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Abstract—This study addresses the retrieval of spatially contiguous canopy cover and height estimates in southwestern US forests via inversion of a geometric-optical (GO) model against surface bidirectional reflectance factor (BRF) estimates from the Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR). Model inversion can provide such maps if good estimates of the background bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) are available. The study area is in the Sierra National Forest in the Sierra Nevada of California. Tree number density, mean crown radius, and fractional cover reference estimates were obtained via analysis of QuickBird 0.6 m spatial resolution panchromatic imagery using the CANopy Analysis with Panchromatic Imagery (CANAPI) algorithm, while RH50, RH75 and RH100 (50%, 75%, and 100% energy return) height data were obtained from the NASA Laser Vegetation Imaging Sensor (LVIS), a full waveform light detection and ranging (lidar) instrument. These canopy parameters were used to drive a modified version of the simple GO model (SGM), accurately reproducing patterns of MISR 672 nm hand surface reflectance (mean RMSE = 0.011, mean \( \mathbb{H} = 0.82 \), \( N = 1048 \)). Cover and height maps were obtained through model inversion against MISR 672 nm reflectance estimates on a 250 m grid. The free parameters were tree number density and mean crown radius. RMSE values with respect to reference data for the cover and height retrievals were 0.05 and 6.65 m, respectively, with \( \mathbb{H} \) of 0.54 and 0.49. MISR can thus provide maps of forest cover and height in areas of topographic variation although refinements are required to improve retrieval precision.

Index Terms—Biomass, canopy, forestry, lidar, modeling, multi-angle, topography.

I. INTRODUCTION

This study uses high quality reference data derived from high spatial resolution (0.6 m) panchromatic imagery and full waveform lidar to investigate the accuracy with which forest canopy cover and height can be retrieved by adjustment of a geometric-optical canopy reflectance model against multiangle 672 nm reflectance data from MISR, a moderate resolution imager on NASA’s Terra satellite. Forest canopy cover and height are important first-order canopy structure parameters in basic and applied ecological research. They reflect tree density, successional stage, canopy response to stand-replacing fires and other disturbance, forest health, susceptibility to fire, species distribution, wildlife habitat, and carbon storage in aboveground woody biomass. In the southwestern United States the need for forest canopy cover, height, and biomass mapping capabilities has never been more pressing, with open canopy forests expected to come under increasing stress from climate-related disturbance, e.g., unusually severe and extensive wildfires, mortality from insect and pathogen outbreaks, as well human population and management factors (e.g., grazing, fire suppression) in the coming decades [1]–[6]. Since the mid-1970s the southwestern US has warmed rapidly and precipitation has decreased leading to more intense drought [7]; moreover, there is a consensus that trends towards warming and increased potential evapotranspiration will cause negative water balances by the middle of the century [8], [9]. This will affect forests importantly: higher elevations—where most southwestern forests exist—have experienced greater warming than low elevations. The implication is that if temperature and aridity follow their expected trajectories, these forests will experience substantially reduced growth during this century [6]. Warmer winters and earlier melting of the snowpack and drying of soils and fuels in the spring are already linked to more intense drought [7]; moreover, there is a consensus that trends towards warming and increased potential evapotranspiration will cause negative water balances by the middle of the century [8], [9]. This will affect forests importantly: higher elevations—where most southwestern forests exist—have experienced greater warming than low elevations. The implication is that if temperature and aridity follow their expected trajectories, these forests will experience substantially reduced growth during this century [6].
uses canopy cover and tree size guidelines based on the need to provide habitat for sensitive species such as the California spotted owl (Strix occidentalis occidentalis). At present the Forest Service often estimates habitat suitability from sparse plot data using a model, the Forest Vegetation Simulator [10].

Lidar, radar, and passive multangle imaging all have a role to play in mapping these forest parameters at regional scales. For example, the Geoscience Laser Altimeter System—a large footprint profiling waveform lidar that was flown on the Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite—has provided good estimates of canopy height over large areas [11] but did not provide contiguous mapping. Airborne instruments such as the NASA Laser Vegetation Imaging Sensor (LVIS, [12]) can provide robust, precise, and contiguous estimates of canopy height and other structural measures [13] but only sporadically and over relatively small areas. Radar data can provide estimates of canopy height when additional elevation data are available [14]; and aboveground biomass when calibrated with lidar or ground measurements [15]. Multangle passive solar wavelength reflectance data from moderate resolution instruments provides a third option that has some advantages but also presents important challenges, discussed below.

For assessing changes in forest at regional scales and larger it is necessary to adopt an approach that will provide information on a regular basis and with as long a record as possible. The estimates must have both the accuracy and precision sufficient to differentiate real from spurious trends and to resolve changes from forest degradation or re-growth as well as large changes from harvesting or fire. It is relatively straightforward to detect total forest loss from a large, severe wildfire with a technology that provides a cover estimation precision of ±30% but it would be impossible to say anything meaningful about gradual or subtle changes, such as those arising from selective logging and recovery, especially over periods of less than 10 years. This implies that there is utility in data from moderate resolution instruments (i.e., those with instantaneous fields-of-view (IFOVs) of 100–300 m) that provide a long record and have good spatial and temporal coverage. However the data are difficult to interpret in terms of structural attributes such as canopy cover and height; canopy physical quantities must be inferred from variation in the remotely-sensed signal with respect to wavelength, polarization, and/or viewing and illumination angles, rather than from information available in the spatial domain, as with photogrammetric methods. Moreover, the results are difficult to validate because of the large ground resolution element of >250 m: it is difficult and expensive to obtain ground-based measurements that cover the range of conditions sufficiently well. Plot data that may be used with high- and medium-resolution imagery—such as the U.S. Forest Service’s Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) survey data—are at the wrong scale for use with moderate resolution imagery because the 36 m diameter FIA plot size is almost an order of magnitude too small.

There are several approaches to mapping canopy cover and height over large areas using moderate resolution multangle satellite remote sensing but they may be divided into two broad categories: empirical and physical. The empirical approach relies on calibration of models whose parameters while potentially meaningful are not physical quantities (e.g., the coefficients of a regression equation or the connection weights used in an artificial neural network). The physical approach exploits models that describe the variation in the remotely-sensed signal with respect to wavelength, polarization, and/or viewing and illumination angles. Both categories involve models of varying complexity, from simple to highly complex and with few or many parameters. Heiskanen [16] and Kimes et al. [17] provide examples of empirical approaches to interpretation of multangle data (exploiting regression and artificial neural networks, respectively); while Widlowski et al. [18], Schull et al. [19], Zeng & Schaepman [20], Chopping et al. [21]–[23], Wang et al. [24], and Laurent et al. [25] are some examples of physical approaches (BRDF models, geometric-optical models, directional escape probabilities). These studies have shown that it is possible to access the information on canopy structure that is encapsulated in multangle reflectance data.

The approach pursued in this study involves adjusting a geometric-optical (GO) model in a framework in which the contribution of the (non-tree) background at different viewing and illumination angles is estimated for each location using a dynamically-estimated bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF), rather than a static surface BRDF or brightness value [21]–[23]. A BRDF model provides both reflectance magnitude and anisotropy (i.e., the angular distribution of the outgoing radiation field with respect to the surface and the solar direction), rather than only the isotropic, or diffuse, scattering component. Specification of the background BRDF—or at least the part of it corresponding to the viewing and illumination angles of the observations—is critical for accurate GO canopy reflectance modeling. The background has long been recognized as an important component of the remote sensing problem [26], [27] and remains an area of active research [28]. In this study the background BRDF is estimated via least-squares regression, using the kernel weights of a BRDF model that has been adjusted against the available set of bidirectional reflectance factor (BRF) observations.

Two previous published studies have demonstrated the utility of red band multangle BRF data from MISR in such a GO model inversion framework (hereafter termed MISR/GO) for forest canopy mapping. In a first study over an area of ~200,000 km² in New Mexico and Arizona, retrievals of fractional cover (fcov) and mean canopy height were assessed against United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Interior West (FS-IW) map series [21]. However the FS-IW estimates were derived using an empirical modeling technique that ingested moderate resolution multispectral products from the NASA MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), as well as other geospatial inputs and so are not suitable for validation purposes, especially for structural parameters such as canopy height [22], [29]. A second study assessed canopy height retrievals against high resolution discrete return lidar heights from the NASA Cold Land Processes Experiment (CLPX) in the Colorado Rockies. Forest height RMSE distributions with respect to the CLPX lidar estimates were centered between 2.5 and 3.7 m while $R_2$ distributions were centered between 0.4 and 0.7 ($\text{N} = 57$) [22].

While good compatibility was found between MISR/GO cover, height, and aboveground biomass retrievals and the FS-IW estimates and height retrievals were accurate with respect to high resolution lidar height measurements, initial
attempts to map the entire southwestern US using a MISR/GO method produced anomalous results in some places. For example, in locations where the topography is complex and sometimes extreme, cover was severely overestimated and height severely underestimated [30]. This can happen because of the non-uniqueness of solutions when adjusting a model using numerical methods and the pattern of modeled BRFs is very similar with different parameter sets (i.e., the same minimum error within the defined convergence precision can be obtained with more than one solution). Such artifacts may be owing to model inadequacy, poor estimates of the background BRDF, geometric effects of topography, residual atmospheric or intrinsic noise in the BRF data, and/or problems with the inversion protocol (e.g., sensitivity to the starting point).

This study uses robust high quality reference data derived from analysis of high spatial resolution (0.6 m) panchromatic imagery and full waveform lidar to investigate the precision and accuracy with which forest cover and height can be retrieved by adjustment of a GO model against multiangle reflectance data from MISR. Lidar data acquired from the air provide the best means of adequately assessing MISR/GO height retrievals; in this study height metrics from the NASA LVIS full waveform lidar with a nominal spot size of 20 m were used. Accurate estimates of tree density and crown cover, as well as first order tree heights from shadowing were provided by the CANopy Analysis with Panchromatic Imagery (CANAPI) algorithm that was developed to provide maps of these parameters using high spatial resolution (0.5–1 m) panchromatic imagery [31]. These data are required for validation of MISR/GO retrievals and also to drive forward-modeling runs that provide an indication of whether the model is able to accurately reproduce BRF patterns at MISR observation geometries; they are described more fully below.

II. METHODS

In this study tree number density, mean crown radius derived from QuickBird imagery with CANAPI and canopy height from analysis of LVIS waveforms were used to drive a geometric-optical canopy reflectance model in forward mode (to test the ability of a model to predict the 672 nm wavelength radiation field above the canopy as seen by MISR)—as well as in inverse mode (to extract background BRDFs for calibration of the regression models that provide the weights required for calculation of the background contribution for each location, prior to adjusting the model to obtain fractional crown cover and mean canopy height). The following sections describe the data and procedures followed. Please see Table I for a list of the data sets used and their spatio-temporal extents.

A. Study Area

The study area is centered on the area surveyed by LVIS in September 2008 in the Sierra National Forest in the southern Sierra Nevada Mountains of California, USA (Fig. 1). It encompasses an area of 65,625 ha (37° 10’ 34” N, 119° 17’ 40” W by 36° 31’ 26” N, 118° 18’ 22” W) with elevation ranging from ~850 to 2,700 m. The area includes upland forest in the western part and high desert grassland and scrub in the eastern part. The upland area covers a wide range of vegetation associations including fir, pine, mixed conifer, mixed hardwood and conifer forests sparsely interspersed with meadows. Common tree species include red fir (Abies magnifica), white fir (Abies concolor), ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa), Jeffrey pine (Pinus
jeffreyi), and incense cedar (Calocedrus decurrens) [32]. Tree canopy cover can range from completely open in meadows, rocky ridges, and scree slopes to very dense (but rarely exceeding 50%), or fractional cover = 0.5. The topography is highly variable, often complex, and sometimes extreme, with many steep slopes, some well over 40°. There is high variation in slope and aspect within 250×250 m mapped MISR cells, with standard deviations between 0°–13° (slope) and 2°–158° (aspect). On the mountain range precipitation ranges from 500–2030 mm during fall, winter, and spring and occurs mostly as snow above 1828 m. Summers are dry with low humidity, and temperature averages 5.5–15.5°C with a growing season lasting 20–230 days. On the foothills precipitation ranges from 510–1020 mm, temperature averages 13–18°C, and the growing season lasts 200 to 320 days [33].

B. MISR Data Sets and Processing

The MISR instrument is flown on Terra, the first of NASA's Earth Observing System satellites that was launched in December 1999. The instrument consists of nine pushbroom cameras arranged to view along-track that acquire image data with nominal view zenith angles relative to the surface reference ellipsoid of 0.0°, ±26.1°, ±45.6°, ±60.0°, and ±70.5° (forward and aft of the Terra satellite) in four spectral bands (446, 558, 672, and 866 nm) at 1.1 km spatial resolution. The 672 nm (red) band images are also acquired with a nominal maximum cross-track ground spatial resolution of 275 m in all nine cameras and all bands are acquired at this resolution in the nadir camera [34]. Except as otherwise noted, MISR data products are obtained using the MISR Order and Customization Tool hosted at the NASA Langley Atmospheric Science Data Center (http://10dup05.larc.nasa.gov/MISR/cgi-bin/MISR/main.cgi).

The high resolution (275 m) surface BRF is obtained by first performing a linear regression of the red band 1.1 km MISR surface BRFs against the red band 1.1 km MISR top-of-atmosphere BRFs to obtain the regression coefficients for each of the nine camera view data sets. The regression is performed on the data within each 17.6 km region, producing a grid of regression coefficients, which then are smoothed to eliminate discontinuities between regions. The smoothed regression coefficients are then applied to the red band 275 m top-of-atmosphere MISR BRFs to produce red band 275 m land surface BRFs at the nine camera angles. Top-of-atmosphere BRFs contaminated by sun glint from water surfaces are excluded from input to the regression coefficient calculations.

The surface BRF regression algorithm utilizes four MISR data products: the MISR Level-1B2 Terrain-projected Radiance product (MI1B2T); the MISR Level-1B2 Geometric Parameters product (MI1B2GEEP); the MISR Level-2 Land Surface product (MIL2ASLS); and the Ancillary Geographic product (MIANCAGP). The MI1B2T product provides 275 m terrain-projected top-of-atmosphere radiance in all 4 spectral bands for the nadir view; and in the red band for off-nadir views. Sun and view geometries from the MI1B2GEEP product are combined with a land/water mask from the MIANCAGP product to determine view angles and surfaces susceptible to sun glint. The MIL2ASLS product provides surface BRFs at 1.1 km.

The MISR data used in this study were from Terra orbit 46009 (August 11, 2008), path 41, blocks 60–61. The data were resampled onto a 250 m grid in the Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 11N, WGS84 spheroid/datum. The latest operational MIL2ASLS product (version 22) available from the Langley data center lacked adequate coverage in the study area, primarily due to a topographic complexity constraint imposed by the MISR aerosol algorithm ([35], sections 3.3.1.2.2 and 3.3.8.2.5). Relaxing the regional and subregional topographic complexity thresholds to 1000 m (from 500 m and 250 m, respectively), substantially improved coverage in mountainous regions. Additional coverage was gained by allowing the aerosol retrieval to proceed without the ±7°1.5° views, when doing so improved coverage. This latter modification is also relevant in mountainous regions due to topographic obscuration of the oblique camera views. The improved MIL2ASLS product was used as input to the surface BRF regression.

C. Forest Canopy Cover and Statistics From Panchromatic Imagery

The CANopy Analysis with Panchromatic Imagery (CANAPI) algorithm allows the calculation of estimates of crown cover, mean crown radius, tree number density, background (non-tree) brightness, and tree height, over large areas [31]. The algorithm identifies crowns in high resolution panchromatic imagery by finding the crescent-shaped areas that correspond to illuminated crowns. It accomplishes this by rotating the original image so that the sun direction is at the top and applying normalization and convolution operations to emphasize sunlit crown pixels (Fig. 2). CANAPI produces maps of idealized (circular) crowns, crown centers, radii, and tree height from shadow-following, wherever possible (Fig. 3). Statistics such as tree number density and mean crown radius can then be calculated for a window of user-selected dimensions, with the mean radius calculated after removing a few values at the edge of the distribution because the maximum is often the result of misidentification of several crowns as one and the minimum is constrained by pixel size.
Here, CANAPI estimates of number density and mean crown radius were calculated for 250 \times 250\,\text{m} windows corresponding to mapped MISR cells, using QuickBird 0.6\,\text{m} spatial resolution panchromatic imagery acquired on June 25, 2003. The area corresponds to 32 \times 34\,\text{MISR cells}, providing a reference data set with N = 1088. This particular image was selected because it is one of the few available that provides extensive views of the surface during the summer season. There is the possibility that the forest may have changed over the five-year period between the acquisition of the QuickBird imagery and the other data sets, owing to disturbance. In Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forest the main disturbances affecting canopy conditions are fire and bark beetle mortality; wind damage, pests and snow breakage are very rare, localized events. While it is not absolutely certain that there were no major disturbance events in the validation area over the 2003–2008 period, this seems unlikely: it is known that there were no fires in the Teakettle Experimental Forest, a 1300\,\text{ha} old growth watershed about 2\,\text{km} to the east that has been intensively studied (e.g., [36]). Bark beetles and other pests and pathogens were studied at Teakettle over this period [37]; while there were chronic levels of bark beetle damage these affected a very small fraction of trees and there were no significant droughts—which are a catalyst for increased beetle mortality—during this period. In the absence of these major disturbances there were likely only small changes in primary forest canopy structural properties (crown cover, mean height) over the period June 2003–September 2008.

CANAPI provides very accurate estimates of fractional cover. When these estimates were compared with those derived from the extensive and detailed Teakettle Ecosystem Experiment (TEE) database of field measurements collected between 1998 and 2001 for fifteen 200\,\text{m}^2 plots [36], they yielded an absolute RMSE of 0.03 and an adjusted R^2 of 0.92, significant at the 99% level [31]. Maximum CANAPI-derived heights showed a good spatial agreement with LVIS RH100 (100% energy return) maximum canopy height estimates interpolated onto a 20\,\text{m} grid, although the CANAPI map includes far fewer very tall trees and the relationship was rather weak over the entire range of RH100 values, with obvious underestimation and anomalies at low and high values owing to the inability to obtain heights for all trees falling in the calculation window (shadows may be truncated by crowns or the edge of the window). However when the range 3\,\text{m} to 60\,\text{m} (61% of the total) was considered then the R^2 was 0.94, significant at the 99% level, with a relative RMSE of 0.25 and an absolute RMSE of 13.9\,\text{m} that reflects the divergence from the 1:1 line [31].

D. Lidar Canopy Heights

The lidar data used in this study were collected by the Laser Vegetation Imaging Sensor (LVIS) [12]. LVIS is a full-waveform laser altimeter system optimized to measure canopy structure across large areas. In September of 2008, as a part of an experiment for NASA’s Deformation, Ecosystem Structure and Dynamics of Ice (DESDynI) mission, LVIS mapped a large portion of the Sierra National Forest with a 1–2\,\text{km} wide swath in a series of parallel flight tracks (Fig. 1). Flying at high altitude LVIS produced millions of (nominal 20-m diameter) footprints at the surface. The data from the LVIS surveys used here were collected on Day 4 of the campaign (September 26, 2008) and cover approximately 7,400\,\text{ha} (37° 01’ 16”N, 119° 09’ 09”W by 36° 56’ 60”N, 119° 02’ 58”W). They are entirely within the area covered by the MISR imagery. The waveforms were analyzed by the LVIS science team to provide ground elevation and canopy height estimates and made available via the LVIS web server at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center [38]. The products used were the ground elevation estimates (“LGE”) with respect to the International Terrestrial Reference Frame 2000 (horizontal coordinates)/WGS84 Ellipsoid (vertical coordinates) and the canopy relative height metrics RH50, RH75, and RH100 (“LCE”). In the LVIS product, the height at which the indicated percentage of energy has been returned is estimated; thus RH100 is a measure of maximum tree height within the lidar footprint while RH50 is the height of median energy (HOME). RH75 is the height at which 75% of the energy is returned to the sensor. The mean incidence angle of the LVIS data set was 2.8° with a standard deviation 1.4°; none of the data were acquired at an incidence angle greater than 10°. The LVIS RH50, RH75, and RH100 values within each mapped MISR 250\times 250\,\text{m} cell were averaged to obtain first-order canopy height reference data sets, thus these data are not original LVIS data but quantities derived from them. The LGE elevations were used to construct a 37\,\text{m} horizontal resolution digital elevation model (DEM, Fig. 4) that was used to effect first-order corrections to the RH100 values for slope.

E. Modified Simple Geometric-Optical Model

Geometric-optical (GO) models are able to resolve statistical distributions of discrete objects within an instrument’s instan-
Simple geometric-optical models treat the surface as an assemblage of discrete objects of equal radius, shape and height, evenly distributed within a spatial unit. A tree or shrub crown is represented by a spheroid whose center is located at a specified mean height above a background with defined reflectance magnitude and anisotropy (i.e., the background is represented by a BRDF model). These models predict the top-of-canopy reflectance response to important canopy physical parameters (e.g., plant number density, foliage volume, mean canopy crown height, radius, and crown shape, background brightness and anisotropy) as a linear combination of the contributions from sunlit and viewed, and shaded and viewed crown and background components [40], [41]:

\[ R = G.k_G + C.k_C + T.k_T + Z.k_Z \] (1)

where \( R \) is bidirectional spectral reflectance factor; \( k_G, k_C, k_T \) and \( k_Z \) are the GO modeled proportions of sunlit background, sunlit crown, shaded crown and shaded background, respectively; and \( G, C, T, \) and \( Z \) are the contributions of the sunlit background, sunlit crown, shaded crown, and shaded background, respectively. GO functions have been used in Li-Ross BRDF models [42], [43] and are particularly appropriate for the exploitation of solar wavelength remote sensing data acquired at differing viewing and/or illumination angles (i.e., multiangle remote sensing data) because the proportions of sunlit and shaded crown and background in the remote sensing instrument ground-projected IFOV vary with both viewing and illumination angles and canopy configuration.

GO models calculate the proportions of sunlit background \( (k_G) \) and crown \( (k_C) \) with respect to illumination and viewing directions. These are calculated exactly for the principal and perpendicular planes and approximated away from these, as in (2) and (3), respectively:

\[ k_G = e^{-\lambda \pi r^2 \left\{ \sec \vartheta_i + \sec \vartheta_v - \cos \vartheta_i \cos \vartheta_v \right\}} \] (2)

\[ k_C = \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda \pi r^2 \left\{ \sec \vartheta_i \right\}} \right) \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \varepsilon') \] (3)

where \( \vartheta_i \) and \( \vartheta_v \) indicate zenith and azimuth angles where the subscripts \( i \) and \( v \) indicate illumination and viewing angles; \( \lambda \) is the tree number density; \( r \) is the average radius of tree crowns; \( \varepsilon' \) is the transformed scattering phase angle:

\[ \cos \varepsilon' = \cos \vartheta_i \cos \vartheta_v + \sin \vartheta_i \sin \vartheta_v \cos \varphi \] (4)

and \( O \) is the overlap area between the shadows of illumination and viewing [43]:

\[ O = 1/\pi(t - \sin t \cos t) \left\{ \sec \vartheta_i + \sec \vartheta_v \right\} \] (5)

where \( t \) is a parameter that indirectly expresses the locations of the end points of the line that intersects the shadows of viewing and illumination. This allows \( k_G \) to be expressed in a way that depends only on the value of \( t \) (see [43]). The prime indicates equivalent zenith angles obtained by a vertical scale transformation based on \( b/r \), in order to treat spheroids as spheres [43]:

\[ \vartheta' = \tan^{-1}(b/r \tan \vartheta) \] (6)

These functions thus depend on the parameters \( b/r \) (vertical crown radius/horizontal crown radius) and \( h/b \) (height of crown center/vertical crown radius) which describe the shape and height of the crown. The model’s primary structural parameters are therefore: tree number density \( (\lambda) \), mean crown radius \( (r) \), crown vertical to horizontal radius ratio \( (h/r) \), and crown center height to vertical radius ratio \( (h/b) \). The crown signature may be represented by a volume scattering function [44], in which case an in-crown LAI or foliage volume parameter may also be specified.

In this study a modified version of the simple geometric model (SGM), a GO model incorporating a dynamic background (calculated for each location separately), was used [21]–[23]. It is formulated as

\[ R = G_{RTLS}(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_v, \varphi), k_G(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_v, \varphi) + C_{crown}(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_v, \varphi) + S_{T_Z}.k_{T_Z}(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_v, \varphi) \] (7)

where \( \vartheta_i, \vartheta_v \), and \( \varphi \) are the view zenith, solar zenith and relative azimuth angles, respectively; \( k_G \), \( k_C \), and \( k_{T_Z} \) are the calculated proportions of sunlit and viewed background and crown, and shaded components (shaded ground and crown), respectively; \( G_{RTLS} \) is the background contribution represented by the RossThick-LiSparse-reciprocal (RTLS) BRDF model; \( C_{crown} \) is the red wavelength bidirectional reflectance signature for crowns (set at 0.03 in this study); and \( S_{T_Z} \) is the estimated contribution of shaded components (here set to 0.02). Note that the crown signature differs from the spectral reflectance of fIr leaves (\( \sim 0.05 \); [45]) because the observed crown area in the instrument IFOV is not composed simply of leaves but includes non-negligible in-crown shadows. The
crown and shadow signature values are estimated but they are not arbitrary. The model’s physical upper canopy parameters are tree number density (\( \lambda \), trees per square meter), mean crown radius (\( r \)), crown vertical to horizontal radius ratio (\( h/r \)), crown center height to vertical radius ratio (\( h/b \)), and crown spectral reflectance. The model used in this study differs from the previous version in several ways:

- The shaded components \( T \) and \( Z \) ((1)) that were previously assumed black (as in the kernel-driven BRDF models) are no longer discarded because in these tall forests shaded ground and crown can account for a relatively large proportion of a nadir-viewing instrument’s IFOV (Fig. 3(c)); instead they are allocated a signature, \( S_{T,Z} \), of 0.02;
- The 3-parameter RTLS BRDF model replaced the 4-parameter modified Walthall model [46], [47] in order to make assessment of extracted and predicted backgrounds more straightforward; and to increase the robustness of background BRDF retrievals (with four kernels it is more likely that their contributions will be confounded);
- The Ross volume scattering function with its in-crown LAI parameter is replaced by a crown red wavelength signature of 0.03, based on a leaf red wavelength reflectance value of 0.05 for fir [45] minus a small decrement to account for in-crown shadows.

**F. Isolation of the Background Contribution**

The “background” includes everything within the mapped IFOV of the instrument that is not part of the upper canopy and is here typically composed of many elements, possibly including bare soil, rock faces, scree, gravel, crusts, mosses, lichens, and understory plants such as grasses, forbs, and sub-shrubs (Fig. 5). In order to estimate background brightness and anisotropy in the various MISR illumination/viewing configurations, linear multiple regression based on a number of calibration sites was used. The independent variables are Rossthin-LiSparse-reciprocal (RNLS) BRDF model red band isotropic (\( \text{iso} \)), geometric (\( \text{geo} \)), and volume scattering (\( \text{vol} \)) kernel weights, obtained by adjusting the model against MISR red band BRFs in all nine cameras using the Algorithm for Modeling Bidirectional Reflectance Anisotropies of the Land Surface (AMBRALS) code [48], with the objective function \( \text{Min}( \text{RMSE}) \). Since it is difficult to find 250x250 m areas that are partly vegetated but do not contain shrubs or trees, background contributions must usually be extracted: provided with a set of MISR red band BRFs, estimates of upper canopy statistics from CANAPI (and optionally, LVIS), and the GO model, an optimization algorithm can extract the best-matching background for each site. In other words, when the contribution of the upper canopy in the observed MISR BRF pattern has been accounted for, the remaining contribution must be from the background (Fig. 6). Background BRDFs retrieved in this way are hereafter referred to as “optimal” backgrounds.

Two methods were used to find sets of background RTLS model red band kernel weights (i.e., the dependent variables in the regression equations). First, an automated approach was followed: since CANAPI provides canopy statistics for large areas it is possible to extract kernel weight sets for many contiguous 250x250 m windows corresponding to MISR grid cells. Here 1048 windows from the part of a QuickBird scene from June 25, 2003 that included LVIS canopy height metrics were used (the “validation area”). To find the optimal backgrounds, for each location the GO model \( \lambda \) and \( r \) parameters were set to the CANAPI-derived values, \( h/b \) was fixed at 1.0 (typical for conifers), and \( h/r \) was adjusted so that mean crown height (\( h + b \)) matched the corresponding LVIS RH50 value. RH50 was used because it is more closely related to GO model mean canopy height than RH75 or RH100 [13], [49]. The RTLS background BRDF model kernel weights were then adjusted to minimize the absolute RMSE between the GO model and MISR BRFs. In the second approach, an extensive visual scan of high resolution imagery was performed in Google Earth for the area of the MISR scene, seeking 250x250 m cells with very low (generally <10%) canopy cover. The same extraction procedure was followed, though mean crown radius was estimated from the imagery, tree number density set to give a match with fractional cover (measured via thresholding), and \( h/r \) was set to 1.0 and 4.0 for broadleaf and coniferous trees, respectively.

Having obtained the best-fitting RTLS background model kernel weights with respect to the MISR data, regression
coefficients were obtained for each of the model parameters using the RNLS kernel weights as the independent variables. The resulting regression equations can be used to obtain estimates of the background response prior to adjustment of the GO model [21]–[23]. For the automated approach, the set of locations used to obtain the regression coefficients was reduced by considering only those locations where the CANAPI crown cover estimate was <15%.

G. Model Evaluation in Forward Mode

In forward mode the GO model was driven to predict red band BRFs for all available MISR cameras (in these data ranging from six to nine looks but usually eight or nine) for all locations with reference data, using tree number density and mean crown radius from CANAPI; mean height from adjustment against LVIS RH100 (because modeled height depends on h/r); and the RTLS BRDF model background (predicted by red band RNLS BRDF model kernel weights). This was accomplished for 1048 of the 1088 250×250 m areas within the QuickBird sub-scene as complete LVIS data were not available for 40 MISR pixels. The resulting BRFs were then compared with the observed patterns from MISR.

H. Model Inversion Protocol

Retrieval of fractional cover and mean canopy height for each mapped grid location was performed by adjusting the model against the MISR data using a numerical optimization algorithm. Forward differencing was used for estimates of partial derivatives of the objective function and a Newton search method was used at each iteration to decide which direction to pursue in the parameter space [50]. The objective function was \( \text{Min}([\text{RMSE}]) \) with no weighting of the error terms with respect to viewing angle. No constraints were imposed. Tree number density (\( \lambda \)) and mean radius (\( r \)) were left as adjustable parameters, with \( h/b \) and \( h/r \) set to 1.0 (typical for conifers) and 4.0 (tall trees), respectively. Retrievals were thus effectively for fractional crown cover (a function of \( \lambda \) and \( r \)) and mean canopy height (a function of \( r \), since model height is controlled by the terms \( h/b \) and \( h/r \) that are both fixed). MISR red band data in all available cameras were used. The number available is usually nine but is occasionally six, seven, or eight.

The initial values of \( \lambda \) and \( r \) were set to 0.004 (250 trees per MISR grid cell of 62,500 m\(^2\)) and 3.0 m, respectively. Note that
effective values are retrieved: the parameters are internally coupled, and the same BRF pattern is obtained if these two parameters are varied with fractional cover maintained, so it is not feasible to adjust both parameters simultaneously to obtain real values. However, $r$ also determines mean top-of-canopy height ($h+b$): since $b/r$ and $h/b$ are fixed, and $\lambda$ and $r$ are adjusted, the inversion effectively provides an estimate of $b$ (vertical crown radius) allowing the calculation of an estimate of $h$, via $h/b \times b$, noting that $h$ refers to the mean height of crown centers. Fractional crown cover ($f_{cov}$) was calculated assuming overlap between crowns, according to the Beer-Lambert law (e.g., [10]):

$$f_{cov} = 1.0 - \exp(-\lambda \times \pi r^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The retrieved values of $\lambda$ and $r$ were read into raster image layers for mapping and analysis, along with the model-fitting RMSE, $R^2$, fractional cover, and top-of-canopy height.

The procedures by which the data and GO model are used to extract background BRDFs and to invert the GO model and validate retrievals are depicted in a flow-chart (Fig. 7).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CANAPI crown cover within the validation area ranges from 0.0–0.4 and it is therefore clearly critical that the background contribution at the instrument illumination and observation angles is predicted as accurately as possible. This is a challenging task using simple regression methods in view of the diversity of background types and each candidate set of coefficients must be assessed in both forward and inverse modes. Attempts to obtain a regression model using the automated approach in which all 1048 MISR grid cells for which CANAPI $\lambda$ and $r$ and LVIS RH50 data were available did not produce reasonable inversion results, even when the CANAPI-derived canopy cover was used to restrict the set of locations considered to $\leq 15\%$. There was only a small improvement when combining a low cover set obtained in this way with data from a few individually-selected low cover locations outside the validation area. This may be because the 1048 cells do not cover a sufficiently wide range of background conditions.

Using 28 grid cells selected for low woody plant cover drawn from a larger area provided a much wider variety of background types, as indicated in Fig. 5. The predicted background BRDF model kernel weights rendered $R^2$ of 0.99, 0.61, and 0.91 for the isotropic, volume-scattering, and geometric kernel weights, respectively, with respect to the optimal weights (Fig. 8(a)–(c)). This is surprisingly good in view of the wide diversity of components that may include stony scrub, lush meadow, exposed soil, low shrubs, exposed soil, uplifted rock faces, rocks of various sizes, caliche, and talus slopes. Only slightly improved results were obtained using kernel weights plus green and near-infrared band BRFs from the MISR nadir camera (Fig. 8(d)–(f)). The regression results show that not all independent variables contributed to accurate results, for example, the RNLS geometric scattering kernel weight was not significant.
TABLE II  
BACKGROUND KERNEL WEIGHT COEFFICIENTS  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernel Weight Regression Coefficients</th>
<th>Background model (RTLS-Reciprocal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(bold = not significant @ p=0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed (RNLS-Reciprocal)</td>
<td>Isotropic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic kernel weight</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume scattering kernel weight</td>
<td>-1.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric kernel weight</td>
<td>0.334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Maps of the predicted background BRDF model kernel weights:  
(a) isotropic (diffuse) scattering; (b) volume scattering; (c) geometric scattering.

in predicting the RTLS background model isotropic kernel weight (Table II). Maps of the predicted RTLS background BRDF model kernel weights show reasonable distributions, with higher isotropic kernel weight values for the snow-covered mountain tops in the east-central part of the scene and lower values in densely-vegetated areas (Fig. 9). The geometric kernel weight also shows a strong spatial correspondence with snow cover and is higher over sparse vegetation and lower over densely-vegetated areas, while the volume scattering kernel weight is relatively high over dense vegetation, as expected, although its behavior varies over snow-covered areas.

Comparison of the model-predicted patterns with those from MISR for 1048 locations provided distributions in which 96.6% have R² > 0.7 and 97.0% have RMSE < 0.02 [Fig. 10(a), (b)]. When the RMSE and R² values are plotted against fractional crown cover it can be seen that there is only a small change in accuracy with cover [Fig. 10(c), (d)], with a mean RMSE of 0.011 and a mean R² of 0.82. These results suggest that the GO model is able to predict the sequence of BRFs observed by MISR in all nine cameras rather well, implying that the background prediction is effective. Note however that even if the background prediction were perfect this is no guarantee of accuracy on model inversion: there is still a potentially strong dependence on the optimization algorithm, its scaling and precision settings, and the starting point. The distributions of tree number density (effective), mean crown radius (m; effective), fractional cover (unitless) and mean canopy height (m) are all approximately normal (Fig. 11).

Model adjustment against the MISR red band BRFs resulted in mean (standard deviation) model-fitting RMSE and coefficient of determination values of 0.009 (0.009) and 0.78 (0.199) respectively, with mode values of 0.008 and 0.925 (Table III). The inversion algorithm was thus able to fit the model to the data well. The MISR/GO fractional cover retrievals showed a moderately strong relationship to the CANAPI estimates, with an R² of 0.56 [Fig. 12(a)], significant at the 99% level. The RMSE with respect to the CANAPI data is 0.05 over a range that spans 0.01–0.39. The MISR/GO mean canopy height retrievals show a somewhat less strong relationship to the LVIS RH100, RH75, and RH50 data, with R² of 0.49, 0.38, and 0.44, respectively [Fig. 12(b)–(d)], all significant at the 99% level. The RMSE with respect to LVIS RH100 is 6.65 meters, considerably higher than that obtained under the less strenuous topographic conditions and lower canopies of the Rocky Mountains in Colorado [22], although in this Sierra Nevada landscape the mean and range are also much larger: 30.1 m, spanning 3.4–62.0 m. There is marked overestimation at low values and underestimation at high values with respect to RH100, noting that the RH100 value indicates the mean of the set of RH100 values within the mapped MISR cells. This bias is less marked in the relationship with RH75 and is almost negligible in the relationship with RH50 [Fig. 12(c) and (d), respectively], which may indicate that it is not reasonable to compare MISR/GO mean canopy heights with the mean of many lidar maximum canopy heights (RH100), even though RH100 provided the best match.
Fig. 10. Results of the GO model predictions when driven by CANAPI tree number density and mean crown radius, LVIS RH100, and the RTLS BRDF model representing the background, for 1048 contiguous locations: (a) histogram of modeled versus MISR RMSE values; (b) histogram of modeled versus MISR coefficient of determination ($R^2$) values; (c) distribution of RMSE values with respect to CANAPI/QuickBird fractional cover estimates ($fcov$); (d) distribution of $R^2$ values with respect to CANAPI/QuickBird fractional cover estimates.

Fig. 11. Histograms for tree number, mean crown radius, fractional cover, and mean canopy height obtained by inversion of the GO model against MISR red band BRFs in all nine cameras.

Representations of typical 250 m grid interval MISR/GO maps of model-fitting RMSE, fractional cover, and mean canopy height are given in Fig. 13, together with a standard false color composite (RGB = Near-Infrared/Red/Green). The missing data in these maps correspond to 17.6 km$^2$ blocks where the MISR aerosol/surface retrievals failed because of cloud cover. High model-fitting RMSE has been found to indicate contamination by clouds [21], [22] but there is no indication of cloud contamination in this scene, except perhaps in the north-central part; it is also possible that RMSE cannot be used to detect cloud over snow, which also results in high values. The distributions of cover and height values appear reasonable on comparison with features in high resolution imagery in the Google Earth archive, i.e., high (low) canopies appear to have taller (shorter) trees, although this assessment is necessarily qualitative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model-Fitting</th>
<th>Model-Fitting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 12. (a) MISR fractional crown cover from inversion of the GO model versus CANAPI/QuickBird-derived fractional crown cover (b) MISR mean canopy heights with no rescaling versus mean LVIS RH50 canopy heights (average over the 250 × 250 m MISR cell) (c) versus RH75 (d) versus RH100. See Table II for inversion statistics.

Fig. 13. (a) MISR An (nadir) camera 250 m false color composite with RGB = NIR, Red, Green BRFs (b) 250 m map of RMSE on GO model fitting (c) 250 m map of retrieved fractional crown cover, assuming crown overlap (d) 250 m map of retrieved mean canopy height. The dotted line indicates the validation area for which CANAPI and LVIS data were both available. Black rectangular areas indicate missing data owing to cloud.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This study has demonstrated that multiangle red band surface BRF estimates from MISR can be used to invert a simple geometric-optical canopy reflectance model for mapping canopy cover and height in open forest canopies, even in areas of complex and often severe topography and with a very wide range of background conditions. The retrieved cover and height estimates were obtained independently from the reference data, not via training or empirical relationships. While a part of the signal observed by MISR originates from the background and is obtained via regression, its contribution at any given geometry is based on kernel weights that have a physical meaning. The ability of the modified simple GO model to reproduce observed 672 nm BRF patterns was demonstrated. The use of a non-zero shadow component and a leaf+shadow crown signature instead of the non-linear Ross scattering function provided a realistic model, as shown by the forward-modeling results: when driven with first order canopy structural parameters (tree number density, mean crown radius, LVIS RH100 canopy heights), the modified model reproduced MISR BRF patterns quite accurately. For large area mapping there are some important caveats: the major factor in avoiding anomalous cover and height retrievals was the use of a locally-calibrated background.
BRDF, implying that more than one set of calibration coefficients might be required in order to enable accurate GO model inversion for very different landscape types. The study has also shown that it is difficult to obtain high precision in canopy height retrievals in these circumstances, with an RMSE of 6.7 m versus LVIS RH100. This allows only a rather coarse binning of canopy height to obtain high accuracy, although it is still a very useful capability, noting that the range of canopy heights is quite large (the minimum, maximum, and mean heights are 3.4, 62.0, and 30.1 m, respectively). Nevertheless, the study showed that it is possible to leverage moderate resolution multiangle data using a GO model to map forest structural parameters at regional scales; assuming data continuity, this could help to provide a more complete picture of changes in the forests of the southwestern United States as they respond to changing disturbance and management regimes over the coming decades.
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