The influence of internal model variability in GEOS-5 on interhemispheric CO₂ exchange
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[1] An ensemble of eight atmospheric CO₂ simulations was completed employing the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Goddard Earth Observation System, Version 5 (GEOS-5) for the years 2000–2001, each with initial meteorological conditions corresponding to different days in January 2000 to examine internal model variability. Globally, the model runs show similar concentrations of CO₂ for the two years, but in regions of high CO₂ concentrations due to fossil fuel emissions, large differences among different model simulations appear. The phasing and amplitude of the CO₂ cycle at Northern Hemisphere locations in all of the ensemble members is similar to that of surface observations. In several southern hemisphere locations, however, some of the GEOS-5 model CO₂ cycles are out of phase by as much as four months, and large variations occur between the ensemble members. This result indicates that there is large sensitivity to transport in these regions. The differences vary by latitude—the most extreme differences in the Tropics and the least at the South Pole. Examples of these differences among the ensemble members with regard to CO₂ uptake and respiration of the terrestrial biosphere and CO₂ emissions due to fossil fuel emissions are shown at Cape Grim, Tasmania. Integration-based flow analysis of the atmospheric circulation in the model runs shows widely varying paths of flow into the Tasmania region among the models including sources from North America, South America, South Africa, South Asia and Indonesia. These results suggest that interhemispheric transport can be strongly influenced by internal model variability.


1. Introduction

[2] Internal model variability in chemical transport is an important consideration for evaluating atmospheric distributions of carbon dioxide (CO₂). Time-dependent transport patterns, for example, especially between the Northern and the Southern Hemispheres, affect natural internal model variability significantly. For instance, variations in the efficiency of interhemispheric exchange were seen among a variety of global models in the TransCom experiments of Baker et al. [2006], Patra et al. [2006], Gurney et al. [2005], and Law et al. [1996, 2003]. Although great strides in model capability have been made in global and regional CO₂ flux estimates, areas of regional sensitivity continue to exist in global model transport representation of these estimates. While GEOS-5 can produce good agreement for approximations of observed CO₂ fluxes at nearly all Northern Hemisphere sites, estimations for Southern Hemisphere locations are less well captured by the model suggesting that further examination of the underlying transport processes are needed.

[3] CO₂ fluxes are influenced by both ocean and land sources and sinks including land sources defined by photosynthesis and respiration in the terrestrial biosphere, fossil fuel emissions and biomass burning. Gradients in atmospheric CO₂ concentration reflect these various earth surface sources and sinks, which (with the exception of biomass burning) are distributed widely over continents and oceans and vary in time and space [Heimann and Keeling, 1986]. Historical observations made at Mauna Loa, Hawaii, along with those made since at worldwide stations, indicate that patterns in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have changed nonlinearly with time over the last 10–100 years [Keeling et al., 1976].
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[4] Transport of species is affected by vertical and horizontal wind patterns along with subgrid processes such as diffusion due to turbulence and cloud mass fluxes. For example, Law et al. [1996] found that the efficiency of surface interhemispheric exchange among 12 different three-dimensional atmospheric transport models showed variations in both vertical and horizontal transport. Erickson et al. [2008], in estimating seasonal CO₂ cycles from monthly estimates, showed that in midlatitudes, near sources of CO₂ due to anthropogenic emissions, synoptic scale atmospheric circulation had a large effect on the cycle during winter, and that subgrid processes such as boundary layer venting and diurnal rectifier effects influenced summer results more significantly.

[5] We examine eight different GEOS-5 model simulations of CO₂ seasonal cycles in both hemispheres given different initial meteorological conditions, and compare them with observations collected primarily from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA-ESRL), the Australian Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO), Environment Canada (EC), and other agencies by the CarbonTracker Global Modeling Division [Peters et al., 2007]. We then describe some of the possible explanations for differences among the CO₂ seasonal cycles due to regional and interhemispheric flow development in the model.

[6] We present first a description of the GEOS-5 model and the simulations, and the observation data archived by CarbonTracker to which they are compared. Second, we describe the methods of analysis; and finally, we discuss results and conclusions.

2. Methods

2.1. GEOS-5 Model Simulations

[7] The General Circulation Model (GCM) used to simulate variations in CO₂ distribution and transport due to differences in initial meteorological conditions was that of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration’s (NASA) Goddard Earth Observation System, Version 5.1.0 (GEOS-5), [Rienecker et al., 2008]. This model uses a flux-form semi-Lagrangian finite-volume dynamical core with floating vertical coordinate developed by Lin and Rood [Lin, 2004], which computes the dynamical tendencies of vorticity, divergence, surface pressure and a variety of selected trace constituents. Convective mass fluxes are estimates made by the Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert (RAS) convective parameterization [Moorthi and Suarez, 1992]. Shortwave radiation in the model is that of Chou and Suarez [1999]. Longwave radiation is documented by Chou et al. [2001]. For atmospheric boundary layer turbulent mixing, two schemes are used. Louis et al. [1982] is used in stable situations with no or weakly cooling planetary boundary layer (PBL) cloud, while Lock et al. [2000] is used for unstable or cloud topped PBLs. Free atmospheric turbulent diffusivities are based on the gradient Richardson number [Rienecker et al., 2008; Ott et al., 2011].

[8] The spatial resolution of the model is a 1-degree × 1.25-degree latitude-longitude grid with 72 vertical pressure layers that transition from terrain-following near the surface to pure pressure levels above 180 hPa. The top vertical boundary is at 0.01 hPa (near 80 km). At the ocean surface, temperature and sea ice distributions are specified using a global data set.

[9] An eight-member ensemble of free-running model simulations, each initialized with meteorology from different days in January 2000 (e.g., January 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15) was performed in order to examine the effect of internal model variability on simulated trace gas distributions. Though initial meteorology differed among ensemble members, all were started with the same set of CO₂ fields. The model CO₂ fields were spun-up for four years prior to the beginning of the ensemble calculations using CO₂ fluxes described in Kawa et al. [2004] which is closely based on the TransCom 3 protocol [Gurney et al., 2002]. The ensemble formulation has been previously used in GEOS-5 CO simulations by Ott et al. [2010] to investigate the dynamical impacts of biomass burning aerosols. For the two-year ensemble simulations in this study, CO₂ emissions are taken from the TRANSCOM Continuous experiment [Law et al., 2008]. Annual CO₂ ecosystem productivity for the years 2002–2003 in this configuration is from an annually balanced terrestrial biosphere based on computations of net primary productivity from the Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach (CASA) biogeochemical model [Randerson et al., 1997]. The values are distributed monthly in each of the eight model runs. Fossil fuel emission estimates are from the EDGAR version 3.2 [Olivier and Berdowski, 2001] 1990 spatial distribution scaled to 1998 country-level totals. CO₂ ocean exchange is from 4 × 5 degree monthly mean CO₂ fluxes derived from sea-surface pCO₂ measurements [Takahashi et al., 1999]. In addition to the standard TRANCOS protocol of fluxes, carbon emissions from biomass burning are courtesy of the Global Fire Emissions Database version 2 (GFEDv2) [Randerson et al., 2007; van der Werf et al., 2006]. Output from the model was generated daily; then daily values were averaged for each month.

2.2. CarbonTracker

[10] The observation data sets used for model comparison are those available from CarbonTracker. These data comprise the measurements of CO₂ mole fraction by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) and partner laboratories [Peters et al., 2007]. Samples are collected at surface sites in the NOAA ESRL Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, the CSIRO Air Sampling Network, Environment Canada, and at other agencies, except those flagged for analysis or sampling problems, or those thought to be influenced by local sources [Tans et al., 1989; Conway et al., 1994]. Sites for which data are available vary each week depending on successful sampling and analysis, and each site’s sampling frequency.

[11] For most of the CarbonTracker quasi-continuous sampling sites, an afternoon daytime average mole fraction for each day from the time series is constructed. CarbonTracker samples used for this study are monthly averages of the available data points for each observation location and are those that reported from 2–10 data points per month during the years 2000–2001 (except for Easter Island, for which February and March of 2001 consist of a single data point each).
concentrations is the quantity of the observational network from 2000 to 2001 in each hemisphere is due to a
interhemispheric transport time in units of years, \(C_0\) as the initial Northern Hemisphere concentration; \(r\) is the steady state velocity contained in the time-independent data set. Time-varying field lines utilize a 4D position in space. The equation is solved using a custom fourth-order Runge-Kutta integration method that takes into account the time-varying hybrid-sigma vertical pressure coordinate (in Pascals per second) and its relationship to the curvilinear structure of the latitude-longitude grid. Subgrid transport processes such as turbulence and cloud mass fluxes are not considered in this idealized flow regime. Additionally, although there is naturally some small numerical error in the integration, the Runge-Kutta method employed uses a trial step at the midpoint to cancel out lower-order error terms, and it results in a practical approximation.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Initial Simulation Deviations

A plot of the initial CO2 fossil fuel concentrations for January 2000 is shown in Figure 1. All eight model simulations also show similar source regions (northeastern U.S., western Europe, eastern Asia) with values ranging from 22.5 to 50 ppm. Spatial differences in concentration represent differences in the resulting average January values due to the diverse initial meteorological conditions among the simulations.

In the Law et al. [1996] study, differences of as much as 3 ppm in zonal mean surface concentrations of CO2 due to fossil fuel emissions were noted among the 12 models. Additionally, the mean meridional gradient at the surface varied among models varied by a factor of two. Our results show that maximum regional differences in fossil fuel CO2 concentrations in eight simulations by the same model differ by a factor of 3. Figures 2 and 3 depict global values for the deviations from the ensemble mean CO2 from fossil fuel in parts-per-million for the first month of the simulation (January, 2000), and for the last month (December 2001), respectively. A quantitative description of the percent deviations (shown as fractional amounts) can be found in Table 1. The largest maximum deviation from the mean for January, 2000 (+21%) occurs in simulation P04 in the region of Germany/Poland, and the smallest (7%) in P06—a discrepancy of a factor of 3. In December 2001, the maximum deviation (+22.5%) occurs at the same location in simulation P06, in which the region showed a negative deviation in January 2000. The smallest maximum deviation for this month (7%) is also approximately 1/3 of the largest. Mean deviation from the mean for all simulations, while low (0.005% to 0.26%), and somewhat variable (least and greatest differ by two orders of magnitude in January 2000 and one order of magnitude in December 2001), nevertheless persists from the first month of the run until the last.

In their CO2 observational network Tans et al. [1989] discovered that regionally significant CO2 sources and sinks are needed to maintain small but persistent spatial gradients,
given that atmospheric circulation and mixing are constantly working to homogenize the atmosphere; and that while measurement sites closer to source regions are needed to better determine the effect of sources on the global carbon budget, such measurements do introduce a bias effect. For example, if transport is less vigorous during the season when a surface region is a source rather than when it is a sink, a positive net annual concentration anomaly will result. Nevertheless, it is important to include measurements in regions of sources and sinks, as they will become helpful for monitoring CO₂ emissions more so than the measurements in more stable regions which give information primarily about trends of the background state. These measurements will need to be accounted for in innovative ways, however, in order to increase both observational and model accuracy.

[18] Internal model variability of transport can also affect the flow of air masses differently in regions of sources and sinks to atmospheric CO₂. Even with specified emissions and uptake, there are some regions in which atmospheric CO₂ distribution is strongly affected by transport patterns.
3.2. CO₂ Cycle Phasing and Amplitude

[19] The uptake and release of atmospheric CO₂ with various surface carbon reservoirs imparts a strong signal on observed atmospheric CO₂ concentrations on time scales ranging from days to years [Erickson et al., 1996]. It is known that the overall seasonal cycle of all sources and sinks is dominated by variation in atmosphere-terrestrial biosphere CO₂ exchange [Heimann and Keeling, 1986; Erickson et al., 1996], but ongoing studies evidence the continually emerging fingerprint of fossil fuel emissions as well on both global and regional cycles [Keeling et al., 1989; Gurney et al., 2005; Erickson et al., 2008].

[20] In a CO₂ transport simulation using GEOS-4 and the NASA finite volume data assimilation system (FVDAS) fields to drive an offline chemical transport model (CTM),

**Figure 2.** January, 2000, CO₂ from fossil fuel (parts per million): global values for deviations from the eight-ensemble mean. Maximum deviations occur at the dark blue (up to −5 ppm) and dark red (up to +5 ppm) locations.
Kawa et al. [2004] found that the tracer was not conserved during advection and convection. It was determined that the reason for this discrepancy was that the DAS meteorological data, in which the surface pressure tendency and mass flux convergence were not always consistent, lead to errors in the predicted surface pressure. Both a "pressure fixer" and a new convective transport module were added to the offline CTM at that time to improve results. Even for that generation of model it was recognized that there is large sensitivity to transport in certain regions, which likely affects model error covariances used in inversions and that some parts of the globe are likely to experience larger CO$_2$ variations, because of long-range transport, than are others. We investigate remaining effects of differing time-dependent transport patterns using free-running simulations, which have the advantage of conserving mass, as a result of differing initial conditions in GEOS-5.

Figure 4 shows that Northern Hemisphere CO$_2$ cycles simulated by the model are generally in phase with the data provided by CarbonTracker although the amplitudes are
underestimated by the model at both Romania and Kazakhstan, and the June 2000 minimum for the CarbonTracker data in Romania leads the simulations by one month. Model amplitudes at Park Falls, Wisconsin overestimate the CarbonTracker data especially during the latter half of 2000 and at the July–August minimum in 2001. At Mauna Loa, the models show slightly larger amplitudes but very similar phasing for 2000, and very near matches for amplitude and phase in 2001. These similarities among model runs and observations suggest that both physical parameterizations and CO$_2$ flux sources are administered reasonably for the cycle at these latitudes. Erickson Heimann and Keeling in this or in

Table 1. Mean and Maximum Percent (Expressed as Fraction) Deviations From Ensemble Mean Values*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensemble Member</th>
<th>Mean Deviation Jan 2000</th>
<th>Max Deviation Jan 2000</th>
<th>Mean Deviation Dec 2001</th>
<th>Max Deviation Dec 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P01</td>
<td>0.0010447779</td>
<td>0.1987460532</td>
<td>-0.0024473974</td>
<td>0.1390163346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02</td>
<td>-0.0007079733</td>
<td>0.1594262739</td>
<td>0.0010350785</td>
<td>0.0701686581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P03</td>
<td>-0.0001030051</td>
<td>0.1015154389</td>
<td>-0.0005215160</td>
<td>0.1248158015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04</td>
<td>9.8400155e-05</td>
<td>0.2117673279</td>
<td>0.0004498687</td>
<td>0.1444526864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P05</td>
<td>-0.0003401078</td>
<td>0.2023687019</td>
<td>0.0003891591</td>
<td>0.108348087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P06</td>
<td>-0.0011610277</td>
<td>0.0788179084</td>
<td>0.00026225091</td>
<td>0.2250762132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P07</td>
<td>0.001120552</td>
<td>0.1791553609</td>
<td>0.0001354068</td>
<td>0.1088921124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08</td>
<td>4.8880779e-05</td>
<td>0.1472506528</td>
<td>-0.0016634449</td>
<td>0.1099138499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The largest (P04) and smallest (P06) maximum percent deviations (expressed as fractional amounts) from the mean for January, 2000 differ by a factor of 3. The largest maximum percent deviation for December 2001 (P02) is also 3 times the smallest (P06). Mean percent deviation from the mean for all simulations, while low and somewhat variable, persists from the first month of the run until the last, suggesting that the simulations tend not to further converge to the mean in two years’ time.

3.3. Interhemispheric Exchange Time

[25] Observations of long-lived tracers indicate that the time required for mixing tropospheric air between the Northern and Southern Hemisphere extratropics is on the order of 0.61–1.4 year [Kawa et al., 2004; Denning et al., 1999; Bowman and Cohen, 1997; Heimann and Keeling, 1986]. Using the adapted Bowman and Cohen equations (1) and (2) above, we obtain the values shown in Table 2 for the lowest atmospheric layer concentrations of CO$_2$ for each of the eight simulations from 2000 to 2001 and for each of the four different sources of CO$_2$. Remaining mindful that this box model calculation accounts only for Northern Hemisphere sources, we note the following interhemispheric transport values obtained. For Total CO$_2$ (CO2TOT), transport time ranges from 0.93 yr. to 1.06 yr, a value slightly less than that of Heimann and Keeling [1986], but in keeping with Denning et al. [1999]. The CO$_2$ from fossil fuel burning (CO2FF) transport time range is 0.442 yr. to 0.456 yr.—significantly less than values seen for total CO$_2$ in this or in any other model. Terrestrial Biosphere CO$_2$ (CASA) transport time shows the widest range from −9.26 yr. to 1.43 yrs. (The two negative source values here are due to the fact that CASA is artificially balanced annually to be close to zero, and thus gives source values slightly above or slightly below zero). Four of the terrestrial biosphere simulations (P03, P04, P05, and P07), however, show transport time between 0.01 yr. and 0.1 yr. (approximately 3–37 days). Ocean CO$_2$ (CO2OCN) values represent Northern Hemisphere sinks and resulting removal of CO$_2$ from the Southern Hemisphere with “transport” time of 1.5 yr. Differences in transport times among the various constituent source/sinks of total
CO$_2$ may be due to their proximal distribution about the equator.

3.3.1. Vertical Transport

[26] Denning et al. [1998], found that differences in vertical structure among general circulation models dominate the differences in true interhemispheric exchange. To investigate differences in vertical structures developed from initial conditions that may be responsible for similar transport discrepancies within the GEOS-5 model, we examine transport time at the 500 mb level. Table 3 shows the outcome of these calculations. The results show a wider range of values at this level than at the surface level, suggesting large discrepancies in the vertical mixing in each of the simulations. In this case, Total CO$_2$ (500TOT), transport time ranges from 0.83 yr. to 0.95 yr. For CO$_2$ from fossil fuel burning (500FF), the transport time range is about twice as long at the 500 mb level than it is at the surface: 0.83 yr to 1.09 yr and represents a 31% increase from the smallest to the largest value as compared to only a 3% increase from smallest to largest surface values. Terrestrial Biosphere CO$_2$ (CASA) transport time again shows the widest range at this level from 0.167 yr to 1.58 yr (rejecting the 11 yr. outlier). The range of ocean CO$_2$ (CO2OCN) values range from 0.446 yrs to 1.03 yrs.

Figure 4. Northern Hemisphere total CO$_2$ (composite of sources from ocean, terrestrial biosphere, fossil fuel emissions and biomass burning) seasonal amplitude 2000–2001, CarbonTracker versus GEOS-5 ensemble (note different ranges for different y-axes). Northern Hemisphere CO$_2$ cycles simulated by the model are generally in phase with the CarbonTracker data although the amplitudes are underestimated by the model at both Romania (44.17°N, 26.68°E) and Kazakhstan (44.45°N, 75.57°E), and the June 2000 minimum for observations in Romania leads the model simulations by one month. Model amplitudes at Park Falls, Wisconsin (45.95°N, 90.27°W) overestimate observations especially during the latter half of 2000 and at the July–August minimum in 2001. At Mauna Loa (19.53°N, 155.58°W), the model simulations show slightly larger amplitudes but very similar phasing for 2000, and very near matches for amplitude and phase in 2001.
In the TRANSCOM studies conducted by Law et al. [1996], results from twelve atmospheric transport models were collected and analyzed for differences in representation of CO$_2$ transport. Within this study, an assessment of the large discrepancy in interhemispheric concentration differences between the model that produced the largest difference and the one that produced the smallest revealed that there were differences in the models’ subgrid scale vertical transport mechanisms, and were the result of the different parameterizations used and the different numerical diffusion properties of the advection schemes in the two models. In the study it was noted that one of the models investigated appeared to have weak vertical mixing throughout the troposphere while another had weak mixing out of its surface layer. In both models, this resulted in higher surface concentrations. While the range of transport times found in the different simulations of the single GEOS-5 model is not quite as large a range as that of the Law et al. [1996] multiple model comparison, it suggests that surface mixing strength over the time span of a model run may vary for sensitive regions in the model. Additionally, the observation that the transport times for each component of the CO$_2$ signal range from 0.01 yr to 1.58 yr indicates that while the various components undergo essentially the same mixing processes, there are differences in the resulting spatial structure of the CO$_2$ tracer that are being driven by mixing processes (i.e., timing and strengths of fronts and planetary waves). To gain insight into the effect of transport processes on atmospheric flow from the Northern Hemisphere to the Southern Hemisphere, a 3D time-varying flow analysis was performed using a method of integration-based flow analysis.

Figure 5. Southern Hemisphere total CO$_2$ seasonal amplitude 2000–2001 Observations versus GEOS-5 ensemble. CO$_2$ cycle results for the Southern Hemisphere show marked differences in both amplitude and phasing among the models and with regard to the CarbonTracker data sets. At Easter Island (27.15°S, 109.45°W) the simulations lead the observations by four months; at Cape Grim, Tasmania (40.68°S, 143.68°E), by three months; at Macquarie Island (54.48°S, 158.97°E), by one month; and at the South Pole (89.98°S, 24.8°W) by less than a month.
3.4. Flow Into Mauna Loa

In their twelve-model inter-comparison project, Law et al. [1996] determined that large-scale winds account for about half the difference between model results, and that additional attribution must lay in differences in the subgrid scale vertical transport parameterization and diffusion. Table 2. Northern Source ($S_N$ in mol/mol) and Interhemispheric Transport Time (yrs), Surface

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_N$ CO2TOT</th>
<th>Tau CO2TOT</th>
<th>$S_N$ CO2FF</th>
<th>Tau CO2FF</th>
<th>$S_N$ CO2CASA</th>
<th>Tau CO2CASA</th>
<th>$S_N$ CO2OCN</th>
<th>Tau CO2OCN</th>
<th>Ensemble Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.86E-06</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>8.76E-06</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>1.12E-07</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>$-$1.26E-06</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>P01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.61E-06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>8.58E-06</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>$-$7.00E-09</td>
<td>$-$9.26</td>
<td>$-$1.21E-06</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>P02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.95E-06</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>8.80E-06</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>3.26E-07</td>
<td>0.0601</td>
<td>$-$1.24E-06</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>P03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.89E-06</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>8.74E-06</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>1.71E-07</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>$-$1.02E-06</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>P04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10E-06</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>8.89E-06</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>2.69E-07</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
<td>$-$1.22E-06</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>P05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.75E-06</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>8.81E-06</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>$-$9.40E-09</td>
<td>$-$2.77</td>
<td>$-$1.16E-06</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>P06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.78E-06</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>8.83E-06</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>1.47E-07</td>
<td>0.0545</td>
<td>$-$1.20E-06</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>P07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.95E-06</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>8.83E-06</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>1.06E-07</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>$-$1.11E-06</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>P08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Total CO$_2$, CO$_2$ from fossil fuel, terrestrial biosphere CO$_2$, and ocean CO$_2$ for all eight simulations are shown for the lowest atmospheric layer in the model. Northern Hemisphere source CO$_2$ ($S_N$) is given in mol/mol values. Interhemispheric transport time Tau is given in year fraction.
properties of their advection schemes. Since the Mauna Loa observatory, at its remote location, has traditionally provided a reliable account of the background state of atmospheric CO₂, we begin by examining large-scale wind flow paths here using an integration-based flow analysis.

To determine the historical path of a given particle arriving at Mauna Loa, equation (3) in section 2.3 is solved using a negative time step (=14,400 s, i.e., 4 hours), and the integration progresses backward through space and time. In these analyses the lower 13 pressure layers (approximately 1013 hPa to 820 hPa if the lowest layer is at sea level) were queried for, and particle tracers initialized from each of those queried points. This vertical limit was selected such that some vertical transport is shown along with the horizontal, but not so much as to make the plot so dense as to be incomprehensible. The destination location was set to the lower 13 pressure layers of Mauna Loa.

Observations from CarbonTracker (Figure 4) showed that maximum CO₂ mixing ratios occur in May of 2000 and May of 2001 and that minimum values occur in September 2000 and 2001. Aside from an increase in the amplitude of CO₂ at Mauna Loa from 2000 to 2001, no remarkable differences in transport are seen from one year to the next at this location. We present examples of particle flow with three-month lead time into Mauna Loa for the year 2001 for these months. All simulations at this location estimate well both amplitude and phasing of the CO₂ cycle for the period. For the remainder of the figures in this paper, each color represents the trajectory computed by each model simulation. Opacity corresponds to time elapsed—the more transparent, the longer temporal displacement.

As can be seen in Figures 7 and 8 (May and September, 2001 particle flow with three-months lead time into Mauna Loa, respectively), the Hadley circulation contributes to the interhemispheric transport through its seasonal oscillation [Bowman and Cohen, 1997]. In response to seasonal solar heating, the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) moves northward and southward (toward the warmer hemisphere), allowing air that was previously in one Hadley cell to be carried upward and poleward in the other Hadley cell. Additionally, transport within convective cells (such as those characteristic of tropical cyclones) increases the rate at which tracers are transported between adjacent convective rolls [Young et al., 1989]. Therefore, it might be assumed that the CO₂ cycle at locations receiving Hadley cell air should be affected by surface sources in the other hemisphere. Mauna Loa is one such location.

At three months in advance of May 2001 arrival, Figure 7 shows that sources of air entering Mauna Loa include air from vortices located at 30°S. These sources are swept into the equatorial current and then drawn into the Pacific vortex before entering Mauna Loa. Air particles that begin in the Southern Hemisphere follow a vertical pathway into the Northern Hemisphere. At one month lead time, particles are generally near the northeastern U.S. coastline. From there, they either follow the jet stream to the Atlantic and over Eurasia, to enter from the Pacific, or they travel southward over the Atlantic, as in P03-P08, to be drawn into Mauna Loa across North America.

In Figure 8, arrival at Mauna Loa in September, 2001 with three month lead time is shown. As the ITCZ and the jet stream move south, the jet stream plays less a role in an airstream’s travel into Mauna Loa than it does in May. At three months in advance of arrival, southern sources of air also undergo less activity in September than they do in May. Interhemispheric exchange occurs mainly in the region between eastern Africa and Indonesia. Northern paths originate in North America and the Atlantic Ocean. No Southern Hemisphere sources appear in P07. Few are included in P01. Again, the interhemispheric transport is seen to be accomplished mainly by travel in the upper atmospheric layers.

In the case of the CO₂ cycle at Mauna Loa, all eight simulations are in phase with observations and within a few ppm of the amplitude. In both May and September, all simulations follow similar one-month lead time paths to Mauna Loa. Northern Hemisphere sources (eastern Canada and the Atlantic Ocean) three months before arrival are similar for all models while Southern Hemisphere sources either vary or are not present. This suggests that Northern Hemisphere sources of air (and consequently, CO₂) have a larger effect on the amplitude and the phasing of the carbon cycle at Mauna Loa than Southern Hemisphere sources do. Thus, varying initial meteorological conditions in GEOS-5 does not produce a large effect on CO₂ concentrations at Mauna Loa. Northern Hemisphere sources, which are far distant from Mauna Loa, are well-mixed by the time they reach Mauna Loa, so they affect concentration there similarly in all simulations. Southern Hemisphere sources are few, so variations in transport times for particles from these locations have little effect on CO₂ concentrations at Mauna Loa.

### 3.5. Flow Into Cape Grim

At Cape Grim, Tasmania, observations showed that minimum CO₂ mixing ratios occur in April of 2000 and May
Figure 7. May 2001 arrivals into Mauna Loa (19.53°N, 155.58°W) with three month lead times. Opacity corresponds to time elapsed—the more transparent, the longer temporal displacement. At three months before arrival, sources of air entering Mauna Loa include air from vortices located at 30°S. These sources are swept into the equatorial current and then drawn into the Pacific vortex before entering Mauna Loa. Air particles that begin in the Southern Hemisphere follow a vertical pathway into the Northern Hemisphere.
Figure 8. September 2001 arrivals into Mauna Loa (19.53°N, 155.58°W) with three month lead times. As the ITCZ and the jet stream move south, the jet stream plays less a role in an airstream’s travel into Mauna Loa than it does in May. Interhemispheric exchange occurs mainly in the region between eastern Africa and Indonesia. Northern paths originate in North America and the Atlantic Ocean. No Southern Hemisphere sources appear in P07. Few are included in P01.
of 2001 and that maximum values occur in September 2000 and 2001. This cycle is the reverse of that of Mauna Loa.

[36] Since this is where the largest discrepancies in the CO$_2$ cycle were found among the GEOS-5 simulations, we investigate the large-scale processes in this region in comparison with those at the more stable Mauna Loa site using flow analysis into this region in May and September. It should be noted that our results are not the first to show prominent differences between observations and model output for tracer concentrations in the Australian region. Several studies have shown southern midlatitude tracer concentration aberrations in their findings. A few are described in the following section.

### 3.6. Known Australian Regional Aberrations

[37] The Conway et al. [1994] study evaluating CO$_2$ flask data noted that in the Southern Hemisphere, Cape Grim, Tasmania concentrations of CO$_2$ always fell below that which was calculated using the cubic polynomial fit curve which approximated well the northern to southern hemisphere CO$_2$ gradient. This location consistently showed the lowest annual mean in the observational network with values slightly lower even than those at the South Pole.

[38] In the Law et al. [2003] TRANSCOM 3 CO$_2$ inverse comparison, data network tests performed showed that only the Australian region source estimates varied over a much larger range than that given by the established control case uncertainty estimate. By their estimation, the data suggested that the assumed spatial pattern of sources in Australia in the 16 models compared was incorrect—that larger sources were required in the northern part of the continent relative to the south. An alternative explanation, that there could be an error in transport, was deemed less likely since all transport models behaved in the same way. We propose that this region may indeed pose a transport challenge for many models based on internal model variability.

[39] A look at the flow patterns of individual ensemble member simulations for May and September, 2001, at three month lead time reveals significant differences in particle pathways into Cape Grim. In Figure 9, May 2001 arrivals into Cape Grim with three month lead time, simulations show streamlines coming primarily from the Atlantic, Africa and southern Asia. P01, P02, P04 and P07 include paths taken through North America. Simulations P05-P08 include an Atlantic vortex into which Northern Hemisphere air is drawn to be delivered to the Southern Hemisphere. Long-range particles are carried primarily in the uppermost vertical layer (~820 hPa). Figure 10 shows September 2001 arrivals into Cape Grim with three month lead time. Peak CO$_2$ values for the observation data occur during this month, while all of the GEOS-5 model simulations are in the middle of CO$_2$ decline here. Lowest CO$_2$ fossil fuel values occur in September 2001 for P08. This is also the only simulation for which the Southern Ocean air current is the only source of air streams. Highest GEOS-5 model values during this month obtain for P01, P04, P05. The most varied paths to Cape Grim are taken by simulations P02, P03, P06 and P07. Interhemispheric transport paths are seen mainly in the upper atmospheric layers. We recall that the model simulations whose fossil fuel emission component most closely matched the phasing of the Cape Grim CO$_2$ cycle were P01 and P02 and that these were the only two to show residence in southeast Asia, a known major emitter of fossil fuel CO$_2$.

### 3.7. Interannual Variability

[40] In addition to the variability among the GEOS-5 model simulations for Cape Grim for each of the two years simulated, a notable amount of interannual variability was present. This result is consistent with the findings of Conway et al. [1994] who report significant interannual variations in the interhemispheric gradient of atmospheric CO$_2$ in the observation network. Figure 11 depicts May 2000 (top) and 2001 (bottom) arrivals into Cape Grim with one month lead time. Streams from blue (P03), purple (P02), red (P01) and cyan (P04) arrive latest, since these come from the largest distances away. In the 2000 simulation, black (P08) is in the African cape one month before arrival, it then travels around a South Pacific vortex before entering Cape Grim. In the 2001 simulation, black (P08) spins in a tight vortex around Tasmania while the other simulations rotate nearer the southern Australian coast. These interannual variations may be due to seasonal cyclonic activity in the Indian Ocean [Bowman and Cohen, 1997] or to climatic oscillations such as the El Niño/La Niña cycle. In either case, this variability is seen in only some of the simulations suggesting that meteorological differences from year to year represent only part of the variability in the simulations.

[41] September 2000, 2001 arrivals into Cape Grim with one month lead time are shown in Figure 12. Here we see more interannual variability in more of the simulations. In 2000, black (P08) and yellow (P06) curl around the African cape one month before arrival, while in 2001, red (P01) and blue (P03) show this pattern. Blue (P03), cyan (P04) and green (P05) spin near the southern Australian coast in 2000, but only green (P05) does this in 2001.

### 3.8. El Niño/La Niña

[42] Much interannual variability is related to natural climate fluctuations. For instance, the effect of El Niño/La Niña, can be large compared to the effect of changes in annual fossil fuel emissions [Conway et al., 1994]. In fact, the terrestrial biosphere releases more CO$_2$ to the atmosphere during El Niño events, which results in increased CO$_2$ growth rates during these events [Keeling et al., 1989] and La Niña events can have a slightly less and opposite effect. Results from Heinmann and Reichstein [2008] show that the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is in a negative phase (La Niña) in the years 2000–2001 and that during this time, the phase is becoming slightly less negative. Global background atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration rises only slightly (approximately 0.05 ppm) over this period.

### 4. Conclusions

[43] In regions of high CO$_2$ emissions due to fossil fuel burning, large differences among the simulations appear for both the first month and the last month of the ensemble simulation. Thus, individual simulations of an eight-member ensemble do not stabilize within a period of two years.

[44] The phasing of the CO$_2$ cycle at Northern Hemisphere locations in all of the ensemble members is similar to that of the CarbonTracker collection of observations, but in the southern hemisphere, GEOS-5 model cycles are out of
phase by as much as four months, and large variations occur between the ensemble members. The most extreme differences occur among the ensemble and observational data in the Cape Grim region with regard to CO\textsubscript{2} uptake and respiration of the terrestrial biosphere and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions due to fossil fuel burning. While the amplitude of the cycle of the ocean and the terrestrial biosphere represent the largest percentages of the total CO\textsubscript{2} cycle at Cape Grim, the phasing of fossil fuel CO\textsubscript{2} at 30–40% of the amplitude most closely follows the phase of the CO\textsubscript{2} total at this location.

Figure 9. May 2001 arrivals into Cape Grim (40.68°S, 143.68°E) with three month lead time. Simulations show streamlines coming primarily from the Atlantic, Africa and southern Asia. P01, P02, P04 and P07 include paths taken through North America. Simulations P05-P08 include an Atlantic vortex into which Northern Hemisphere air is drawn to be delivered to the Southern Hemisphere. Long-range particles are carried primarily in the uppermost vertical layer.
These findings suggest that although interhemispheric transport of CO$_2$ from fossil fuel produced in the Northern Hemisphere to the Southern Hemisphere may affect the phase of the CO$_2$ cycle in the Southern Hemisphere, internal model variability still obstructs the true CO$_2$ signal at specific sites in the Southern Hemisphere. Potential explanations for these discrepancies may lie in the robustness of the terrestrial biosphere or ocean flux data, model tuning or parameterization for the Southern Hemisphere; or in that higher concentrations of CO$_2$ in the Northern Hemisphere.
Figure 11. May (top) 2000 and (bottom) 2001 arrivals into Cape Grim (40.68°S, 143.68°E) with one month lead time. Streams from blue (P03), purple (P02), red (P01) and cyan (P04) arrive latest, since these come from the largest distances away. In the 2000 simulation, black (P08) is in the African cape one month before arrival, then travels around a South Pacific vortex before entering Cape Grim. In the 2001 simulation, black (P08) spins in a tight vortex around Tasmania while the other simulations rotate nearer the southern Australian coast. (Additional simulations: P05, green; P06, yellow; and P07, ochre).
Figure 12. September (top) 2000 and (bottom) 2001 arrivals into Cape Grim (40.68°S, 143.68°E) with one month lead time. Here we see more interannual variability in more of the simulations. In 2000, black (P08) and yellow (P06) curl around the African cape one month before arrival, while in 2001, red (P01) and blue (P03) show this pattern. Blue (P03), cyan (P04) and green (P05) spin near the southern Australian coast in 2000, but only green (P05) does this in 2001. (Additional simulations: P02, purple; P07, ochre).
Affect amplitude and phasing in the Southern Hemisphere more than circulation affects it in any location.

[46] It is clear that some parts of the globe experience larger CO₂ variations, due to long-range transport, than do long-range transport, but do not measure. Measurements in those regions might be more appropriate for monitoring CO₂ emissions than the measurements in the more stable regions, which are most efficient at monitoring the background state or trend. Furthermore, surface mixing strength over the time span of a model run may vary for sensitive regions in the model, and the large differences in the transport times for each component of the CO₂ may indicate that there are structural differences in the mixing processes of the various simulations. We conclude that time-dependent transport patterns, especially between the Northern and the Southern Hemispheres, affect internal model variability significantly.
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