Search for cosmic-ray antiproton origins and for cosmological antimatter with BESS

Progress in modern observational cosmology and astrophysics has shown that the material Universe is dominated by dark matter responsible for the formation of structure and for the dynamics of galaxies. The nature of the dark components, however, is unknown. Similarly, it is observed that cosmological antimatter is apparently absent in the present era, but the reason for this absence remains as a major problem for cosmology and particle physics. It has been suggested that one constituent of the dark matter may be primordial black holes (Hawking, 1975; Barrau et al., 2002), formed in the early Universe due to the collapse of dense regions formed by density fluctuations.

The detection of PBH through antiparticles arising from the Hawking radiation emitted as they evaporate would probe the early Universe at very small scales (Maki et al., 2009). The exceptionally large collect-

2. Progress of the BESS and BESS-Polar experiments

The BESS program began as an outgrowth of work toward the Astromag superconducting magnet facility that was planned for the International Space Station, ISS (Ormes, 1986). From the early 1980s, there was tremendous excitement over results from seminal balloon-borne experiments that reported detecting substantial excesses of antiprotons at both high and low energies using magnetic spectrometers or annihilation signatures. By the mid-1980s, the cosmic-ray community was fully engaged in an effort to measure cosmic ray matter and antimatter to unprecedented precision. During the Astromag study, a number of magnet configurations were proposed. BESS stemmed from a proposal to use a solenoidal superconducting magnet with a coil thin enough for particles to pass through with minimal interaction probability (Yamamoto et al., 1988). This configuration maximizes the opening angle of the instrument, and hence the geometric factor, making it ideal for rare-particle measurements. BESS began as a balloon-borne instrument to validate this concept, and rapidly evolved into an immensely capable scientific program in its own right (Orito, 1987).

The BESS instruments consist of thin superconducting solenoidal magnets and high-resolution detector systems. For energies between about 0.1 GeV and 4 GeV, referenced to the top of the atmosphere (TOA), the BESS instruments accurately identify incident particles by directly measuring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>C.</th>
<th>Ant.</th>
<th>Ant.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Float time (h)</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation time, float (h)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation time, asc./des. (h)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded events (x10^6)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data volume (TB)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field (T)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR (GV)</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF resolution (ps)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC index</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiproton events observed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiproton's energy (GeV)</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-He/He upper limit (x10^-6)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

their charge, charge-sign, magnetic rigidity, and velocity. This information is subsequently used to derive their mass and kinetic energy. Elemental spectra can be measured to >100 GeV. All BESS instruments, improved during the course of the program, use similar instrument configurations with detail changes reflecting the evolution of the instruments and flight-specific requirements (Yoshida et al., 2004; Yamamoto et al., 2008; Mitchell et al., 2009).

Fig. 1 shows a schematic cross-sectional view of the BESS-Polar II instrument as an example. A central JET-type drift chamber tracking system and inner drift chambers (IDC), giving 52 trajectory points in the bending of the JET-type drift chamber tracking system and inner drift chambers. The magnetic rigidity, $R = \frac{p}{Ze}$ (where $p$ is momentum, $c$ is light velocity, and $Z$ is the particle electric charge) is determined by fitting the curvature of the track through the field. The charge-sign of an incident particle is determined by the direction of its curved track with respect to the local vector magnetic field. Arrays of time-of-flight (TOF) scintillation counters (Shikaze et al., 2000) are located at the top (UTOF) and bottom (LTOF) of the instrument. In BESS-Polar, a middle TOF scintillator array (MTOF) is located inside the magnet bore below the lower IDC. The TOF scintillators trigger readout of events and measure $Z$ and velocity, $\beta$, of incident particles. Particle momentum, $p$, is determined from $R$ and $Z$ and, in turn, particle mass, $m$, is determined from $p$ and $\beta$. BESS separates antiprotons from negative charge background particles, mainly muons and electrons, by mass up to an energy of about 1.5 GeV. Above this energy, an aerogel Cherenkov counter (ACC) identifies low mass, high $\beta$, background particles. Additional background rejection is supplied by multiple measurements of ionization energy loss ($dE/dx$) from the JET. The horizontal cylindrical configuration of the BESS instrument allows a full opening angle of ~90° with a resulting acceptance of 0.3 m²sr. The thin solenoid magnet allows the incoming cosmic rays to penetrate the spectrometer with minimum interactions (Yamamoto et al., 1989; Makida et al., 2005). Since the magnetic field is very uniform inside the solenoid, the deflection measurement is very accurate for all trajectories within the instrument geometric acceptance. A maximum detectable rigidity (MDR) of 200 GV was achieved in the original BESS instrument and 280 GV in BESS-Polar. For the BESS-TeV flights in 2001 and 2002, outer drift chambers were added to raise the MDR to 1400 GV (Haino et al., 2004).

Versions of the original BESS instrument were used for the initial 9 northern-latitude flights. In order to take advantage of the long flight durations and low geomagnetic cutoff in Antarctic flights, a completely new version of the instrument, BESS-Polar, was developed (Yamamoto et al., 2002b; Yoshida et al., 2004; Mitchell et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2008). The BESS-Polar magnet has half the material (radiation) thickness in the coil wall, achieved by use of improved superconducting wire with Al stabilizer strengthened by alloying with Ni and by cold-working (Yamamoto et al., 2002b; Makida et al., 2005). Reduced heat transmission to the low-temperature components gives a much improved cryogen lifetime. In addition, the outer pressure vessel was eliminated, the ACC was moved to the bottom, and the MTOF was added. The result was a spectrometer with ~4.5 g/cm² encountered by incident triggering particles compared to ~18 g/cm² in the previous BESS instrument, lowering the effective energy threshold to well below 100 MeV at TOA. The BESS-Polar data acquisition system has the required throughput and storage capacity to record all triggered events, and so no longer requires down-sampling of proton data. Greatly reduced power consumption and a new solar-cell array power system enable long-duration flights. In BESS-Polar I, the magnet cryogen lifetime was 11 days. BESS-Polar I was flown in 2004, acquiring data for 8.5 days and recording ~2 terabytes of data on 9 x 10⁹ cosmic ray events. High-voltage breakdown in some of the TOF photomultiplier units reduced the geometric acceptance to about 0.2 m²sr and impacted TOF resolution. BESS-Polar I measured 432 antiprotons at energies below 1.3 GeV, nearly a 4-fold increase in statistics over BESS measurements during the previous solar minimum, and 1512 antiprotons over the 0.1–4.2 GeV energy range. Technical improvements for BESS-Polar II, see Table 1, addressed cryogen lifetime, detector performance and stability, power system performance, data storage, and the efficiency of the final pre-launch assembly process. For BESS-Polar II, cryogen lifetime was increased to >25 days, the TOF resolution was effectively improved to ~120 ps, the rejection power of the ACC was increased to ~6000, and the full geometric acceptance of 0.3 m²sr was maintained throughout the flight. BESS-Polar II operated at float altitude for 24.5 days with the magnet energized, recording 13.5 terabytes of data on over 4.7 x 10⁹ cosmic ray events. This more than doubles the combined data from all previous BESS flights, including BESS-Polar I, and is several times

![Fig. 1. Cross section of the BESS-Polar II spectrometer.](image-url)
the data expected from PAMELA in the BESS-Polar energy range. Most important, the BESS-Polar II flight took place very near solar minimum, as shown in Fig. 2, when sensitivity to a low-energy primary antiproton source is greatest. The long BESS-Polar II flight gave a ~20-fold increase in the number of antiprotons detected below 1 GeV compared to the BESS-97 data at the previous solar minimum and a ~14-fold increase over the combined BESS-(95+97) data. After about one and two-thirds orbits of Antarctica, the BESS-Polar II flight was terminated over the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, as shown in Fig. 3, because of concerns over the flight trajectory. Logistics considerations prevented immediate recovery. Recovery of the BESS-Polar II instrument was successfully carried out two years later in 2009–2010.

3. Scientific progress from BESS-Polar observation

The general BESS and BESS-Polar scientific progress has been reviewed in the references (Yamamoto, 2003; Mitchell et al., 2004, 2005; Yoshida et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2008; Yamamoto et al., 2008; Mitchell et al., 2009). In this report, we focus on progress in the searches for cosmic-ray antiproton origins and for cosmological antimatter from the BESS-Polar program.

3.1. Precise measurement of the antiproton spectrum

Most cosmic-ray antiprotons are produced by interactions of high-energy Galactic cosmic rays with the interstellar medium. Due to production kinematics and to the energy spectra of the primary cosmic rays, the energy spectrum of these secondary antiprotons has a characteristic peak at around 2 GeV and decreases sharply below and above the peak. This feature is clearly shown by the BESS data (Orito et al., 2000; Abe et al., 2008). Their mainly secondary origin makes antiprotons important tools to probe cosmic-ray transport as discussed in a recent comprehensive review (Strong et al., 2007). Deviations from the expected antiproton spectrum may signify the contribution of a primary source such as evaporation of primordial black holes (PBH) or annihilation of neutralino dark matter. PBH evaporation is expected to yield an antiproton spectrum with a peak well below 1 GeV. Superimposed on the steeply decreasing secondary antiproton spectrum, this could cause a flattening of the observed spectrum (Mitsui et al., 1996). Although the BESS (95+97) antiproton flux measurements at the last solar minimum hint at an excess at low energy (Orito et al., 2000), successive measurements,
taken after the solar minimum period, are more consistent with a pure secondary nature.

Fig. 4 shows the antiproton spectrum measured by BESS-Polar I (Abe et al., 2008) compared with results from previous BESS flights around solar minimum, 95+97 (Matsunaga et al., 1998; Orito et al., 2000) and maximum (Assaoka et al., 2002), and compared with theoretical calculations. The solid curves are calculations of the interstellar secondary antiproton spectra from a Standard Leaky Box (SLB) model modulated with a steady state drift model (Bieber et al., 1999) in which the modulation is characterized by a tilt angle of the heliospheric current sheet and the Sun’s magnetic polarity of (from top to bottom, and the first two are very close) 10°(+), 10°(−), and 70°(−). The dashed curves are calculations with the Diffusion plus Convection (DC) model of the secondary antiproton spectrum modulated with a Heliospheric drift model (Moskalenko et al., 2002; Moskalenko, 2006). The tilt angles, 10°(+), 70°(−), and 30°(−) roughly correspond to the measurements with BESS (95+97), BESS (2000), and BESS-Polar I (2004), respectively (Zhao and Hoeksema, 1995; Hoeksema, 1995). The dotted curves are calculations with the DC model (Moskalenko et al., 2002) modulated with a standard spherically symmetric approach (Fisk, 1971), in which the modulation is characterized by a single parameter (φ) irrespective of the Sun’s polarity. For each measurement, φ was obtained by fitting the corresponding proton spectrum measured by BESS, assuming the interstellar spectrum in (Orito et al., 2000). The values of φ, 550 MV, 1400 MV, and 850 MV correspond to the measurements with BESS (95+97), BESS (2000) and BESS-Polar I (2004), respectively. The dash-dot curves are calculations of antiproton spectra from evaporation of PBH at a rate of 0.4 × 10^{-3} pc^{-3} yr^{-1} (Maki et al., 1996; Yoshimura, 2001) modulated by a spherically symmetric approach (Fisk, 1971) with modulation parameter φ independent of solar polarity. The expected signal from PBH evaporation is affected by solar modulation more than the secondary antiproton spectrum because of its low energy spectral peak. As might be expected, BESS-Polar I antiproton measurements, taken during a transient period in advance of solar minimum, show no apparent excess, but provide a baseline secondary spectrum to be compared with the spectrum observed at solar minimum by BESS-Polar II.

The BESS-Polar II data analysis is still in progress. The full BESS-Polar II dataset is expected to yield ~8000 measured antiprotons. Fig. 5 shows particle identification plot with β^{-1} versus rigidity using a quarter of the data from the BESS-Polar II. Fig. 6 shows a very preliminary antiproton energy spectrum from analysis, compared with the results from BESS-Polar I (2004) and BESS (95+97). The solid curves are calculations with the SLB model modulated with a steady state drift model (Bieber et al., 1999). The tilt angles of 10°(+)- and 30°(−) approximately correspond to the measurements with BESS (95+97) and BESS-Polar I (2004), respectively. The tilt angle during the BESS-Polar II flight would be about 10°(−). The dashed curves are calculations with the SLB model modulated with the spherically symmetric approach (Fisk, 1971). The modulation parameters of φ = 550 MV and 850 MV correspond to the measurements with BESS (95+97) and BESS-Polar (2004), respectively. The modulation parameter for

Fig. 5. The β^{-1} versus rigidity plot, and antiproton selection band. For the negative rigidity, all the events with R < +0.8 GV/c after Cherenkov veto cuts and JET dE/dx cut are shown. For the positive rigidity, 0.1% of the events after Cherenkov veto cuts are shown.

Fig. 6. Antiproton flux measured by BESS (95+97), BESS-Polar I (2004), and a preliminary result by BESS-Polar II (2007–2008) which was obtained from the data analysis using a quarter observed events. The solid curves are secondary antiproton calculations with the SLB model modulated with the steady state drift model (Bieber et al.). The dotted curves are secondary antiproton calculations with the SLB model modulated with the spherically symmetric model (Fisk).
BESS-Polar II should be comparable to BESS (95–97). As a preliminary result, the BESS-Polar II observation shows good consistency with the secondary antiproton calculations.

3.2. Search for antihelium

A fundamental question in cosmology is whether matter and antimatter are asymmetric or symmetric in the Universe. The Saha conditions of direct violation of baryon number conservation, CP & C symmetry breaking, and a period out of equilibrium in the very early Universe indicated a way to explain the apparent baryon domination observed (Sakharov, 1967). However, direct violation of baryon number conservation has never been demonstrated, and the strength of CP violations currently measured at accelerators are insufficient to explain strong matter/antimatter asymmetry. Detection of antihelium would provide direct evidence of antimatter domains in the Universe. Although antihelium might, in principle, be produced as secondaries in cosmic-ray interactions, the resulting antihelium/helium ratio should be much less than 10^{-12} (Brown and Stecker, 1979).

The BESS-Polar-I experiment observed $8 \times 10^6$ helium events and no antihelium candidate was detected in the rigidity range 1–20 GV with an effective geometrical acceptance of 0.2 m² sr. The resultant upper limit for the ratio of antihelium/helium was $4.4 \times 10^{-7}$. By accumulating all results from BESS through BESS-Polar I, an upper limit of $2.7 \times 10^{-7}$ was set in the rigidity range 1–14 GV (Sasaki et al., 2008).

The BESS-Polar II experiment observed $4 \times 10^7$ helium events in a rigidity range of 1–14 GV with an effective geometrical acceptance of 0.3 m² sr, and no antihelium candidate was detected. The resultant upper limit was $9.4 \times 10^{-8}$. By accumulating all results from BESS through BESS-Polar II, the 95% confidence level upper limit for antihelium/helium in the rigidity range 1–14 GV has been reduced to be $6.9 \times 10^{-8}$ (Sasaki et al., 2010). Fig. 7 shows the BESS upper limits compared with other experiments. The upper limit for antihelium/helium has been reduced by two orders of magnitude compared to the first BESS limit (Ormes et al., 1997; Sasaki et al., 2002, 2008, 2010).

4. Summary

The BESS program has performed eleven scientific balloon flights successfully in northern Canada and Antarctica. It has aimed to search for cosmic-ray antiproton origins and for cosmological antimatter. The Antarctic flights of BESS-Polar I (2004) and BESS-Polar II (2007–2008) have yielded measurements of cosmic-ray antiprotons with unprecedented statistical accuracy and greatly increased the sensitivity of the antihelium search. The measurements made by BESS-Polar II took place near solar minimum when sensitivity to a potential primary antiproton component at low energies is greatest. With statistics increased a factor of >10 compared to BESS measurements at the previous solar minimum, BESS-Polar II data shows good consistency with the secondary antiproton calculation. With further analysis, this data will place severe limits on any possible PBH evaporation contribution to the low-energy antiproton spectrum, and hence to limits on any possible density of primordial black holes. No antihelium candidate was observed in BESS through BESS-Polar II flight, and the 95% confidence level upper limit for antihelium/helium in the 1–14 GV rigidity range has been reduced to be $6.9 \times 10^{-8}$.
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