A method, radio receiver, and system to autonomously receive and decode a plurality of signals having a variety of signal types without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of the signals is disclosed. The radio receiver is capable of receiving a signal of an unknown signal type and, by estimating one or more defining characteristics of the signal, determine the type of signal. The estimated defining characteristic(s) is/are utilized to enable the receiver to determine other defining characteristics. This in turn, enables the receiver, through multiple iterations, to make a maximum-likelihood (ML) estimate for each of the defining characteristics. After the type of signal is determined by its defining characteristics, the receiver selects an appropriate decoder from a plurality of decoders to decode the signal.
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of signals having a variety of signal types without prior knowledge of the defining characteristics of the signals.

According to the present invention, the above-described and other objects are accomplished by providing a system inclusive of hardware and a suite of software modules to autonomously recognize various defining characteristics of a signal that are unknown prior to reception. Some of these defining characteristics include, but are not limited to, the angle of arrival, data rate, symbol timing, carrier frequency and phase, modulation index, modulation type, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), code type, and decoded message bits. The signal characteristics are recognized by estimating one or more characteristics of the signal, and the estimated characteristic is utilized to enable the apparatus and system to determine other defining characteristics. Through multiple iterations of estimation and calculation, the system is able to make a maximum-likelihood (ML) or near-ML estimate for each of the defining characteristics. The ML estimates assist in identifying the type of signal and the system selects an appropriate decoder from a plurality of decoders to eventually decode the signal.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Additional aspects of the present invention will become evident upon reviewing the embodiments described in the specification and the claims taken in conjunction with the accompanying figures, wherein like numerals designate like elements, and wherein:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a radio receiver 100 operating in a coarse mode for determining the signal type of an incoming signal with unknown defining characteristics in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of radio receiver 100 operating in a fine mode in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of the detailed operations of radio receiver 100.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram of a system 200 for receiving and decoding a signal without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of an incoming signal.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of modulation index estimator 1110.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of closed-loop frequency estimator 1220.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram illustrating one exemplary embodiment of a frequency correction error signal detector 1225 for a residual carrier signal.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of a frequency correction error signal detector 1225′ for a residual carrier signal.

FIG. 9 is a block diagram of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1230 for a residual carrier signal, with the approximate error signal detector.

FIG. 10 is a block diagram of a circuit for a digital loop filter 1250 for frequency tracking loops.

FIG. 11 is a block diagram of an open-loop frequency estimator 1260 for a suppressed carrier signal with known data.

FIG. 12 is a block diagram of an error signal detector 1275 for the closed-loop frequency correction for a suppressed carrier signal with known data.

FIG. 13 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1270 for a suppressed carrier signal with known data.
FIG. 14 is a block diagram of a closed-loop frequency estimator for a suppressed carrier signal with known data.

FIG. 15 is an all-digital version of a closed-loop frequency estimator for a suppressed carrier signal with known data.

FIG. 16 is a block diagram of an open-loop frequency estimator for a suppressed carrier signal with unknown data.

FIG. 17 is a block diagram of a frequency correction error signal detector for a suppressed carrier signal with unknown data.

FIG. 18 is a block diagram of a closed-loop frequency estimator for a suppressed carrier signal with unknown data.

FIG. 19 is a block diagram of a closed-loop frequency estimator for a suppressed carrier signal with unknown data.

FIG. 20 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a low SNR data format classifier for a binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) signal.

FIG. 21 is a block diagram of an embodiment of a high SNR data format classifier for a BPSK signal.

FIG. 22 is a block diagram of a low SNR coherent data format classifier for quadrature phase-shift keying (QPSK) modulation.

FIG. 23 is a block diagram of a reduced complexity low SNR data format classifier for QPSK modulation.

FIG. 24 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of a reduced complexity high SNR data format classifier for BPSK modulation.

FIG. 25 is a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of SSME SNR estimator for M-ary phase shift keying (MPSK) modulation, sampled version.

FIG. 26 is a block diagram of a complex baseband SSME SNR estimator for MPSK modulation, integrate and dump version.

FIG. 27 is a tree diagram for the sampled version of the SSME SNR estimator for MPSK modulation.

FIG. 28 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of time-multiplexed SSME SNR estimator for MPSK modulation.

FIG. 29 is a flow diagram of one exemplary embodiment of an adaptive scheme for SNR estimation of MPSK modulation.

FIG. 30 is a block diagram of a system to finely integrate and sample a continuous time signal to obtain a high rate discrete-time signal.

FIG. 31 is a digital implementation of a SSME-based data rate estimation system.

FIG. 32 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of an MPSK modulation classifier.

FIG. 33 is a block diagram of a high SNR data transition tracking loop (DTTL).

FIG. 34 is a block diagram of a MAP-motivated linear DTTL.

FIG. 35 is a block diagram of a maximum likelihood sliding-window estimator of symbol timing for an NRZ data stream.

FIG. 36 is a block diagram of a weighted running accumulator.

FIG. 37 is a block diagram of a weighted running accumulator.

FIG. 38 is block diagram of a real non-coherent version of a cross-spectrum synchronizer.

FIG. 39 is a block diagram illustrating NC-LDTTL.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention is an autonomous radio receiver capable of receiving a signal without prior knowledge about the defining characteristics of the signal, instead recognizing these characteristics directly from the received signal, and appropriately decoding the signal based on the signal type.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a radio receiver for determining the signal type of an incoming signal with unknown characteristics. Radio receiver includes a modulation index module (MIM) that may be any hardware and/or software suitably configured to estimate the modulation index and/or determine the actual modulation index of an incoming signal with unknown characteristics. It is common is to allocate a portion of the total transmitted power P, to a discrete carrier for purposes of carrier synchronization. For example, in the case of binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) modulation, the simplest way to accomplish this is to employ a phase modulation index other than 90 degrees. When this is done, the function of power allocated to the discrete carrier P, becomes a function of the total transmitted power P, MIM estimates and/or determines the fraction of total power that is allocated to a non-modulated carrier signal and to a data-modulated signal and outputs an estimated modulation index including such estimate. Total power is the sum of the non-modulated carrier signal power and the data-modulated signal power, in watts.

The radio receiver includes a frequency correction module (FCM) in communication with MIM. FCM may be any hardware and/or software suitably configured to track the offset frequency of the incoming signal with unknown characteristics without knowledge of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), data rate, modulation type, etc. Thus, FCM receives the incoming signal and the estimated modulation index from MIM, and outputs a signal having an estimated offset frequency based on the incoming signal and the estimated modulation index. FCM is in communication with a multiplier and outputs the signal having an estimated frequency offset to multiplier, and multiplier mixes the original signal with the signal having the estimated frequency offset to produce a frequency-corrected received signal.

Radio receiver also includes a joint estimator module (JEM) in communication with MIM and multiplier. JEM may be any hardware and/or software suitably configured to receive the estimate of the modulation index and/or the actual modulation index from MIM and to utilize the estimated modulation index and frequency corrected received signal to estimate and/or determine defining characteristic(s) of the incoming signal. In the preferred embodiment JEM utilizes the estimated modulation index to estimate and/or determine the data rate of the incoming signal, the SNR of the incoming signal, the waveform shape and pulse shape of the incoming signal, and/or symbol timing.

As discussed in greater detail below, one or more of the estimates and/or characteristics produced by JEM is transmitted back to MIM to refine the estimate of the modulation index and/or help determine the modulation index. Furthermore, one or more of the estimates and/or characteristics produced by JEM is transmitted to a modula-
preferably equal to zero. In the case of digital data, this rules
radio receiver
biner 125 (i.e., MIM 110 and FCM
the power spectrum of the data modulation is minimum, and
words, the discrete carrier should be inserted at a point where
tracking loop such as a phase-locked loop (PLL). In other
the extraction of the discrete carrier by an appropriate carrier
PSK technique is called binary phase-shift keying (BPSK). It
methods that can be used to accomplish PSK. The simplest
digital communication in which the phase of a transmitted
signal is varied to convey information. There are several
signaling mode, the power spectrum of the incoming carrier
is performed to determine an estimate of the symbol timing
when


case, none of the transmitted power is allocated to a discrete
carrier and the system is said to operate in a suppressed carrier
mode which, in the case of BPSK, corresponds to $\beta = 90^\circ$.
Although a Costas loop operates with a less efficient perfor-
mance (e.g., larger mean-square phase tracking error) than a
PLL, it offers the advantage of not requiring a discrete carrier
to lock onto and thus all of the transmitted power can be used
for the purpose of data detection.

Given that the foregoing or other transmission modes may
be received by radio receiver 100, it is important that MIM
110 be able to estimate the modulation index or equivalently,
the ratio of transmitted carrier to data power of the various
received signals. In one exemplary embodiment, MIM 110
utilizes an ML estimation approach to estimate the modulation
index along with appropriate approximation of the non-
linearities that result, to allow for practical implementations
at course and fine modes.

With reference again to FIG. 1, radio receiver 100 is shown
operating in a coarse mode according to one exemplary
embodiment of the invention. When radio receiver 100
receives an incoming signal (which is represented as $r(t)$), the
signal is transmitted to MIM 110 and signal combiner 125.
MIM 110 estimates an initial modulation index without a
priori knowledge of the modulation, data rate, and/or symbol
timing of the incoming signal. The incoming signal with the
initial estimate of the modulation index is then transmitted to
other modules of radio receiver 100 to estimate other defining
characteristics.

If the signal with the initially estimated modulation index
includes a residual carrier, the signal is transmitted to FCM
120 for frequency acquisition and tracking. If the signal with
the initial estimated modulation index does not include a
residual carrier, the signal is also transmitted to FCM 120 for
frequency acquisition and tracking. Furthermore, the signal
with the initially estimated modulation index and corrected
frequency is also transmitted to JEM 130, 140, and/or 160.

The signal with the initially estimated modulation index is
transmitted to JEM 130 so that the received signal can be used
to estimate an initial data format (via data format module
132), an initial SNR (via SNR module 134), an initial data rate
(via data rate module 136), and/or an initial estimate of the
symbol timing (via CSS 138). Furthermore, the signal with
the initially estimated modulation index is transmitted to
JEM 130 and/or 160 so that the received signal can be used to initially track the
carrier phase of the incoming signal. Moreover, JEM 150
also receives and uses the initial estimates of the data format
(from data format module 132), the SNR (from SNR module
134), the data rate (from data rate module 136), and/or the
estimate of the symbol timing (from CSS 138); and/or
receives the original signal combined with the output of FCM
120 from multiplier 125 in initially tracking the carrier phase of
the incoming signal.
case the incoming signal and the estimated modulation
receives the initial estimate of the carrier phase tracking (from
refine and/or determine the type of the incoming signal in a
receives the original signal combined with the output of FCM
120 multiplier 125 to initially estimate the modulation clas-
cification of the incoming signal. In one exemplary embed-
ment, the initial estimate of the carrier phase tracking, the
initial estimate of the modulation classification, the initial estimate of the
data format, and/or the initial estimate of the data rate are used to
refine and/or determine the type of the incoming signal in a
fine mode of operation of radio receiver 100.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of radio receiver 100 operating in
a fine mode in accordance with one exemplary embed-
ment of the invention. When operating in fine mode, MIM 110
receives the initial estimate of the carrier phase tracking (from
CSM 150), the initial estimate of the symbol timing (from
FSS 160), the initial estimate of the modulation classification (from
MCM 140), the initial estimate of the data format (from
data format module 132), and/or the initial estimate of the
data rate (from data rate module 136) to determine a better
estimate of the modulation index. This better estimate of the
modulation index is then utilized similar to the initial estimate of the
modulation index discussed above with respect to FIG. 1. Thus, at the end of operating in fine mode, radio receiver
100 will produce a better estimate of the carrier phase track-
ing, the symbol timing, the modulation classification, the data format, and/or the data rate, in which one or more may be used in one or more subsequent iterations to produce even finer
estimations.

For reference purposes, FIG. 3 is a block diagram of the
detailed operations of radio receiver 100. The MIM 110 esti-
mates and/or determines the action of total power that is
allocated to a non-modulated carrier signal and to a data-
modulated signal and outputs an estimated modulation index
including such estimate. FCM 120 receives the incoming
signal and the estimated modulation index from MIM 110, and
outputs a signal having an estimated corrected frequency
based on the incoming signal and the estimated modulation
index. FCM 120 outputs the signal having an estimated cor-
corrected frequency to multiplier 125 which mixes the original
signal with the estimated frequency correction to produce a frequency corrected received signal. JEM 130 receives the modulation index estimate and frequency
corrected received signal to estimate various defining charac-
teristic(s) of the incoming signal, including data rate of the
 incoming signal, SNR of the incoming signal, waveform shape and pulse shape of the incoming signal, and/or symbol timing. One or more of the estimates and/or characteristics produced by JEM 130 is transmitted to MCM 140, CSM 150, and FSS 160 (CSM 150 to track the carrier phase, FSS 160 to estimate the symbol timing of the incoming signal, and
MCM 140 for maximum likelihood (ML) modulation classi-
cification or the modulation classifier. Radio receiver 100 func-
tions to receive an incoming signal, and through estimations and one or more refining iterations, determines the signal type and decoding the incoming signal utilizing an appropriate
decoder.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram of a system 200 for receiving and
decoding a signal without a priori knowledge of the defining
characteristics of an incoming signal. In one exemplary
embodiment, system 200 includes a radio receiver 210 simi-
lar to embodiments of radio receiver 100 discussed above
connected to and/or in communication with an antenna 220,
wherein antenna 220 may be any hardware and/or software
suitably configured to receive communication signals.

In addition, system 200 includes a decoder module 230
connected to and/or in communication with radio receiver
210. In one exemplary embodiment, decoder module 230
includes a module 234 including hardware and/or software
suitably configured to receive an output signal (including fine
estimations of the carrier, phase tracking, the symbol timing,
the modulation classification, the data format, and/or the
data rate of an incoming signal) from radio receiver 210 and
determine the type of signal based on a comparison of the estimates
with the characteristics of an array of known signal types.

Furthermore, decoder module 230 includes a plurality of
decoders 238 to decode the incoming signals based upon the
determination made by module 234, wherein each decoder of the
plurality of decoders 238 may include any hardware and/ or
software suitably configured to decode a particular signal
type. As such, each decoder may be any decoder known in the
art or developed in the future.

System 200, in various exemplary embodiments, includes
displays 240 to display the data decoded by decoder module
230, wherein display 240 may be any hardware and/or soft-
ware suitably configured to display the data in the incoming
signal such that the data can be detected by at least one the five
senses of a user and/or an electronic device (e.g., a computing
device). For example, display 240 may be an audio and/or
visual device such as a television, a monitor, a speaker, a
radio, a computer, and the like.

The following are examples of the estimation algorithms
incorporated in the above-referenced modules.

**Example 1**

**MIM 110 Coherent Estimation of Modulation Index**

For Binary Phase-Shift Keying (PSK) Signals

A received BPSK signal \(r(t)\) may be represented by the following equation (1):

\[
r(t) = \sqrt{2P_r} \sin\left(\omega_0 t + \Phi(t)\right) + n(t)
\]

where \(r(t)\) is a pulse shape taking on values ±1 and satisfying \(\int_0^1 r^2(t) dt = 1\), \(T\) is the symbol rate, \(\{c_n\}\) is a binary sequence which is treated as independent, identically distributed (IID) data taking on values ±1 with equal probability, \(\Phi(t)\) is the modulation index, \(\omega_0\) is the carrier frequency in rad/s, and \(n(t)\) is a bandpass additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) source with single-sided power spectral density \(N_0\) W/Hz.
Based on the above AWGN model, then for an observation of K data intervals, the maximum likelihood (ML) modulation index estimation may be derived. Suitable approximations to the nonlinearity can be made, corresponding to low and high data detection SNR conditions. For high data detection SNR, the ML modulation index estimation after approximation is given by:

\[
\cot \beta = \frac{\int_0^{K_T} r(t) \sin \omega_c t \, dt}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_0^{K_T} r(t) \cos \omega_t (t - kT) \cos \omega_c t \, dt}
\]

For low data detection SNR, the ML modulation index estimation after approximation is given by:

\[
\cot \beta = \frac{N_0 \int_0^{K_T} r(t) \sin \omega_c t \, dt}{2\sqrt{2} P_r \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{q=0}^{M-1} x_k(q)}
\]

Note that in this case a solution to this equation may not always exist.

For M-PSK modulation (M>2), the received signal r(t) can be represented in passband by:

\[
r(t) = \sqrt{2P_r} \sin \omega_c t + \sqrt{2P_d} \cos \left( \omega_c t + \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \theta_n p(t - nT) + \eta(t) \right)
\]

where \(\theta_n = 2q_n + 1 + (-1)^{M-2} \pi / M\) is the data modulation for the nth MPSK symbol, with independent and uniformly distributed \(q_n, \{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}\). Differentiating the conditional likelihood of \(r(t)\) given \(\theta_n\) and \(\beta\) with respect to \(\beta\) and equating the result to zero results in the transcendental equation:

\[
\int_0^{K_T} r(t) \sin \omega_c t \, dt = \left( \cot \beta \right) \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{q=0}^{M-1} x_k(q) \sin \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2} P_r \sin \beta}{N_0} x_k(q) \right)
\]

where \(x_k(q) = \int_0^{K_T} r(t) \cos \left( \omega_c t + \frac{2q + 1 + (-1)^{M-2} \pi}{M} p(t - kT) \right) \, dt\)

which solution is the ML estimate of the modulation. Making large SNR approximations, a simplified solution for the ML estimate of modulation index for M-PSK is:

\[
\cot \beta = \frac{\int_0^{K_T} r(t) \sin \omega_c t \, dt}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{q=0}^{M-1} |x_k(q)|^2 / \max_{\theta_n}}
\]

For low SNR, small argument approximations are supplied:

\[
\sin h(x) \approx x, \quad \cos h(x) \approx 1
\]

Applying the approximations in (8) to (6) results in the ML estimate:

\[
\cos \hat{\beta} = \frac{\int_0^{K_T} r(t) \sin \omega_c t \, dt}{2\sqrt{2} P_r \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{q=0}^{M-1} x_k(q)}
\]

Example 2

MIM Non-Coherent Estimation of Modulation Index
For PSK Signals

In another embodiment, MIM 110 performs modulation index estimation for the case where carrier synchronization has not yet been established (i.e., where the carrier phase is random). In the non-coherent case, the modulation index estimate is formed in the absence of carrier synchronization. For BPSK modulation, the received signal is again modeled as in equation (1) with the addition of an unknown (but assumed to be uniformly distributed) carrier phase to both the discrete and data-modulated carriers. This allows a non-coherent estimator of modulation index:

\[
\cos \hat{\beta} = \sqrt{\frac{2\left[ \int_0^{K_T} y(t) \, dt \right]^2 + \left[ \int_0^{K_T} y(t) \, dt \right]^2}{KT \left[ \int_0^{K_T} \left( y(t) - y(t - T) \right)^2 \, dt + \int_0^{K_T} \left( y(t) - y(t - T) \right)^2 \, dt \right]}}
\]

In the absence of noise this estimator produces the true value of modulation index.

In yet another embodiment, MIM 110 performs modulation index estimation for the case when the modulation type symbol timing and data rate are also unknown. The modulation index estimators discussed above do not require an SNR estimate, or both an SNR estimate and a carrier phase estimate. However, they both utilize knowledge of the PSK modulation size, data rate, and symbol timing, as seen by the use (either explicitly or implicitly) of the parameters M and \(T\) and precise integration limits in equations (9) and (10).

The same architecture shown in FIG. 2 above can be used for a general M-PSK modulation where modulation type M is unknown, and where the data rate (1/T) and fractional symbol timing (\(\epsilon\)) are also unknown.

FCM 120, in one embodiment, includes an open frequency estimator when a residual carrier is available. In another embodiment, FCM 120 includes a closed-loop frequency estimator when a residual carrier is available. Notably, the invention contemplates use of both an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel and a Rayleigh fading channel.

An open loop estimation of a frequency offset is obtained as:

\[
\Delta f = \text{argmax}_{\Delta f} \lambda(\Delta f)
\]
where $\Delta f$ is the offset frequency and

$$\lambda(\Delta f) = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{r}_k |e^{j2\pi\Delta f T}| \right|$$

is the ML metric for estimating the frequency offset, and $\hat{r}_k$ is the sequence of observed baseband samples.

However, this operation is equivalent to obtaining the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the received sequence, taking its magnitude, and then finding the maximum value.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of open loop frequency estimator 1110. The error signal for a closed-loop estimator can be obtained as:

$$e = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta f} \lambda(\Delta f)$$

(11.2)

The derivative of $\lambda(\Delta f)$ for a small $\epsilon$ can be approximated as:

$$e \approx (Y(\Delta f+\epsilon) - Y(\Delta f-\epsilon))$$

(11.3)

where

$$Y(\Delta f + \epsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{r}_k |e^{j2\pi\Delta f T} e^{j2\eta_2 T}$$

(11.4)

An error signal detector for a closed-loop frequency correction can be implemented based on the above equations.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of closed-loop frequency estimator 1220 in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention. Notably, the dashed box in FIG. 6 and all other figures represent the fact that the hard limiter is optional. This means that closed-loop estimator 1220 may be implemented either with or without such a hard limiter.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram illustrating one exemplary embodiment of an error signal detector 1225 for a residual carrier based on the above equations, wherein

$$\hat{r}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{r}_k |e^{j2\pi\Delta f T} e^{j2\eta_2 T}$$

FIG. 8 is a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of an error signal detector 1225 for a residual carrier in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention. Implementation of error signal detector 1225 is complex. Instead of approximating the error signal as in (70), we note that:

$$e = \Im(Y^* U) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Im(\hat{X}_k, X_{k+1,N-1}) = C_{\Delta f}(\hat{X}_{k+2,1} X_{k+2,N-1})$$

(12)

where

$$X_{m,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{r}_k |e^{j2\pi\Delta f T}$$

(13)

One embodiment of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1230 for a residual carrier with the approximate error signal detector given by (12) is illustrated in the block diagram of FIG. 9. The parameters $N$ (the number of samples to be summed) and $\delta$ (gain) should be optimized and updated after the initial start to perform both the acquisition and tracking of the offset frequency.

FIG. 10 shows a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of closed-loop frequency estimator 1270 using the error signal detector given by (18). Similarly, a block diagram of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1280 using the error signal detector given by (19), in one embodiment, is shown in FIG. 12. Notably, in FIG. 12, DAC denotes a Digital-to-Analog Converter.

The error signal can be calculated by taking the derivative of $\lambda^2(\Delta f) = |Y|^2$ to obtain:

$$e = \Im(Y^* U)$$

(18)

The error signal in (18) can also be written as:

$$e = -Y^* U - |Y| U$$

(19)

FIG. 13 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1270 using the error signal detector given by (18). Similarly, a block diagram of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1280 using the error signal detector given by (19), in one embodiment, is shown in FIG. 14.
where there are \( n \) samples per data symbol duration \( T \). Notably, an all digital version of other closed-loop estimators can be obtained in a similar manner and are each contemplated by the invention.

FCM 120 includes a non-data-aided frequency estimator 1292 for situations where the data is unknown to radio receiver 100. The \( p_0(x) \) function can be approximated as:

\[
l_0^2 \left( \frac{x}{N_0} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{N_0^2} |x|^2
\]

For open loop estimation, the equation:

\[
\Delta f = \arg \max_{\Delta f} \lambda(\Delta f)
\]  

is equivalent to multiplying the received signal by \( e^{j2\pi\Delta f t} \), passing it through a matched filter with impulse response \( p(-t) \), and sampling the result at \( t=(k+1)T \), which produces the sequence of \( z_k \). Next, take the magnitude square of each \( z_k \), perform summation, and then find the maximum value by varying the frequency \( \Delta f \) between \( -\Delta f_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Delta f_{\text{max}} \) where

\[
\phi_{\text{max}} = \phi_{\text{max}}(\Delta f)
\]

is the maximum expected frequency offset.

FIG. 16 is a block diagram of a circuit 1292 to perform the operations of (20).

The error signal for closed-loop tracking can be approximated as:

\[
\epsilon = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ |z_k(\Delta f + \delta)|^2 - |z_k(\Delta f - \delta)|^2 \right]
\]  

One exemplary embodiment of an error signal detector 1294 for closed-loop frequency correction using the above equations is illustrated in the block diagram of FIG. 17. The error signal is obtained as:

\[
\epsilon = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \text{Im}(z_k(\Delta f)w_k(\Delta f))
\]

and

\[
\Delta f = \int_{t}^{t+T} \left[ p(t) - p(t-2\pi f T) \right] \text{d}t
\]

Note that the error signal in (103) can also be written as:

\[
\epsilon = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ |z_k(\Delta f) - \delta u_k(\Delta f)|^2 - |z_k(\Delta f) + \delta u_k(\Delta f)|^2 \right]
\]  

FIG. 18 is a block diagram of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1295 using the error signal detector given by (21B) in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention. Similarly, a block diagram of one embodiment of a closed-loop frequency estimator 1296 using the error signal detector given by (23) is shown in FIG. 19. Closed-loop frequency estimators 1295 and 1296 include mixed analog and digital.

All digital versions of other closed-loop estimators can be obtained in a similar manner and are contemplated by the invention.

Referring again to FIG. 1, after the modulation index is estimated, the incoming signal is transmitted to JEM 130. In accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention, JEM 130 includes a data format/pulse shape module 132 to determine the waveform shape and pulse of the incoming signal. In another embodiment, JEM 130 includes a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) module 134 to determine the strength of the incoming signal relative to background noise. JEM 130, in yet another exemplary embodiment, includes a data rate module 136 to determine the data rate of the incoming signal. In still another exemplary embodiment, JEM 130 includes a coarse symbol synchronizer (CSS) 138 to provide symbol timing to various components of the radio receiver. In accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention, JEM 130 includes at least two of data format/pulse shape module 132, SNR 134, data rate 136, and symbol synchronizer 138. In accordance with another exemplary embodiment, JEM 130 includes at least three of data format/pulse shape module 132, SNR 134, data rate 136, and symbol synchronizer 138. In yet another exemplary embodiment, JEM 130 includes each of data format/pulse shape module 132, SNR 134, data rate 136, and symbol synchronizer 138. Furthermore, each of data format/pulse shape module 132, SNR 134, data rate 136, and symbol synchronizer 138 performs their respective functions at substantially the same time.

Date format module 132, in one exemplary embodiment, is configured to determine whether the incoming signal includes non-return to zero (NRZ) encoding or Manchester encoding. Furthermore, date format module 132 is configured to determine NRZ encoding or Manchester encoding for Binary Phase-Shift Keying (BPSK) signals and/or Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (QPSK) signals, and whether these signals are coherently classified (i.e., one encoding format is always used on a specific carrier type (e.g., NRZ is always used on a suppressed carrier)) or non-coherently classified (i.e., independent of the encoding format, the modulations are assumed to be a fully suppressed carrier).

In accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the invention, date format module 132 includes a coherent data format classifier 1321 for determining NRZ encoding or Manchester encoding for an incoming BPSK signal with a low SNR. Again, supposing the incoming signal is represented in passband by:

\[
r(t) = 2\sqrt{2P} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c_k p(t - nT) \cos(\omega_c t + n(t))
\]

where \( P \) is the signal power, \( \{c_k\} \) is the sequence of binary independent, identically distributed (iid) data taking on values \( \pm 1 \) with equal probability, \( p(t) \) is the pulse shape (the item to be classified), \( \omega_c \) is the radian carrier frequency, \( 1/T \) is the data (symbol) rate and \( n(t) \) is a bandpass additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) source with single-sided power spectral density \( N_0 \) W/Hz. Then a classification choice between the two pulses shapes based on the I.F. would be to choose Manchester if:
Of the many measures that characterize the performance of a communication receiver, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is perhaps the most fundamental in that many of the other measures directly depend on its knowledge for their evaluation. Thus, it is desirable that the estimation of SNR take place with as little known information as possible regarding other system parameters such as carrier phase and frequency, order of the modulation, data symbol stream, data format, and the like. In one exemplary embodiment of the invention, SNR module 134 is configured to estimate and/or determine the signal-to-noise ratio with as little known information (e.g., carrier phase and frequency, order of the modulation, data symbol stream, data format, and the like) as possible. In accordance with an aspect of one exemplary embodiment of the invention, SNR module 134 includes a modified split-symbol moments estimator (SSME) 1341, wherein FIG. 25 is a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of SSME 1341.

FIG. 26 is a block diagram of a complex baseband SSME 1342 obtained by replacing the half-symbol accumulators in FIG. 25 by half-symbol I&Qs.

Besides being used for phase compensation of the samples or half-symbols that enter into the expressions for computing $U$, the frequency estimate also enters into play in determining the estimates $h_k$ that are computed from $h^*$ by replacing $\omega$ with its estimate $\hat{\omega}$. Thus, the performance of SSME 1341 and 1342 will depend on the accuracy of the frequency estimate $\hat{\omega}$ with or without phase compensation. In the most general scenario, a taxonomy of cases for analysis is illustrated by the tree diagram of FIG. 27 for the sampled version of the SSME 1341. FIG. 27 begins at the square node in the middle and proceeds outward to any of the eight leaf nodes representing combinations of $\omega_0$, $\omega_1$, $\omega_2$, and $\omega_3$. For SSME 1342, a few of the tree branches of FIG. 27, namely, 2c and 3c, do not apply.

SSMEs 1341 and 1342 assume that the symbol timing is either known or could be estimated perfectly. In another exemplary embodiment, the SNR estimator includes SSME 1343 (FIG. 28) to determine the SNR when symbol timing is imperfect but the carrier frequency is known (but the carrier phase is still assumed unknown).

A generalized SSME structure that provides improved performance in the sense of lowering the variance of SNR module 134 will now be disclosed. To simplify matters, consider the ideal case of no frequency uncertainty and SSME 1342. Suffice it to say that the generalization is readily applied to SSME 1341 in a manner readily attainable by one of ordinary skill in the art. A generalized SSME is such that for a fixed observation time the quality of SNR module 134 continues to improve with increasing SNR.

Even though the optimized generalized SSME requires (in principle) very precise prior knowledge of the true value of $R$, its performance can be reasonably well approximated by that of a robust estimator $\hat{R}_0$ using a very coarse prior estimate of $R$.

Similar to the generalized SSME $\hat{R}_0$ is based on complex-valued samples, the generalized SSME $\hat{R}_0$ based on real-valued samples may be optimized with respect to its asymptotic performance expressions.

FIG. 28 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of the time-multiplexed SSME 1343.

The equations defining both the time-multiplexed estimator $\hat{R}_0$ (SSME 1343) and the underlying observables $U^*$ in terms of standard chi-squared random variables are identical in form to those obtained for the special case of uniform sub-sampling of all the symbols, $L$ = (L, L, ..., L).

FIG. 29 is a flow diagram of one exemplary embodiment of an adaptive scheme 1344 modeled after the robust version of

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \ln \left( \frac{1}{N_0} e^{-r_k(1)} \right) < \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \ln \left( \frac{1}{N_0} e^{-r_k(2)} \right)
\]

where

\[
\hat{t} = \frac{N_{\text{th}}}{2(N_{\text{th}} - 1)} N_{\text{th}} - 1
\]

For low SNR, (25) simplifies to:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \int_{t}^{t+1/2T} r(t) \cos \omega^* t dt + \int_{t}^{t+1/2T} R(t) \cos \omega_0 t dt < 0
\]

For high SNR, (25) reduces to:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left| \int_{t}^{t+1/2T} r(t) \cos \omega_0 t dt \right| < \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left| \int_{t}^{t+1/2T} r(t) \cos \omega^* t dt \right|
\]

Note that while the optimum classifier of (25) requires knowledge of SNR, the reduced-complexity classifier (27) does not.

FIG. 20 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a low SNR classifier 1321 defined by (26) and FIG. 21 is a block diagram of an embodiment of a high SNR classifier 1322 defined by (27).

For QPSK modulation, low SNR, the classification decision would be based on the inequality:

\[
sin \left( (M/2) \psi \right)
\]

FIG. 22 is a block diagram one exemplary embodiment of a coherent data classifier 1323 for QPSK modulation having low SNR.

For high SNR, the following equation is equivalent to (27) for the non-coherent high SNR case:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left| \int_{t}^{t+1/2T} r(t) e^{j\omega t} dt \right| < \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left| \int_{t}^{t+1/2T} r(t) e^{j\omega^* t} dt - \int_{t}^{t+1/2T} r(t) e^{j\omega_0 t} dt \right|
\]

FIG. 23 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of a low SNR classifier 1324 defined by (29) and FIG. 24 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of a high SNR classifier 1325 defined by (30).

The solution to the problem of making an ML decision on the pulse shape of a modulation from a variety of different possibilities, in principle, follows the identical procedure as discussed above for data format classification, except for the fact that they are no longer restricted to digital pulse waveforms.
the generalized SSME $\hat{R}_c$ discussed above, wherein the integer values of $L$ are restricted to the set $b^k-0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ for some integer base $b$.

Thus far, the behavior and performance of the SSME for the class of M-PSK ($M \geq 2$) modulations with and without frequency uncertainty have been discussed. In various other exemplary embodiments, SNR module 134 includes an SSME structure to provide SNR estimation for offset QPSK (OQPSK) as well as non-constant envelope modulations such as QAM. As before, the performance of the estimator is still independent of the data symbol sequence as well as the carrier phase and allows for the same enhancement by increasing the number of pairs of observables per symbol in accordance with the true value of SNR.

For the case of M-PSK, it was noted that the transmitted complex symbol in the interval $(k-1)T \leq t < kT$ can be represented in the form $d_k = e^{j\theta_k}$ where $\theta_k$ takes on one of $M$ phases uniformly spaced around the unit circle. A special case of the above corresponds to $M=4$ results in conventional QPSK. It is well-known that on nonlinear channels OQPSK provides a performance advantage since it reduces the maximum fluctuation in the signal amplitude by limiting the maximum phase change to $135^\circ$ rather than $180^\circ$. Since for QPSK, the complex representation of a symbol extends over $1/2$ symbols (because of the offset between the I and Q channels), it cannot conveniently be represented in the polar form $d_k = e^{j\theta_k}$ as above. Rather, the I and Q channel modulations are considered separately. For convenience, SSME 1342 is discussed, however, the same conclusions also apply to SSME 1341.

For implementing a universal SSME structure 1349 that will handle OQPSK as well as conventional QPSK, the results of inputting the I and Q channel baseband signals to half-symbol I&Ds are partitioned into even and odd outputs.

Date rate module 136, in one exemplary embodiment, is configured to determine and/or estimate the data rate of the incoming signal. Knowledge of this parameter is important in carrying out ML detection of other parameters such as the carrier phase or modulation type. Here, the data rates are assumed to come from a set of known values and related by integer powers of an integer base $B$. This assumption allows estimation of the true data rate based on estimates of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) computed for various assumed data rates. In one embodiment, the SNR utilized is SSME 1341 and or SSME 1342 discussed above. These estimators are appealing in that the only parameter required for their operation is the assumed data rate. Hence, estimation of the data rate can be done jointly with that of the SNR.

Though this approach provides a way of estimating both the data rate and SNR together, it will be shown that it is sensitive to symbol timing error or jitter. In fact, the presence of symbol timing error can severely degrade the performance of this estimator. To overcome this, a modification is proposed in which the jitter is quantized and estimated alongside the data rate and SNR. This approach, based on a so-called generalized likelihood ratio test (GLRT), is robust in the presence of symbol timing error and can be used to jointly estimate the data rate, SNR, and symbol timing error all at once. The estimates of the symbol timing error obtained can then be used as coarse initial estimates for the data transition tracking loop (DTTL), which is used later in the receiver to obtain a fine estimate of the timing.

The baseband signal received at the autonomous radio is assumed to consist of a constant amplitude digital data stream corrupted by artifacts due to the conversion from IF to baseband as well as additive noise. Mathematically, the received signal $\tilde{r}(t)$ is assumed to have the following form in the complex baseband representation:
2. Run the SSME of FIG. 26 at the highest data rate \( R = B^{\text{max}} R_c \). Calculate \( \mu_{R,n} \) for all \( n \) and define 

\[ n \in \arg \max_n \mu_{R,n} \text{ and } \mu_{\hat{R}} = \mu_{\hat{R},n} \cdot \]

3. Lower the assumed data rate by one step, i.e., set 

\[ R_{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{B} R_{\text{old}} \]

and run the SSME. Calculate 

\[ \mu_{\hat{R},n} \]

for all \( n \) and define 

\[ n_i = \arg \max_n \mu_{\hat{R},n} \text{ and } \mu_{\hat{R}} = \mu_{\hat{R},n_i} \cdot \]

4. If \( \mu_{\hat{R}} = \mu_{\hat{R},i} \), increment \( i \) by 1 and go to Step 3. Otherwise, estimate the data rate, SNR, and symbol timing error as follows: 

\[ R = B^{\text{max}} (\mu_{\hat{R}} - 1) R_c \]

\[ \mu_{\hat{R},i} = \frac{n_i - 1}{B^{\text{max}} (\mu_{\hat{R}} - 1) N_{lb} - 1} \]

As discussed above, for each assumed data rate, the SSME is run for each value of the quantized symbol timing error. The SNR and jitter for that data rate are then estimated to be the largest SNR and the jitter value leading to this maximum SNR. This data rate estimation technique halts as soon as the condition \( \mu_{\hat{R}} = \mu_{\hat{R},i} \) is not satisfied. This may lead to a premature termination of the algorithm. To prevent a premature halting of the algorithm, a GLRT-type modification is utilized. This GLRT-type estimation algorithm is based on the principle that the true data rate and symbol timing error should yield the largest value of the mean of the SNR. Incorrect values of these quantities, on the other hand, should lead to a degraded estimate of the SNR mean. As opposed to the previous algorithm, which lowers the assumed data rate until the SNR decreases, this algorithm computes the SNR for all data rates and all jitter values. The advantage to this is that it can prevent the algorithm from prematurely terminating, which can easily happen when the true SNR is low.

With reference to data rate module 136, carrier synchronization techniques have been developing assuming that the modulation format and signal constellation characteristics are known a priori. In other words, the modulation index is chosen so that either the carrier is fully suppressed or a residual carrier component remains. Notably, constellation characteristics refers to the shape of the constellation (e.g., a circle for multiple-phase shift keying (M-PSK) or a square for quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM)), and its size in terms of the number of signal points it contains. Aside from knowing the modulation index and signal constellation structure, it is also customary to have knowledge of the data rate and type (e.g., NRZ versus Manchester code) since the true optimum design of the loop depends on this information.

For radio receiver 100, the most optimistic situation would be that the receiver contains a carrier synchronization structure that is capable of tracking the carrier phase independent of the above-mentioned considerations. While this is not completely possible since, for example, a squaring loop (or equivalently a BPSK Costas loop) cannot track a QPSK modulation and likewise a 4th power loop (or equivalently, a QPSK Costas loop, sometimes referred to as an inphase-quadrature (I-Q) loop) cannot properly track a BPSK signal.

Nevertheless, while in principle each carrier synchronization loop developed for a given modulation format, constellation, and data rate/type has certain unique characteristics, they do share a number of similarities (e.g., a common front end demodulator structure, that allows one to consider designs that could be operational in the absence of complete a priori knowledge of all of these characteristics). For example, if the modulation is restricted to the M-PSK class, then it is possible to construct a universal structure that performs the carrier synchronization function for all values of M. This structure is derived by first determining the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate of carrier phase based on an observation of the received signal, namely, M-PSK plus additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) and then using this to motivate a closed loop carrier synchronization loop. By making an analogy between the closed loop bandwidth and the noise bandwidth of an integrate-and-dump (I&D) filter of duration equal to the observation time for the open loop MAP estimate, the closed loop approaches the Cramer-Rao lower bound on the variance of an unbiased estimate of the phase of a modulated carrier.

Still further, if the modulation is known to be other than suppressed carrier (i.e., a modulation index less than \( \pi/2 \) radians), then it is still possible to exploit the power in both the data and residual carrier components for carrier tracking purposes provided one has knowledge of the modulation index itself. Such knowledge could be derived non-coherently (i.e., in the absence of carrier synchronization) from a suitable modulation index estimator. Loops of this type are often referred to as “hybrid carrier tracking loops,” and like their suppressed carrier counterparts, are motivated by the same MAP considerations.

In various exemplary embodiments, the matched filters in the I and Q arms of the loop are replaced with simple low pass filters to make the carrier synchronizer operation somewhat independent of the exact pulse shape, but without some attendant loss in performance. These systems include a fixed modulation bandwidth which implies a fixed data symbol rate for all values of M. These systems include simplifications based on low SNR approximations applied to the nonlinearities inherent in the MAP phase estimate. When this is done, the error signal in the loop for M-PSK is of the form \( M \phi \), where \( \phi \) is the loop phase error, which from simple trigonometry can be written as \( M \phi = 2 \sin [(M/2) \phi] \cos [(M/2) \phi] \). Thus, it is seen that the error signal in the loop for M-PSK is formed from the product of the error signal \( \sin [(M/2) \phi] \) and the lock detector signal \( \cos [(M/2) \phi] \) in the loop for M/2-PSK modulation. This relationship forms the basis for implementing a universal structure.

In the past, carrier synchronization loops have typically fallen into two categories: those that track a discrete carrier (e.g., the phase-locked loop (PLL)) and those that track a fully suppressed carrier (e.g., the Costas loop). A fully suppressed carrier comes about when a digital modulation is impressed on a carrier with a modulation index equal to \( \pi/2 \) radians, whereas a discrete (residual) carrier component appears in the spectrum when the modulation is less than \( \pi/2 \) radians.

For implementing a universal SSME structure that will handle OQPSK as well as conventional QPSK, the results of
inputting the I and Q channel baseband signals to halfsymbol 
I&Ds are partitioned into even and odd inputs. SNR estimator 
134 can use the other embodiments SSME 1341-1344 for 
estimating SNR when QAM is transmitted.

Data rate module 136, in one exemplary embodiment, is 
determined to configure and/or estimate the data rate of the 
incoming signal. Knowledge of this parameter is important in 
carrying out ML detection of other parameters such as the 
carrier phase or modulation type. Here, the data rates are 
assumed to come from a set of known values and related by 
integer powers of an integer base B. This assumption allows 
estimation of the true data rate based on estimates of the 
signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) computed for various assumed 
data rates. In one embodiment, the SNR utilized is SSME 
1341 and/or SSME 1342 discussed above. These estimators 
are appealing in that the only parameter required for 
their operation is for lower true data rates than for higher rates 
will be needed. The estimation of the symbol timing error using a DTTL, which is used later in the receiver to obtain a 
finer estimate of the timing.

To account for the presence of symbol timing error, typically 
a digital transition tracking loop (DTTL) is used. However, 
the DTTL architecture requires knowledge of the data 
rates to function as required. To overcome this restriction, 
the proposed SSME-based data rate estimator can be modified to 
provide a coarse estimate of the symbol timing error in addition 
to the data rate, which can then be used to obtain a finer 
estimate of the symbol timing error using a DTTL. As the data 
rates of the received signal is known a priori, at radio 
receiver 100 the symbol timing error corresponding to one 
specific data rate is one thing that can be independently 
quantized. By quantizing the jitter corresponding to one data rate, 
the quantized jitter for the remaining rates may be automatically 
determined.

As the number of quantization steps increases exponentially 
as the assumed data rate decreases, it is tempting to think that a better estimate of the data rate, SNR, and symbol 
timing error for lower true data rates than for higher rates will 
be obtained. However, this is offset by the fact that for a fixed 
observation time interval, an exponentially larger number of 
observations for higher true data rates than for lower ones is 
obtained. Hence, there is an implicit tradeoff between the 
number of signal observations and the number of jitter quantization 
levels for each true data rate.

One of the advantages of uniform quantization is that 
estimation is an efficient all digital implementation of the SSME system.

Furthermore, SSME 1341 is also a generalized structure to 
account for the symbol timing error and to account for 
computing an ensemble average of the observed SNRs. An 
ensemble average of the observed SNRs is important in 
estimating the mean of the SNR of the SSME system. To do this, 
the discrete-time signal y_m is partitioned into blocks over 
which the SNR is to be computed. For each block, the SSME 
computes an estimate of the SNR and then an ensemble 
average of the SNR is computed over the blocks.

There are several things to note regarding the structure of 
all digital SSME system 1362. First, all digital SSME system 
1362 includes discrete summations analogous to the sampled 
version of the SNR estimator discussed above with regard to 
SNR 134. Furthermore, note that all of the signals starting 
from the half signal integrator outputs are indexed with a 
semiconductor followed by n. Finally, note that to form a single 
SNR estimate, a total of B^2N_{obs} samples are squared and 
accumulated. This was chosen as such here to keep the total 
observation time interval or epoch block fixed.

All other things being equal, a modulation classifier that 
requires the least knowledge of channel parameters is preferred. If a classifier required only as, for example, it would be 
prevented over one requiring P, and it separately.

FIG. 32 is a block diagram of one exemplary embodiment of a modulation classifier 1410. It is the QLLR modulation 
classifier. Beginning with a quasi-log-likelihood ratio 
(qLLR) approximation, and the further approximation 
l_n(x)\text{e}^{-t}, valid for large x, (high post-detection SNR) leads to the approximate threshold:

\[ T = \frac{N(2P_s)^{1/2}}{2} \]  

This approximate threshold actually outperforms the 
“optimum” threshold for symbol SNR’s above 4 dB.

Alternatively, in another exemplary embodiment the qLLR 
metric 1410 may be modified by normalizing its magnitude, 
resulting in the nQLR metric:

\[ nQLR = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |\hat{P}_k|^m \]  

The analytical derivation of appropriate thresholds for the 
qLLR in (34) depended on the particular form of the metric, 
and involves some approximation. As an alternative, an 
empirical method to optimize the threshold can be used 
for any classifier metric, including the qLLR and nQLR metrics 
is discarded. Empirical threshold determination consists of 
the following process:

1. Generate a large number of received noisy M-PSK and 
   M’-PSK samples;
2. Group the samples into blocks of length N, and compute 
a set of sample classifier metrics for the qLLR classifier;
3. Sort the metrics for M-PSK and M’-PSK received 
symbols separately, in order of increasing value;
4. For each observed metric of value a, in increasing order: 
   (a) Count the number of observed M-PSK metrics hav- 
ing value less than a, 
   (b) Count the number of observed M’-PSK metrics hav- 
ing value greater than a, and 
   (c) Compute the probability of misclassification when 
      using threshold a, using the sum of the above counts; and
5. Report the threshold that minimizes the probability of 
misclassification.

This procedure may be implemented efficiently enough 
that a desktop machine can generate about 100 million
samples and determine an empirically optimum threshold in less than an hour. The following additional points should be noted:

1. Threshold optimization need be performed once, offline. In a practical implementation, given N and y_s, a table look-up may be used to determine the threshold.

2. The optimum threshold for the LR metric and its approximation in (344) is zero, and requires no empirical optimization.

3. The optimum threshold for the qL.R metric for BPSK/QPSK classification is relatively flat over a broad region of y_s being near 0.6 for y_s >-5 dB. This is a desirable characteristic, because y_s may not be known exactly.

4. The optimum threshold for the ngL.R metric is also quite flat, and ranges from about 0.15 to 0.6 for -10<y_s<10. At high SNR, it is approximately equal to the optimum qL.R/(2NP_r) threshold, because the qL.R/(2NP_r) metric and the ngL.R metric are nearly identical in that region. Thus, the ngL.R and qL.R classifiers have similar performance, and the ngL.R has the advantage that neither P_s nor y_s need be known exactly.

5. Thus far exact and approximate likelihood-based non-coherent classifiers of M-PSK signals have been discussed under the assumption of unknown (uniformly distributed) carrier phase, but with perfectly known fractional symbol timing. In other embodiments these classifiers are extended to the case where the symbol timing is unknown and also uniformly distributed.

To simplify the implementation of the ML classifiers, in one embodiment, approximations of the nonlinearities involved in their definitions are used in much the same way as was done for the conventional (non-offset) modulations. The approximate LLF is:

\[ L_{LR,app} = \frac{P}{4r^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |p_n|^2 + |p_{n+1}|^2 + \ln \left( \frac{P}{4r^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (p_n^2 - p_{n+1}^2) \right) \]  

For BPSK, the following LLF is obtained:

\[ \tan h_{x_n} = \gamma \]  

where again x_n(0; t_0) = x_n(0), since in this case x_n(0) = 0.

To get to the final simplification, the approximation \( I_n \) \( I_n(x; x > 1) \) is applied to result in the qL.R:

\[ qLLR = \frac{P}{4r^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |p_n|^2 - |p_{n+1}|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |p_n^2 - p_{n+1}^2| \]  

where:\n
\( x_n = \frac{2\sqrt{P}}{N_0} \int_{t=-1}^{t} r(t) p(t - nT) \delta(t - \hat{e}T) dt \)

\( x_n'(0; \hat{e}) = \frac{2\sqrt{P}}{N_0} \int_{t=-1/2}^{t+1/2} r(t) p(t - nT - \hat{e}T) dT \)

and \( r(t) \) is now a real signal. A block diagram of one embodiment of a MAP estimation loop 1610 that uses \( \hat{e} \) of (46) as an error signal to control a timing pulse generator is
The hyperbolic tangent nonlinearity can be approximated by:

\[ \tan h x = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}} \]

For sufficiently small values of its argument, the hyperbolic tangent nonlinearity can be approximated by:

\[ \tan h x \approx \frac{x}{1 + x^2} \]  

(i.e., a linear function). Under this assumption, a MAP-motivated closed-loop synchronizer 1630 is illustrated in the block diagram of FIG. 35, and its performance is analyzed as follows. After known carrier phase demodulation by the carrier reference \( V_{2 \cos(w t)} \), the baseband signal input to the LDFTT is given by:

\[ r(t) = s(t, s) + n(t), \quad s(t, s) = N_{0} \sqrt{\frac{E}{2}} \]

where, consistent with the assumption of NRZ data, \( p(t) \) is a unit amplitude rectangular pulse of duration \( T \) sec and \( \{d_n\} \) is an iid±1 sequence with \( d \) representing the polarity of the \( n \)th data symbol. The additive noise is a white Gaussian process with single-sided power spectral density \( N_0 \) W/Hz. The local clock produces a timing reference for the I and Q arm hard limiter is replaced by a linear device. Under high SNR and 20 parameter yet provide a performance approaching that of the true optimum MAP estimation technique.

Furthermore, since the unknown symbol epoch is assumed to be uniformly distributed over the symbol interval, the MAP estimate is equivalent to the ML estimate. Moreover, the traditional closed-loop estimation scheme motivated by the MAP estimation approach employs an error signal derived from the derivative of the CLF which can be updated at intervals corresponding to the symbol time. Since for rectangular pulses (e.g., an NRZ data stream) the derivative of the CLF, which is related to the derivative of the pulse shape, is undefined, closed-loop structures motivated by the MAP estimation approach generally do not exist. Nevertheless, with suitable approximations of the derivative of the pulse shape, such as a closed loop (e.g., the DTTL) will in fact provide symbol synchronization for an NRZ data stream with rectangular pulses. The closed-loop approach provides a continuous updating (tracking) of the symbol timing (once per bit interval) which is desirable in the presence of channel dynamics whereas the open approach is usually regarded as either a one shot estimator (i.e., compute the MAP or MMS estimate based on a single observed long block of data), or a block-by-block estimator where the single shot is sequentially repeated over and over.

What is important to observe is that the open loop estimation techniques can be modified to provide sequential updates at the symbol rate to the symbol timing epoch estimates and as such resemble the closed-loop techniques with however, improved performance. As such, the presented invention includes a sequential digital implementation of the MAP estimation of symbol epoch that can track the dynamics in this parameter yet provide a performance approaching that of the true optimum MAP estimation technique.

The design of this filter and its associated closed-loop synchronization for an NRZ data stream with rectangular pulses. The closed-loop approach provides a continuous updating (tracking) of the symbol timing (once per bit interval) which is desirable in the presence of channel dynamics whereas the open approach is usually regarded as either a one shot estimator (i.e., compute the MAP or MMS estimate based on a single observed long block of data), or a block-by-block estimator where the single shot is sequentially repeated over and over.

The implementation in FIG. 36 (referenced as 1640) includes a running accumulator with uniform weighting. In other embodiments where the parameter is dynamic but slowly varying, one can assume that the unknown parameter is constant over a finite number of symbol intervals. As such, the uniform running accumulator is replaced with a weighted running accumulator which reflects a fading memory and is analogous to what is done in a closed-loop architecture by using a digital filter following the error signal. This embodiment (referenced as 1650) is illustrated in the block diagram of FIG. 37, wherein the feedback term is multiplied by a constant \( \alpha<1 \). This achieves a running accumulator with a geometric weighting which has the input-output characteristic:

\[ y_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n x_1 \]

Since the running accumulator also accomplishes the data detection (matched filter) function, then the epoch estimate index, \( i_0 \) of (55) may be used to determine in each symbol interval which running accumulator output to use for making a hard decision on that symbol.

When the pulse shape is other than rectangular, the modification that allows the bank of \( N \) correlations to be replaced by a sliding accumulator as in FIG. 36 is not possible. However, if \( N \) is large and the pulse shape is approximated by a piecewise constant staircase function with \( N \) steps, then the correlation of the received signal and the pulse shape in a quantization interval can be written as:

\[ f_{i_0} \]
that is necessary to allow for the inclusion of an arbitrary pulse shape is to replace the uniform sliding accumulator with a weighted sliding accumulator (see FIG. 36) where the weights are equal to the piecewise constant values of $p(t)$. Furthermore, for sufficiently large $N$, one can approximately replace the integral of over the quantization interval by the value of $r(t)$ at the midpoint of this interval the duration of this interval, $\Delta$. As such, the integrator at the input of FIG. 34 can be replaced simply by a uniform sampler at rate $1/\Delta$.

In addition to "optimum" symbol synchronizers, such as the ones discussed thus far that are motivated by the MAP estimation approach, the present invention encompasses other embodiments for determining the symbol timing. A block diagram of a real non-coherent version of a cross-spectrum synchronizer 1600 is illustrated in the block diagram of FIG. 38, wherein the input is a bandpass signal whose signal component is given by $s(t)e^{j\omega_0} = Re\{S(t)e^{j\omega_0}\}$, with $\omega_0$ denoting the carrier frequency.

Another exemplary embodiment of FSS 160 includes noncoherent versions of a DTTL. The low SNR version of the noncoherent DTTL, herein given the acronym NC-LDTTL is a parallel combination of two independent coherent LDTTLs acting on the I and Q baseband signals. FIG. 39 is a block diagram illustrating NC-LDTTL 1670. In one exemplary embodiment, it is important that a coarse estimate of symbol timing be provided to SNR module 134, and is derived from the same statistics as used to form this estimator itself. Benefits, other advantages, and solutions to problems have been described herein with regard to specific embodiments. However, the benefits, advantages, solutions to problems, and any element(s) that may cause any benefit, advantage, or solution to occur or become more pronounced are not to be construed as critical, required, or essential features or elements of the invention. All structural, chemical, and functional equivalents to the elements of the above-described exemplary embodiments that are known to those of ordinary skill in the art are expressly incorporated herein by reference and are intended to be encompassed by the invention.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method for autonomously receiving and decoding incoming signals of a variety of signal types without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of the incoming signals, comprising the steps of:
   a. receiving a signal of unknown signal type;
   b. making a first maximum likelihood estimate of a defining characteristic of said unknown signal type;
   c. utilizing said first estimate to make a second maximum likelihood estimate of another defining characteristic of said unknown signal type;
   d. selecting an appropriate decoder based on said first and second estimates; and
   e. decoding said signal with the selected decoder.

2. The method for autonomously receiving and decoding incoming signals of a variety of signal types without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of the incoming signals according to claim 1, wherein said step of making the first maximum likelihood estimate comprises a substep of making a maximum likelihood estimate of a modulation index of said received a signal of unknown signal type.

3. The method for autonomously receiving and decoding incoming signals of a variety of signal types without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of the incoming signals according to claim 2, wherein said substep of making a first maximum likelihood estimate further comprises a substep of tracking an offset frequency of said received signal of unknown signal type and outputting a signal having an estimated corrected frequency based on the incoming signal and the estimated likelihood estimate of the modulation index of said received a signal of unknown signal type.

4. The method for autonomously receiving and decoding incoming signals of a variety of signal types without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of the incoming signals according to claim 3, wherein said step of making a first maximum likelihood estimate further comprises a substep of deriving approximations of a maximum likelihood modulation classification based on said mixed signal from said mixing substep.

5. The method for autonomously receiving and decoding incoming signals of a variety of signal types without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of the incoming signals according to claim 4, wherein said step of making a first maximum likelihood estimate further comprises a substep of deriving approximations of a maximum likelihood modulation classification based on said mixed signal from said mixing substep.

6. The method for autonomously receiving and decoding incoming signals of a variety of signal types without a priori knowledge of the defining characteristics of the incoming signals according to claim 3, wherein said step of mixing the received signal of unknown signal type with the outputted signal having an estimated corrected frequency.

7. A system for receiving and decoding incoming signals without a priori knowledge of any defining characteristics of the incoming signals types, comprising:
   a. a radio receiver adapted to receive an incoming signal, said radio receiver including,
   b. a first estimator for making a first maximum likelihood estimate of a defining characteristic of said incoming signal,
   c. a second estimator for utilizing the first maximum likelihood estimate to make a second maximum likelihood estimate of another defining characteristic of said incoming signal,
   d. a decoder selector for selecting an appropriate decoder scheme based on said first and second maximum likelihood estimates, and
   e. at least one decoder for implementing the selected decoder scheme to thereby decode said signal.

8. The system for receiving and decoding incoming signals according to claim 7, wherein said receiver further comprises a modulation index module for making a first maximum likelihood estimate of a modulation index of said incoming signal.

9. The system for receiving and decoding incoming signals according to claim 8, wherein said receiver further comprises a frequency correction module in communication with said modulation index module for tracking an offset frequency of said incoming signal and for outputting a signal having an estimated corrected frequency based on the incoming signal and the estimated modulation index from said modulation index module.

10. The system for receiving and decoding incoming signals according to claim 9, wherein said receiver further comprises a multiplier for mixing the incoming signal with the outputted signal having an estimated corrected frequency from the frequency correction module.

11. The system for receiving and decoding incoming signals according to claim 10, wherein said receiver further comprises a joint data rate estimator, signal-to-noise ratio estimator, pulse shape classifier, and symbol timing estimator.
12. The system for receiving and decoding incoming signals according to claim 11, wherein said receiver further comprises a modulation classifier module for deriving approximations of a maximum likelihood modulation classification based on said mixed signal from said multiplier and the outputted signal with estimated corrected frequency.

13. The system for receiving and decoding incoming signals according to claim 12, wherein said receiver further comprises a carrier synchronization module for tracking a carrier phase of said incoming signal.

14. The system for receiving and decoding any of a variety of incoming signals of various signal types according to claim 13, wherein said at least one decoder further comprises a plurality of decoders, said receiver selecting an appropriate decoder based on said first and second maximum likelihood estimates to thereby decode said signal.