Abstract: SIMB-RAMC

Antimicrobial Materials for Advanced Microbial Control in Spacecraft Water Systems

Microbial detection, identification, and control are essential for the maintenance and preservation of spacecraft water systems. Requirements set by NASA puts limitations on the energy, mass, materials, noise, cost, and crew time that can be devoted to microbial control. Efforts are being made to attain real-time detection and identification of microbial contamination in microgravity environments. Research for evaluating technologies for capability enhancement on-orbit is currently focused on the use of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) analysis for detection purposes and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for microbial identification. Additional research is being conducted on how to control for microbial contamination on a continual basis. Existing microbial control methods in spacecraft utilize iodine or ionic silver biocides, physical disinfection, and point-of-use sterilization filters. Although these methods are effective, they require re-dosing due to loss of efficacy, have low human toxicity thresholds, produce poor taste, and consume valuable mass and crew time. Thus, alternative methods for microbial control are needed. This project also explores ultraviolet light-emitting diodes (UV-LEDs), surface passivation methods for maintaining residual biocide levels, and several antimicrobial materials aimed at improving current microbial control techniques, as well as addressing other materials presently under analysis and future directions to be pursued.
ANTIMICROBIAL MATERIALS FOR ADVANCED MICROBIAL CONTROL IN SPACECRAFT WATER SYSTEMS

Michele Birmele, Janicce Caro, Gerard Newsham, and Michael Roberts
Engineering Services Contract, Kennedy Space Center

Megan Morford and Ray Wheeler
NASA, NE-S, Kennedy Space Center
NASA REQUIREMENTS FOR ISS
HUMAN SYSTEM STANDARDS

- Minimize energy requirements
  - Must not exceed 32 V without hazard controls for crew safety
- Reduce crew time devoted to maintenance and operation
- Minimize hardware and equipment mass
  - Reduce volume and weight
  - Eliminate resupply or dosing for maintaining microbial control
- Limitations on materials, biological and chemical components
  - Avoid hazardous by-products, contamination, or bodily injury
- Limitations on noise, based on duration time
  - Must not exceed 49-80 dBA
- Have rapid turn-around with experimental results
  - Doesn't require return to ground for analysis
- Reduce cost

MICROBIAL DETECTION

- Applicable on orbit in ISS
  - Needs to function in microgravity
  - Must meet NASA requirements

- Capable of detecting How Much is present
  - Not necessarily what is there

- Currently, culturing methods are used with broad-specificity media
  - Limited to microbes that can be cultivated
  - Requires an incubation period before analysis

- Necessity to move towards an online, real-time assay for quantification
  - Not limited by cultivation
Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) analysis

- Can be used to monitor levels of metabolically active organisms
  - Healthy cells produce ATP continuously
  - Dying cells rapidly decrease in ATP production
- Detects ATP from active cells in samples
  - Aerobic and anaerobic
  - No bias from growth medium
- Fast
  - Does not require dilutions, plate counts, incubation time, microscopes, or additional molecular methods
  - Results in minutes, rather than days

ATP ASSAY

- Mix a sample with a reagent that reacts with ATP to produce light

- Measure amount of light produced with a luminometer

\[
\text{Luciferin} + \text{ATP} + \text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Luciferase Enzyme}} \text{Oxyluciferin} + \text{AMP} + \text{PP}_i + \text{Light}
\]

(From Firefly)

### PARABOLIC FLIGHT-ATP ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bulk fluid samples</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Formalin (1%)</th>
<th>Silver (400 µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microgravity (n = 51)</td>
<td>2.02E-03 ± 5.75E-04</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.30E-05 ± 9.07E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunar gravity (n = 51)</td>
<td>2.70E-03 ± 6.05E-04</td>
<td>2.82E-05 ± 5.57E-06</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial gravity (n = 21)</td>
<td>1.10E-03 ± 3.81E-04</td>
<td>1.38E-04 ± 3.31E-05</td>
<td>2.81E-04 ± 8.83E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Analyzed samples on ground, from lunar gravity, and microgravity
- Ground testing resembled metabolic state of benchmark samples
- More ATP per cell in sample exposed to short-term microgravity


FUTURE WORK WITH ATP

- A more extensive flight experiment with bacteria and ATP analysis on ISS
  - Single vs. mixed communities
  - Short-term vs. long-duration exposure to microgravity
  - Total ATP vs. cellular ATP
  - Different media
    - water, food, surfaces

- Interested in studying the ATP to AMP ratio as an alternate microbial community evaluation method
M ICROBIAL IDENTIFICATION

- Applicable on orbit in ISS
  - Needs to function in microgravity
  - Must meet NASA requirements

- Interested in What's There
  - Example: *E. coli*
    - presence = significant
  - Less concerned with viability

"A geneticist laid bare my genetic blueprint, and now I feel violated."
METHOD IN PURSUIT

- Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)
  - Versatile in microbe detection
  - Can detect DNA from complex matrices
  - Relatively easy to obtain and interpret results
  - Low energy requirement
  - Minimal creation of biohazardous waste
CURRENTLY EVALUATING
WHAT'S MORE IMPORTANT?

- What's There or How Much?
  - Identification or Detection

- NASA is currently predominantly pursuing What's There approach using molecular-based methods
  - Presence is significant, not focused on viability

- NASA is still evaluating the How Much approach however with the ATP assays
  - Combining methods may be future direction
MICROBIAL CONTROL

- Current disinfection on ISS for drinking water
  - Chemical-iodine or ionic silver biocides
  - Physical-point of use (POU) sterilization filters

- Drawbacks
  - Low in efficacy requires re-dosing
  - Low human toxicity thresholds
  - Reportedly poor taste

- Goals for next generation water systems
  - Minimize power and volume for long-duration mission
  - Collect, store, recycle, and disinfect water for use/reuse
  - Strive to eliminate need of re-dosing and POU filters
Goal: Use germicidal UV-C LEDs as a point-of-use sterilization device
- Maintain drinking water safety

Challenge bacteria used to inoculate water samples in 96-well plate

Samples analyzed at several time points to evaluate amount of exposure required for cellular inactivation

WHAT WE’VE DONE
UV-C LED STATIC TESTING

UV-C LED Static Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AODCs</th>
<th>log cells/mL at t=0</th>
<th>log cells/mL at t=1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bacteria</td>
<td>hour</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bc</td>
<td>8.25±0.18</td>
<td>8.75±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>8.45±0.17</td>
<td>8.93±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm</td>
<td>7.48±0.72</td>
<td>8.40±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ec</td>
<td>7.62±0.69</td>
<td>8.52±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bp</td>
<td>8.08±0.31</td>
<td>8.65±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>7.93±0.30</td>
<td>8.52±0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- UV-C LEDs were able to produce a >3 log_{10} CFU/mL reduction within an hour of contact time in the static system.
Goal: Use UV-C LEDs for microbial disinfection in a recirculating potable water system
- Water was inoculated with either one challenge organism or a mixed community of 5 organisms
- Recirculating tests were run for 24 hours

UV-C LEDs were able to produce a $>1.5 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL reduction within 24 hours of contact time in the recirculating system.

- UV-C LEDs degraded quickly.
Goal: Test UV-A LEDs for efficacy in disinfecting potable water systems
- Use in conjunction with a photocatalyst: titanium dioxide
- Challenge bacteria used separately to inoculate water samples in 96-well plates
- Samples taken every 15-30 minutes for up to 3 hours
UV-A or UV-A plus titanium dioxide were effective

UV-A with anatase titanium dioxide more effective against *S. paucimobilis* and *M. fujisawaense*
Goal: Test efficacy of UV-A LEDs for disinfection in a recirculating system
  - Use UV-A in conjunction with photocatalyst (titanium dioxide) or silver

Reactors inoculated with *P. aeruginosa* or *E. coli*

Reactor run up to 144 hours
  - Samples taken at multiple time points

---

**WHAT WE’VE DONE**

UV-A LED RECIRCULATING TESTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt. #</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Experimental Conditions</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Final</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Final</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFR 1</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>UV-A alone</td>
<td>7.32 ± 0.07</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.67</td>
<td>7.09 ± 0.12</td>
<td>7.24 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 2</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>UV-A alone</td>
<td>6.74 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7.35 ± 0.07</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.12</td>
<td>6.81 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 3</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>UV-A alone</td>
<td>7.61 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8.23 ± 0.11</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.06</td>
<td>7.90 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 4</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>UV-A alone</td>
<td>7.60 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8.03 ± 0.07</td>
<td>7.48 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8.11 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 5</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>UV-A + titanium dioxide</td>
<td>7.76 ± 0.03</td>
<td>8.10 ± 0.48</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.54 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 6</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>UV-A + titanium dioxide</td>
<td>7.01 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.82 ± 1.17</td>
<td>6.96 ± 0.18</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 7</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>UV-A + small silver</td>
<td>7.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.00 ± 0.17</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.20</td>
<td>8.51 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 8</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>UV-A + large silver</td>
<td>7.74 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.10 ± 1.77</td>
<td>7.58 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.60 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 9</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>UV-A + small silver</td>
<td>7.02 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.09</td>
<td>6.97 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR 10</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>UV-A + large silver</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.53 ± 2.78</td>
<td>7.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.05 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Red highlight indicate discussed results. +/- represents the standard deviation between replicates.

- **Largest sustained decrease** with samples containing ionic silver
- **Lack of inactivation** by UV-A LEDs is attributed to degradation
WHAT WE’VE DONE
ANTIMICROBIAL MATERIALS

- Goal: Test a variety of antimicrobial materials for efficacy of disinfecting water systems and preventing biofilm formation
  - 4 polymer materials
  - Coated with 14 different antimicrobial coatings
  - Impregnated with 3 different surface topographies
- Reactors inoculated with one challenge organism or 5 challenge organisms
- Coupons evaluated for biofilm prevention
- Bulk fluid evaluated for content

**WHAT WE’VE DONE**

**ANTIMICROBIAL MATERIALS**

### CBR Bulk Fluid Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>Initial HPC (log(10) CFU/mL)</th>
<th>Final HPC (log(10) CFU/mL)</th>
<th>Initial AODC (log(10) cells/mL)</th>
<th>Final AODC (log(10) cells/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>6.34 ± 0.01</td>
<td>67.17 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.87 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.61 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.43 ± 0.04</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>5.62 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.74 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+/- represents the standard deviation between replicates.

- CBR 31 and CBR 32 contained AM 5
  - CBR 32 also contained Ag
  - AM 5 did not contribute to antimicrobial effect, only Ag did
- CBR 33 and CBR 34 contained AM 6
  - CBR 34 also contained Ag
  - AM 6 contributed to reduction in microbes
  - The compound leached so ND was found in the bulk fluid
- CBR 35 and CBR 39 contained AM 7
  - CBR 39 also contained Ag
  - AM 7 did not contribute to antimicrobial effect, only Ag did

### CBR Coupon Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBR</th>
<th>HPC Control (log(10) CFU/coupon)</th>
<th>HPC Treatment (log(10) CFU/coupon)</th>
<th>AODC Control (log(10) cells/coupon)</th>
<th>AODC Treatment (log(10) cells/coupon)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 (n=15)</td>
<td>7.82 ± 0.22</td>
<td>7.57 ± 0.20</td>
<td>7.71 ± 0.21</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 (n=10)</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.70 ± 0.14</td>
<td>5.37 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 (n=10)</td>
<td>7.70 ± 0.19</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.31</td>
<td>7.60 ± 0.22</td>
<td>4.56 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 (n=12)</td>
<td>8.33 ± 0.05</td>
<td>8.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>8.58 ± 0.30</td>
<td>8.35 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = none detected. The control samples did not have the application of the treatment with anticipated antimicrobial efficacy. +/− represents the standard deviation between replicates.

- Surface topography 1 delayed biofilm formation during static testing (CBR 2)
- AM 6, a ceragenin, showed >7 log reduction (CBR 33)
  - Compound leached into bulk fluid
- AM 7, membrane disruption, showed a >4 log reduction (CBR 35)
- AM 11, hydrophobic material, showed a slight decrease (CBR 41)
WHAT WE’VE DONE
PASSIVATION WITH IONIC SILVER

- Goal: Prolong silver efficacy by maintaining solubility
  - Safe limits for human consumption
  - Limit bacterial growth
- Metal coupons used for potable water systems in space exposed to silver fluoride treatments
- Performed in a CDC biofilm reactor to test efficacy of coupons

0.4 ppm silver was an effective biocide
Pre-passivation with 50 ppm provided additional microbial control
Slow degradation of silver by adherence on coupon surfaces
Loss of residual antimicrobial activity minimized
**Goals**

- Evaluate microbial transfer through the membrane
- Evaluate biofouling of the membrane
- Evaluate materials properties of the membrane
Omniphobic Materials

- Surface treatments for metal and polymer materials that yield contact angles greater than 150° for both polar and non-polar liquids
- May reduce microbial attachment and biofilm formation on wetted surfaces

Omniphobic surfaces developed in Tuteja Group

Omniphobic surface morphologies
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