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• Review of reasons for the current NASA STD Test 1 modifications
  – Flammability threshold vs. pass/fail in given environments
  – True threshold vs. ULOI or LOI (Extinguishment vs sustained flaming combustion)
• Summary of existing ground flammability threshold test data
• Considerations for selection of materials for ISS experiment
Evaluation of flammability threshold allows:

- Quantitative correlations between ground and microgravity or reduced gravity data
- Closer evaluation of flammability safety factors
- Flexibility for spacecraft environment selection
- Identification of materials with increased flammability risk from oxygen concentration or total pressure changes to minimize their potential safety impact
– Flammability thresholds also allow for:
  • Development of sound requirements for new spacecraft and extravehicular landers and habitats
  • Evaluation of flammability parametric effects (i.e. pressure, oxygen concentration, thermal radiation, sample geometry, etc)
Spacecraft material engineers may want to know the range of conditions where materials are safe from a flammability point of view and for fire risk management both under normal and special operating conditions (i.e. emergency operations, etc.) when space cabin environment changes could occur.

An MOC (Maximum Oxygen Concentration) has been defined keeping spacecraft material engineers in mind. It is the maximum oxygen concentration under which extinguishment would occur, thus providing the upper limit for safe fire conditions.
True Threshold vs ULOI or LOI

• LOI is commonly defined as the minimum oxygen concentration under which flaming combustion occurs (in an upward flowing oxygen/nitrogen mixture). Consequently, it does not provide extinguishment limits or a true flammability threshold.

• Consequently, the usage of MOC has been recommended for space systems fire safety, although both the upward limiting oxygen index and the MOC are determined.
• As with all flammability testing, we should keep in mind that the MOC is expected to depend on sample geometry, thickness, ignition mode, radiant energy, pressure, gravity level, etc. Therefore, we recommend mentioning conditions under which the MOC is determined.

• The MOC data to date has been obtained under conditions closely resembling NASA STD 6001 Test 1, to allow continued usage of its database.
Pressure Effects on MOC

- Zotek F30
- Valox DR48
- Nylon/Phenolic
- Armalon TG 4060
- SYGEF
- P1700
- Ultem 1000
- Melamine/Glass
- Kydex 100
- Nomex 90-40

Oxygen Concentration Flammability Threshold % vs Pressure (psia)
Thickness Effects on MOC for Silicone
Sample Geometry Effects on MOC

Sample Geometry

- 1/8-in. rod
- 1/2-in. wide
- Standard 2.5-in. wide

Materials:
- PA (Zytel®a 42)
- PET
- PP
- Epoxy/glass
- PVC
- POM (Acetron®a GP)
Considerations for Space Experiment Materials Selection

- Select if possible a representative spacecraft material
- Simulate as closely as possible real-life configurations used in spacecraft
- Flammability threshold levels
- Heat of combustion
- Combustion products
- Charring/non-charring
- Self-supporting vs non-self-supporting
- Statistical needs
- Experiment dynamics
Examples for Consideration

- PMMA – pure, well characterized but little use
- PE, PP – pure, high HC
- Kydex (PVC/PMMA alloy) – well characterized in ground tests; MOC close to 30
- Nomex – lots of data, MOC close to 30, relatively low HC
- ABS (acrylonitrile/butadiene/styrene) – stable burning, lots of use
- Silicone – flexible, low HC, solid combustion product which will migrate
Back-up
Burn length criteria effects on MOC

- PET
- PEI
- PVC
- PMMA EXT.
- MQ, 0.040 in. Thick
- PI
Standard NASA 6001 Test 1 mounting vs. Exposed Edge Effects on MOC

Sample Configuration