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POPULAR SUMMARY:
Clouds cover more than 60% of the Earth’s surface. They are usually brighter than the Earth surface. Collectively, clouds reflect a large amount of solar energy reaching the Earth back to space. The amount of reflected energy is so large that a tiny change in cloud amount would significantly change the Earth’s climate. For this reason as well as many others we study clouds, their properties and behaviors under different conditions in order to properly account for them in computer models. This is one of the most challenging issues facing climate studies today because clouds are quite chaotic as they appear in a variety of forms and shapes. For example, clouds can be as small as a few square meters and as large as millions of square miles; they can be as thin as tens of meters and as thick as a few kilometers.

In this study we use satellite data (specifically, an instrument called MODerate resolution Imaging Spectrometer, or MODIS) to examine cloud size statistics. MODIS is sensitive to lights with 36 different wavelengths (think of them as different colors) and it takes pictures of the Earth in these different wavelengths. These pictures are passed through computer algorithms to derive information about clouds. From the derived information we find low clouds in the picture and calculate the size, perimeter and shape of each cloud. To our surprise we find amazing order in cloud size frequency distribution. The probability distributions of cloud size are almost the same from year to year despite differences in environmental conditions. More importantly, the distribution follows a power law. The power law distribution implies fundamental underlying mechanism that organizes the cloud fields has no awareness of scales. In other words, clouds at the smallest scale to the largest are organized in the same way!

We used an extremely simple statistical model to explain the surprising cloud size distribution. The underlying mechanism can be simplified as ‘merging’ and ‘clumping’. Merging simply refers to the fact that two clouds can merge and clumping means that a larger cloud can grow faster than a smaller one. These two operations are randomly applied to a field of clouds in our model and they produce cloud fields that follow a power-law distribution with similar exponent. Because this model is abstract by construct and does not depend on the specific nature of the studied subject many phenomena in atmospheric sciences can be explained with the same model. Indeed we illustrated examples on the spatial organization of precipitation and clear-sky area within a stratocumulus cloud field.

Our results provide a new pathway to account for cloud effect in large-scale climate models. We can derive statistical methods to faithfully mimic the real clouds. Our results also reveal the invariant aspects of cloud organization, which may be a fundamental property.
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Abstract. Clouds play a central role in many aspects of the climate system and their forms and shapes are remarkably diverse. Appropriate representation of clouds in climate models is a major challenge because cloud processes span at least eight orders of magnitude in spatial scales. Here we show that there exists order in cloud size distribution of low-level clouds, and that it follows a power-law distribution with exponent $y$ close to 2. $y$ is insensitive to yearly variations in environmental conditions, but has regional variations and land-ocean contrasts. More importantly, we demonstrate this self-organizing behavior of clouds emerges naturally from a complex network model with simple, physical organizing principles: random clumping and merging. We also demonstrate symmetry between clear and cloudy skies in terms of macroscopic organization because of similar fundamental underlying organizing principles. The order in the apparently complex cloud-clear field thus has its root in random local interactions. Studying cloud organization with complex network models is an attractive new approach that has wide applications in climate science. We also propose a concept of cloud statistic mechanics approach. This approach is fully complementary to deterministic models, and the two approaches provide a powerful framework to meet the challenge of representing clouds in our climate models when working in tandem.

1 Introduction

Low-level warm clouds exert a strong negative radiative effect on the climate system by reflecting a large fraction of incoming solar radiation back to space while emitting a similar amount of longwave radiation as the Earth’s surface (Ramanathan et al., 1989; Hartmann and Doelling, 1991). These warm clouds appear in widely different and seemingly chaotic forms and sizes. For instance, while stratocumulus cloud sheets over oceans can have a relatively homogeneous appearance at spatial scales of $\sim 100$ km, the inhomogeneity of trade cumulus and fair weather cumulus clouds can be easily appreciated at scales as small as 10 m (Wielicki and Welch, 1986; Cahalan and Joseph, 1989; Zhao and Di Girolamo, 2007). The appearance, or macroscopic organization, of these clouds is regulated by a set of complex and interacting micro- and macro-scale processes (Klein and Hartmann, 1993; Stevens and Feingold, 2009) operating at spatial scales ranging from Kolmogorov scale $\sim 1$ mm to typical meteorological mesoscale $\sim 100$ km, a span of eight orders of magnitudes. The large spatial scale range is an insurmountable challenge for deterministic physical cloud models (Siebesma and Jonker, 2000; Stevens, 2005) and will be in the foreseeable future. Yet, these clouds are at the heart of uncertainties related to future climate simulations (Bony et al., 2006). We have to rely on observational and modeling techniques to derive the most essential part of cloud variability and its relationship with the environment in order to appropriately account for them in climate models.

2 Data and method

Here we use the MODerate resolution Imaging and Spectroradiometer (MODIS) cloud product to look at cloud macroscopic organization. The MODIS cloud product provides a 1-km resolution cloud mask. Cloud thermodynamic phase (i.e., a determination of whether a cloudy pixel is liquid, ice, or mixed) and retrieval quality assurance data are also available at the same spatial resolution (Platnick et al., 2003). Based on MODIS cloud mask data, we define a cloud as a patch of cloudy pixels connected through four-neighbor connectivity (i.e., diagonal neighbors are ignored). Results in the
3 Results

Previous studies have shown that despite the highly inhomogeneous appearance of trade cumulus and fair-weather cumulus clouds, there exists order in a statistical sense for small (less than ~1 km in diameter) clouds (Cahalan and Joseph, 1989; Benner and Curry, 1998). In Fig. 1 normalized number frequency is plotted against cloud size on a log-log scale for a trade-cumulus dominated region [5° N ~ 30° N, 170° W ~ 155° W]. The normalized number frequency is defined as \( N_k = N_k / (N_S_k) \), where \( N_k \) is the number of clouds within the \( k_b \) size bin, \( N \) is the total number of clouds in a sample, and \( S_k \) is the size of \( k_b \) bin. The different curves are based on July data of different years (2003-2010). The number of clouds sampled for each curve is on the order of 100,000. We find that similar to small clouds, the cloud size distribution of larger warm clouds studied here follows a power-law: \( P_k \sim C \cdot K^{-y'} \). The scale-free power law relationship between number frequency and cloud size holds for all the years (2003-2010) analyzed. The multi-year mean of the exponent \( y \) for the power law relationship is 1.95 ± 0.036, with 0.036 being the standard deviation. Correlation coefficient between \( \log(P_k) \) and \( \log(K) \) is always greater than 0.99 (same for other plots), indicating a good fit to the power-law.

Interestingly, the observed \( y \) is nearly identical to estimates for warm oceanic convective clouds that are smaller than ~1 km (Kuo et al., 1993; Benner and Curry, 1998; Zhao and Di Girolamo, 2007). We postulate that the scale-free behavior has no break between scales of \( O \) (10 m) and of \( O \) (100 km), four orders of magnitude difference. The break reported in previous studies is probably due to insufficient sampling of larger clouds (recall that \( P_k \sim K^{-\gamma} \)) because those studies used only a few cloudy scenes with each covering an area ~10,000 km² or less (Zhao and Di Girolamo, 2007). In comparison, we used about 200 cloudy scenes every year with each covering ~1,000,000 km², a roughly 4 orders of magnitude increase in total sampling for larger clouds. More importantly, the ratio between the size of a scene and the cloud size is too small for previous studies that used high resolution data, but small granule size. As a result, larger clouds in previous studies are exceedingly less likely to be sampled, and even if they are present in a scene, it is very likely that they are on the edge and therefore not completely sampled, leading to a low bias in their observed frequency of occurrence.

Another important feature is that the power law exponent \( y \) is rather constant during the eight-year period. This is unexpected because, despite the generally homogeneous trade wind circulation within a particular month, there exists strong interannual variation. For example, the mean cloud fraction reported by MODIS fluctuates by more than 30% over these years. The invariant behavior indicates the warm cloud organization is much less sensitive to environmental conditions than the bulk cloud fraction. Observing the scale-free behavior and the insensitivity of the exponent to large-scale conditions, we hypothesize that these warm trade cumulus clouds have robust intrinsic statistical organization, i.e., they are self-organized. The notion of self-organization generally refers to the property of a system where emerging order or structure appears on its own without any external involvement. In other words, in self-organizing systems, the system-wide, emerging order or structure results automatically from micro-scale interactions among internal components of the system.

This self-organizing behavior is supported by our analyses from other regions as well as previous studies (Cahalan and Joseph, 1989; Benner and Curry, 1998; Kuo et al., 1993; Benner and Curry, 1998; Zhao and Di Girolamo, 2007). We analyzed data for trade cumuli over the Caribbean Ocean and Subtropical South Pacific (not shown here) and fair-weather cumuli over the west Amazon Basin (Fig. 3a). Cloud organization at these locations have similar characteristics: the cloud size distribution follows a power law (\( P_k \sim K^{-\gamma'} \), \( P_k = P_{k_0} S_k \)) and the exponent \( \gamma' \) (\( \gamma' = y' - 1 \)) is insensitive to yearly variations in large-scale condition. However, \( \gamma' \) does have regional differences; for instance, it is 1.1 for trade wind cumulus over the Caribbean ocean and 0.83 (Terra) ~ 0.91 (Aqua) for fair-weather cumulus over the Amazon. Furthermore, the diurnal variation of the cloud self-organization has interesting land-ocean contrast: \( \gamma' \) has larger variation over land than over ocean (Fig. 1); and while values in the early afternoon (Aqua MODIS) are consistently smaller than those in the mid-morning (Terra MODIS) over ocean, suggesting an increase in overall cloud size, it is the opposite over land (here we show data from over the Amazon during the month
of September) (Fig. 3a). It is counterintuitive that the clouds are smaller in the afternoon than those in the morning over land because clouds do generally grow in size from morning to afternoon. We think this contrast is probably due to our sampling: only liquid phased clouds are included, while clouds over land usually grow not only in the horizontal but also in the vertical, making them more likely to be mixed phased or ice phased in the afternoon. Over the trade cumulus region, however, clouds cannot grow in the vertical as much due to capping trade inversion.

4 A stochastic model

Large eddy simulation models have demonstrated that simulated small convective cloud sizes (smaller than 1 km in diameter) follow a power-law distribution (Neggers et al., 2003; Jiang et al., 2009). However, the physical explanation for this cloud behavior is still a scientific challenge (Neggers et al., 2003). Here we introduce a new stochastic complex network model approach to explain the observed cloud self-organization. Using stochastic complex network models to study both fundamental physics (e.g., statistical mechanics and magnetism) and other natural (e.g., cell biology) and social systems (collaborative network) is an active interdisciplinary research area (Albert and Barabasi, 2002; Newman, 2003). These models are usually based on a connected graph that evolves based on a set of rules that mimic the studied system. With the model we want to address the question: what stochastic mechanisms are driving the clouds to organize in the observed fashion?

In this model a cloud, a collection of connected cloudy pixels, is abstracted as a vertex in a graph with the edges connected to the vertex as the cloudy pixels. The degree of a vertex is the number of edges connected to it. It then represents cloud size, and the cloud $P_k - K$ relationship is characterized by the degree distribution of a graph (Barabasi and Albert, 1999). To construct and evolve the graph, we note two key physical cloud-organizing processes and represent them with corresponding organizing principles (or rules) in the stochastic complex network model. First we observe
that in nature cloud merging is common (Tao and Simpson, 1984) (Nicholls and LeMone, 1980; Wilcox, 2003), which can be readily appreciated with the naked eye in the afternoon of a summertime fair-weather day. One of the organizing principles for our network model is, thus, two vertices can be randomly selected and merged at \( N \) vertices per time interval while vertices are created at \( C \) per interval. If the merged vertices are already connected, the edge between them will be removed after merging. Redundant edges between the merged vertices and their common neighbors are also removed, i.e., only one edge will connect the merged vertex and the common neighbors of merged vertices (see Fig. 2). Second, we recognize the observation that clouds often appear in patches over the ocean (Malkus, 1954). It is hypothesized that clouds tend to “clump” together because existing clouds can provide a favorable environment for new cloud formations (Randall and Huffman, 1980). To reflect cloud clumping, our second organizing principle is preferential attachment: when a new vertex is added to the graph, edges will be created at \( M \) per time interval to randomly selected vertices. The probability of selecting a vertex \( j \) is proportional to \( k_j / \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \), where \( k_i, k_j \) are degrees of vertices \( i \) and \( j \), \( n \) is the number of vertices in the network at present time. In other words, larger clouds have a better chance of growing.

We start the graph with a few vertices and edges by random assignment, the choice of which does not affect the final outcome of the model. The network grows in size and evolves in its structure based on two organizing principles, merging and clumping, as described above. At each time interval, \( C \) new vertices are added to the network and \( M \) edges are created for each newly added vertex. The edges are attached to an existing vertex \( (j) \) with probability \( \Pi \), so that

\[
\Pi = k_j / \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i.
\]

At each time interval \( N \) vertices are merged. The set of parameters are therefore \( M, N, \) and \( C \). We can sample the model after it grows sufficiently large (here 4000 vertices are chosen). A degree distribution is shown in Fig. 3 as an example. The degree distribution follows a power law and the exponent \( \gamma \) is around 1.14, comparable to cloud fields over the Caribbean. Our model can effectively reproduce the range of observed \( \gamma \) with different combinations of \( M, N, \) and \( C \). We have the following conceptual picture from this model: individual cloud patches and cloudy pixels randomly pop up constantly, the cloud fields organize by randomly merging and clumping, and through these local random interactions macroscopic order (a power law distribution in cloud size) emerges. Here we note a striking analogy between statistical mechanics and cloud organization (or macroscopic behavior of cloud system): macroscopic order emerges based on random, simple microscopic interactions. We propose to adopt a “cloud statistical mechanics” approach to study macroscopic behavior of clouds (Yuan and Li, 2010). In this approach individual clouds or cloudy volumes can be treated as the basic elements of the system, and macroscopic cloud behaviors can then be described as the behavior of a system composed of a large number of these basic elements, just like in statistical mechanics where the thermodynamics of a system can be interpreted and explained by statistical behavior of large ensemble of basic elements. The behavior of these elements at its native scales can be measured and studied with traditional instruments and physical deterministic models. The macroscopic behavior of cloud system can then be described using mathematical tools such as probability theory and network theory (as here). The rich and growing arsenal for studying complex networks can provide powerful tools for studying cloud organization with more sophisticated network models. Due
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Fig. 3. (A) Cloud size frequency distributions for September 2008 over the clean West Amazon. The two lines are for data from Aqua (in red) and Terra (in black). A more pronounced diurnal variation is noted compared to that over ocean. (B) Degree distributions from the stochastic model run with \( M = 2, \ C = 3 \) and \( N = 1 \). We run the model until it has 4000 vertices. The exponent is close to that observed for trade cumuli over the Caribbean.

5 Discussion and summary

It has been shown that the size distribution has similar scaling behavior for deep convective clouds (Mapes and Houze, 1993; Machado and Rossow, 1993; Wilcox and Ramanathan, 2001; Wilcox, 2003). Noting that cloud merging and clumping are also common for deep convective clouds (Tao and Simpson, 1984; Mapes and Houze, 1993; Wilcox, 2003), we suggest that the macroscopic organization of seemingly completely different forms of convection, shallow versus deep, can be understood with the same organizing principles in a complex network model. Furthermore, precipitation organization shows similar power law behavior (Lovejoy, 1982) and can be considered a direct result of deep convective cloud organization. The moisture organization in the atmosphere may also be understood with the complex network model approach (Kahn and Teixeira, 2009). Robust statistical relationships captured from this approach can also find applications in calculating radiative effect of clouds (Cahalan et al., 1994; Marshak et al., 1994; Barker et al., 1996).

For all potential applications and further development of the complex network models, observations and physical understanding of key processes that determine the final structure of a system are required. For example, merging rates and clumping rates (growth rate for different cloud sizes) of clouds may be observed from satellite data (Wilcox, 2003) or physical cloud resolving models (Jiang et al., 2009). These observations can be used to constrain the network model parameters and validate network model outputs. Furthermore, different mechanisms of cloud merging (e.g., cold pool, dynamical waves) may be represented in a more advanced network model, and dynamic interactions among and relative importance of these mechanisms may be investigated.

All observed power law exponents for cloud size distributions in this study are close to 2, which is somewhat larger than that of Kolmogorov exponent of 5/3 in a homogeneous and steady turbulence regime. Assuming that observations

Fig. 4. (A) A visible MODIS image showing a stratocumulus deck breaking up. Open cell convections dominate the scene. It is over the Southern Pacific. (B) Clear sky size distributions for September 2008 over the South Pacific open cell region (Wood and Hartmann, 2006). Both Aqua and Terra data are shown. (C) same as (B) but for open cell clouds over North Pacific (Wood and Hartmann, 2006).

here and those reported in previous studies are representative of the true value, this implies that the effect of phase change and inhomogeneity in thermodynamic properties of the real atmosphere has a significant impact on the distribution of energy across the spectrum of turbulent eddy sizes. The larger than 5/3 exponent implies the suppression of larger eddy formations compared to homogeneous turbulence regime. This suppression effect could be a result of a few factors such as entrainment of free troposphere air mass, latent heat of phase transition, radiative cooling/heating, and air-surface energy exchange. The geographic variation of the exponent reported in this study and in the literature should therefore be a result of the thermodynamic differences in air masses under contrasting climate regimes. Nevertheless, the conceptual understanding of the scaling behavior is similar to the organization of Kolmogorov vortices: bigger vortices (or clouds) are made from smaller ones, and the way it is made is the same throughout the range of scales observed here and in previous studies. This conceptual view fits quite nicely with the organization principles of the complex network model proposed here since these principles are not sensitive to individual cloud (or vortex) size at all.

It is important to note that the cloud statistical mechanics approach and deterministic cloud models are fully complementary to each other. On one hand, observations on the behavior of cloud macroscopic properties can provide insights for deterministic models to determine microscopic processes that are responsible. For example, while our stochastic model can effectively produce the regional variation of $y$, the actual clumping and merging rates (or other factors that contribute to different cloud organization) should come from observations or deterministic model simulations with detailed microphysical processes (Siebesma and Jonker, 2000; Neggers et al., 2003). On the other hand, insights on microscopic processes can in turn improve the construct of stochastic models. An example is the issue of aerosol-cloud interactions. Recent simulations suggest increased aerosol concentration leads to stronger evaporation at cloud sides, which results in more but smaller clouds (all are smaller than 1 km) (Jiang et al., 2009). This microscopic influence of aerosols would be expected to change cloud macroscopic organization since it can modify cloud merging and clumping rates. The interplay between these two approaches has a great potential to pinpoint processes that are most critical for cloud macroscopic properties and to faithfully model these properties using computationally cheap stochastic models. The statistical mechanics approach thus provides a framework that translates knowledge from micro-scale (cloud or convective cell) processes to cloud macro-scale properties, which provides a viable venue to meet the need of climate models to represent statistical cloud macro-scale properties.

In summary, we show a self-organization of warm cumulus clouds at spatial scales ranging across four orders of magnitude in horizontal scale under a relatively homogeneous environment. A novel stochastic model constructed on a graph can effectively capture the essential cloud organization...
behavior and its regional variations. We demonstrate that clear sky organization in a broken stratocumulus field has the same behavior because, we argue, similar underlying organizing principles exist. Studying cloud statistical mechanics on complex networks in tandem with deterministic cloud models could potentially provide a powerful framework for advancing our understanding of clouds.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/7483/2011/acp-11-7483-2011-supplement.pdf.
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