \Gamma \sim 10 \)) shell of ejecta that evolves according to the Blandford-McKee solution for several months \( \text{(Sari et al. 1998)} \) before transitioning to the Sedov-Taylor regime \( \text{(Frail et al. 2000)} \). This is the standard scenario for typical GRBs. And (iii) a sub-energetic, trans-relativistic GRB scenario. As shown \( \text{(Chevalier \& Fransson 2006)} \), the exponents are \( \approx 1.9 \), \( \beta c \approx 0.1 \). We further assume equipartition, \( \epsilon_e = \epsilon_B = 0.1 \). We adopt a free expansion model for both the non-relativistic ordinary SN Ibc case and the sub-energetic, trans-relativistic GRB scenario. As shown \( \text{(Li \& Chevalier 1999)} \), a free-expansion model is still reasonable in the trans-relativistic regime (cases i and iii, see above).

4.1. Freely-expanding shockwave

In the free-expansion scenario, a shock discontinuity separates the forward and reverse shocks, located at the outer edge of the stellar envelope. The bulk ejecta is in free expansion while the thin layer of post shock material is slightly decelerated, \( R \propto t^{0.9} \) \( \text{(Chevalier \& Fransson 2000)} \). At a given frequency, the bell-shaped light-curves of the SN synchrotron emission may be described as \( \text{(Chevalier 1998)} \)

\[
L_{\nu} \approx 1.582 \times L_{\nu,p} \left( \frac{\Delta \nu}{\nu_p} \right)^a \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \Delta t / t_p \right)^{-(a+b)}} \right] \tag{4}
\]

where \( L_{\nu,p} \) is the flux density at the spectral peak at epoch, \( t_p \). Assuming an electron index of \( p \approx 3 \), consistent with radio spectra of SNe Ibc \( \text{(Chevalier \& Fransson 2000)} \), the exponents are \( a \approx 2.3 \) and \( b \approx 1.3 \). The time averaged shockwave velocity is \( \bar{v} \approx R / \Delta t \) where \( R \) is the shockwave radius defined as

\[
R \approx 2.9 \times 10^{16} \left( \frac{\epsilon_e}{\epsilon_B} \right)^{-1/19} \left( \frac{L_{\nu,p}}{10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}} \right)^{9/19} \frac{\nu_p}{5 \text{ GHz}} \tag{5}
\]

where the assumption that the radio emitting region is half of the total volume enclosed by a spherical blastwave. Next, we estimate the internal energy, \( E \), of the radio emitting material from the post-shock magnetic energy density, \( E \approx B^2 R^3 / 12 \epsilon_B \) where we maintain the assumption of equipartition. As shown \( \text{(Chevalier 1998)} \), the amplified magnetic field at peak luminosity is also directly determined from the observed radio properties.

\[
B \approx 0.43 \left( \frac{\epsilon_e}{\epsilon_B} \right)^{-4/19} \left( \frac{L_{\nu,p}}{10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}} \right)^{-2/19} \times \left( \frac{\nu_p}{5 \text{ GHz}} \right) \text{G.} \tag{6}
\]

Finally, the mass loss rate of the progenitor star, \( \dot{M} \), may be derived from the number density of emitting electrons. Here we normalize the wind profile according to \( \rho \propto A r^{-2} \) and \( A_* = A / 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ g cm}^{-2} \). This normalization of \( A_* \) implies that an \( A_* \) of 1 corresponds to typical Wolf-Rayet progenitor wind properties of \( \dot{M} = 10^{-5} \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) and a progenitor wind velocity of \( v_w = 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

\[
A_* \approx 0.15 \left( \frac{\epsilon_B}{0.1} \right) \left( \frac{\epsilon_e}{\epsilon_B} \right)^{-8/19} \left( \frac{L_{\nu,p}}{10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}} \right)^{-4/19} \times \left( \frac{\nu_p}{5 \text{ GHz}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta t}{10 \text{ days}} \right)^2 \text{ cm}^{-1} \tag{7}
\]

where we assume a shock compression factor of \( \sim 4 \) and a nucleon-to-electron ratio of two.

As shown in Figure 6 our deep EVLA limits enable us to rule out a scenario in which there is copious energy, \( E \gtrsim 10^{48} \text{ erg} \), coupled to a relativistic outflow, in this two-dimensional \( E - \nu \) parameter space. The excluded region includes GRB-SNe 1998bw and 060218 as well as the relativistic SN 2010ay at each epoch as these are excluded by our observations. We extract the physical parameters associated with these excluded light-curves (\( R, B, E, A_* \)) to define the parameter space excluded by our radio observations. The parameter space for \( \nu_p \) and \( F_{\nu,p} \) are bounded by the respective values for which the model exceeds relativistic velocities, \( \beta c \sim \text{few} \).

As shown in Figure 7 the EVLA limits for 1998bw and 060218 as well as the relativistic SN 2009bb. It does not exclude the standard scenario in which a small percent of the energy is coupled to fast moving material within the homologous outflow, as is typically observed for ordinary SN Ibc (\( E \sim 10^{47} \text{ erg} \) and \( v \approx 0.2c \) \( \text{(Soderberg et al. 2010)} \)).

Next we consider the effects of circumstellar density since lower mass loss rates produce fainter radio counterparts. As shown in Figure 7 the EVLA limits for 2010ay exclude the region of parameter space populated by SNe 1998bw and 2009bb with mass loss rates of \( A_* \approx 0.1 \), however, the low density environment of GRB 060218 lies outside of our excluded region due to its lower CSM density, \( A_* \sim 0.01 \) that gives rise to a lower luminosity radio counterpart.

4.2. Relativistic Ejecta

In the case of relativistic deceleration the ejecta are confined to a thin jet and are physically separated from the homologous SN component. Deceleration of the jet occurs on a timescale of \( \Delta t \approx (E_51 / A_*) \text{ years} \) in a wind-stratified medium \( \text{(Waxman 2004)} \). On this same
timescale, any ejecta components that were originally off-axis spread sideways into the observer’s line-of-sight. While the early EVLA limits constrain the properties of the on-axis ejecta according to the free-expansion model described above, the late time EVLA upper limits constrain any radio emission from a GRB jet originally pointed away from our line-of-sight.

For this scenario, we adopt the semi-analytic model of Soderberg et al. (2006c) for off-axis GRB jets and derive the two-dimensional parameter space (energy and CSM density) that is excluded based on our EVLA upper limit at $\Delta t \approx 1.2$ years. We note that this model accommodates the full transition from relativistic to non-relativistic evolution. We built a collection of model light-curves spanning parameter range, $A_* \approx [0.01 - 100]$ and $E \approx [10^{49} - 10^{52}]$ erg, maintaining the assumption of $p = 3$ and $\epsilon_e = \epsilon_B = 0.1$. Here we adopted a jet opening angle of $\theta_j = 5^\circ$ and an off-axis viewing angle of $\theta_{oa} = 90^\circ$ (the most conservative scenario). As shown in Figure 7, we are able to exclude the parameter space associated with typical GRBs, i.e. $E \approx 10^{51}$ erg (beaming corrected) and $A_* \approx 1$. GRBs with lower energies and densities are better constrained using the trans-relativistic formalism above. In conclusion, our radio follow-up of SN 2010ay reveals no evidence for a relativistic outflow similar to those observed in conjunction with the nearest GRB-SNe, however a weak afterglow like that seen from XRF 060218 cannot be excluded.

5. CONSTRAINTS ON AN ASSOCIATED GRB

Given the estimate of the explosion date we have derived (§3.1), we have searched for gamma-ray emission that may have been detected by satellites. No GRBs consistent with SN 2010ay were reported in the circulars of the Gamma-ray Coordinates Network Circulars, but it is possible that bursts were detected below the instrument triggering thresholds.

We next consulted the sub-threshold bursts from the Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Gehrels et al. 2004; Barthelmy et al. 2005) detected within the 6 days surrounding the explosion date estimate. We find that no gamma-ray emission was detected within 0.5 degrees of the position of SN 2010ay by the BAT during this period. Given the sensitivity of the BAT, this corresponds to an upper limit on the gamma-ray flux of $\sim 10^{-8}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (15-150 keV). However, the field of SN 2010ay was in the field of view of the instrument for only 106 ksec during these 6 days, or $\sim 20\%$ of the duration.

For complete temporal coverage, we have searched the records of the interplanetary network (IPN), which is sensitive to bursts with fluences down to $\sim 6 \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ (25-150 keV) (50$\%$ efficiency limit, Hurley et al. 2010), and observes the entire sky with a temporal duty cycle close to 100$\%$. An undetected, sub-threshold burst should have a fluence below this limit. Between 2010 February 21 and 25, inclusive, a total of 12 bursts were detected by the spacecraft of the...
IPN (Mars Odyssey, Konus-Wind, RHESSI, INTEGRAL (SPI-ACS), Swift-BAT, Suzaku, AGILE, MESSENGER, and Fermi (GBM)). Ten of them are confirmed bursts; they were observed by more than one instrument on one or more spacecraft, and could be localized. Two of them are unconfirmed bursts; they were observed by one instrument on one spacecraft (Suzaku). The total area of the localizations of the 10 confirmed bursts is \( \sim 0.58 \times 4\pi \) sr. This implies that about 0.58 bursts can be expected to have positions that are consistent with any given point on the sky simply by chance (i.e. within the 3\sigma error region), and indeed none of the bursts in this sample has a position consistent with the SN position.

These non-detections imply upper-limits to the gamma-ray energy \( (E_{\gamma}) \) of a burst that may have been associated with SN 2010ay. The IPN non-detection indicates \( E_{\gamma,iso} \lesssim 6 \times 10^{48} \) erg (25-150 keV), while the BAT non-detection indicates that the peak energy of the burst was \( \lesssim 1 \times 10^{49} \) erg \( s^{-1} \) (15-150 keV) if the burst occurred while in the field of view of the instrument.

By comparison to other GRB-SNe, it is unclear whether the gamma-ray emission from a GRB associated with SN 2010ay would have been detected by BAT or the IPN. The isotropic prompt energy release of long GRBs is typically \( E_{\gamma,iso} \approx 10^{52} \) erg, however the prompt emission associated with SN 2010ay, its peak gamma-ray luminosity from XRF 060218/SN 2006aj, an extremely long-duration (20-200 keV) and a total isotropic equivalent energy \( \geq 10^{51} \) erg \( \pm 5 \times 10^{49} \) erg \( s^{-1} \) (24-1820 keV), which is a factor of 2 below our BAT limit, and \( E_{\gamma,iso} \approx 5 \times 10^{47} \) erg \( (Pian et al. 2000) \), more than a factor of five below our limit. Neither satellite should have detected such a burst. In contrast, GRB 031203/SN 2003lw had a peak gamma-ray luminosity of \( \sim 1 \times 10^{49} \) erg \( s^{-1} \) (20-200 keV) and a total isotropic equivalent energy of \( E_{\gamma,iso} = (4 \pm 1) \times 10^{49} \) erg \( (Sazonov et al. 2004) \), about two orders of magnitude above the sensitivity of the BAT and twice the threshold of the IPN, respectively. GRB 030329/2003dh was even more luminous, with \( E_{\gamma,iso} \approx 7 \times 10^{49} \) erg \( (Horth et al. 2003) \). A burst like GRB 031203 or 030329 should certainly have been detected by IPN, or the BAT if it occurred while the field of SN 2010ay was in the field of view of the instrument. XRF 060218/SN 2006aj, an extremely long-duration (\( \Delta t \approx 2000 \) s) event, had a peak luminosity observed by BAT of \( \sim 10^{-8} \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \) (15-150 keV), corresponding to a peak emission of \( \approx 2.4 \times 10^{46} \) erg \( s^{-1} \) given the redshift of the burst (\( z \approx 0.33 \)), and a total isotropic equivalent energy of \( E_{\gamma,iso} = (6.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{49} \) erg \( (Campana et al. 2006) \). If such a burst was associated with SN 2010ay, its peak emission may have been a factor of four below the BAT sensitivity limit, although its total isotropic energy emission is an order of magnitude larger than our IPN limit for SN 2010ay. Finally, the event whose host galaxy and supernova properties seem most similar to SN 2010ay, GRB 100316D/SN 2010bh had \( E_{\gamma,iso} \geq (5.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{49} \) erg \( (Starling et al. 2011) \) — a full order of magnitude above our IPN limit.

Another possibility is that prompt emission associated with SN 2010ay may have been too soft to be detected by the BAT or IPN. For example, the spectrum of XRF 060218 rose to a peak at 0.3-10 keV at \( \sim 985 \) s after triggering, then softened significantly thereafter. Even though the total emission of this burst is well above our IPN limit, it may have escaped detection if it was similarly soft.

### 6. SUB-SOLAR HOST ENVIRONMENT METALLICITY

We estimate the oxygen abundance of the host environment of SN 2010ay from the strong nebular emission line fluxes measured from our Gemini spectrum (Table 3). At the distance of the host galaxy, the 1\(^{\text{st}}\) Gemini slit width corresponds to a physical size of 1.3 kpc.

From the O3N2 diagnostic of Pettini & Pagel (2004) (PP04), we derive a metallicity of \( \log(O/H) = 8.19 \), or \( Z \approx 0.5 Z_{\odot} \), adopting the solar metallicity \( \log(O/H)_{\odot} = 12 = 8.69 \) from Asplund et al. (2005). Using the N2 diagnostic of PP04, we find \( \log(O/H) + 12 = 8.26 \). Using the abundance diagnostic, \( R_{23} = \log([O II] \lambda 3727 + [O III] \lambda \lambda 4959, 5007)/H_\beta \), we find \( \log(O/H) + 12_{pp04} = 8.49 \) (Zaritsky et al. 1994), and \( \log(O/H) + 12_{KDO2} = 8.51 \) (Kewley & Dopita 2002). However, these \( R_{23} \)-based estimates are more sensitive to flux-calibration and reddening-correction. Moreover, there is a well-known bi-valued relationship between \( R_{23} \) and oxygen abundance. The \( R_{23} \) value of the explosion site (0.872±0.003) places it near the turnover point, but we assume that it lies on the upper branch based on its [N II]/[O II] ratio, following Kewley & Ellison (2008). The metallicity values we derive using the PP04 and KDO2 diagnostics are approximately equivalent given the offset that exists between these two diagnostics (Kewley & Ellison 2008). These measurements are similar to the values reported by Modjaz et al. (2010) \( \log(O/H) + 12_{PP04,KDO2} = [8.2, 8.4, 8.5] \) for the SN 2010ay host galaxy. The statistical errors in our strong line metallicity estimates are small (< 0.01 dex), as determined by propagating the errors in the line flux measurement through the abundance calculation. However, for example the representative systematic error for the PP04 O3N2 abundance diagnostic is \( \sim 0.07 \) dex, as determined by Kewley & Ellison (2008) via comparison to other strong line abundance indicators.

Fortunately, our detection of the weak [O III] \( \lambda 4363 \) auroral line (S/N\( \sim 6 \); Figure 3) allows us to derive an oxygen abundance via the “direct,” \( T_r \) method. We employ a methodology similar to that used by, for example, Levesque et al. (2010a). We first derive the electron temperature \( T_e = 1.09 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{5}\) K and density \( n_e = 83.0 \pm 7.0 \) cm\(^{-3} \) from the [O III] and [S II] line ratios using the temden task of the IRAF package nebular (Shaw & Dufour 1994), derive the \( O^+ \) temperature using the calibration of Garnett (1992), and finally estimate the \( O^+ \) and \( O^{++} \) abundances following Shi et al. (2006). The direct abundance, \( \log(O/H) + 12 = 8.24 \pm 0.03 \), is in good agreement with the PP04 O3N2 value. The stated uncertainty reflects the propagation of the uncertainties for the line flux measurements. Indeed, the offset between these two diagnostics should be very small at this metallicity (Kewley & Ellison 2008).

We estimate the star formation rate (SFR) of the host galaxy using the H\( \alpha \) relation of Kennicutt (1998). After correcting for host galaxy extinction, we measure the H\( \alpha \) luminosity from our Gemini spectrum (Table 3) and estimate SFR = 1.1 \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1} \).

#### 6.1. Blue Compact Galaxy Host

...
We compare the host galaxy of SN 2010ay to the nearby galaxy population of the SDSS spectroscopic survey. The physical properties of the host galaxy, SDSS J123527.19+270402.7, are estimated in the MPA/JHU catalog.\(^{23}\) The total (photometric) galaxy stellar mass \((M_*)\) is given as 3.6\(\pm\)2.9 \(\times\) 10\(^8\) \(M_\odot\). The mass to light ratio of the host galaxy of SN 2010ay is \(\sim\) 2.8\(\pm\)0.3 Gyr\(^{-1}\). For consistency, we consider these values of \(M_*\), SFR, and the oxygen abundance of the B-band (O/H)\(_{BVR}\) given in the MPA/JHU catalog to BVR magnitudes is 1.0\(\pm\)0.3 \(\times\) 10\(^8\) \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\), and the nuclear (fiber) oxygen abundance \((O/H)_n\) is \(8.38\pm0.02\) on the scale of [Tremonti et al. 2004] (T04). The specific star formation rate (SSFR) of the host galaxy is then \(\approx\) 2.8\(\pm\)0.3 yr\(^{-1}\). From SDSS fiber magnitudes, the host galaxy has typical properties, with \([4th,38th,11th]\) percentile in \([46th,49th,54th]\) percentile.

Based on these properties, we classify the host galaxy of SN 2010ay as a luminous Blue Compact Galaxy (BCG). BCGs span a large range in luminosity \((\sim 21 < M_B < -12\), where luminous BCGs have \(M_B < -17\)), but are distinguished by their blue colors \((B - V < 0.45\), high SFR \((1 < SFR < 20 \ M_\odot\ yr^{-1})\), and low metallicity \((Z/Z_\odot < 0.17\)) [Kong & Cheng 2002]. The specific star formation rate (SSFR) of the host galaxy is then \(\approx\) 2.8\(\pm\)0.3 Gyr\(^{-1}\). For consistency, we consider these values of \(M_*\), SFR, and the oxygen abundance, and the SFR for the host galaxy of SN 2010ay when comparing to other galaxies in the MPA/JHU catalog.

The oxygen abundance and SFR of the host galaxy of SN 2010ay listed in the MPA/JHU catalog are consistent with the values we derive in this paper (see also [Kelly & Kirshner 2011]). The MPA/JHU catalog lists metallicities on the T04 scale. Using the [Kewley & Ellison 2008] conversion to the PP04 scale, the T04 metallicity estimate corresponds to a metallicity of log\((O/H)\)+12 = 8.38, which is \(\sim\) 0.2 dex higher than the one we measure \((\log(O/H) + 12 = 8.19)\). However, there is a large \((\sim 0.2\) dex) rms scatter between the PP04 O3N2 and T04 diagnostics at the regime of log\((O/H)\)+12\(_{PP04}\) \(\approx\) 8.2 [Kewley & Ellison 2008]. The SFR in the MPA/JHU catalog is also in good agreement with the value we estimate from the H\(_\alpha\) luminosity. Although out estimate does not include an aperture correction, the size of the Gemini slit \((1''\) should encompass most of the star formation in the galaxy (Petrosian r = 1.355\(^{26}\); [Table II]).

The mass to light ratio of the host galaxy of SN 2010ay is low compared to typical star-forming galaxies. To compare the host galaxy to the general galaxy population, we select a subset of the MPA/JHU catalog by requiring that estimates of \(M_*,\) SFR, and \((O/H)_n\) be available and we remove AGN according to [Kauffmann et al. 2003]. We consider 167,837 starbursting galaxies following these constraints. The host galaxy ranks in the [4th,38th,11th] percentile in \([M_*, SFR, O/H]_n\) among these galaxies. Among the selected galaxies with a stellar mass as low as the host galaxy\(^{27}\), the median and standard deviation of the B-band absolute magnitude is \(-15.8 \pm 1.3\) mag. With \(M_B = -18.35 \pm 0.58\) mag, the host of SN 2010ay is brighter than other galaxies with a similar mass at the 2\(\sigma\) level. The discrepancy is due to the blue color of SN 2010ay, which indicates a best-fit single stellar population that is very young and therefore has a low stellar mass to light ratio. Among the 1,184 galaxies in the MPA/JHU catalog that meet the constraints above and have a color similar to the host of SN 2010ay \((0.47 < u - r < 0.67,\) from SDSS fiber magnitudes), the host galaxy has typical properties, with \([M_*, SFR, O/H]_n\) in the [46th,49th,54th] percentile.

\(^{23}\) [http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/SDSS (described in Kauffmann et al. 2003; [Tremonti et al. 2003; Brinchmann et al. 2004; Salim et al. 2004]) and updated for SDSS DR7]

\(^{24}\) This subset is selected such that the host galaxy of SN 2010ay has the median mass: 1.39 \(<\ M_* \ <\ 5.62 \times\ 10^8M_\odot,\ N_{sim} = 6,978\).

\(^{25}\) We obtain B-band magnitudes by converting the k-corrected gri magnitudes given in the MPA/JHU catalog to BVR magnitudes using the transformation of [Blanton & Roweis 2007].

\(^{26}\) We note that a large fraction of luminous BCGs show evidence for disturbed morphologies or interaction with close companions [Garland et al. 2003; Lopezn-Sánchez et al. 2009], but we do not see evidence for a companion at the depth of SDSS images of the host galaxy of SN 2010ay.

6.2. Comparison to SNe Ic-BL and GRB-SNe Host Galaxies

Our measurement of the metallicity from the Gemini spectrum indicates that the explosion site of SN 2010ay is \(\sim\) 0.2\(\pm\)0.5 dex lower in metallicity than the median SNe Ic (Ic-BL) in the sample of [Modjaz et al. 2011]. In that sample, the median PP04 O3N2 metallicity measured at the explosion site of SNe Ic is log\((O/H)\)+12 \(\approx\) 8.7 and for Ic-BL is \(\approx\) 8.4 dex, for 12 and 13 objects, respectively. If instead the KD02 metallicity is used, the median of the sample is \(\approx\) 8.9 dex for SNe Ic (13 objects) and \(\approx\) 8.7 dex for Ic-BL (15 objects), so the abundance of the SN 2010ay host galaxy is similarly low compared to the median.

The metallicity of the environment of SN 2010ay is more similar to previously-studied nearby GRB-SN progenitors. A metallicity identical to our measurement was measured at the explosion site of SN 2010bh [Levesque et al. 2011] \((\log(O/H) + 12 = 8.2)\). In the survey of [Levesque et al. 2010a], and adding the measurement for SN 2010bh, the GRB-SNe host galaxies have an average and standard deviation PP04 O3N2 metallicity of log\((O/H)\)+12 \(\approx\) 8.1 \pm 0.1 on the PP04 scale, which is consistent with the SN 2010ay environment. Among the 17 LGRB host galaxies surveyed in [Savaglio et al. 2009] the average metallicity is somewhat lower, 1/6 \(Z_\odot\) or log\((O/H)\)+12 \(\approx\) 7.9, but these are at an average redshift of \(z \sim 0.5\) that is much higher than SN 2010ay.

This evidence suggests that the host galaxy of SN 2010ay has chemical properties more consistent with LGRBs/GRB-SNe than SNe Ic-BL without associated GRBs; however, selection effects may mitigate this discrepancy. SNe found in targeted surveys of bright galaxies have host galaxy properties biased towards higher metallicities, due to the luminosity-metallicity \((L-Z)\) relation [Tremonti et al. 2004]. LGRBs are found in an untargeted manner through their gamma-ray emission and therefore are not biased by this relation.

SN 2010ay joins a growing list of SNe Ic-BL that have been discovered in low metallicity host galaxies. Given the systematic uncertainty in strong line oxygen abundance diagnostics (\(\sim 0.07\) dex), we will consider host galaxies with metallicity log\((O/H)_{PP04}\) + 12 < 8.3 \((Z \lesssim 0.4Z_\odot)\) to be in the low-metallicity regime of SN 2010ay. Among the 15 SNe Ic-BL (9 discovered by untargeted searches) in the surveys of [Modjaz et al. 2008] and [Modjaz et al. 2011], 4 were found in low metal-
licity environments: SN [2007eb,2007gw,2005kr,2006nx] at log (O/H)$_{PP04} + 12 = 8.19$. All of these SNe were discovered by untargeted searches. Young et al. (2010) measure the metallicity of the host galaxy of the broad-lined Ic SN 2007bg to be log (O/H)$_{PP04} + 12 = 8.18$, although this SN has light curve and spectral properties that distinguish it from normal SNe Ic-BL ([33]). Furthermore, Arcavi et al. (2010) find that SNe Ic-BL are more common in dwarf ($M_* > 10^8$) host galaxies, which the authors attribute to a preference for lower metallicities. The star formation properties of the host galaxy of SN 2010ay also resemble the host galaxies of LGRBs. If we consider those galaxies in the MPA/JHU catalog with masses similar to the host galaxy of SN 2010ay (as defined above), then the median SFR and O/H$_o$ of these galaxies is 0.13 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and log (O/H)$_o$ + 12 = 8.36, respectively. The host galaxy of SN 2010ay is in the [96th,77th] percentile for [SFR,O/H]$_o$ among these galaxies. This indicates that, while the host galaxy of SN 2010ay falls within 1$\sigma$ of the mass-metallicity ($M-Z$) relation for star-forming galaxies, its SFR is extreme for its mass. The 39 LGRB host galaxies in the survey of Savaglio et al. (2005) are similarly low in mass and have high star formation rates, with an average stellar mass of $M_* \sim 10^8 M_\odot$ and SSFR $\sim 3.5$ Gyr$^{-1}$. The host galaxy of SN 2010ay falls below the $L-Z$ relation for nearby star-forming galaxies, as illustrated by Figure 4. We have transformed the $k$-corrected gri magnitudes from the MPA/JHU catalog to B-band (Blanton & Roweis 2007). At the luminosity of the host galaxy of SN 2010ay, the median metallicity and standard deviation of the SDSS galaxies on the T04 scale is log (O/H)$_o$+12 = 8.93 $\pm$ 0.17; the host galaxy of SN 2010ay falls in the 3rd percentile. In other words, the host galaxy of SN 2010ay is a 2$\sigma$ outlier from the $L-Z$ relation. Similarly, Levesque et al. (2010a) and Han et al. (2010) suggest that the host galaxies of LGRBs fall below the $L-Z$ relation as defined by normal star-forming galaxies, BCGs, and the host galaxies of Type Ic SNe. Mannucci et al. (2011) have explained the offset of LGRB host galaxies from the $M-Z$ relation as a preference for LGRBs to occur in host galaxies with high SFR, as characterized by the Fundamental Metallicity Relation (FMR) of Mannucci et al. (2010) (see also Lara-López et al. 2010). Using the extended FMR for low mass galaxies from Mannucci et al. (2011), the host galaxy of SN 2010ay should have a metallicity of log (O/H)$_o$+12 = 8.20 given its stellar mass and SFR. The FMR is calibrated to the Nagao et al. (2006) metallicity scale, which is similar to that of PP04 at this metallicity. Given the intrinsic scatter in the extended FMR on the order of $\sim 0.05$ dex, this value is consistent with the PP04 value we measure from our Gemini spectrum: log (O/H)$_o$+12 = 8.19. Kocevski & West (2011) similarly explain the offset of LGRB host-galaxies from the $M-Z$ relation as a SFR effect, but suggest that the long GRB host galaxies have even higher SFR than would be implied by the FMR. SN 2010ay is an example of an SN Ic-BL where the host galaxy is consistent with the $M-Z$ relation for star-forming galaxies, but deviates from the $L-Z$ relation due to its low stellar mass to light ratio (§1.3). Its 2$\sigma$ discrepancy from the $L-Z$ relation would be hard to explain as a SFR rate effect alone because among galaxies in the MPA/JHU catalog without AGN (as defined above) and with $M_\odot$ within 0.1 mag of the host galaxy of SN 2010ay, the host galaxy has a SFR in the 26th percentile (< 1$\sigma$ discrepancy).
SN 2010ay: a luminous broad-lined SN Ic

Fig. 9.—A plot of host galaxy metallicity versus absolute B magnitude for SNe Ic-BL (red) and engine-driven explosions (blue). The L-Z relation of nearby starforming galaxies is plotted as a solid line, with the 15th and 85th percentile boundaries of the galaxy distribution (dashed lines). Here we have transformed the k-corrected gri magnitudes from the MPA/JHU catalog to B-band (Blanton & Roweis 2007) and converted the metallicity values to the PP04 scale (Kewley & Ellison 2008), for the purpose of comparing it to metallicity measurements for SN host galaxies in the literature. The dot-dashed line is the divider between GRB-SNe and SNe Ic-BL host environments suggested by Modjaz et al. (2008). The host galaxy properties of GRB/SNe other than 2010ay are from the following references: Starling et al. 2011; Cano et al. 2011a (2010bh), Modjaz et al. 2011 (Ic-BL), Levesque et al. 2010d (2009bb), and Levesque et al. 2010a (other GRB-SNe).

GRB-SNe from broad-lined SNe Ic without associated relativistic ejecta.

We compare the photospheric expansion velocity of SNe Ic-BL and GRB-SNe to the metallicity of their host environments in Figure 10. This comparison emphasizes the diversity of explosion and host galaxy properties observed in both GRB-SNe and SNe Ic-BL. The GRB-SNe with the largest velocity gradients (2003dh, $\alpha = -0.9$; 2009bb, $\alpha = -0.9$) occur at metallicities different by an order of magnitude (2003dh, log(O/H)+12 = 8.0; 2009bb, log(O/H)+12 = 9.0). Furthermore, the velocity gradient of GRB-SNe seems to be uncorrelated with the velocity at early times; 2010bh ($\alpha = -0.2$) and 2006a ($\alpha = -0.3$) have similar velocity gradients, but 2010bh was $\sim 10,000$ km s$^{-1}$ faster at 10 days after explosion. Among SNe Ic-BL from low metallicity environments (log(O/H)+12 $< 8.5$), there is a large range in both the characteristic velocity and velocity gradient ($v_{ph}^{30} = [10, 22]$ and $\alpha = [-0.5, -0.2, -0.4]$ for SNe [2003jd,2007bg,2010ay]). For the three SNe Ic-BL at higher metallicities, the characteristic velocities tend to be slower (but more consistent) and the velocity gradients are stronger and are more tightly distributed ($v_{ph}^{30} = [6, 10]$) and $\alpha = [-0.8, -1.1, -0.5]$ for SNe [1997ef,2002ap,2007ru]). However, a larger sample is needed to exclude the possibility of SNe Ic-BL from super-solar metallicity environments that have high characteristic velocities or shallow velocity gradients.

The fact that a GRB was not detected in association with SN 2010ay, despite its similarities to the GRB-SNe, could indicate that the relativistic jet produced by this explosion was “suffocated” before it emerged from the progenitor star (MacFadyen et al. 2001). In this scenario, the duration of the accretion event onto the newly-formed central engine is short-lived and the jet post-breakout outflow is not ultra-relativistic. In the process of being suffocated, the jet transfers momentum to the ejecta such that the spectrum is broad lined and the photospheric velocity is very high, even at late times, as we observe (§3). However, the low host environment metallicity we measure for SN 2010ay, which is similar to GRB-SNe, does not suggest high angular momentum loss that would help to weaken the jet. Another alternative is that binary interaction plays a key role in the commonality of relativistic outflows among SNe Ic-BL.

Looking forward, additional SNe Ic-BL in sub-solar metallicity host environments will be found through current and future generations of untargeted transient searches. Untargeted searches are required to find supernovae from low-metallicity host environments, because targeted searches only probe the highest metallic-
Fig. 10.— SN photospheric velocity, as traced by the Si II λ6355Å feature, versus host galaxy oxygen abundance for SN 2010ay and other Ic-BL (red) and SNe-GRB (blue) from the literature (as in Figure 5). The range of velocities hatched for each object comes from the velocity at 10 days and at 30 days after explosion, according to the power law fits presented in Figure 5. The oxygen abundance measurements using the PP04 O3N2 diagnostic are from Levesque et al. (2010a) (GRB-SNe), Sahu et al. (2009) (SN2007ru), Young et al. (2010) (SN 2007bg), and Modjaz et al. (2011) (other SNe Ic-BL). The range of oxygen abundance hatched reflects the error bars quoted in the literature (when stated) plus the $\sim 0.07$ dex systematic error of the PP04 O3N2 diagnostic (Kewley & Ellison 2008).

ity galaxies due to the luminosity-metallicity relationship (Modjaz et al. 2011; Leloudas et al. 2011).

8. CONCLUSIONS

The optical photometric, spectroscopic, and radio observations of SN 2010ay presented here provide an example of a Type Ic-BL SN with explosion and host properties similar to the known GRB-SN SN 2010bh. This object demonstrates that SNe in low-metallicity environments with high-velocity ejecta are not necessarily accompanied by the long-lived relativistic jets necessary for a GRB. The existence of SN 2010ay and SN 2009bb (a central-engine driven event from a high-metallicity host environment) indicates that progenitor metallicity may not be the key factor that distinguishes GRB-SNe from normal broad-lined Type Ic supernovae.

We conclude that:

1. Pre-discovery imaging of the SN 2010ay from the Pan-STARRS1 3π survey allows us to tightly constrain the early-time light-curve of SN 2010ay (see Figure 2 and explosion date (2010 February 21.2±1.5), allowing us to search for gamma-ray emission that may have been associated with the explosion. By fitting the template SN Ibc light-curve of Drout et al. (2010), we derive an R-band peak absolute magnitude of $-20.2\pm0.2$ mag – making SN 2010ay among the brightest SNe Ibc ever observed. This peak magnitude suggests that a large mass of nickel, $M_{Ni} \sim 0.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1} M_\odot$, has been synthesized. The ratio of $M_{Ni}$ to $M_{ej}$ is $\gtrsim 2\times$ larger than in known GRB-SNe.

2. Spectroscopy (see Figure 3) at the explosion site in the host galaxy of SN 2010ay indicates that the
host environment of the progenitor star had a significantly sub-solar metallicity (\(Z \sim 0.3Z_\odot\)), similar to the host environments of known GRB-SNe progenitors.

3. The Ic-BL SN 2010ay strongly resembles the GRB-SN 2010bh, particularly in light of its unusually high photospheric expansion velocities at late times (\(v_{\text{ph}} \approx 19.2 \times 10^{3} \text{ km s}^{-1}\) at 14 days after peak) and low metallicity host environment. The comparison between these two SNe is summarized in Table 4.

4. Non-detections in late-time radio observations of the SN with the EVLA rule out the association of a GRB of the nature of the spectroscopically-confirmed GRB-SNe, except for the radio afterglow associated with XRF 060218. Our radio observations imply limits on the velocity, energy, and density of any associated relativistic jet and the mass loss rate of the progenitor (see Figures 4 and 6). Additionally, no coincident gamma-ray emission was detected by satellites: the non-detection by the interplanetary network indicates \(E_g \lesssim 6 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg}\), while the non-detection by the Swift BAT indicates that the peak energy of the burst was \( \lesssim 1 \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) if the burst occurred during the \(\sim 20\%\) of the explosion window when it was in the field of view of the instrument. This rules out associated prompt emission similar to that of GRBs 031203, 030329, or 100316D, but not GRBs 980425 or 060218.

The pre-discovery imaging of SN 2010ay demonstrates the capability of the untargeted PS1 survey for identifying and monitoring exotic transients, not only in its high-cadence Medium-Deep Fields, but also in the all-sky 3\(\pi\) survey. Additional detections and multi-wavelength follow-up observations of SNe Ic-BL will help to illuminate the role that ejecta velocity and progenitor metallicity play in the GRB-SNe connection.
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