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Earth has been struck in the past by near-Earth objects (NEOs) that were sufficiently energetic, in terms of mass and impact velocity, to cause significant damage ranging from local or regional devastation to mass extinctions. Such impact events will occur again in the future and humanity is beginning to see the wisdom in planning ahead to be ready to respond to the next incoming NEO so that we will have the opportunity to mount an effective defense.

Some of the key factors in designing planetary defense systems include the size of the incoming NEO and the amount of warning time. The size of the NEO determines how much damage it would cause and places limits on our response options, while the warning time further constrains our options for dealing with the NEO. Opportunities to rendezvous with NEOs at a reasonable propellant mass cost tend to occur infrequently, so for scenarios in which the NEO impact event is known less than 10 years in advance, the most viable option will likely be a hypervelocity intercept in which our mitigation system is guided to intercept the NEO at high relative velocity because the propellant cost to match the NEO's velocity would be prohibitive.

Although large NEOs are capable of causing more damage than small NEOs, the small NEOs are far more numerous and thus a small NEO impact scenario is more likely within a given time frame, all else being equal. Unfortunately, small NEOs are faint in the night sky (because they have relatively little surface area to reflect sunlight) and are therefore harder to discover and track with ground-based telescopes in advance of when they would collide with Earth. Their faintness also makes small NEOs more difficult for a spacecraft to target, especially at high relative velocity. Thus the most challenging NEO mitigation scenario involves a NEO that is small (but large enough to survive atmospheric passage and cause ground damage) and for which we have a relatively short warning time, requiring a hypervelocity intercept for deflection or destruction of the NEO. A spacecraft system capable of reliably handling that scenario would of course be able to handle less stressing cases, i.e., more warning time, lower intercept velocities, and/or larger NEOs.

Work was recently performed towards the design of such a spacecraft system by the Mission Design Laboratory (MDL) of NASA Goddard Space Flight Center's Integrated Design Center (IDC). The MDL assessed the technical feasibility of reliably performing hypervelocity interception of a 50 m diameter NEO and developed a conceptual design for a spacecraft and mission operations support architecture for flight validation of the system. This research was funded by and in support of the recently awarded NASA Innovative Advanced Concepts (NIAC) Phase II study entitled “An Innovative Solution to NASA’s NEO Impact Threat Mitigation Grand Challenge and Flight Validation Mission Architecture Development.” The goals of this research project include designing a two-body Hypervelocity Asteroid Intercept Vehicle (HAIV) that will deliver a kinetic impactor to the target NEO to excavate a shallow crater within which the second portion of the spacecraft will detonate a Nuclear Explosive Device (NED) immediately thereafter to effect a powerful subsurface detonation capable of disrupting the NEO, as shown in Figure 1. Flight validation of this system is crucial because any NEO mitigation system must be thoroughly flight tested before it can be relied upon during a true emergency. To date no such flight validations have been performed.

In this paper we present a detailed overview of the MDL study results and subsequent advances in the design of GNC algorithms for accurate terminal guidance during hypervelocity NEO intercept. The MDL study produced a conceptual configuration of the two-body HAIV and its subsystems; a mission scenario and trajectory design for a notional flight validation mission to a selected candidate target NEO; GNC results regarding the ability of the HAIV to reliably intercept small (50 m) NEOs at hypervelocity (typically > 10 km/s); candidate launch vehicle selection; a notional operations concept and cost estimate for the flight validation mission; and a list of topics to address during the remainder of our NIAC Phase II study.
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The HAIV system and mission architecture were initially developed during a NIAC Phase I research study. The Phase II research is focused on further design of the system and development of a flight validation mission concept to demonstrate the capability to handle short warning time (< 10 years) NEO impact scenarios involving the more numerous smaller NEOs in the 50–100 m size category. Phase II tasks include three-dimensional hypervelocity impact and nuclear explosion modeling and simulation using next-generation GPU computers; HAIV design trades, including concepts for leader/follower vehicle separation such as a 10–20 m deployable boom versus free-flying (i.e., not physically connected) vehicles; study of various options for hypervelocity impact detectors/sensors; and design of GNC algorithms to enable robust and reliable precision impact with a small (50–100 m) irregularly shaped rotating NEO using advanced optical navigation and guidance logic. Ultimately our Phase II research will lead to the development of a proposal for a flight validation mission of the HAIV system, ideally within a mission cost cap of approximately $500M. We are also exploring the possible benefits of conducting ground experiments using scaled HAIV models instrumented with candidate hypervelocity impact sensors/detectors.

One of the primary objectives of the MDL study was assessment of the feasibility of accurately intercepting a small NEO with a spacecraft at high relative velocity. We chose to examine mission scenarios involving a NEO between 50 and 100 m in diameter and a velocity relative to the NEO at intercept of approximately 11.5 km/s. We sought to assess the capability of the system design to achieve impact within 10 m of the center of the NEO with 3σ confidence. To accomplish this, the MDL designed and analyzed a two-body HAIV, along with supporting mission and ground architecture, capable of reliably delivering a notional NED payload to a target NEO and returning adequate telemetry for validation of the system performance.

The trade space for selecting some of the desired flight validation mission target NEO characteristics is shown in Figure 2(a). For the notional flight demo target we selected from among known NEOs whose orbits are completely interior or exterior to the Earth’s orbit to ensure that the flight validation mission would have no chance of causing the NEO, or fragments of it, if any, to subsequently impact the Earth. We performed intercept trajectory scans to identify candidate targets based on their orbital and physical characteristics in the context of our mission design criteria. The notional target we selected is near-Earth asteroid (NEA) 2006 CL9. This NEA has an absolute magnitude (a measure of optical brightness) of 22.73, which corresponds to a diameter of 104 m if its geometric albedo is 13% or 75 m if its geometric albedo is 25%. This NEA has a measured rotation period (derived from photometry in the form of lightcurve data) of 0.145 hours ± 30%. According to NASA’s NHATS website, this NEA may be observable again in June of 2014.

Such future observations may provide an opportunity to improve its Orbit Condition Code (OCC),
Figure 2. Trade space for selecting a target NEO for a flight demonstration mission and the mission trajectory for the selected notional target, 2006 CL₉.

(a) Depiction of the trade space for selecting a target NEO for a flight demo mission. (b) Ecliptic plane projection of the orbits of Earth (blue) and notional flight demo target NEA 2006 CL₉ (violet), along with the HAIV intercept trajectory (green).

Relative Velocity at Intercept

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Least Challenging</th>
<th>Notional Flight Demo</th>
<th>Most Challenging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 500 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 km/s</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.5 km/s</td>
<td>30 km/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NEOs smaller than 50 m in size are less likely to cause significant ground damage and will be difficult to discover far enough in advance for the foreknowledge to be actionable.

*http://www.minorplanetcenter.net/iau/info/UValue.html*
magnitude of 13 with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 10 within a 5 second exposure. A sequential Kalman filter was used for the relative state estimation during terminal guidance and multiple measurement error sources were modeled, including spacecraft state knowledge errors, attitude knowledge errors, centroid pixel noise, and maneuver execution errors.

A Monte Carlo analysis was performed for the terminal guidance phase showed that 56% of the cases meet the 10 m accuracy requirement, yielding a 3σ accuracy of 24 m around the NEO center. These results are visualized in Figure 4. Thus, one of the outputs of the MDL study was a list of additional research tasks to improve terminal guidance to achieve 10 m (3σ) impact accuracy.

In this paper we will provide further details regarding the MDL results for the HAIV spacecraft and mission architecture design in the context of a future flight validation mission. We will also present new results obtained subsequent to the MDL study in which we have made progress in both the research areas originally outlined in our Phase II research plan and those identified by the MDL study. In particular, we will focus on new results in the development of advanced GNC algorithms for precision hypervelocity impact with small (50 m diameter) NEOs across a range of representative simulation scenarios. These new results build upon the preliminary results shown herein from the MDL study.