Roadmap for In-Space Propulsion Technology

Michael Meyer
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Les Johnson
Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

Bryan Palaszewski
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

David Coote
Stennis Space Center, Stennis Space Center, Mississippi

Dan Goebel
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California

Harold White
Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas

October 2012
Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) program plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program operates under the auspices of the Agency Chief Information Officer. It collects, organizes, provides for archiving, and disseminates NASA's STI. The NASA STI program provides access to the NASA Aeronautics and Space Database and its public interface, the NASA Technical Reports Server, thus providing one of the largest collections of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. Results are published in both non-NASA channels and by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- TECHNICAL PUBLICATION. Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counterpart of peer-reviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.

- TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM. Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.

- CONTRACTOR REPORT. Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- CONFERENCE PUBLICATION. Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or cosponsored by NASA.

- SPECIAL PUBLICATION. Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.

- TECHNICAL TRANSLATION. English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services also include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results.

For more information about the NASA STI program, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI program home page at http://www.sti.nasa.gov
- E-mail your question to help@sti.nasa.gov
- Fax your question to the NASA STI Information Desk at 443–757–5803
- Phone the NASA STI Information Desk at 443–757–5802
- Write to:
  STI Information Desk
  NASA Center for AeroSpace Information
  7115 Standard Drive
  Hanover, MD 21076–1320
Level of Review: This material has been technically reviewed by technical management.

Available from

NASA Center for Aerospace Information
7115 Standard Drive
Hanover, MD 21076–1320

National Technical Information Service
5301 Shawnee Road
Alexandria, VA 22312

Available electronically at http://www.sti.nasa.gov
Roadmap for In-Space Propulsion Technology

Michael Meyer
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Les Johnson
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Marshall Space Flight Center
Huntsville, Alabama 35812

Bryan Palaszewski
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

David Coote
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Stennis Space Center
Stennis Space Center, Mississippi 39529

Dan Goebel
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Pasadena, California 91109

Harold White
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Johnson Space Center
Houston, Texas 77058

Abstract

NASA has created a roadmap for the development of advanced in-space propulsion technologies for the NASA Office of the Chief Technologist (OCT). This roadmap was drafted by a team of subject matter experts from within the Agency and then independently evaluated, integrated and prioritized by a National Research Council (NRC) panel. The roadmap describes a portfolio of in-space propulsion technologies that could meet future space science and exploration needs, and shows their traceability to potential future missions. Mission applications range from small satellites and robotic deep space exploration to space stations and human missions to Mars. Development of technologies within the area of in-space propulsion will result in technical solutions with improvements in thrust, specific impulse (Isp), power, specific mass (or specific power), volume, system mass, system complexity, operational complexity, commonality with other spacecraft systems, manufacturability, durability, and of course, cost. These types of improvements will yield decreased transit times, increased payload mass, safer spacecraft, and decreased costs. In some instances, development of technologies within this area will result in mission-enabling breakthroughs that will revolutionize space exploration. There is no single propulsion technology that will benefit all missions or mission types. The requirements for in-space propulsion vary widely according to their intended application. This paper provides an updated summary of the In-Space Propulsion Systems technology area roadmap incorporating the recommendations of the NRC.

Introduction

In the summer of 2010, the NASA Office of the Chief Technologist (OCT) initiated an integrated technology roadmap development activity, and small teams of Agency subject matter experts were established to develop draft roadmaps in each of 14 Space Technology Areas (TA). The 14 teams were focused on strategically identified areas where significant technology investments are anticipated and where substantial enhancements in NASA mission capabilities are needed. Together, the fourteen roadmaps represent NASA’s integrated Space Technology Roadmap. Once the TA roadmaps were drafted by the small teams, an extensive examination of the roadmaps was conducted. At the completion of a thorough NASA internal review, the roadmaps were considered “draft” status. These drafts were then provided to the National Research Council (NRC), who through an open process of community
engagement, gathered input, integrated and prioritized each individual Space Technology Area Roadmap, providing NASA with strategic guidance and recommendations that will inform the technology investment decisions of NASA’s space technology activities. One of these fourteen technology areas, TA02, was In-Space Propulsion Systems. This paper provides an updated summary of the In-Space Propulsion Systems technology area roadmap (Ref. 1) incorporating the recommendations of the NRC (Ref. 2).

Technology Area Scope

In-space propulsion begins where the launch vehicle upper stage leaves off, performing the functions of primary propulsion, reaction control, station keeping, precision pointing, and orbital maneuvering. The main engines used in space provide the primary propulsive force for orbit transfer, planetary trajectories and extra planetary landing and ascent. The reaction control and orbital maneuvering systems provide the propulsive force for orbit maintenance, position control, station keeping, and spacecraft attitude control.

The In-Space Propulsion Systems technology area roadmap describes the portfolio of in-space propulsion technologies that could meet future space science and exploration needs. In-space propulsion represents technologies that can significantly improve a number of critical metrics. Space exploration is about getting somewhere safely (mission enabling), getting there quickly (reduced transit times), getting the maximum mass there (increased payload mass), and getting there cheaply (lower cost). The simple act of “getting” there requires the employment of an in-space propulsion system, and the other metrics are modifiers to this fundamental action.

There is no single propulsion technology that will benefit all missions or mission types. The requirements for in-space propulsion vary widely due according to their intended application. The technologies described herein will support everything from small satellites and robotic deep space exploration to space stations and human missions to Mars. Figure 1 is a graphical representation of the In-Space Propulsion Technology Area Breakdown Structure (TABS). The TABS is divided into four basic elements: (1) Chemical Propulsion, (2) Nonchemical Propulsion, (3) Advanced Propulsion Technologies, and (4) Supporting Technologies, based on the physics of the propulsion system and how it derives thrust as well as technical maturity. The NRC panel recommended several changes to the fourth element. The “draft” roadmap included four additional technologies that support propulsion, but these significantly overlapped with other Technology Areas and have been removed for this final version.

Technical Approach

For both human and robotic exploration, traversing the solar system is a struggle against time and distance. The most distant planets are 4.5 to 6 billion km from the Sun and to reach them in any reasonable time requires much more capable propulsion
systems than conventional chemical rockets. The logistics, and therefore the total system mass required to support sustained human exploration beyond Earth to destinations such as the Moon, Mars or Near Earth Objects, are daunting unless more efficient in-space propulsion technologies are developed and fielded.

With the exception of electric propulsion systems used for commercial communications satellite orbit positioning and station-keeping, and a handful of lunar and deep space science missions, all of the rocket engines in use today are chemical rockets; that is, they obtain the energy needed to generate thrust by combining reactive chemicals to create a hot gas that is expanded to produce thrust. A significant limitation of chemical propulsion is that it has a relatively low specific impulse (thrust per unit of mass flow rate of propellant). Numerous concepts for advanced in-space propulsion technologies have been developed over the past 50 years. While generally providing significantly higher specific impulse compared to chemical engines, they typically generate much lower values of thrust. Thrust to weight ratios greater than unity are required to launch from the surface of the Earth, and chemical propulsion is currently the only propulsion technology capable of producing the magnitude of thrust necessary to overcome Earth’s gravity. However, once in space, new higher Isp propulsion systems can be used to reduce total mission propellant mass requirements.

Benefits

In-space propulsion is a category of technology where developments can benefit a number of critical Figures of Merit (metrics) for space exploration. Space exploration is about getting somewhere safely (mission enabling), getting there quickly (reduced transit times), getting a lot of mass there (increased payload mass), and getting there cheaply (lower cost). Development of technologies within this TA will result in technical solutions with improvements in thrust levels, Isp, power, specific mass (or specific power), volume, system mass, system complexity, operational complexity, commonality with other spacecraft systems, manufacturability, durability, and of course, cost. These types of improvements will yield the desired decreased transit times, increased payload mass, safer spacecraft, and decreased costs. In some instances, development of technologies within this TA will result in mission-enabling breakthroughs that will revolutionize space exploration. Advanced in-space propulsion technologies will enable much more effective exploration of our Solar System and will permit mission designers to plan missions to fly anytime, anywhere, and complete a host of science objectives at their destinations. A wide range of possible missions and candidate chemical and advanced in-space propulsion technologies with diverse characteristics offers the opportunity to better match propulsion systems for future missions. Developing a portfolio of in-space propulsion technologies will allow optimized propulsion solutions for a diverse set of missions and destinations. The portfolio of concepts and technologies described in this roadmap are designed to address these future space science and exploration needs.

Top Technical Challenges and Technology Priorities

The In-Space Propulsion Systems technology roadmap team identified specific technologies to enable new missions, as shown in Figure 1. This suite of options represents a balance of near-term and longer-range infusion opportunities and a balance of “mission pull” and “push” technologies. The draft roadmaps were created without budget constraints and therefore would require substantially more technology development resources than NASA is planning to invest in the near term. For this reason, it is important to prioritize the technologies with in the roadmap. As shown in Table I, the NASA In-Space Propulsion Systems technology roadmap team provided an initial (internal) assessment of the top ten Technical Challenges. For this assessment, prioritizing Technical Challenges was interpreted as identifying the most important challenges within the technology development suite. Table I includes technologies applicable to a range NASA missions and includes technologies with near- (present to 2016), mid- (2017 to 2022), and far-term (2023 to 2028) time frames, representing the point at which achieving TRL 6 could be expected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Power Processing Units (PPUs) for ion, Hall, and other electric propulsion systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Long-term in-space cryogenic propellant storage and transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>High power (e.g., 50 to 300 kW) class Solar Electric Propulsion scalable to MW class Nuclear Electric Propulsion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Advanced in-space cryogenic engines and supporting components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Developing and demonstrating MEMS-fabricated electrospray thrusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Demonstrating large (over 1000 m²) solar sail equipped vehicle in space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nuclear Thermal Propulsion (NTP) components and systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Advanced space storable propellants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Long-life (&gt;1 year) electrodynamic tether propulsion system in LEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Advanced In-Space Propulsion Technologies (TRL &lt;3) to enable a robust technology portfolio for future missions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The NRC was given the more challenging task to prioritize the technology investment considering current budgetary constraints and further to prioritize across the fourteen TAs. In their review, the NRC used a multi-step approach. First they identified the Top Technical Challenges, which, for their process, describe broader capabilities, rather than specific technologies. These challenges then helped guide the NRC panel’s prioritization of specific technologies. The following four Top Technical Challenges for in-space propulsion were identified in the NRC’s final report:

1. **High-Power Electric Propulsion Systems**: Develop high power electric propulsion systems technologies to enable high ΔV missions with heavy payloads.
2. **Cryogenic Storage and Transfer**: Enable long-term storage and transfer of cryogens in space and reliable cryogenic engine operation after long dormant periods in space.
3. **Microsatellites**: Develop high performance propulsion technologies for high-mobility microsatellites (~100 kg).
4. **Rapid Crew Transit**: Establish propulsion capability for rapid crew transit to/from Mars.

Once the Top Technical Challenges were identified, the NRC panel then moved on to prioritization of specific technologies. A quality function deployment (QFD) process was used to rank the technologies, and the panel verified that the results were consistent with the Top Technical Challenges they had previously identified. The NRC report identified four technologies from the In-Space Propulsion Systems technology roadmap as “high priority” and that were supportive of the four Top Technical Challenges. In priority order, these were:

- **Electric propulsion**
  - Development phased by power is recommended beginning with high power solar electric propulsion (SEP) (~100 kW to ~ 1 MW) and continuing toward an ultimate goal of multimegawatt nuclear electric propulsion (NEP) capability. These high power SEP and NEP systems can enable larger scale, faster, or more efficient space transportation systems.

- **Propellant storage and transfer**
  - The NRC identified cryogenic propellant storage and transfer as a technology at the “tipping point”. In other words, investment in this technology can quickly move it into position for infusion into flight development of in-space transportation elements. “Propellant storage and transfer is a game-changing technology for a wide range of applications because it enables long-duration, high-thrust, high-ΔV missions for large payloads and crew and can be implemented within the next 3 decades.”

- **(Nuclear) Thermal propulsion**
  - Nuclear Thermal Rockets are a high thrust, high Isp propulsion technology. The state of the art ground demonstrated engine, Nuclear Engine for Rocket Vehicle Applications (NERVA), demonstrated thrusters (in the 1970s) comparable to chemical propulsion (7,500 to 250,000 lbf of thrust with specific impulses of 800 to 900 seconds, double that of chemical rockets). “Critical NTR technologies include the nuclear fuel, reactor and system controls, and long-life hydrogen pumps, and technology development will also require advances in ground test capabilities, as the open-air approach previously used is no longer environmentally acceptable.”

- **Micropropulsion systems**
  - Micropropulsion addresses the needs of both micro-satellites and precision pointing and positioning for certain NASA Science Mission Directorate missions. Micropropulsion encompasses the development of miniaturized versions of chemical and non-chemical propulsion systems.

The first three technologies were separated as high priority by the QFD scoring assessment of the NRC; the NRC panel decided to elevate micropropulsion systems to a medium-high priority “to highlight the importance of developing propulsion systems that can support the rapidly developing micro-satellite market, as well as certain large astrophysics spacecraft.” The NRC also noted that these four technologies were consistent with their Top Technical Challenges.

**Discussion**

The NASA internal TA02 roadmap team was in general agreement with the NRC prioritization, and there is good overlap with the original internal assessment by the NASA internal team (Table I). However, it is important to recognize that the In-Space Propulsion Systems Technology Roadmap includes many additional technologies beyond the four identified as high priority. In fact, the NRC report noted that, “In an unconstrained funding environment, the TA02 roadmap presents a reasonable approach, particularly when focus is placed on the high-priority technologies listed above.” In addition, the NRC panel recognized the value of investing modest resources in low TRL technologies that may provide the seedling for future technology development. The TABS 2.3 Advanced Propulsion Technologies area is ideally suited to make the most benefit from such an investment.

**Conclusion**

As part of a NASA Office of the Chief Technologist effort to develop an integrated set of Space Technology roadmaps, a draft In-Space Propulsion Systems Technology Area roadmap was developed (Ref. 1). This draft was provided to the NRC for evaluation and for prioritization of the technologies. This paper provides a summary of that roadmap document and the salient recommendations of the NRC were discussed. The NRC input (Ref. 2) has been incorporated with the draft roadmap to create an updated version. The NRC report identified four Top Technical Challenges for the In-Space Propulsion Systems technology roadmap as “high priority”: High-Power Electric Propulsion Systems; Cryogenic Storage and Transfer; Microsatellites; and Rapid Crew Transit. The key technologies In-Space Propulsion Systems Technology Area roadmap team to support the NRC Top Technical
Challenges include: Electric Propulsion; Propellant Storage and Transfer; (Nuclear) Thermal Propulsion, and Micropropulsion. The integrated roadmaps will be valuable for the Agency going forward providing NASA with strategic guidance and recommendations that inform the investment decisions of NASA’s space technology activities.
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