

Home | Opinion | Environment | Opinion

No need to wait for the clean air dividend

› 16 April 2012 by [Drew Shindell](#)
 › Magazine issue 2860. [Subscribe and save](#)
 › For similar stories, visit the [Comment and Analysis](#) and [Climate Change](#) Topic Guides

Controlling smog and soot is the classic win-win situation, so it's great that the world is finally waking up to the idea

WHAT if there was a way to simultaneously slow down climate change, save millions of lives, improve crop yields and contribute to sustainable development and energy security? It sounds too good to be true, but it is possible. It won't be free or easy, but with some effort and moderate investment, it can be done.

The way to do it is to reduce emissions leading to two types of pollution: black carbon and ozone. These are the only pollutants that we know contribute to both global warming and poor air quality.

Black carbon is essentially soot, emitted from incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and biomass. It warms the climate in two ways: by absorbing heat in the atmosphere - similar to the greenhouse effect - and by reducing Earth's albedo, or ability to reflect sunlight. Inhaled into the lungs, it leads to cancer and cardiovascular disease.

Ozone in the atmosphere also acts as a greenhouse gas, while ground-level ozone is toxic to humans and plants, so leads to both premature death and reduced crop yields. Ozone is not emitted directly but is produced by the action of sunlight on other pollutants, which are known as ozone precursors.

Since black carbon and ozone are important components of soot and smog, a great deal of effort has already been put into developing methods to reduce emissions. So effective technology is available, but needs wider implementation.

The recommended control measures for black carbon include widespread and tight emission standards on diesel cars and trucks; improved solid fuel cooking stoves, brick kilns and coke ovens in the developing world; and a ban on the open burning of agricultural waste.

Implementation of these measures would have a rapid impact on the climate and human health, and also have the added benefit of greatly reducing emissions of carbon monoxide, an important ozone precursor.

A second key ozone precursor is methane, which is also a powerful greenhouse gas in its own right. Control measures include reducing leaks from natural gas pipelines and storage tanks, and capturing it from coal, gas and oil extraction, landfills and wastewater treatment plants. Aeration of rice paddies and manure management can also reduce methane releases.

Captured methane can often be sold or turned into power. In Monterrey, Mexico, for example, electricity generated from methane collected from the city landfill powers the public transportation system. So such measures can be beneficial even when ignoring the health and climate effects, as they can contribute to energy security and often pay for themselves.

According to calculations by me and my colleagues, phasing in all these measures over the next 20 years would reduce global warming by about 0.5 °C in 2050, half of the projected increase between now and then (*Science*, vol 335, p 183).

Regional benefits would be even greater, as black carbon disrupts rainfall patterns and magnifies warming and melting of snow and ice in parts of the world including the Arctic and the Himalayas.

On top of the climate benefits, cutting black carbon and ozone would prevent over 3 million premature deaths from air pollution, and increase yields of staple crops by roughly 50 million tonnes a year.

Improved cooking stoves would also decrease the demand for firewood in the developing world, reducing deforestation and freeing up time for those who collect wood - primarily women and children - to pursue other activities such as education.

Similarly, improved brick kilns now being used in parts of Latin America and Asia require half as much fuel as traditional ones and are less time-intensive for the operators. This means that in addition to their environmental benefits, these measures can contribute to sustainable and human development.

Tackling black carbon and methane is clearly a great idea, so why hasn't it been done already? There are many barriers. The upfront costs of some measures can be prohibitive even when they eventually pay for themselves. But this can be overcome by mechanisms such as international financing of capital costs.

For other measures, the costs are typically borne by a few while the benefits accrue to everybody. In such cases civil society and governments must get involved.

Governments are starting to act. In February, the US, Canada, Sweden, Bangladesh, Ghana and Mexico launched the [Climate and Clean Air Coalition](#) to support implementation of measures like these. This coalition will hopefully expand and achieve rapid, widespread adoption of measures to cut black carbon and ozone.

While the climate benefits will be substantial, it is important to note that these measures cannot substitute for cuts in carbon dioxide. Black carbon, ozone, carbon monoxide and methane stay in the atmosphere for a fairly short time - a few days for black carbon and about a decade for methane. They thus respond quickly to emissions changes and give us substantial leverage over near-term climate change.

In contrast, carbon dioxide is very long-lived and so responds slowly to emissions changes. This means that cuts have little immediate impact, but it also means they must be made now to avoid disastrous changes later on.

Controlling short-lived climate pollutants is thus an issue of fairness. Much as failure to reduce carbon dioxide emissions soon would condemn future generations to disastrous change, failure to reduce near-term climate change condemns those alive today to suffer worsening effects of the sort already seen.

Some wonder if we really can do both. We can, and we must.

Drew Shindell is a climatologist at the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, and Columbia Earth Institute, Columbia University, New York



Subscribe to [New Scientist](#) and you'll get:

- › New Scientist magazine delivered every week
- › Unlimited access to all New Scientist online content - a benefit only available to subscribers
- › Great savings from the normal price

[Subscribe now!](#)

PRINT SEND SHARE

If you would like to **reuse any content** from New Scientist, either in print or online, please [contact the syndication department](#) first for permission. New Scientist does not own rights to photos, but there are a [variety of licensing options](#) available for use of articles and graphics we own the copyright to.

Have your say

Only subscribers may leave comments on this article. Please log in.

email:

password:

Remember me

[Log in](#)

Only personal subscribers may leave comments on this article

[Subscribe now to comment.](#)

All comments should respect the [New Scientist House Rules](#). If you think a particular comment breaks these rules then please use the "Report" link in that comment to report it to us.

If you are having a technical problem posting a comment, please [contact technical support](#).

PRINT SEND SHARE



(Image: Andrzej Krauze)

More Latest news

- › [Why teenagers really do need an extra hour in bed](#)
08:00 22 April 2013
 Schools and wider society must wake up to the distinct sleep needs of adolescents, says circadian biology expert [Russell Foster](#)
 - › [Will digital architecture build follies or glories?](#)
08:00 19 April 2013
 The combination of 3D printing and robotics promises to bring revolutionary architecture to every block
 - › [What happens when hearts become spare parts?](#)
09:00 18 April 2013
 Lab-grown organs could be a boon for those on transplant waiting lists – but they also raise ethical questions
 - › [Heading south for the new origin of our species](#)
08:00 18 April 2013
 East African fossils are famous, but new work on *Australopithecus sediba* suggests that the earliest human remains may lie in an area near Johannesburg
- [› see all related stories](#)

Most read Most commented

- › [Zoologger: Picture-winged fly quenches thirst on sperm](#)
- › [Brain tumour causes uncontrollable paedophilia](#)
- › ['Horror frog' breaks own bones to produce claws](#)
- › [Emerging consciousness glimpsed in babies](#)
- › [Google Glass's word on the street now easier to read](#)

TWITTER

› [New Scientist is on Twitter](#)



Get the latest from New Scientist: sign up to our Twitter feed

LATEST JOBS

- › [Paramount Recruitment: Quality Engineer - Berkshire](#)
- › [Meet Recruitment: Senior Study Start-Up Specialist - Munich or home based - €55,000 + benefits](#)
- › [I-Pharm Consulting Ltd: Associate Director, Clinical Trial Centre - London](#)
- › [Meet Recruitment: Senior Medical Writer –Medical Education agency – Central London – £40-55k – Job Ref: LASMW8](#)
- › [Cranleigh Scientific: Business Development Manager /B2B /Surrey /Basic+OTE £40-£45k](#)

[Back to top](#)

search New Scientist Go

[Login](#)

<p>About us</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New Scientist Syndication Recruitment Advertising Staff at New Scientist Advertise RBI Jobs 	<p>User Help</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contact Us FAQ / Help Disclaimer Ts & Cs Cookies Privacy Policy 	<p>Subscriptions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subscribe Renew Gift subscription My account Back issues Customer Service 	<p>Links</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Map Browse all articles Magazine archive NewScientistJobs The Last Word RSS Feeds Online Store Android App Mobile site home 	<p>Science Jobs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biology Jobs Chemistry Jobs Clinical Jobs Sales Jobs Earth & Environment Jobs Engineering Jobs Maths & IT Jobs Graduate Jobs
---	--	--	--	--