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Abstract

We present a multipoint case study of solar wind and magnetospheric observations during a transient magnetospheric compression at 2319 UT on October 15,
2008. We use high-time resolution magnetic field and plasma data from the THEMIS and GOES-11/12 spacecraft to show that this transient event corresponded to an abrupt rotation in the IMF orientation, a change in the location of the foreshock, and transient outward bow shock motion. We employ results from a global hybrid code model to reconcile the observations indicating transient inward magnetopause motion with the outward bow shock motion.

1. Introduction

The interaction of interplanetary discontinuities with the Earth’s bow shock and magnetopause has been the subject of intense research for many years. A host of observational studies have demonstrated that both boundaries lie nearer Earth during intervals of enhanced solar wind dynamic pressure (and magnetosonic Mach number) [e.g., Fairfield, 1971; Shue et al., 1997; Merka et al., 2005]. Working within the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) framework, Volk and Auer [1974], Wu et al. [1993], Cable and Lin [1998], and Samsonov et al. [2007] showed that the interaction of an interplanetary discontinuity marked by a density/dynamic pressure increase with the bow shock launches the full set of forward and reverse fast, slow, and intermediate mode waves into the magnetosheath. The fast forward wave propagates through the magnetosheath and strikes the magnetopause. Here it launches another fast forward mode wave into the magnetosphere and the magnetopause moves inward. The fast reverse wave becomes the new bow shock, which also moves Earthward. These results lead one to expect a step function increase in the solar wind dynamic pressure to initiate
abrupt inward motion of the bow shock and magnetopause, as well as an abrupt increase in the magnetospheric magnetic field strength and pressure.

There have also been many observational studies concerning the response of the bow shock, magnetopause, and magnetosphere to varying solar wind conditions. Zhang et al. [2009] employed THEMIS observations to time the decelerating inward motion of the bow shock, magnetopause, and transmitted discontinuities that occurred in response to the arrival of an interplanetary shock. Safrankova et al. [2007] showed that the bow shock rebounds following abrupt changes in its location. Koval et al. [2005; 2006], Keika et al. [2009], Andreeva et al. [2011], and Volwerk et al. [2011] presented results from numerical simulations and observations indicating that interplanetary shocks deform upon encountering the bow shock to become concave discontinuities that slow down and engulf the magnetosphere as they pass through the magnetosheath. Nemecek et al. [2011] and Andreeva et al. [2011] presented evidence for the faster antisunward propagation of the transmitted disturbances through the magnetosphere than in the solar wind itself.

Results from hybrid code simulations suggest that this simple picture sometimes needs modification. They indicate that hot flow anomalies accompany the interaction of some interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) discontinuities with the bow shock [Omidi and Sibeck, 2007]. Hot flow anomalies lie centered on the discontinuities upstream from the point where they intersect the bow shock. They are bounded by shocks that also extend upstream from the Earth's bow shock, and exhibit greatly heated and deflected solar wind plasmas. Bundles of IMF field lines connected to the bow shock often excavate cavities of depressed magnetic field strength and density bounded by compressional boundaries in
the region upstream from the bow shock, but exhibit no shocks, heated plasmas, or
deflected flows [Omidi et al., 2009]. **The signatures of hot flow anomalies and**
foreshock cavities have been seen in the magnetosheath, and the corresponding
pressure variations may cause large amplitude magnetopause motion and
perturbations of the magnetospheric magnetic field [Paschmann et al., 1988; Sibeck
et al., 1999].

Transient (1-10 min duration) magnetic field and plasma events are common in
the vicinity of the dayside magnetopause. They have been attributed to boundary waves
driven by solar wind dynamic pressure variations [e.g., Sibeck et al., 1989], unsteady
magnetopause merging and the generation of flux transfer events (FTEs) [e.g., Russell
and Elphic, 1978], the Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instability [e.g., Southwood, 1979] and
impulsive plasma penetration [e.g., Lemaire, 1977]. Korotova et al. [2011] showed that
one such transient event observed at the magnetopause with FTE characteristics was in
fact produced by the interaction of the solar wind and bow shock when a complicated
sequence of varying IMF directions and solar wind pressures created significant effects,
including inward bow shock and magnetopause motion, compressions of the
magnetosphere, and the transient event itself.

In this paper we present a multipoint THEMIS case study of a transient event
with FTE-like bipolar Bn signatures in the direction normal to the magnetopause
observed just inside the pre-noon magnetopause at ~2319 UT on October 15, 2008.
Observations indicate that this event was associated with a single transient outward
motion of the bow shock. We use a global hybrid code model to explain the observations,
demonstrating that an IMF tangential discontinuity launches the pressure pulse that
triggers both the transient magnetospheric event and the unusual outward bow shock motion.

2. Data sets, spacecraft, orbits

The five THEMIS spacecraft carry identical instruments. The ESA electrostatic analyzer on each THEMIS spacecraft measures the distribution functions of 0.005 to 25 keV ions and 0.005 to 30 keV electrons over $4\pi$ steradian, providing accurate high time resolution plasma moments, pitch angle and gyrophase particle distributions as often as each 3s. [McFadden et al., 2008]. The FGM triaxial fluxgate magnetometer measures the background magnetic field and its low frequency fluctuations up to 64 Hz [Auster et al., 2008]. The spacecraft return magnetic field vectors, omnidirectional particle spectra, and plasma moments computed on-board once every 3s throughout their orbit. We compare the THEMIS observations with 0.5s time resolution GOES geosynchronous magnetic field observations [Singer et al., 1996].

3. Spacecraft observations

Figure 1 shows the locations of five THEMIS and GOES 11 and 12 spacecraft from 2230 to 2400 UT on October 15, 2008. THEMIS A, D and E moved through the pre-noon magnetosphere outbound from GSM (X, Y, Z) = (6.72, -7.83, -1.91) $R_E$ to (7.78, -7.55, -1.76) $R_E$ and inbound from (8.59, -1.87, 0.32) $R_E$ to (7.92, -1.01, 0.45) $R_E$ and from (8.86, -2.28, 0.25) $R_E$ to (7.68, -0.75, 0.49) $R_E$, respectively. THEMIS B and C were nominally in the solar wind just outside the pre-noon bow shock, moving from GSM (X, Y, Z) = (4.37, -22.50, -4.01) $R_E$ to (5.00, -23.34, -3.66) $R_E$ and from (10.63, -16.22, -1.52)
From 2313 to 2323 UT on October 15, 2008 all three THEMIS A, D and E spacecraft in the magnetosphere observed a long-duration (~10 min) transient event with magnetic field perturbations characteristic of FTEs. Figure 2 presents the magnetic field and plasma moments in GSM coordinates from 2300 UT to 2340 UT observed by THEMIS A, which was closest to the magnetopause and saw stronger magnetic field and plasma signatures. The transient event at 2319 UT was marked by bipolar (-,+) and (+,-) 5 nT signatures in the Bx and By components, respectively, a positive monopolar variation in the Bz component, and a ~ 13 nT enhancement in the total magnetic field strength. The event is superimposed upon an abrupt increase in the total magnetic field strength at THEMIS A from a minimum value of 37 nT before the event to a maximum value of 42 nT after the event. THEMIS D and E observed similar ~13 nT enhancements in the total magnetic field strength (not shown). However, THEMIS A observed a sharper increase in the magnetic field strength and greater perturbations in the Bx and By components, presumably because it was closer to the magnetopause. The transients might be FTEs or waves on the boundary. In either case, they correspond to only slight indentations on the magnetopause. In the ~45 nT magnetic field observed by
THEMIS A, a 5 nT perturbation in the direction normal to the nominal magnetopause corresponds to a ~6° indentation in the magnetopause surface.

The plasma observations also show transient features typical of magnetospheric FTEs: an increase in density, decrease in temperature, northward (+Vz) and sunward (+Vx) flows, a bipolar (+,-) variation in the Vy component, and a ~ 120 km/sec increase in the total velocity. As described by Korotova et al. [2009], the passage of FTEs displaces the ambient media. Signatures to be observed by a spacecraft within the magnetosphere include inward/outward flow velocities in the direction normal to the nominal magnetopause and flows opposite the direction of event motion (here the Vx and Vz signatures). The northward and sunward flows observed in the magnetosphere outside this event indicate that the event itself was moving southward and antisunward along the magnetopause.

As in the previous study of Korotova et al. [2011], we interpret the event in terms of a transient magnetospheric compression and not a burst of reconnection. There are two reasons for this. First, the event is long (~10 min) and was observed deep within the magnetosphere. Such events have previously been attributed to pressure pulses [e.g., Korotova et al., 2011]. Second, the southward and antisunward motion of the event inferred from perturbations in the flow velocities is inconsistent with the postulated location of THEMIS A northward and dawnward of a tilted subsolar reconnection line for the observed duskward and southward IMF orientation [Korotova et al., 2009].

Figure 3 presents GOES 11 and GOES 12 magnetic field observations at early and late post-noon local times, respectively. They show that the magnetospheric compression at the time of the transient event was widespread, consistent with the suggested
interpretation of the event [e.g., Korotova, et al., 1997, 2004]. GOES 11 (~1420 LT) observed bipolar magnetic field signatures in the Bx component indicating an indentation while GOES 12 (~1820 LT) does not.

Table 1. Arrival times of peak magnetospheric compressions in the transient event observed by THEMIS A, D, E and GOES 11/12 and the discontinuity observed by THEMIS B and C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft</th>
<th>Observed Peak Time (UT)</th>
<th>Fit Peak Time (UT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS B</td>
<td>2319:48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS C</td>
<td>2315:33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-11</td>
<td>2318:03</td>
<td>2318:06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS E</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2318:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-12</td>
<td>2319:34</td>
<td>2319:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS D</td>
<td>2319:15</td>
<td>2319:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS A</td>
<td>2319:36</td>
<td>2319:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The high time resolution data shown in Figure 4 lets us time the motion of the transient event through the magnetosphere. Only the perturbations are shown, a (different) constant value has been removed from each of the traces. We employ two methods. First, we compare the times of the magnetospheric magnetic field strength maxima observed by GOES-11/12 and THEMIS A and D with the times at the centers of the magnetosheath intervals observed by THEMIS B and C. This method does not work for THEMIS E because this spacecraft observed a more complicated signature with at least two peaks in the total magnetic field strength. The results, shown in the second column of Table 1, indicate event motion from GOES-11 dawnward towards the other
spacecraft and duskward to GOES-12. **Second, to estimate the errors involved in this**
method, we also fit higher order polynomials to 5-7 min long intervals encompassing
the magnetospheric events and compared the peak values in the fits to the times at
the centers of the magnetosheath intervals observed by THEMIS B and C. The
results, shown in the third column of Table 2, confirm the sense of propagation and
differ by 3-13s from those obtained by the first method. For future reference, note
that the magnetic field strength begins to increase at 2301 UT at GOES-11 and near
2303 UT at GOES-12.

In search of solar wind triggers for the transient magnetospheric compression we
inspected ACE, Wind and THEMIS B and C observations for corresponding signatures.
ACE was located far upstream at $\sim 244 \text{ R}_E$ during the period of interest and the lag time
for the propagation of disturbances to the Earth was about one hour. Figure 5 presents
ACE magnetic field and velocity observations from 2200 UT to 2240 UT. ACE observed
a discontinuity at $\sim 2218 \text{ UT}$, when it was located at GSM (X, Y, Z) = (242.0, -25.6, -18.6) $\text{R}_E$. Although the discontinuity was more complicated than a simple rotational or
tangential discontinuity, we calculated its normal as the cross-product $\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{B}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_2) / (|\mathbf{B}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_2|)$, where $\mathbf{B}_1$ and $\mathbf{B}_2$ are the mean magnetic fields before and after the
discontinuity. The result of the calculation is presented in Table 2 and indicates that the
normal pointed dawnward, southward, and antisunward. Solar wind discontinuities with
this orientation should first encounter the post-noon bow shock and then sweep
southward and both dawnward and duskward, consistent with the aforementioned
observations. Wind lies far ($\sim 90 \text{ R}_E$) off the Sun-Earth line during the period of interest.
Because its observations do not indicate a single pronounced discontinuity and differ strikingly from those at ACE, we use ACE as the appropriate distant upstream monitor. THEMIS B and C were located closer to Earth and provide us with better opportunities to study upstream conditions. Figures 6 and 7 present their observations of the ion flux spectra, magnetic field, and plasma in the vicinity of the bow shock from 2300 UT to 2340 UT. The interval can be divided into three very different parts. From 2300 to 2314:42 UT at THEMIS C and from 2300 to 2318:12 UT at THEMIS B, the spacecraft were in the quasi-parallel foreshock as indicated by $\Theta_{Bn} < 45^\circ$, where $\Theta_{Bn}$ is the angle between the interplanetary magnetic field and the normal to the local portion of the scaled Fairfield bow shock. The foreshock intervals were characterized by disturbed and slightly negative Bx and Bz components, a positive By component and a total magnetic field strength of $\sim$5 nT. This spiral IMF orientation connected the spacecraft to the pre-noon bow shock. Plasma parameters provide further evidence for increased wave activity during the foreshock. The plasma flow was predominantly antisunward with a velocity of $\sim$320-330 km/sec, density and temperatures oscillated near 2 cm$^{-3}$ and 100 eV, respectively. The dynamic pressure was $\sim$0.3-0.4 nPa. IMF Bz was near zero. As expected on the basis of past work, the velocity within the foreshock observed by THEMIS B and C (Vx and Vtot) was slower than that observed by ACE in the pristine solar wind. Finally, the ion flux energy spectra show the presence of superthermal ions with energies of $\sim$10 keV, a good indicator of the foreshock [Fairfield at el., 1990].

The bow shock moved outward during the second interval, from $\sim$2314:42 UT at THEMIS C and $\sim$2318:12 UT at THEMIS B for 2-3 min. These are magnetosheath
intervals because the density and temperature increased to 8-9 nT and 150-250 eV, respectively, the total velocity decreased to 150-180 km/sec, the velocities were deflected dawnward, and the ion flux energy spectra broadened indicating the presence of 0.01-1 keV ions. Although there are sharp increases in density and magnetic field strength on one or both sides of these intervals, these are not the signatures of hot flow anomalies, which are identifiable on the basis of density decreases, sharp flow deflections, and large temperature increases. Because THEMIS C was at least 0.5 R_E further from the bow shock along its local normal than THEMIS B was from the bow shock along its local normal, the amplitude of the bow shock motion was at least 0.5 R_E.

The third interval occurred after the bow shock moved back Earthward past THEMIS C at 2316:24 UT and THEMIS B at 2321:24 UT. The Bx components of the magnetic field became positive, resulting in orthospiral IMF orientations that did not connect the THEMIS spacecraft to the bow shock. Upon exiting the magnetosheath, the spacecraft were initially in a transitional region between the quasi-parallel and quasi-perpendicular foreshock with $\Theta_{Bn} \sim 45^\circ$. After 4-5 min, $\Theta_{Bn}$ increased greatly, indicating that the magnetic field pointed nearly perpendicular to the nominal normal to the bow shock. As a result, wave activity in the magnetic field and plasma parameters stopped and these parameters became steady. The total magnetic field strength and temperatures decreased to 3 nT and 30 eV, respectively, but the density increased up to 3.2 cm$^{-3}$. The solar wind dynamic pressure increased to 0.5-0.6 nPa.

IMF Bz was near zero. The $\sim$10 keV ions disappeared from the energy spectra. There was not much change in the THEMIS plasma flow: the Vz component decreased from $\sim$ -25 to $\sim$ 0 km/s, i.e., the flow became less southward. Contrary to THEMIS, ACE did not
observe any change in the $V_z$ component while the $V_y$ component decreased from ~17-20 nT to -5-0 nT after the discontinuity. Discrepancies in the ACE and THEMIS $V_y$ and $V_z$ components could be due to spatial variations in the solar wind.

As indicated in Table 1, THEMIS C saw the rotation in the IMF and outward motion of the bow shock before B. It took ~ 4:15 min for the IMF discontinuity to propagate from C (2315:33 UT) to B (2319:48 UT), indicating an IMF discontinuity with a normal very inclined to the Sun-Earth line that is moving slowly dawnward. To determine the orientation of the interplanetary discontinuity from the THEMIS B and C observations, we assumed that it was a tangential discontinuity and calculated its normal as a cross-product. Table 2 presents the results for the normals to the discontinuity observed by THEMIS B and C. As in the case of the ACE observations, they indicate that the normal to the discontinuity pointed dawnward, southward, and antisunward. Differences in the precise orientations of the discontinuities at ACE, THEMIS B, and THEMIS C result from errors, spatial variations in the interplanetary discontinuity, and perturbations associated with disturbed magnetic field directions in the foreshock. The arrow in the bottom left corner of Figure 1 illustrates the normal to the tangential discontinuity calculated from THEMIS B observations. Using the positions of THEMIS B and C, the observed 330 km s$^{-1}$ solar wind velocity, and the normal for the discontinuity calculated from the THEMIS B observations, we estimate a lag time of ~7 min from THEMIS C to B, somewhat longer than that observed, confirming that although the sense of the normal to the discontinuity is correct, its precise orientation is not very well determined.
We should also compare the time when the discontinuity passes THEMIS C to the time when its effects are felt in the magnetosphere. THEMIS C encounters the magnetosheath during an interval centered on 2315:33 UT. Using the normal to the interplanetary magnetic field discontinuity computed from the THEMIS B observations and the observed solar wind velocity, we find that the interplanetary magnetic field discontinuity should have encountered the bow shock at a position directly upstream from the GOES-11 spacecraft at GSM (x, y, z) = (14, 4, 0) \(R_E\), some 17 min before it reached THEMIS C, i.e. at 2258 UT. Past studies indicate that IMF features require 4-8 min to cross the magnetosheath [Freeman and Southwood, 1988; Etemadi et al., 1988]. The resulting arrival times of 2302 to 2306 UT are slightly later than the time when the magnetospheric magnetic field strength begins to increase at GOES-11, about 2301 UT according to Figure 4.

Normals to the bow shock crossings observed by THEMIS B and C oscillate in the manner expected for an antisunward and dawnward propagating wave on the bow shock. We used the coplanarity theorem for estimating shock normals [Lepping and Argentiero, 1971] to determine the orientation of the bow shock at its crossings by THEMIS B and C, \(\mathbf{n} = \pm \frac{(\mathbf{B}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_2) \times (\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{B}_1)}{|(\mathbf{B}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_2) \times (\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{B}_1)|}\), where \(\mathbf{B}_1\) and \(\mathbf{B}_2\) are the mean magnetic fields before and after the bow shock crossings. Table 2 presents results from these normal calculations. Figure 1 shows the normals (\(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4\)) to the modified bow shock shape as the “bulge” passes THEMIS C and B. The bulges are shown at two times. First (solid curve), when only the outward bulge is present on the bow shock. Second (dashed curve) when an outward bulge is present on the dawn bow shock and an inward bulge (grey curve) on the post-noon magnetopause.
The normals are deflected from directions expected for the nominal bow shock and oscillate in the manner expected for an antisunward and southward moving wave on the bow shock boundary, as expected for the derived orientation of the driving interplanetary discontinuity. The similarity of the normals observed by THEMIS B and C (see Table 2) suggest that the shape of the bulge did not change much as it propagated dawnward from THEMIS C to THEMIS B.

Knowing that the bow shock moved outward from ~2314:42 to 23:16:24 UT at THEMIS C and from ~2318:12 to 2321:24 UT at THEMIS B we determined that the outward bulge on the bow shock moved dawnward with a velocity of ~251 km/sec. Given the durations of the event at each location, this bulge had a dimension of 4.8 R_E in the vicinity of THEMIS C and 7.55 R_E in the vicinity of THEMIS B. Since THEMIS C was located ~0.5 R_E further from the average position of the bow shock than THEMIS B, we suppose that THEMIS C observed the crest of the bulge while THEMIS B observed its full width.

Summarizing the results of this section, the sequence of events observed by THEMIS B and C suggests an explanation in which the bow shock briefly moved outward, perhaps by a transient decrease in the solar wind dynamic pressure applied to the magnetosphere. By contrast, the sequence of event observed by all the spacecraft in the magnetosphere suggests an explanation in which the magnetosphere was briefly compressed, perhaps by a transient increase in the solar wind dynamic pressure. The observations could be reconciled if the IMF discontinuity caused a transient outward motion of the bow shock in addition to launching a transient pressure increase into the magnetosheath. To test this hypothesis, we must examine the predictions of a global hybrid code model.
Table 2. Solar Wind Discontinuity and Bow Shock Normals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft</th>
<th>Representative Times</th>
<th>Bow Shock</th>
<th>Discontinuity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nx</td>
<td>ny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>2216:13 - 2219:25</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS C</td>
<td>2313:55 - 2316:32</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS B</td>
<td>2317:38 - 2322:05</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS C</td>
<td>2314:28 - 2314:58</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS C</td>
<td>2316:05 - 2316:38</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS B</td>
<td>2317:59 - 2318:32</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEMIS B</td>
<td>2321:15 - 2321:45</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Description of global hybrid code model.

We examine output from a global hybrid model similar to that presented by Omidi and Sibeck [2007] in which ions are treated kinetically via particle-in-cell methods and electrons form a massless fluid. The simulation plane corresponds to the noon-midnight meridian plane with Y pointing northward (see Figure 8). Solar wind plasma enters the simulation domain from the left boundary and leaves through the three remaining boundaries. Although the magnetosphere is 7 times smaller than that of the Earth, the model still captures the relevant physics. The simulation retains all three components of the electromagnetic fields and plasma flows. The solar wind Alfvén Mach number is set to 12, ion and electron betas are set to 0.3. Cell sizes in the
simulation are \( 1 c/\omega_{pi} \) where \( c \) is the speed of light and \( \omega_{pi} \) is the proton plasma frequency, and the resistive scale length is \( 0.3 c/\omega_{pi} \). The simulation box extends to \( 2000 c/\omega_{pi} \) in X and Y directions respectively with the Earth’s dipole centered at \( X = 1500 \) and \( Y = 1250 \). Prior to the arrival of the tangential discontinuity, the IMF lies in the X-Y (meridional) plane, whereas it rotates at the discontinuity to develop a duskward Z component. There is no change in the magnetic field strength, density, velocity, or temperature across the discontinuity.

Figure 8 shows a color intensity plot of the predicted density normalized to the solar wind density in a region centered on the southern foreshock and the bow shock. We wish to call attention to two features: (1) an outward motion of the bow shock following the passage of the tangential discontinuity and (2) a front marked by a transient increase in the density (pressure) launched into the magnetosheath.

Concerning the first topic, we note that a highly turbulent foreshock lies upstream from the quasi-parallel bow shock at locations antisunward (to the right) of the tangential discontinuity. By contrast, the solar wind is in a pristine condition upstream from the quasi-perpendicular bow shock at locations sunward (to the left) of the tangential discontinuity. As indicated by the density contours in Figure 8, the passage of the discontinuity causes the bow shock to move outward from a position nearer Earth in the quasi-parallel configuration to one further from Earth in the quasi-perpendicular configuration. These results are consistent with results from the simulation reported by Thomas and Winske [1990], observations from Venus reported by Zhang et al. [1991], and observations of the terrestrial bow shock reported in Figure 5 of Verigin et al. 2001. Because the bow shock lies along the locus of points where the components of the
solar wind velocity and magnetosheath fast mode speed normal to the bow shock balance, and fast mode speeds are greater perpendicular than parallel to magnetosheath magnetic fields, theory predicts outward bow shock motion for a transition from quasi-parallel to intermediate or quasi-perpendicular shocks.

The actual tangential discontinuity on October 15, 2008 was accompanied by an increase in the solar wind density and therefore dynamic pressure, as indicated by the jumps in density from times before the magnetosheath encounters to times after the magnetosheath encounters in Figures 6 and 7. This increase in the solar wind dynamic pressure should push the bow shock (and magnetopause) inward, not outward. The actual motion of the bow shock must therefore be the sum of the outward motion associated with the rotation in the IMF direction and inward motion associated with the step function increase in the solar wind dynamic pressure. The outward motion of the bow shock can therefore be transient.

To simulate the sequence of events that would be observed by a spacecraft initially just upstream from the quasi-parallel bow shock during the passage of the tangential discontinuity, we take a cut of the plasma and magnetic field observations along the line labeled “L” in Figure 8 that grazes the bow shock. Figure 9 shows that the spacecraft first observes the turbulent quasi-parallel foreshock, briefly enters the magnetosheath, and then reenters the solar wind upstream from the quasi-perpendicular bow shock. This is very similar to the scenarios seen by THEMIS B and C, as shown in Figures 6 and 7.

Concerning the second topic, we note that the simulation indicates the transmission of a transient density increase into the magnetosheath. To simulate the
sequence of events that would be observed by a spacecraft initially in the magnetosheath, we take a cut of the plasma and magnetic field observations across this increase, i.e. along the line labeled “L1” in Figure 8. Figure 10 shows that the spacecraft observes a transient increase in the density and dynamic pressure, but no significant change in the total velocity, temperature, or magnetic field strength as the density front passes by. This transient increase in density must be added to the step function increase in the solar wind density observed on October 15, 2008, resulting in a transient compression of the magnetosphere superimposed upon a step function increase in magnetospheric magnetic field strengths. Inspection of Figures 2 and 3 shows that this is precisely the case for the THEMIS A and GOES 11 magnetospheric magnetic field strength observations.

5. Conclusions

We presented a multipoint THEMIS case study of a transient event observed inside the pre-noon magnetopause at 2319 UT on October 15, 2008. Multipoint observations indicate a global compression of the magnetosphere corresponding to a transient outward bow shock motion. We used results from a global hybrid code model for the interaction of an IMF tangential discontinuity with the bow shock to reconcile the observations. The arrival of a discontinuity that transforms the bow shock from quasi-parallel to quasi-perpendicular launches a narrow density front into the magnetosheath that briefly compresses the magnetosphere when it strikes the magnetopause. The same discontinuity initiates outward bow shock motion and contributes to an additional compression of the magnetospheric magnetic field.
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**Figure Captions**

Fig.1. Locations of THEMIS A, B, C, D, E and GOES 11 and 12 in the GSM X-Y plane from 2230 UT to 2400 UT on October 15, 2008. The bulges are shown at two times.

First (solid curve), when only the outward bulge is present on the bow shock.

Second (dashed curve) when an outward bulge is present on the dawn bow shock and an inward bulge (grey curve) on the post-noon magnetopause. Normals (n1, n2, n3, n4) to the modified bow shock (BS) shape are shown as the “bulge” passes THEMIS C and B. The curve labeled MP shows the corresponding inferred inward deformations of the magnetopause. The arrow in the bottom left corner of the figure illustrates the normal to the tangential discontinuity observed by THEMIS B.
Fig. 2. THEMIS A plasma and magnetic field observations from 2300 UT to 2340 UT on October 15, 2008. From top to bottom, the panels show the Bx, By, Bz components of magnetic field in GSM coordinates and total magnetic field strength, the ion density, the velocities in GSM coordinates, the ion temperatures perpendicular and parallel to magnetic field. **Dashed lines bound the transient event.**

Fig. 3. GOES-11 and -12 magnetic field observations in GSM coordinates from 2300 UT to 2340 UT on October 15, 2008. Arrows show a compression of the magnetosphere.

**Fig. 4. Variations in the total magnetic field strength observed by GOES-11 and -12, THEMIS A and D from 2300 to 2330 UT on October 15, 2008.** A constant value has been subtracted from each trace so that they can be graphed on the same scale.

Fig. 5 ACE observations of the magnetic field and velocity in GSM coordinates from 2200 UT to 2240 UT on October 15, 2008. The arrow indicates a discontinuity.

Fig. 6. THEMIS C observations of ion energy spectra, plasma and magnetic field from 2300 UT to 2340 UT on October 15, 2008. From top to bottom, the panels show the flux spectrogram for ions in the range of energies from 2 eV to 25 keV (ESA), $\Theta_{Bn}$, the angle between the magnetic field and the local bow shock normal, dynamic pressure, Bx, By, Bz components of magnetic field in GSM coordinates and total magnetic field, the ion density, the velocities in GSM coordinates, the ion temperatures perpendicular and parallel to magnetic field. The spacecraft began the interval in
the quasi-parallel foreshock ($\Theta_{Bn} < 45^\circ$). Two vertical dashed lines bound a brief period in the magnetosheath. Upon exiting the magnetosheath, the spacecraft was in a transitional region between the quasi-parallel and quasi-perpendicular foreshock ($\Theta_{Bn} \sim 45^\circ$). The third vertical dashed line marks the transition to the quasi-perpendicular bow shock ($\Theta_{Bn} > 45^\circ$).

Fig. 7. The same as for Fig.6 except for THEMIS B observations.

Fig. 8. Color intensity plot of density in the run for a portion of the simulation box (noon-midnight meridian plane) containing the dayside and post-noon bow shock. The density is normalized to the solar wind density, X points antisunward and Y points northward.

Fig. 9. Snapshots of ion Vx and Vy velocities, magnetic field strength, and density along the cut labeled “L” in Figure 8. Velocities are normalized to the Alfvén speed in the solar wind while the magnetic field and density are normalized to their corresponding values in the solar wind.

Fig. 10. Snapshots of magnetic field strength, temperature, magnitude of the ion velocity, density and dynamic pressure along the cut labeled “L1” in Figure 8. Velocity is normalized to the Alfvén speed in the solar wind while the magnetic field and density are normalized to their corresponding values in the solar wind.
Fig. 1. Locations of THEMIS A, B, C, D, E and GOES 11 and 12 in the GSM X-Y plane from 2230 UT to 2400 UT on October 15, 2008. The bulges are shown at two times. First (solid curve), when only the outward bulge is present on the bow shock. Second (dashed curve) when an outward bulge is present on the dawn bow shock and an inward bulge (grey curve) on the post-noon magnetopause. Normals (n1, n2, n3, n4) to the modified bow shock (BS) shape are shown as the “bulge” passes THEMIS C and B. The curve labeled MP shows the corresponding inferred inward deformations of the magnetopause. The arrow in the bottom left corner of the figure illustrates the normal to the tangential discontinuity observed by THEMIS B.
Fig. 2. THEMIS A plasma and magnetic field observations from 2300 UT to 2340 UT on October 15, 2008. From top to bottom, the panels show the Bx, By, Bz components of magnetic field in GSM coordinates and total magnetic field strength, the ion density, the velocities in GSM coordinates, the ion temperatures perpendicular and parallel to magnetic field. Dashed lines bound the transient event.
Fig. 3. GOES 11 and GOES 12 magnetic field observations in GSM coordinates from 2300 UT to 2340 UT on October 15, 2008. Arrows show a compression of the magnetosphere.
Fig. 4. Variations in the total magnetic field strength observed by GOES-11 and -12, THEMIS A and D from 2300 to 2330 UT on October 15, 2008. A constant value has been subtracted from each trace so that they can be graphed on the same scale.
Fig. 5 ACE observations of the magnetic field and velocity in GSM coordinates from 2200 UT to 2240 UT on October 15, 2008. The arrow indicates a discontinuity.
Fig. 6. THEMIS C observations of ion energy spectra, plasma and magnetic field from 2300 UT to 2340 UT on October 15, 2008. From top to bottom, the panels show the flux spectrogram for ions in the range of energies from 2 eV to 25 keV (ESA), Θ_Bn, the angle between the magnetic field and the local bow shock normal, dynamic pressure, Bx, By, Bz components of magnetic field in GSM coordinates and total magnetic field, the ion density, the velocities in GSM coordinates, the ion temperatures perpendicular and parallel to magnetic field. The spacecraft began the interval in the quasi-parallel foreshock (Θ_Bn < 45°). Two vertical dashed lines bound a brief period in the magnetosheath. Upon exiting the magnetosheath, the spacecraft was in a transitional region between the quasi-parallel and quasi-perpendicular foreshock (Θ_Bn ~ 45°). The third vertical dashed line marks the transition to the quasi-perpendicular bow shock (Θ_Bn > 45°).
Fig. 7. The same as for Fig. 6 except for THEMIS B observations.
Fig. 8. Color intensity plot of density in the run for a portion of the simulation box (noon-midnight meridian plane) containing the dayside and post-noon bow shock. The density is normalized to the solar wind density, X points antisunward and Y points northward.
Fig. 9. Snapshots of ion Vx and Vy velocities, magnetic field strength, and density along the cut labeled “L” in Figure 8. Velocities are normalized to the Alfvén speed in the solar wind while the magnetic field and density are normalized to their corresponding values in the solar wind.
Fig. 10. Snapshots of magnetic field strength, temperature, magnitude of the ion velocity, density and dynamic pressure along the cut labeled “L1” in Figure 8. Velocity is normalized to the Alfvén speed in the solar wind while the magnetic field and density are normalized to their corresponding values in the solar wind.