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ABSTRACT
Non-volatile memory devices with two stacked layers of chalcogenide materials comprising the active memory device have been investigated for their potential as phase-change memories. The devices tested included GeTe/SnTe, Ge2Se3/SnTe, and Ge2Se3/SnSe stacks. All devices exhibited resistance switching behavior. The polarity of the applied voltage with respect to the SnTe or SnSe layer was critical to the memory switching properties, due to the electric field induced movement of either Sn or Te into the Ge-chalcogenide layer. One embodiment of the invention is a device comprising a stack of chalcogenide-containing layers which exhibit phase-change switching only after a reverse polarity voltage potential is applied across the stack causing ion movement into an adjacent layer and thus “activating” the device to act as a phase-change random access memory device or a reconfigurable electronics device when the applied voltage potential is returned to the normal polarity. Another embodiment of the invention is a device that is capable of exhibiting more than two data states.

20 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets


* cited by examiner
**FIG. 1**

Distribution of Devices

Resistance (Ohms)

ON

OFF

**FIG. 2**

Current (mA)

Voltage (V)

WRITE '0'

WRITE '1'

$V_T$
FIG. 11

FIG. 12

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**


Devices with three types of material stacks were fabricated for this study: GeTe/SnTe; Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$/SnTe; and Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$/SnSe. While Te-based chalcogenides are well studied for use in phase-change memory applications [see Bez, R.; Pirovano, A. “Non-volatile memory technologies: emerging concepts and new materials” Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing 7 (2004) 349-355; Lacaia, A. L. “Phase-change memories: state-of-the-art, challenges and perspectives” Solid-State Electronics 50 (2006) 24-31].
State Electronics 50 (2006) 24-31; and Chen, M.; Rubin, K. A.; Barton, R. W. “Compound materials for reversible, phase-change optical data storage” Appl. Phys. Lett. 49 (1986) 502-504], we know of no reports of phase-change memory operation with GeSe-based binary glasses. In this work, we have explored the possibility of inducing a phase-change response in the Ge2Se3/Sn chalcogenide stack structures. We selected the Ge2Se3 glass since, like the GeTe glass, it contains homopolar Ge—Ge bonds which we believe may provide nucleation sites for crystallization during the phase-change operation, thus improving the phase-change memory response [see An, S.-H.; Kim, D.; Kim, S. Y. “New crystallization kinetics of phase-change of Ge2S2Te, at moderately elevated temperature” Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 41(2002) 7400-7401]. Additionally, the Ge-Se glass offers the advantage of higher glass transition temperatures (Ge-Se: Tg=613 K [see Felz, C. J.; Epprecht, G.; Zia, S.; Rooks, M.; Wickramasinghe, H. K. “Ultra-high-density phase-change storage and memory” Nature Materials 5 (2006) 383-387]), providing more temperature tolerance during manufacturing.

One possible benefit of the metal-chalcogenide layer is the potential for formation of an Ohmic contact between the electrode and the memory layer due to the presence of a low bandgap material like SnTe (Eg=0.18 eV at 300K [see Esaki, L.; Stiles, P. J. “New Type of Negative Resistance in Barrier Tunneling” Phys. Rev. Lett. 16 (1966) 1108-1111]) between the electrode and the chalcogenide switching layer. An Ohmic contact will allow a lower voltage to be applied to the memory cell since a Schottky barrier does not need to be overcame in order to achieve the current necessary for phase-change switching. Another potential benefit of the Sn-chalcogenide layer is better adhesion of the memory layer to the electrode. The better adhesion provided by the SnTe layer may help prevent delamination of the electrode from the chalcogenide memory layer, as can occur after repeated thermal cycles [see Hudgens, S.; Johnson, B. “Overview of Phase-Change Chalcogenide Nonvolatile memory Technology” MRS Bulletin, November 2004, 829-832]. In addition to these potential benefits, the Sn-chalcogenide may provide a region with ‘graded’ chalcogenide concentration between the Sn-chalcogenide and the Ge-chalcogenide memory switching layer due to the ability of the chalcogenide to form bridging bonds between the Sn and Ge atoms in the Sn-chalcogenide and Ge-chalcogenide layers, respectively. Lastly, as we show in this work, the Sn-chalcogenide may assist in phase-change memory switching by donating Sn-ions to the Ge-chalcogenide layer during operation, thus allowing chalcogenide materials which normally do not exhibit phase-change memory switching to be chemically altered post processing into an alloy capable of phase-change response.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a graph depicting an example distribution of low and high resistance values defining a logic ‘1’ and ‘0’ state, respectively, of a resistance variable memory.

FIG. 2 is a graph depicting the relationship between current through the memory cell material and the formation of a low (write ‘1’) or high (write ‘0’) resistance state.

FIG. 3 is a top perspective schematic view of the device structures according to the present invention as tested. The notation Ge—Ch/Sn—Ch indicates a device with this structure with the films listed in the order nearest the bottom electrode to nearest the top electrode.

FIG. 4 is a graph depicting XRD spectra of SnTe and SnSe evaporated films.

FIG. 5 is a TEM image of a GeTe/SnTe device according to the present invention.

FIG. 6 is a set of IV-curves for three unique GeTe/SnTe devices according to the present invention, showing the device-to-device variation typically observed in these devices. A positive potential was applied to the top electrode in each case.

FIG. 7 is a representative IV-curve for a GeTe/SnTe device according to the present invention, with a negative potential applied to the top electrode. A positive potential has never been applied to the device top electrode prior to this measurement.

FIG. 8 is a representative IV-curve for a Ge2Se3/SnTe device according to the present invention, with a positive potential applied to the top electrode.

FIG. 9 is a representative IV-curve for a Ge2Se3/SnTe device according to the present invention, with a negative potential applied to the top electrode. A positive potential has never been applied to the device top electrode prior to this measurement.

FIG. 10 is a representative IV-curve for a Ge2Se3/SnSe device according to the present invention, with a positive potential applied to the top electrode.

FIG. 11 is an IV-curve of a Ge2Se3/SnSe device according to the present invention, with the top electrode at a negative potential. A positive potential has never been applied to the device top electrode prior to this measurement.

FIG. 12 is an IV-curve of a Ge2Se3/SnSe device according to the present invention, obtained with a negative potential applied to the top electrode after the application of a positive potential ‘conditioning’ signal consisting of a DC current sweep limited to 30 nA.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring to the Figures, there are shown some, but not the only, embodiments of the invention.

FIG. 3 shows a top perspective view of a device structure, according to the present invention, used in this study. The device structure consists of a via through a nitride layer to a W-bottom electrode deposited on 200 mm p-type Si wafers. The chalcogenide material layers were deposited with the Ge-chalcogenide layer first, followed by the Sn-chalcogenide layer. Prior to deposition of the first chalcogenide layer, the wafers received an Ar+ sputter etch to remove residual material and any oxide layer that may have formed on the W-electrode. The Ge-Se layer was deposited by sputtering with an Ulvac ZX-1000 from a target composed of pressed Ge-Se powder. The GeTe, SnTe, and SnSe layers were prepared by thermal evaporation of GeTe, SnTe, and SnSe (all from Alfa Aesar, 99.999% purity) using a CHA Industries SE-600-RAP thermal evaporator equipped with three 200 mm wafer planetary rotation. The rate of material deposition was monitored using an Inficon IC-6000 with a single crystal sensor head. The base system pressure was 1x10⁻⁷ Torr prior to evaporation.

Using the planetary rotator, evaporated films were deposited on two types of wafers simultaneously in each experiment: (1) a film characterization wafer consisting of a p-type Si wafer substrate with the layers 350 A W/800 A Si₃N₄ and, (2) two wafers processed for device fabrication consisting of vias etched through a Si₃N₄ layer to a W-electrode for bottom electrode contact (FIG. 3). The film characterization wafer present in each evaporation step was used to characterize the actual thin-film material stoichiometry post evaporation since
thermally evaporated films often have a stoichiometry different than the starting material. The evaporation chamber was opened to the ambient atmosphere between the GeTe, SnTe, and SnSe film depositions in order to expose the GeTe films to similar ambient atmospheric conditions as the sputtered GeSe films which had to get exposed to the atmosphere during transfer from the sputtering tool to the evaporator for the Sn-chalcogenide film deposition. After the evaporation step(s) were complete, the device fabrication wafers continued processing through top electrode deposition (350 Å sputtered W), photo steps, and dry etch to form fully functional devices consisting of a bottom electrode, chalcogenide material layers, and top electrode. Dry etch was performed by ion-milling with a Veeco ion-mill containing a quadrupole mass spectrometer for end-point detection.

The films were characterized with ICP to determine the variation in composition of the film compared to the starting material. ICP data provided film stoichiometry with an accuracy of ±0.8% using a Varian Vista-PRO radial ICP. The chalcogenide films were removed from the wafer prior to ICP analysis with an etching solution of 1:1 HCl:HNO₃. XRD, performed with a Siemens’ DS5000, was used to qualitatively identify amorphous or polycrystalline films. TEM measurements were made with a Philips Model CM300.

Electrical measurements were made using a Micromanipulator 6200 microprobe station equipped with temperature controllable wafer chuck, a Hewlett-Packard 4145B Parameter Analyzer, and Micromanipulator probes with W tips (Micromanipulator size 7A). The tested devices were 0.25 um in diameter with 80 umx80 um pads for electrical contact to the top and bottom electrodes.

Results and Discussion

The GeTe and GeSe films were amorphous as deposited with no observable XRD peaks. The SnTe and SnSe films were polycrystalline, as indicated by their XRD spectra (FIG. 4). Due to the nature of the evaporation process, and the relatively high pressure of the evaporation chamber prior to drying transfer from the sputtering tool to the evaporator for the Sn-chalcogenide film deposition (1E-7 Torr), oxygen is most likely incorporated into the SnTe, SnSe, and GeTe films during deposition. Our previous X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements on evaporated films have shown that the percentage of oxygen in an evaporated film can be as high as 10%.

Table 1 provides the ICP results for the film characterization wafers that were included in the evaporation step with the device wafers in this study, as well as for a sputtered GeSe film wafer. Note that the only elements measured by ICP analysis were Ge, Se, Sn, and Te. The presence of oxygen is not detected with ICP and is not factored into the overall film composition. The evaporated SnTe and SnSe layers are almost stoichiometric, whereas the GeTe layer was deposited slightly Te-rich (53% compared to 50%). The sputtered GeSe films are stoichiometric.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device Stack</th>
<th>Layer 1 Composition</th>
<th>Layer 2 Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GeTe/SnTe</td>
<td>GeₓTeₙSₘ</td>
<td>SₘₙTeₙ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeₓSeₙ/SnTe</td>
<td>GeₓSeₙSₘ</td>
<td>SₘₙSeₙ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge₂Se₃/SnSe</td>
<td>Ge₂Se₃Sₘ</td>
<td>SₘₙSe₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that ICP analysis does not measure oxygen in the film, therefore the concentrations of the elements indicate only relative concentrations of Ge, Se, Sn, or Te in the film.

Typical DC IV-curves for devices with the GeTe/SnTe stack structure are shown in FIG. 6. These curves were collected by forcing the current thru the devices from 10 pA to 100 µA and measuring the corresponding voltage across the devices with the positive potential on the electrode adjacent to the SnTe layer (the top electrode). The IV-curves, showing a ‘snap-back’, i.e. negative resistance, at the threshold voltage as well as a reduction in device resistance after sweeping the current, are characteristic of a phase-change memory device. There is slight device-to-device variation observed in IV-curves of unique devices (FIG 6a-c). However, in each case the threshold voltage is less than 1.8 V and there are at least two ‘snap-back’ regions in the IV-curves. The additional ‘snap-back’ responses indicate that our devices may exhibit multi-state behavior. However, the stability of each resistance state is as yet unclear. Additionally, the cycling endurance and switching properties of each state have not been explored. Similar results, though not as well defined as those in FIG. 6, have been obtained on stacked Chalcogenide layers of GST/Si-doped GST [see Lai, Y. F.; Feng, J.; Qiao, B. W.; Cai, Y. F.; Lin, Y. Y.; Tang, T. A.; Cai, B. C.; Chen, B. “Stacked chalcogenide layers used as multi-state storage medium for phase-change memory” Appl. Phys. A 84 (2006) 21-25] and are being explored as multi-state phase-change memories.

When the electrodes are reversed and a negative potential is placed on the device top electrode, the DC IV-curve is altered, as shown in FIG. 7, but the device still exhibits phase-change behavior. In this electrical configuration the threshold voltage has increased above 2V. In either potential polarity configuration, the threshold voltage and programming currents that we observe for the GeTe/SnTe stack structure are lower than those reported for recent single devices comprised of GST [see Lv, H.; Zhou, P.; Lin, Y.; Tang, T.; Qiao, B.; Lai, Y.; Feng, J.; Cui, B.; Chen, B. “Electronic Properties of GST for Non-Volatile Memory” Microelectronics Journal, in press].

Table 2 provides a comparison of the typical initial resistance of a device prior to switching and the programmed resistance after switching, as well as the measured threshold voltage for both the positive and negative current sweep cases. The resistances were measured at ±20 mV in each case, a potential too low to perturb the state of the bit. Included in Table 2 are the typical programmed resistances when the current is swept to 1 mA (for both the positive and negative potential cases). Of note is the programmed resistance when the current is swept to ±1 mA in this electrical configuration is higher than the case when the current is swept to ±1 mA in the opposite direction. There is almost an order of magnitude decrease in the programmed resistance when ±1 mA is forced at the top electrode compared to the bottom electrode. However, our results indicate that it is not necessary to use a current as high as 1 mA in order to program the bits (see the 100 uA results in Table 2).
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device Stack</th>
<th>Initial Resistance (Ohms)</th>
<th>Programmed Resistance (Ohms)</th>
<th>Programmed Resistance (Ohms)</th>
<th>Threshold Voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GeTe/SnTe</td>
<td>&gt;6 x 10^6</td>
<td>1 x 10^6/2 x 10^6</td>
<td>5 x 10^3/3 x 10^4</td>
<td>1.6 V/2.5 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeSe/SnTe</td>
<td>&gt;6 x 10^6</td>
<td>2 x 10^3/3 x 10^3</td>
<td>7 x 10^2/7 x 10^2</td>
<td>3.7 V/3.7 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeSe/SnTe</td>
<td>&gt;6 x 10^6</td>
<td>1 x 10^3</td>
<td>5 x 10^2</td>
<td>3.7 V/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeSe/SnSe</td>
<td>&gt;6 x 10^6</td>
<td>2 x 10^6/30 nA limit/</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>-2.5 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A '-' indicates no measurable response. Resistance was measured at 20 mV.

(b) GeSe/SnTe device — When the GeTe glass is replaced with a GeSe glass, the resultant GeSe/SnTe devices exhibit resistance variable memory switching. FIG. 8. However, there are two distinct differences in the DC IV-curve compared to the GeTe/SnTe case. First, the threshold voltage, when the top electrode is at a positive potential, is higher in the GeSe case (greater than 5 V compared to less than 1.8 V for the GeTe/SnTe case). Second, the threshold voltage occurs at a current which is an order of magnitude lower than in the GeTe devices. Additionally, the GeSe/SnTe devices exhibit better device-to-device consistency in their IV-curves than the evaporated GeTe/SnTe devices, most likely due to the better via sidewall film step-coverage inherent in the sputtered GeSe film, as well as a reduction in film impurities (such as oxygen).

FIG. 9 shows the corresponding current sweep IV-curves for the GeSe/SnTe structure with a negative potential on the top electrode. The IV-curves for this negative sweep current show a much less well-defined threshold voltage than the positive current sweep case. In addition, the current at the threshold voltage is much higher than the positive current sweep case (FIG. 8). However, the negative potential GeSe/SnTe IV-curve (FIG. 9) shows similar threshold voltages and currents to the negative potential GeTe/SnTe IV-curve (FIG. 7).

(c) GeSe/SnSe device — When the SnTe layer is replaced with a SnSe layer in the GeSe/SnTe stack, resistance switching is observed (FIG. 10) when a positive voltage is applied to the top electrode. The DC IV-curves for the GeSe/SnSe device (FIG. 10) and the GeSe/SnTe device (FIG. 8) show no differences due to the SnSe layer. However, when a negative potential is applied to a device that has not previously seen a positive potential, no threshold voltage is observed in the IV-curve (FIG. 11). This is in contrast to the case of the negative potential applied to a GeSe/SnTe device (FIG. 9) where phase-change switching is observed with a threshold voltage less than 3 V.

The absence of a threshold voltage in the negative current sweep IV-curve (FIG. 11), but its presence in the positive current sweep IV-curve (FIG. 10) of the GeSe/SnSe device implies that during the application of a positive potential there may be Sn-ion migration from the SnSe layer into the GeSe layer which chemically alters the GeSe layer to a (GeSe)xSn alloy capable of phase-change operation. The migration of Sn ions into the lower glass layer may also explain the switching observed in the GeSe/SnTe device when a positive potential is applied to the top electrode. However, unlike the GeSe/SnTe device, switching is observed in the GeSe/SnTe device when a negative potential is applied to the top electrode. A possible explanation for the observed negative potential switching in the GeSe/SnTe device (FIG. 9) is that Te^- ions from the SnTe layer may be electrically driven by the negative potential into the underlying GeSe glass layer, thus creating (GeSe)xTe^- regions capable of phase-change switching.

To explore the possibility that the phase-change switching in the GeSe/SnSe device is facilitated by Sn-ion migration into the GeSe layer, the GeSe/SnSe, device, was initially tested by applying a positive potential ‘conditioning’ signal to the top electrode. This ‘conditioning’ signal was a DC current sweep limited to 30 nA in order to prevent any phase-change from occurring, but with enough potential (>=3 V) to drive Sn-ions into the GeSe layer. After this ‘conditioning’ signal was applied to the GeSe/SnSe device, a negative potential was applied to the top electrode and the IV curve was measured (FIG. 12). A voltage ‘snap-back’ is observable at two separate current values, 60 nA and 100 nA. This double ‘snap-back’ is representative of the IV curves of the devices measured with this conditioning technique. Device resistances after application of the negative potential (post conditioning) were in the range of 30 kOhms to 200 kOhms.

The GeSe/SnTe and GeSe/SnSe stacks were also subjected to this ‘conditioning’ signal test. However, their negative current DC IV-curves were not appreciably altered after application of the positive ‘conditioning’ voltage.

Conclusions
Phase-change memory switching was observed in devices consisting of two stacked layers of chalcogenide material: a Ge-based layer (GeTe or GeSe), and a tin chalcogenide layer (SnTe or SnSe). The observed switching is dependent upon the polarity of potential applied to the electrode adjacent to the SnTe or SnSe layer. When a positive potential is applied to this electrode, the formation of Sn-ions and their migration into the adjacent GeTe or GeSe layer most likely contributes to the phase-change response of the material. We attribute the switching of the GeSe/SnSe device under negative applied potential, with no previously applied positive ‘conditioning’ voltage, to the migration of Te ions into the GeSe layer during application of the negative potential. The possible Te ion migration may alter the GeSe glass layer into a (GeSe)xTe, alloy capable of phase-change memory operation.

In the case of the GeSe/SnSe device, no Te ions are available to migrate into the GeSe glass layer when a negative potential is applied to the top electrode, and no phase-change behavior is observed in the IV-curve. If it were possible for Se ions to be forced into the GeSe glass from the SnSe layer (analogous to the Te ions from the SnTe layer), they would succeed only in making the GeSe glass Se-rich and thus still incapable of phase-change switching. Alternatively, if a positive potential is initially applied across the GeSe/SnSe device and the current is limited to a low enough value to prohibit Joule heating, but still allow a high enough potential across the device for Sn-ion migration, Sn-ions may migrate into the GeSe layer, creating a (GeSe)xSn alloy which is capable of phase-change switching when a negative potential is applied to the top electrode.

The addition of metal ions, forced into the chalcogenide switching layer during the first ‘forming’ electrical pulse, not only facilitates electrical switching, but it also may allow for...
more than one ON resistance state. This phase-change memory alloy, formed in-situ, may exhibit more than one crystallization temperature. Each crystallization temperature corresponds to a unique phase of the material, and thus a unique resistance. This means that by proper selection of the metal that is allowed to migrate into the chalcogenide glass, the alloy can be tuned to have more than one crystalline phase.

We further investigated this concept by synthesizing materials using the Ge-Se chalcogenide glass and adding small concentrations (1 and 3%) of various metals, and measuring the thermal properties of these materials. Metals we have tested include, Sn, Zn, In, and Sb. The Sn and In addition showed the presence of two crystallization regions whereas the Zn showed three crystallizations regions. Thus the Ge-Se-Zn alloy has the potential to have four logic states. This alloy can be formed in-situ, for example, by using a device comprising the layers of Ge-Se-ZnSe.

GeTeSn materials have been well studied for their application as optical phase-change materials [see Chen, M.; Rubin, K. A. “Progress of erasable phase-change materials” SPIE Vol. 1078 Optical Data Storage Topical Meeting (1989) 150-156]. GeTe exhibits fast crystallization under optically induced phase-change operation (<30 ns) and it crystallizes in a single phase (no phase separation) making it attractive for phase-change operation. However, the number of optically induced write/erase cycles that could be achieved was quite low (<500) [see Chen, M.; Rubin, K. A. “Progress of erasable phase-change materials” SPIE Vol. 1078 Optical Data Storage Topical Meeting (1989) 150-156]. Our initial electrical cycling endurance tests on the GeTe/SnTe and Ge-Se/SnTe devices and have shown endurance greater than 2 million cycles. Due to the potential for parasitic capacitances during the endurance cycling measurements, care must be taken in the measurement experimental setup [see Ielmini, D.; Mantegazza, D.; Lacaita, A. L. “Parasitic reset in the programming transient of PCMs” IEEE Electron Device Letters 26 (2005) 799-801]; with this in mind, better cycling measurements are currently in progress [see Campbell, K. A.; Anderson, C. M., Microelectronics Journal 38 (2007) 52-59].

Future studies will investigate the temperature dependence, AC switching and lifetime cycling endurance of each of these device types. Additionally, we will investigate the phase-change switching response of stack structure devices that use a metal-chalcogenide layer with a metal different than tin, such as zinc, which is expected to have much different mobility in an applied field as well as a much different chemical incorporation into the Ge-chalcogenide glass layer. It is possible that the presence of Ge—Ge bonds in the Ge-based layer assist in the incorporation of the metal ions of the Te anions into the glass by providing an energetically feasible pathway (that of the Ge—Ge bonds) for Te- or metal-ion incorporation [see Narayanan, R. A.; Asokan, S.; Kumar, A. “Influence of Chemical Disorder on Electrical Switching in Chalcogenide Glasses” Phys. Rev. B 63 (2001) 092203-1-092203-4; and Asokan, S. “Electrical switching in chalcogenide glasses—some newer insights” J. Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials 3 (2001) 753-756]. Ge—Ge bonds are known to be thermodynamically unstable [see Feltz, A. Amorphous Inorganic Materials and Glasses, VCH Publishers Inc., New York, 1993, pg. 234], and in the presence of other ions, will easily break and allow formation of a new bond (e.g. GeTe or GeSn). Future work will investigate the role of the Ge—Ge bond by testing the electrical performance of devices made with Ge-chalcogenide stoichiometries that provide no Ge—Ge bonds, such as Ge-SeZn.

Although this invention has been described above with reference to particular means, materials, and embodiments, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to these disclosed particulars, but extends instead to all equivalents within the scope of the following claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A device suitable for phase-change memory operation, comprising:

   a plurality of stacked chalcogenide layers, wherein one chalcogenide layer contains an ion which has moved from another chalcogenide layer.

2. The device of claim 1 wherein one chalcogenide layer is a Ge-chalcogenide layer, and another chalcogenide layer is a Sn-chalcogenide layer.

3. The device of claim 2 wherein the Ge-chalcogenide layer comprises GeTe.

4. The device of claim 2 wherein the Ge-chalcogenide layer comprises Ge-Se.

5. The device of claim 2 wherein the Sn-chalcogenide layer comprises SnTe.

6. The device of claim 2 wherein the Sn-chalcogenide layer comprises SnSe.

7. The device of claim 1 wherein an electric field is applied to the device to move the ion from one chalcogenide layer to another.

8. The device of claim 1 wherein the device has at least three logic states.

9. The device of claim 1 wherein the ion is a metal ion.

10. The device of claim 9 wherein the one chalcogenide layer that incorporates the metal ion forms a new chalcogenide alloy with a plurality of crystalline phases.

11. A device suitable for phase-change memory operation, comprising:

   a plurality of stacked chalcogenide layers, wherein one chalcogenide layer contains an ion which has moved from another chalcogenide layer; and

   wherein at least one of the stacked layers is a Ge-chalcogenide layer deposited on a passivation layer.

12. The device of claim 11 wherein the passivation layer comprises SiNx.

13. The device of claim 11 wherein the plurality of stacked layers comprises a top-most surface and a bottom-most surface, and a top electrode is attached to the top-most surface and a bottom electrode is attached to the bottom-most surface.

14. The device of claim 13 wherein at least one of the top and bottom electrodes comprises W.

15. The device of claim 11 wherein the passivation layer includes a via, and the Ge-chalcogenide layer is attached to a bottom electrode through the via.

16. The device of claim 15 wherein the electrode comprises an indentation.

17. A device suitable for phase-change memory operation, comprising:

   a plurality of stacked chalcogenide layers, wherein one chalcogenide layer contains an ion which has moved from another chalcogenide layer; and

   wherein at least one of the plurality of stacked layers comprises a Ge-Se base binary glass layer.

18. The device of claim 13 wherein the Ge-Se base binary glass is a Ge-Se/Sn-chalcogenide stacked layer structure.

19. The device of claim 14 wherein the Ge-Se binary glass contains an ion from the Sn-chalcogenide layer.

20. The device of claim 13 wherein the Ge-Se binary glass also contains Zn, In, or Sb.