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Abstract

The design, fabrication, and performance of truncated circular and square waveguide cross-sections are presented. An emphasis is placed upon numerical and experimental validation of simple analytical formulae that describe the propagation properties of these structures. A test component, a 90-degree phase shifter, was fabricated and tested at 30 GHz. The concepts explored can be directly applied in the design, synthesis and optimization of components in the microwave to sub-millimeter wavebands.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Square and circular waveguide are commonly used in antenna feed networks for dual polarization systems. Not only do these simple geometries lend themselves to analytical calculation but also to conventional methods of fabrication and alignment. Their high degree of symmetry enables one to achieve homogenous propagation for both polarization modes. Truncation of the guide cross-section under this condition enables the realization of broadband components for antenna applications [1], mode conversion [2,3], and polarization discrimination [4].

At times, however, it is desirable to intentionally introduce phase shifts between the two degenerate modes in the structure to alter the polarization state in this propagation environment. Differential loading (i.e., intentionally breaking the symmetry between the two propagation waveguide modes) is typically employed to achieve the desired birefringence [1]. In metallic
adiabatic waveguide structures this can be achieved through the introduction of perturbations in
the guide cross-section, differentially loading the guide walls with dielectric, or subwavelength
corrugations. Approaches to achieve this condition with discrete discontinuities include
waveguide apertures/irises or posts separated by quarter-wave delays. Electromagnetically similar
configurations with pockets, ridges, and septa can also be employed.

In going from single waveguide elements to freespace arrays of such structures, symmetry
imposes a useful correspondence [5] that has led to the waveguide simulator technique [6]
commonly used in the design of frequency- and polarization- selective surfaces. Examples that
arise in practice include artificial dielectric layers [7,8], quasi-optical filters [9,10], and multilayer
absorber structures [11]. From perspective of a waveguide simulator, the frequency in units of
guide cutoff frequency plays the role of the reciprocal of the sine of the scan angle in the modeled
response. This mapping enables physical insight into the response of such waveguide and
freespace analog structures. This is particularly useful in understanding the polarization response.
For example, at a finite angle of incidence, one observes that the response of a dielectric
(naturally-occurring or synthesized from guided-wave elements) is inherently birefringent. This
can be viewed as an implicit constraint on the intrinsic polarization purity that can be achieved
with uniform anti-reflection coating layers at finite incidence angle [8]. This interplay between
the field’s polarization state and the reflection balance of the two modes is a fundamental
consequence of the underlying boundary conditions and is anticipated from the Fresnel
coefficients at the interface and the symmetry of the artificial dielectric media [12]. As such, the
techniques described have applicability beyond the simple example presented here.

In practice, it is useful to have accurate and simple analytical expressions to perform these initial
steps in the design synthesis. Using a simple waveguide phase shifter as a worked example we
present and validate analytical formulae for the cutoff wavelengths in truncated square and
circular waveguides. The techniques introduced and demonstrated here at 30 GHz can be extended to practical devices tailored to waveguide and quasi-optical applications through the THz spectrum.

II. DESIGN APPROACH

For dual-mode phase shifters it is desirable to achieve minimal and balanced reflection for both polarizations states. To realize this condition, the cutoff wavelength of the parent waveguide can be set equal to the average of those for each of the two dominant propagating modes, 

$$\lambda_{ce} = \left( \lambda_{c^+} + \lambda_{c^-} \right) / 2.$$  

This can be seen by considering the magnitude of the discontinuity that results from each polarization’s wave impedance relative to the parent guide.

When used as a quarter wave-plate, the input field’s linear polarization is oriented 45-degrees with respect to the structure’s principle-axis. The discontinuities are illuminated equally by both modes and the return loss is further symmetrized. Thus, by construction, the indicated condition can help in achieving a broadband match with minimal junction reactance and dispersion over the design band.

Stationary approximations can be employed to bound the magnitude of the dominant eigenvalue in the guide [13]. This approach is particularly useful in addressing problems for which the boundary or boundary conditions do not lend themselves to the usual method of separation of variables yet are closely related by a variation on a similar problem. In this spirit we estimate the eigenvalue for the dominant mode of propagation for the truncated structure,

$$k_c^2 \leq \frac{\int_S |\nabla \psi|^2 dS}{\int_S |\psi|^2 dS}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( \lambda_c = 2\pi/k_c \) is the cutoff wavelength in the guide and the trial function, \( \psi \), satisfies the Helmholtz equation [14,15] over the guide cross-section, \( S \). The equality holds if the trial function
is an eigenfunction for the structure. Thus, for configurations that are closely related by symmetry this approach provides a convenient means of deriving analytical expressions for use in design synthesis. \(^1\) For rectangular and circular guides we find this bound provides sufficient accuracy to specify an initial design geometry that achieves the desired propagation constants for practical structures.

The reflection imbalances commonly observed in truncated waveguide polarizer sections are not fundamental. A relatively simple variation on theme can be used to improve the performance. To illustrate this we consider a simple transmission line model of the structure by computing the parallel and perpendicular eigenvalues for truncated waveguides following [17,18]. For square guide with truncated corners we compute the cutoff wavelengths and find to lowest order:

\[
\frac{\lambda_c^\perp}{2a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{a^2}{2a^2} \left[ 1 - j_{\perp}^2(\pi a'/a) \right] \right] + \ldots \quad (2a) \\
\frac{\lambda_c^\parallel}{2a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{a^2}{2a^2} \left[ 1 + j_{\parallel}^2(\pi a'/a) \right] \right] + \ldots \quad (2b)
\]

where \(a'/a\) is the normalized guide truncation as depicted in Figure 1 and \(j_{\pm}(x) = \sin(x)/x\) is the spherical Bessel function of order zero. The perpendicular case \((2a)\) agrees with [15]; however, we find that the parallel case \((2b)\) differs from the previously reported results.

Similarly, for circular guide with \(N_f\) truncated facets we find:

\[
\frac{\lambda_c^\perp}{\lambda_c} = 1 + \gamma_{\perp}^N_f \left( \sin 2\phi - 2\phi \cos 2\phi \right) + \ldots \quad (3a)
\]

---

\(^1\) For example, the dimensions of circular and square cross-sections with equal cutoff frequency can be bounded by such considerations [16]. If the cylindrical section has radius \(r\), the length of the side of a square section with equal area is \(\pi r^2/r\). Equating areas under the assumption of similar modal symmetry one obtains an estimate of dominant circular cutoff wavelength, \(\lambda_c(TE_{11})/2r \approx \sqrt{\pi} = 1.772\) which is within \(-4\%\) of the actual value, \(\lambda_c(TE_{11})/2r = \pi / \chi = 1.706,\) where \(\chi \approx 1.841\) is the first root of the \(J_1\). With similar accuracy the cutoff for a guide with hexagonal cross-section \((i.e., \theta = 30^\circ, \ a'/a = 0.5)\) may be estimated by comparison to a circular guide yielding, \(\lambda_c/2a = (\pi/2\sqrt{3}) (3\sqrt{3}/2)^{1/2} \approx 0.776\). Such analytical bounds can be used to guide the assessment of the accuracy of numerical results.
\[ \frac{\lambda_c''}{\lambda_c} = 1 - \gamma_4 N_j \cdot \left( \sin 2\phi - 2\phi \cdot \cos 2\phi \right) + \ldots \]  

(3b)

where \( \frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_c} \cdot \frac{d}{\chi} \equiv 1.706d \) is the cutoff of a circular guide with diameter \( d \), \( \chi \) is the first root of \( J_1 \), \( \phi \equiv \cos^{-1}(1 - 2t/d) \) is specified by geometry depicted Figure 2, and the constants,

\[ \gamma_{\perp} = \frac{J_1(\chi)}{4\pi \chi^2} \approx 0.0333 \]  

(4a)

\[ \gamma_{\parallel} = \frac{J_1(\chi)}{4\pi} \approx 0.1129 \]  

(4b)

are defined in terms of the Bessel functions, \( J_n \), for notational convenience. We explore the accuracy of these analytical expressions by modeling representative guide structures with a Time-Domain Transmission-Line Matrix solver [19]. Comparisons of the analytical and simulated eigenvalues are presented in Figures 1 and 2 for truncated square and circular cross-sections. These results are in agreement with previous work [20,21].

The response of simple 90-degree phase shifter as a function of geometry provides an instructive example of the use and validations of these expressions. We use the computed eigenvalues to calculate the differential phase shift, \( \Delta \theta = (k_{\parallel} - k_{\perp}) \cdot L \), for the parallel and perpendicular polarizations for a guide length, \( L \). The guide \( k \)-vector for each mode is computed from \( k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_c} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda} \right)^2} \) where \( \lambda_c \) is the radiation wavelength in free space.

An estimate of the waveguide junction reactance is incorporated in the transmission line model to compute the influence of the interfaces between the homogenous guide and the phase
shifter [22]. We find a single quarter-wave matching section at each end of the truncation is adequate to limit the influence of this discontinuity. The designs are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The “Inhomogeneous” structures in the table maintain the cutoff of the parent guide geometry in one plane while for the “<Homogenous>” structures the propagation properties are balanced on average as previously described. This choice maximizes the birefringence for a targeted level of reflection and component’s envelop.

III. MEASUREMENTS

A simple 90-degree phase shifter in circular guide based on the described design approach was fabricated in electroformed copper and evaluated to validate the eigenvalue estimates. The design parameters for the test structure are listed in the last line in Table 2, and a photograph of the prototype and calibration set is shown in Figure 3. Angular alignment of the electric field polarization in 45-degree increments was achieved with 0.0625” diameter ground dowel pins and thru holes in the interface flanges. Centering of the circular waveguide interfaces was realized by clamping the outer diameter flange surfaces, which by construction, are centered on the guide. This flange configuration enabled measurement at selected orientations.

The response was measured with a Hewlett-Packard 8510 Vector Network Analyzer using a TRL (Thru-Reflect-Line) calibration. The thru standard’s guide diameter and the test set interface transitions have the same cutoff as WR22.4. Measurements of the structure’s reflectance and differential phase shift are presented in Figure 4 along with full-wave simulations of the structure’s nominal design parameters.

The deviation between the observed response and the simulation predictions are consistent with the ~25 micron fabrication tolerances achieved in the realization of the electroformed waveguide. A summary of the design cutoff parameters for the structure is provided in Table 3. Spurious
modal excitation was not noted during testing of the prototype structure, and the observed ohmic loss was within a factor of ~1.16 of that computed for a copper structure of the same dimensions.

The accuracy of the transmission line circuit model is adequate but limited by the simplistic treatment of the junction’s reactance. For a multi-section phase shifter the circuit simulation would be useful for initial design estimates, however, additional refinement would be required to achieve an unbiased phase shift estimate.

IV. CONCLUSION

We present and validate analytical expressions that enable efficient computation of the propagation properties in truncated circular and square waveguides cross-sections. These equations allow efficient synthesis of dual-polarization components with balanced residual reflections. A simple phase shifter has been modeled, fabricated, and measured to validate the modeling techniques presented.
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Fig. 1. Cutoff Wavelength for Symmetrically Truncated Square Guide. Data presented are from the perturbation theory calculations (solid and dashed lines) and Time-Domain Transmission-Line Matrix solver simulations (diamond and square symbols) for representative geometries. Figure inset depicts the truncated square guide geometry and orientation of electric field polarization vector.
Fig. 2. Cutoff Wavelength for Symmetrically Truncated Circular Guide. Data presented are from perturbation theory calculations (solid and dashed lines) and Time-Domain Transmission-Line Matrix solver simulations (diamond and square symbols) for representative geometries. Figure inset depicts the truncated circular guide geometry and orientation of electric field polarization vector.
Fig. 3. Prototype Phase Shifter and TRL Calibration Standards.
Frequency ($f_c$)

| Power Reflection (dB)          | Simulation, ⊥ | Simulation, || | Measured Data, ⊥ | Measured Data, || |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| TE(1,1)                       | -20           | -10           | -10              | -10              |
| TE(1,0)                       | -30           | -20           | -20              | -20              |
| TE(0,1)                       | -30           | -20           | -20              | -20              |
| TE(0,2)                       | -30           | -20           | -20              | -20              |
| TE(2,1)                       | -30           | -20           | -20              | -20              |
| TE(2,0)                       | -30           | -20           | -20              | -20              |
| TE(0,1)                       | -30           | -20           | -20              | -20              |

Phase Shift (degrees)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Measured Data</th>
<th>Perturbation Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency ($f_c$)
Fig. 4. Phase Shifter Reflection for Parallel and Perpendicular Illumination (Upper). Data from the numerical simulation (dashed) and measurement of structure (solid) for each polarization are plotted in the upper figure. The diagram across the top indicates the mapping between modes in circular and square guides having similar symmetry. From reviewing the mode map at the top of the figure, one notes that no spurious higher order modes are excited and trapped in the structure.

Differential Phase Shift (Lower). Data are plotted for the perturbation theory parameters (square symbols), Time-Domain Transmission-Line Matrix solver simulations (grey line), and measurements of prototype structure (black line) in the lower figure. The cutoff frequency of parent guide and phase shifter length are $f_c = 26.36$ GHz and $L = 10a$.

### Table 1: Truncated Square Quarter-wave Phase Shifter Design Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delay Length</th>
<th>Parent Diagonal $a/\lambda_c$</th>
<th>Truncated Width $a'/a$</th>
<th>Reflection @ $\Delta \theta = \pi/2$</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L/\lambda_c</td>
<td>a/\lambda_c</td>
<td>a'/a</td>
<td>[dB]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.688</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>Inhomogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.897</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>&lt;Homogeneous&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.016</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>&lt;Homogeneous&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.067</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>&lt;Homogeneous&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Truncated Circular Quarter-Wave Phase Shifter Design Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delay Length</th>
<th>Parent Diameter</th>
<th>Truncated Step</th>
<th>Reflection @ $\Delta \theta = \pi/2$ [dB]</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.737</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>Inhomogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.002</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>&lt;Homogeneous&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.920</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>&lt;Homogeneous&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.955</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>&lt;Homogeneous&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Truncated Circular Phase Shifter Cutoff Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\lambda_c$ [mm]</th>
<th>$\lambda_c [2a_e]$</th>
<th>$f_c$ [GHz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-Perpendicular Truncation</td>
<td>11.76mm</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>25.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Parallel Truncation</td>
<td>11.01mm</td>
<td>0.9679</td>
<td>27.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Perpendicular / Parallel</td>
<td>11.38mm</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>26.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Homogenous Guide</td>
<td>11.38mm</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>26.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>