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ABSTRACT

Improvements to global and regional numerical weather prediction have been demonstrated through assimilation of data from NASA’s Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS). Current operational data assimilation systems use AIRS radiances, but impact on regional forecasts has been much smaller than for global forecasts. Retrieved profiles from AIRS contain much of the information that is contained in the radiances and may be able to reveal reasons for this reduced impact. Assimilating AIRS retrieved profiles in an identical analysis configuration to the radiances, tracking the quantity and quality of the assimilated data in each technique, and examining analysis increments and forecast impact from each data type can yield clues as to the reasons for the reduced impact. By doing this with regional scale models individual synoptic features (and the impact of AIRS on these features) can be more easily tracked. This project examines the assimilation of hyperspectral sounder data used in operational numerical weather prediction by comparing operational techniques used for AIRS radiances and research techniques used for AIRS retrieved profiles. Parallel versions of a configuration of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model with Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation (GSI) are run to examine the impact AIRS radiances and retrieved profiles. Statistical evaluation of a long-term series of forecast runs will be compared along with preliminary results of in-depth investigations for select case comparing the analysis increments in partly cloudy regions and short-term forecast impacts.

1. MOTIVATION

Since the launch of the Aqua satellite in 2002, assimilation of radiances from the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS; Aumann et al. 2003) has resulted in positive impact on numerical weather prediction (NWP) (e.g. McNally et al. 2006, LeMarshall et al. 2006, McCarty et al. 2009). As a result, radiance observations from AIRS have been routinely assimilated into operational the Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation (GSI; Wu et al. 2002) for global models, such as the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Environmental Modeling Center (EMC) Global Forecast System (GFS) and European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), and regional models, such NCEP EMC’s North American Mesoscale (NAM).

Current assimilation strategies for hyperspectral radiance observations only use cloud-free radiances from a 281-channel subset of the full 2378 channels (LeMarshall et al 2006). In addition, data are thinned to 120-km resolution (1 out of every 81 spatial footprint) in the regional system (Derber 2010). Because of these spectral and spatial thinning techniques, less than 1% of the total AIRS volume is used in the assimilation process (Goldberg et al. 2003). McCarty et al. (2009) demonstrated the importance of using more observations (spatially) within regional scale applications to capture synoptic patterns that might be missed by observations with larger horizontal spacing. This work also demonstrated that current cloud detection methodologies within the Community Radiative Transfer Model (CRTM; Han et al. 2006) may misplace the vertical extent of clouds in some instances leading to either 1) further reduction of clear radiances above cloud tops or 2) introduction of cloud-contaminated radiances.

The objective of the work described herein is to use Level 2 retrieved temperature and moisture profiles to better understand the optimal three-dimensional distribution of AIRS radiances assimilated within GSI to engage the operational data assimilation community regarding strategies for assimilating hyperspectral radiances. The Level 2 data contain the same information content as the radiances; however, through cloud clearing and error checking an estimate of where quality data from AIRS is possible can be found (Susskind 2006). Comparing the vertical pressure level above which quality observations are found in the retrieved profiles and the cloud top pressure
(CTP) determined by CRTM using cloud information from the Moderate resolution Infrared Spectroradiometer (MODIS) as a "ground truth", this paper will focus on how well the CRTM within GSI determines cloud-free radiances.

This work is conducted as a collaborative effort between the Joint Center for Satellite Data Assimilation (JCSDA) and Short-term Prediction Research and Transition (SPoRT) Center.

2. EXPERIMENT SETUP

Two parallel 4-week experiments with a 2-week spin-up were performed to test the impact of AIRS radiances and profiles on a version of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF; Skamarock et al. 2007) Nonhydrostatic Mesoscale Model (NMM) designed to mimic the operational NAM. The regional, 4-km resolution NAM system is used here for two reasons. First, the higher resolution domain allows for assimilation of a larger amount of data without running into horizontal correlation discrepancies. Second, the 4-km resolution allows for some cloud-resolving capabilities, which will allow for more detailed analysis of how CRTM and GSI designate CTP compared to MODIS.

Figure 1 illustrates the methodology of the NAM cycling, which involves 12 hour spin-up cycles prior to each analysis time (00, 06, 12, and 18 UTC) whereby data valid at each time are assimilated. Each pre-cycle consists of a series of GSI analyses at 3-hour intervals with a background coming from a WRF forecast from the previous 3-hour cycle. Observational data are obtained in 3-hour bundles (± 1.5 hours) and assigned a "time-minus" (TM) time describing which cycle they are to be assimilated in. As an example, ndas.t00z.airsev.tm06.bufr_d contains AIRS radiances to be used in the 0000 UTC pre-cycle that is valid at 1800 UTC on the previous day (i.e. 6 hours before 0000 UTC). This particular cycling methodology allows for satellite data not available in real-time due to data latency to still impact the NAM in the next cycle. For each experiment, satellite bias was 0.00 at the beginning of the 2-week spin-up (4-18 November 2011) and evolved as data was assimilated through the end of the 4-week case study period (19 November – 20 December 2011). All satellite (NCEP Table 19) and conventional (NCEP Table 4) observations assimilated operationally into the NAM as of late 2011 were also assimilated (See Table 1).

The WRF-NMM and GSI code used herein comes from the Developmental Testbed Center (DTC), which works collaboratively with EMC to transition its operational code to the research community. The experiments were conducted on the NASA Center for Climate Simulation (NCCS) Joint Center in a Big Box (JIBB) supercomputing system operated out of Goddard Space Flight Center and available to collaborators of the JCSDA.

The AIRS radiance experiment (RAD) uses...
all of the operational satellite and conventional datasets plus the AIRS Level 1B radiance data. Observation errors are identical to those used in the operational system. The AIRS profile experiment (PRO) uses all of operational satellite and conventional datasets but instead of the AIRS radiances, AIRS retrieved temperature and moisture profiles are assimilated. The AIRS profiles are assimilated by appending the conventional PREPBUFR files and treating them as radiosondes. Because of the way GSI introduces observation errors, the AIRS profiles assimilated as radiosondes are assigned observation errors that match the radiosonde observation errors. In the preprocessing of the AIRS retrieved profiles, the quality flag, $P_{\text{best}}$, is used to select data only the best data in the vertical for assimilation. Because $P_{\text{best}}$ uses information from the cloud-cleared radiances, it represents the amount of information that could be available from AIRS if cloud-clearing was used in the radiance methodology. For the results presented herein, no observation thinning was performed on the retrieved profile data, meaning that the PRO experiments represent a maximum amount of information in both the horizontal and vertical that could be obtained from AIRS.

### Table 1. Satellite and conventional observations assimilated in experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAD</th>
<th>PRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHS</td>
<td>N18, MetOp-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIRS</td>
<td>N17, N19, MetOp-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sounder</td>
<td>GOES11, GOES12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRS</td>
<td>L1B radiances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Sondes, Aircraft, SatWinds, METAR, BUOY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Overall Case Study Results

As mentioned in Section 2, a 4-week case study period from 20 November to 20 December 2011 was used to investigate the impact of assimilated AIRS observations on regional forecasts. Forecast impact on 500 hPa height and temperature anomaly correlation coefficients (ACC) are used to evaluate regions where the profiles have the largest positive forecast impact. These regions are then compared to MODIS CTP and effective cloud fraction (ECF) for regions to perform further investigations.

ACC is a measure of the quality of a forecast system that subtracts out a climatological average from both the forecast and analysis used for verification. It is calculated as:

$$ACC = \frac{(f - c)(a - c)}{\sqrt{(f - c)^2(a - c)^2}}$$

where $f$ is the model forecast value, $a$ is the verifying analysis value, and $c$ is a climatology value. Here, the verifying analysis, $a$, is the same-cycle analysis valid at the forecast time. The climatology values, $c$, are taken from the NCEP reanalysis climatology used by EMC to calculate ACC for their forecast systems, and interpolated using a nearest-neighbor approach, to the NAM 4-km grid.

Figure 2 shows 500 hPa height and temperature ACC differences between the radiance and profile 48-hr forecasts. The difference is RAD minus PRO. Thus, larger
ACC values (i.e. better forecasts) for the PRO experiment are in the cool greens and blue and for the RAD are in warm yellows and reds. For this time period, the largest differences between the PRO and RAD experiments are in the tropics and specifically over the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). In the Equatorial region, the PRO experiment performs much better than the RAD experiment. Between 10°S and 10°N latitude, the 500 hPa temperature ACC is 0.552 for the RAD and 0.667 for the PRO.

One of the key features of the Equatorial region is high humidity and a general presence of cloud cover. To better quantify the presence and vertical extent of cloud cover, a mean value of cloud state at each WRF grid point is derived from MODIS for the 4-week case study period. In Fig. 3, 5-km resolution MODIS Cloud Product data from Aqua (MYD06_L2) data are binned to the 4-km WRF grid using a nearest-neighbor methodology. Due to its collocation with AIRS, only MODIS data from Aqua are used to compile the mean cloud state to ensure accurate representation of cloud features at the time of AIRS overpasses. Figure 3a shows the mean ECF with warmer colors representing more overcast skies; Figure 3b shows the mean CTP with warmer colors representing lower cloud tops. From Fig. 3, there are persistent overcast skies over the North Atlantic and North Pacific. These appear to be mid-level clouds. Another feature of interest is the band of clouds near the Equator and over Northwestern South America likely associated with the ITCZ. The linear band of Equatorial clouds appears to be low in the atmosphere (between 700 and 800 hPa). This region of persistent low clouds is a prime target for further investigation into the differences between the vertical extents of data assimilated to better understand the forecast impact differences between the two experiments.

4. RESULTS FROM REPRESENTATIVE CASE: 22 NOVEMBER 2011

To investigate the cloud detection within the CRTM and GSI, a representative case (22 November 2011) is used. In particular, the ITCZ region over the Eastern Pacific is a focus due to the cloud features. Figure 4 shows a metric called the Impact Difference (ID), which is a measure of the difference in the analysis increment at a particular grid point. It is calculated as:

$$ ID_{i,j} = \left| ALYS_{i,j} - BKGD_{i,j} \right| - \left| PROALYS_{i,j} - PROBKGD_{i,j} \right| $$

where ALYS is the analysis and BKGD represents the background for each experiment. The value is calculated on a grid point-by-grid point basis (i,j). While this measure does not provide any guidance regarding which analysis is better based on some ground truth, the assertion is that the improved ACC values in the ITCZ region means that the analysis is moved closer towards a real atmospheric state. Due to the way the metric is calculated, negative values (greens and blues in Fig. 4) indicate larger analysis increments in the PRO experiment, and positive values (yellows and reds in Fig. 4) indicate larger analysis increments in the RAD experiment.

For the 0000 UTC analysis on 22 November 2011, the AIRS overpass valid at that time is focused on a swath that runs from Hawaii to Alaska. Figure 4 shows the temperature ID...
value for a zoomed in region Southeast of Hawaii at approximately 500 hPa (σ = 39) over the western edge of the low clouds. Here, there is a region of larger analysis impact from the PRO experiment on the order of 1.5 K.

Figure 5 shows the MODIS CTP product valid around 2240 UTC on 21 November 2011, coincident in time and space with the AIRS data assimilated in the 0000 UTC analysis on 22 November 2011. From the image, there are clear skies and very low-level clouds over the southern half of the swath. The northern half of the swath has high clouds with some patches of low- and mid-level clouds. The region where the ID has the largest negative value (i.e. PRO experiment has largest analysis impact compared to the RAD) occurs along the transition zone between the low and high clouds. Comparing the CTP estimates returned by CRTM/GSI for the assimilated AIRS radiances yields pretty good agreement with the MODIS CTP. However, there are a couple of areas where the CRTM/GSI CTP is too high (altitude-wise) compared to what is observed by MODIS. In particular, the transition region between the clear skies and low clouds in the south and the high clouds in the north appear to be mismatched. From MODIS, the CTP in this transition region appears to be between 700 and 800 hPa, but the CRTM/GSI CTP for this same region appears to be between anywhere between 300 and 600 hPa. Matching up the regions where there is a larger analysis impact in the PRO experiment reveals that these areas also contain misrepresented CTP from CRTM/GSI. Both areas of <1.0 ID values in Fig. 4 reveal clear skies and/or near-surface/low-level clouds (800-1000 hPa) in the MODIS CTP product (Fig. 5), but high clouds (300-600 hPa) in the CRTM/GSI CTP (Fig. 6).

As mentioned in Section 1, only channels that are detected as cloud-free are assimilated by GSI. Figure 7a shows the AIRS radiance locations assimilated in channel 253 (722.13 cm⁻¹), which peaks at 501 hPa. The locations of the assimilated AIRS radiances match pretty closely with values of MODIS CTP greater 500 hPa except for two holes in the clear/low-cloud region in the southern half of the swath associated with the region of larger profile impact. For comparison, the data assimilated in the PRO experiment at the 500 hPa level are shown in Fig. 7b. Recall, that these data are quality controlled using the Pbest variable, which designates the highest-quality retrievals. The assimilated profiles in the PRO experiment provide a better matchup to the CTP pattern in the MODIS data shown in Fig. 5 suggesting that there are still quality radiances from AIRS.
available at the 500 hPa level that could still be assimilated. Specifically, the holes in the assimilated radiance data in Fig. 7a are not present in the assimilated profile data locations in Fig. 7b.

5. SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

The preliminary results of a collaborative project between the JCSDA and SPoRT are presented. Parallel experiments assimilating AIRS radiances and profiles into a GSI/WRF-NMM configuration designed to mimic the operational NAM were performed for a 4-week case study from late 2011. Overall, the 500 hPa height and temperature ACC values in the Equatorial region are improved when profile data are assimilated instead of radiances. In this region, MODIS detects persistent, low clouds throughout the case study time period. Comparisons of the vertical extent of the assimilated radiances and profiles in the separate experiments to MODIS observations reveal that part of the cause of the improvement in the profile forecasts is linked to reduced analysis impact from the AIRS radiances in the mid-troposphere.

Future work will focus on assimilation experiments that adjust the thinning of the AIRS profiles to retain less data and the AIRS radiances to retain more data to determine how much of the increased analysis impact from the profiles results from the larger number of assimilated observations. We will also work to “turn knobs” within the CRTM/GSI cloud detection algorithms to better understand how changes might result in a larger number of radiances being assimilated and whether the analysis impact and forecast results are improved.
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Figure 7. Locations of assimilated AIRS observations for a) channel 253 (722.13 cm⁻¹; peak at 501 hPa) and b) Pₗ₉₉₉ value greater than 500 hPa for 0000 UTC analysis on 22 November 2011.


