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Abstract

The NASA Fundamental Aeronautics Program Subsonic Fixed Wing Project and Integrated Systems
Research Program Environmentally Responsible Aviation Project in the Aeronautics Research Mission
Directorate are conducting research on advanced aircraft technology to address the environmental goals
of reducing fuel burn, noise and NOx emissions for aircraft in 2020 and beyond. Both Projects, in
collaborative partnerships with U.S. Industry, Academia, and other Government Agencies, have made
significant progress toward reaching the N+2 (2020) and N+3 (beyond 2025) installed fuel burn goals by
fundamental aircraft engine technology development, subscale component experimental investigations,
full scale integrated systems validation testing, and development validation of state of the art computation
design and analysis codes. Specific areas of propulsion technology research are discussed and progress to
date.

Introduction

In 2006, an Executive Order was signed by the President of the United States issuing a National
Aeronautics Research and Development Policy and Plan (Ref. 1), part of which was aimed at addressing
environmental concerns in the U.S. Aviation System. Goals and objectives were established for
reductions in fuel burn, noise and NOx emissions for future aircraft to address their environmental
impact. The NASA Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate (ARMD) established the Fundamental
Aeronautics Program (FAP) to develop advanced technologies to address these goals. Four distinct
projects were created, one of which was the Subsonic Fixed Wing Project (SFW), part of whose mission
was to develop advanced propulsion technologies for subsonic aircraft in a five to fifteen year timeframe,
also known as N+1 to N+2 generation. Today, the SFW Project, together with research partners from
Industry, Academia, and other Government Agencies, is focusing on developing technologies for the N+3
generational timeframe, or beyond 2025 (Refs. 2 and 3). These are fundamental technologies in the
Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of 1 to 3 range (definition of Technology Readiness Levels are given
in the Appendix) that show potential benefits to address the Project environmental goals when
incorporated to systems level applications. The SFW Project is also tasked to develop and validate
computer prediction tools at both the component and system level application for future application to
advanced aircraft system designs.

The NASA ARMD subsequently also established the Integrated Systems Research Program (ISRP) to
carry forward to large scale, integrated system demonstrations the technologies developed under SFW
that show potential benefit for addressing the environmental goals. The Environmentally Responsible
Aviation Project (ERA) was created in 2009 to develop those integrated system demonstrations to the
TRL range of 4 to 6 in the 2020 to 2025 timeframe, or N+2 generation of aircraft systems (Ref. 4). In
order to accomplish these complex demonstrations, ERA too relies strongly on successfully meeting the
environmental goals by conducting research with Industry, Academia and Government Agencies through
cost share partnerships, research collaborations, and NASA Research Announcements. In Figure 1, the
Subsonic Transport System Level Metrics are shown, outlining the environmental fuel burn, noise and
emissions reduction goals, the time frame for achieving them, and the baseline defined for comparing
with new technologies. And in Figure 2, the fuel burn savings potential using advanced aircraft
technologies available in 2020 (N+2) for several different aircraft are shown. It is predicted that advanced engine technology will contribute between about 15.3 and 18.5 percent of the total savings, depending on airframe type, compared with a large twin aisle aircraft.

Figure 1.—NASA Subsonic Transport System Level Metrics. Fuel Burn goals for the SFW and ERA Projects are circled in red.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECHNOLOGY BENEFITS*</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY GENERATIONS (Technology Readiness Level = 4-6)</th>
<th>FAP SFW Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise (cum margin rel. to Stage 4)</td>
<td>-32 dB</td>
<td>-42 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTO NOx Emissions (rel. to CAEP 6)</td>
<td>-60%</td>
<td>-75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise NOx Emissions (rel. to 2005 best in class)</td>
<td>-55%</td>
<td>-70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Fuel/Energy Consumption† (rel. to 2005 best in class)</td>
<td>-33%</td>
<td>-50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Projected benefits once technologies are matured and implemented by industry. Benefits vary by vehicle size and mission. N+1 and N+3 values are referenced to a 737-800 with CFM56-7B engines; N+2 values are referenced to a 777-200 with GE90 engines
** ERA's time-phased approach includes advancing "long-pole" technologies to TRL 6 by 2015
† CO₂ emission benefits dependent on life-cycle CO₂ per MJ for fuel and/or energy source used

Figure 2.—Projected fuel burn savings using advanced 2020 ready aircraft technologies, and the contribution of each technology to total fuel savings. Advanced engine contribution to the fuel burn reduction for are circled for each aircraft type.

Reference Fuel Burn = 279,800 lbs

Figure 2.—Projected fuel burn savings using advanced 2020 ready aircraft technologies, and the contribution of each technology to total fuel savings. Advanced engine contribution to the fuel burn reduction for are circled for each aircraft type.
This paper will provide overview of the advanced technology concepts related to engine cycle and power core technologies currently being investigated under the NASA SFW and ERA Projects.

**Engine Design Considerations**

For gas turbine based propulsion, the amount of thrust produced is the product of the mass of air per unit time and the change in velocity which is imparted to that air. It is known from this process that for a given amount of thrust it is more efficient to make a smaller change on a large volume of air versus having to make a large velocity change to a smaller volume of air; higher efficiency means less fuel burned. For these reasons, the direction of turbofan technology has been moving toward higher engine bypass ratios (BPR) and lower fan pressure ratios (FPR). Figure 3 illustrates the trend in fuel burn with BPR and FPR that as the BPR increases and the corresponding FPR decreases, the amount of fuel burned decreases. However, there is a downside to continuing to decrease the FPR and increase the BPR, which is the fan diameter must get larger to produce that same amount of thrust. A larger fan also means a larger engine nacelle diameter, creating additional drag at higher flight speed conditions, meaning more thrust is needed to overcome the higher drag.

One other aspect affecting high bypass ratio designs is engine core size. Since noise is also a consideration in high bypass fan designs, fan speed must be kept as low as possible to reduce its noise signature. However, lower fan speeds mean lower compressor and turbine component speeds in the engine core as well, since a common drive shaft connects the core components and the fan. A specific amount of power is needed to drive the fan for a given thrust, and so as the fan design speed drops so the core components must get larger in size, both in number of stages and in stage diameter, to provide the needed power since the core component speeds cannot increase. Larger components mean more weight, and more fuel burn to carry that weight around. Aircraft system design studies for two different types of aircraft configurations are given in References 5 to 7, illustrating the impacts of different engine cycles on aircraft performance.

![Figure 3: Effect of fan size, bypass ratio and fan pressure ratio on fuel burn, and benefit of advanced Ultra High Bypass engine cycle technology.](image-url)
The result of these design constraints are shown in the fuel burn trend line in red in Figure 3. It shows that a practical limit is finally reached as the trend line reaches a low point and then turns up again as the fan size increases, meaning more fuel burned. The fan size has gotten so large that the added weight of the fan and nacelle, the additional nacelle drag, and the increase in core weight overcomes the fuel advantage of the higher BPR and lower FPR design. What is needed is a paradigm shift in propulsion technology to extend the fuel burn advantage trend line with increasing BPR. NASA SFW and ERA Projects along with their research Partners in Industry, Academia and Other Government Agencies have been conducting advance propulsion technology research to accomplish that goal.

**Engine Technologies**

The following sections will describe the aircraft engine technologies being explored by the NASA SFW and ERA Projects to achieve the installed fuel burn metrics described in Figure 1.

**Geared Turbofan Technology**

As was shown in Figure 3, a minimum point is reached in the fuel burn trend line for a given engine cycle where increasing the engine BPR beyond a certain point leads to negative results with higher fuel burn as the increase in engine weight and size overcome the benefits of a high bypass ratio engine cycle. With current technology, this is a result of the conventional high bypass engine cycle known as direct drive, where the fan and core components are rotating on the same shaft. In the direct drive engine, the fan and core components (compressor and turbine) operate at the same rotation speed; thus the system is constrained by the component with the lowest speed, which is the fan in the propulsor. The core must run at slower, less efficient speeds for a lower speed fan design. As discussed earlier, this means more compressor and turbine stages are needed to produce the power required to drive the fan and get the required thrust at a given operating speed, resulting in more engine weight. In Figure 3, the answer to getting lower fuel burn is to shift the point of maximum benefit further down on fuel burn trend line by introducing advanced technologies to achieve a paradigm shift. This means the fan BPR and thus efficiency continues increasing; but it also means the fan PR decreases while the fan diameter increases for the required thrust. The Geared Turbofan (GTF) engine cycle introduced by Pratt & Whitney (P&W) is one of these advanced technologies that enable this paradigm shift. In the GTF architecture, the fan and the core components are separated by a gear system. The gear system allows the fan and the core to operate at different, more efficient speeds. The core can then operate more efficiently and produce a given thrust level at the fan with fewer compressor and turbine stages compared to a direct drive engine, thereby reducing the engine weight and the fuel required to carry that weight around on the aircraft. The advantages of the GTF engine cycle in terms of fan efficiency compared with the conventional turbofan cycle is shown in Figure 4.

The first generation GTF was developed by P&W in partnership with NASA (Refs. 8 to 14). Scale model wind tunnel tests to validate predicted aerodynamic, acoustic and aeroelastic design characteristics were conducted in the NASA Glenn 9- by 15-Foot Low Speed Wind Tunnel in 2006 (Figure 5, top) (Ref 15). The first full scale engine demonstration of the GTF engine cycle technology was successfully completed by P&W in 2008 (Figure 5, bottom), and followed shortly thereafter by the first flight test demonstration of the GTF by P&W in the same year (Figure 5, middle). The first generation GTF is considered an N+1 technology (TRL 6 by 2015) which P&W plans to enter into service with aircraft manufacturers in 2013. In Figure 6, the technology areas investigated collaboratively by NASA and P&W in the developing of the first generation GTF are shown and include the low speed, low pressure ratio fan, fan gear system, low emissions combustor, and high speed, compact, low pressure spool. These technologies allowed the engine BPR to reach the Ultra High Bypass (UHB) ratio range of 12 or greater, while allowing the FPR to be reduced to between 1.3 and 1.4 to achieve higher fan efficiencies. In Figure 7 (left), the projected reductions in noise, emissions and fuel burn (a fuel burn reduction of 15 percent) that can be achieved by the GTF engine cycle is compared with a current technology A320 aircraft and V2500 engine combination.
Figure 4.—Trend in Fan Propulsive Efficiency with Fan Pressure Ratio, and range of application for aircraft propulsion cycles.

Figure 5.—First generation GTF technology milestones.
Once again, however, a maximum benefit point will be reached for this level of technology as the design BPR continues to increase and the FPR decreases. A second paradigm shift in technology is needed to extend the beneficial fuel burn trend line (red trend line in Figure 3) to significantly contribute toward achieving the ERA N+2 system level metrics environmental goals (Figure 1). NASA and P&W are again partnering to investigate a second generation of GTF propulsor (fan, stators, and nacelle) technologies to help meet those goals, enabling a BPR up to 18 and a FPR between 1.25 and 1.3 to be achieved. Figure 7 (right) shows the projected fuel burn benefits the second generation GTF will provide, which is a 25 to 30 percent reduction compared to a current V2500/A320 aircraft combination. Current research plans show the start of a collaborative, second generation GTF fan model test with advanced propulsor technologies in the NASA Glenn 9- by 15-Foot Low Speed Wind Tunnel in late 2011.
Open Rotor Technology

In the mid 1980s, NASA in partnership with several U.S. Aerospace companies (Refs. 16 to 18) investigated a radical new propulsion technology for aircraft known as Open Rotors. As shown in Figure 4, this propulsion technology held the potential for large increases in efficiency, and therefore significant reductions in fuel burn, compared with conventional turbofans. The predicted performance of Open Rotor propulsion systems (Ref. 19) showed significant fuel burn benefits even then compared with current high bypass ratio turbofan engines (Figure 8).

As discussed earlier under Engine Design Considerations, propulsive thrust is achieved for all aircraft engine cycles by increasing the kinetic energy, or velocity, of a specific volume of air. The amount of air and the increase in velocity needed are the parameters that determine the work required to produce a given amount of thrust. The relationship between the amount of work put in and the amount of energy produced is then the propulsive efficiency. In turbofans, a small amount of air is given a large increase in velocity to produce thrust. The higher the fan BPR, the larger the amount of air and the lower the velocity increase needed to produce a specific amount of thrust. In addition, higher bypass ratios mean lower fan pressure ratios and larger fan diameters; the fan blades do not have to work as hard to produce the increase in velocity because there is more fan blade airfoil area doing the work, and so propulsive efficiency is higher. Open Rotors are the ultimate in high bypass ratio propulsion, nominally with BPRs between 40 and 80, and very low FPRs below 1.1. As seen in Figure 3, they have very low FPRs compared with other types of aircraft propulsion and thus very high values of propulsive efficiency. The potential gain in reduced fuel burn compared to other engine types is illustrated in Figure 8. General Electric Aviation (GE) has predicted that their Open Rotor concept has the potential to reduce fuel burn by as much as 25 to 30 percent compared to a current technology turbofan engines, the GE CFM56-5B.

![Figure 8.—Performance benefits of Open Rotor propulsion technology compared with current turbofan engines.](image-url)
Today, with the renewed emphasis on reducing the environmental impact of commercial aircraft, NASA and GE have partnered once again to investigate Open Rotor propulsion for the ERA N+2 generation of aircraft systems. GE has built upon its 1980s experience with the GE36 Program Unducted Fan (UDF), and has taken advantage of the tremendous increases in aerodynamic design capability in computational fluid dynamics, advanced high speed computers, and advanced strong light weight materials technology since the 1980s to develop a new generation of Open Rotors. GE is designing advanced three dimensional fan blade designs and using tailored material construction techniques to optimize the fan blade shapes for maximum performance, as well as minimum noise. An extensive series of tests of a first generation of advanced fan blade technology, sponsored by the SFW and ERA Projects, was conducted at NASA Glenn in 2010. Low speed testing up to Mach number 0.22 was performed in the Glenn 9- by 15-Foot wind tunnel on several fan blade designs to investigate their low speed performance near take-off conditions and at angle of attack. In Figure 9 (left side) a photo of the NASA/GE Open Rotor Propulsion Rig with pylon simulator installed is shown in the 9- by 15-Foot test section. Flow field diagnostics measurements using Phased Array, Particle Image Velocimetry and Pressure/Temperature Sensitive Paint were also made (Refs. 20 and 21) to investigate the interaction of the fan blade rows with each other and with a simulated pylon to characterize installation effects. Currently, NASA and GE are investigating the high speed cruise performance of Open Rotors up to Mach number 0.85 in the Glenn 8’x6’ high speed wind tunnel. A photo of the NASA Open Rotor Drive Rig and GE Historical Baseline blade set is shown on the right hand side in Figure 9.

Preliminary test results show that GE has successfully demonstrated both aero performance and noise reduction objectives for this first generation of fan blade design (Ref. 22 and 23). Preliminary wind tunnel results have validated GE performance predictions of the high propulsive efficiency that can be achieved with Open Rotor propulsion and advanced 3D fan blade designs. Future NASA/GE collaborative testing of a second generation of Open Rotor fan blade designs at NASA Glenn, in partnership with ERA and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Continuous Low Energy Efficient Noise (CLEEN) Program, is planned for mid 2011 to achieve further increases in performance as well as reduce the noise signature of the Open Rotor system toward comparable turbofan levels. Figure 7 shows in the upper right hand corner the ultimate goal of the GE Open Rotor program for fuel burn and noise reductions.

Figure 9.—Scale model Open Rotor fan model undergoing testing in the NASA Glenn 9- by15-Foot low speed (left) and 8- by 6-Foot high speed (right) wind tunnels.
Engine Core Technology

In the core turbomachinery area, the emphasis within the SFW and ERA Projects to reduce fuel burn is on increasing the power density of the engine core resulting in smaller units for a given thrust requirement (Refs. 24 and 25). Increasing the core compression system overall pressure ratio (OPR) while maintaining or improving aerodynamic efficiency is one area of focus. Another area is increasing the turbine inlet temperature (T4) to enable improved thermal efficiency. NASA is addressing the challenges of a higher OPR and higher T4 through a combination of developing advanced aerodynamic component designs, higher strength and higher temperature materials, and improved computational analysis techniques.

In Figure 11, the relationship between core thermal, propulsive and overall efficiencies are shown, along with the historic fuel burn trend with engine cycle and the goal for advanced engine technologies including the Ultra High Bypass ratio engine cycle. For the compression system, increasing the compressor OPR either drives the design toward more stages or a higher loading per stage. More stages mean a larger engine and more engine weight however. In either case, the overall efficiency of the compression system tends to suffer due to increased wetted area and drag losses or increased boundary layer separation and mixing losses, respectively. Overall engine size constraints and rotor dynamics issues limit the use of additional compressor stages, so often the solution to increased OPR is higher aerodynamic loading per stage. In Figure 11, the impact of overall pressure ratio on thermal efficiency is shown. For a given power requirement, higher stage loading can also enable a smaller core, since fewer compressor stages are required.

Figure 10.—Trends in efficiencies with core and propulsor improvements.
In Figure 12, the relationship between T4 and the specific power density of the core is shown, derived empirically from previous engine designs. While not having a first-order effect on thermal efficiency, raising T4 increases the thrust-to-weight of the engine. As the thermal efficiency and OPR increase, the compressor exit temperature (combustor inlet temperature), T3, increases due to increased compressive heating. For a fixed T4, the amount of allowable energy addition in the combustor decreases and the thrust of the engine must decrease for a given engine core flow rate. Therefore, increasing allowable T4 enables engines having acceptable thrust-to-weight and core power density. For a given thrust and power requirement, then, higher T4 can allow smaller core components and overall engine size for a given BPR.
This is important since the size of the engine increases to large diameters as BPR ratio increases (Figure 3), resulting in unacceptable high engine weight and aircraft installation challenges.

NASA and its research partners are investigating technologies to enable the high power density cores through advanced aerodynamic designs and the use of advanced, light weight and stronger materials for core components. In the aero design area (Figure 13), the emphasis of NASA research is being placed on improved design methods for high pressure, highly loaded compressors (Ref. 26) and turbines, novel turbine cooling flow techniques (Refs. 27 and 28) to improve cooling effectiveness, and novel flow control technologies for improved efficiency on compressor and turbine blades. As part of the ERA Project, NASA and its partner GE will be testing an advanced, multi stage, high speed, highly loaded compressor in the NASA Glenn W7 facility in early 2012. Detailed measurement of surface and flowfield quantities will be obtained in an effort to validate computational fluid dynamic (CFD) codes as well as to understand the physics of compressor blade row interaction and stage matching in a high speed, highly-loaded, close-coupled environment. This will be a benchmark study for the community to validate tools and improve highly-loaded compressor designs. It is expected that three-dimensional blade design methods and designs accounting for the blade row interaction effects will be incorporated into the advanced compressor design, resulting in a higher TRL through this experimental effort. NASA multi-stage turbomachinery codes will also be validated and extended through this research to account for these inter-blade row effects. These improvements will then be available to the broader gas turbine engine community for the advancement of highly-loaded turbomachinery designs and reduced fuel burn engines.

In the materials area (Figure 14), NASA and its partners are investigating methods to improve cycle efficiency by improving turbine seals; improving thermal barrier coatings on turbine blades to reduce cooling load and improve thermal efficiency; developing high strength, high temperature metal alloys to allow higher blade loadings; developing shaped memory alloys to enable varying the engine cycle for improved efficiency; and high temperature ceramic matrix composites (CMC) for turbine vane and engine nozzle components to allow for higher engine core temperatures, reduce cooling flow requirements, and lower component weight. Currently, the ERA Project is conducting research to advance the TRL of CMC components through design, fabrication and demonstration testing of larger, more complex models in a relevant environment at NASA and partner facilities.

![Figure 13.—Engine core aero design-based technologies enabling advanced engine cycles.](image-url)
Figure 14.—Engine core materials-based technologies enabling advanced engine cycles.

Ceramic-matrix composites (CMC) offer opportunities for revolutionary changes in propulsion system design and operation. The lower density and higher temperature capability of CMC components, relative to that of metallic components, offer multiple engine advantages, such as weight saving, efficiency and thrust improvements, and reduced specific fuel consumption. Figure 15 shows areas within a typical aircraft engine core where CMC technology can be applied, design characteristics, and potential benefits to the engine. NASA has been developing durable, high-temperature CMCs with silicon carbide (SiC) matrices and SiC or carbon fibers for high-temperature structural applications (Ref. 29). These SiC/SiC composites are designed to be lightweight (~30 percent of metal density). With the advances in the development of thermal/environmental barrier coatings (TEBCs) for CMC applications, also under the ERA program, the performance of CMC components has improved significantly. Recently, SiC/SiC CMC combustor liner and turbine vanes have demonstrated cyclic durability at temperatures up to 1650 °C (3002 °F) (Ref. 30), a temperature significantly higher than the thermal capability of metal alloys (~1093 °C or ~2000 °F). A previous NASA program demonstrated the capability to fabricate complex turbine airfoil shapes from SiC/SiC composites that had increased operating temperature capability (2400 °F) and improved long-term durability. A representative SiC/SiC turbine airfoil cross section, shown in Figure 16, demonstrated the capability that was developed during that program to fabricate the complex features needed for turbine vanes. The U.S. aerospace industry, GE Aviation in particular, has since advanced this capability to the point that prototype SiC/SiC low pressure turbine vanes are currently under evaluation.

CMC material technology is also being investigated for high pressure turbine vanes. By reducing the cooling flow requirements for the high pressure turbine vanes, the overall efficiency of the core increases since more energy is available for extraction by the turbine sections. NASA is teamed with Rolls Royce Liberty Works to develop CMC technology for exhaust mixer nozzles. Material structural integrity is being demonstrated through testing of small material samples, and nozzle performance is being validated using subscale nozzle designs made of CMC material. ERA is also conducting research on CMC based turbine vanes. Fabrication technology is being developed to produce CMC turbine vanes without internal
material defects and with the same design features required for conventional material vane designs, including imbedded air cooling holes and thermal barrier coatings for high temperature applications.

Figure 15.—Engine component applications for CMC materials and potential benefits.

Figure 16.—Generic low pressure turbine vane airfoil shape made with CMC SiC/SiC material.
Figure 17.—Predicted reduction in Thrust Specific Fuel Consumption with reduced cooling load with CMC High Pressure Turbine Vanes.

A recent system study (Ref. 31) on an ultra-high bypass ratio (UHB) turbofan engine for an advanced twin-engine single-aisle transport (B737/A320 class aircraft) has shown that CMC turbine vanes (assuming 3000 °F temperature capability) enable the reduction of turbine cooling and therefore increases the turbine efficiency, which enabling an average TSFC reduction of 1.5 percent for an engine with Overall Pressure Ration of 32. The TSFC benefit increases with OPR as shown in Figure 17. The study also showed that CMC materials are an enabling technology for increased bypass ratio, and thus better propulsive efficiency. Higher temperature materials allow increased turbine rotor inlet temperature, which increases specific power of the engine, allowing a higher bypass ratio. Also, as $T_{\text{bulk}}$ is allowed to increase, less turbine chargeable cooling is required. Core size shrinks as the demand of cooling air decreases.

Summary

The NASA Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate has established two Projects to investigate, develop, and demonstrate in partnership with U.S. Industry, Academia, and other Government Agencies, advanced subsonic transport aircraft technology to significantly reduce the impact of aircraft on the environment. The Subsonic Fixed Wing Project, under the Fundamental Aeronautics Program, established in 2006, is tasked to support fundamental research to Technology Readiness Level of 1 to 3 for application to aircraft designs beyond 2025, or N+3 generation of aircraft. The Environmentally Responsible Aviation Project, under the Integrated Systems Research Program, is tasked to further develop the aircraft technology showing potential for meeting the system environmental goals to a Technology Readiness Level of 4 to 6 by 2020, or N+2 generation aircraft.

NASA and its partners under the SFW and ERA Projects to date have demonstrated significant progress toward meeting the NASA Subsonic Transport System Level Metrics for installed fuel burn reduction with: advanced engine technology research in the areas of Ultra High Bypass and Open Rotor engine cycles to increase propulsive efficiency; advanced engine core research to produce higher propulsive and thermal efficiencies with higher Overall Pressure Ratio and Turbine Inlet Temperatures; advanced, three dimensional aerodynamic compressor and turbine designs; and advanced materials research in Ceramic Metal Composites and Superalloys to allow higher blade loading per stage to reduce engine weight as well as reduce turbine cooling requirements to increase overall efficiency. Project research includes computational design and analysis, including code validation; subscale model testing to verify technologies in laboratory and in relevant, complex, operational environments; and finally system level demonstrations of full scale components.
Appendix.—Definition of Technology Readiness Levels

TRL 1 Basic principles observed and reported: Transition from scientific research to applied research. Essential characteristics and behaviors of systems and architectures. Descriptive tools are mathematical formulations or algorithms.

TRL 2 Technology concept and/or application formulated: Applied research. Theory and scientific principles are focused on specific application area to define the concept. Characteristics of the application are described. Analytical tools are developed for simulation or analysis of the application.

TRL 3 Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof-of-concept: Proof of concept validation. Active Research and Development (R&D) is initiated with analytical and laboratory studies. Demonstration of technical feasibility using breadboard or brassboard implementations that are exercised with representative data.

TRL 4 Component/subsystem validation in laboratory environment: Standalone prototyping implementation and test. Integration of technology elements. Experiments with full-scale problems or data sets.

TRL 5 System/subsystem/component validation in relevant environment: Thorough testing of prototyping in representative environment. Basic technology elements integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements. Prototyping implementations conform to target environment and interfaces.

TRL 6 System/subsystem model or prototyping demonstration in a relevant end-to-end environment (ground or space): Prototyping implementations on full-scale realistic problems. Partially integrated with existing systems. Limited documentation available. Engineering feasibility fully demonstrated in actual system application.

TRL 7 System prototyping demonstration in an operational environment (ground or space): System prototyping demonstration in operational environment. System is at or near scale of the operational system, with most functions available for demonstration and test. Well integrated with collateral and ancillary systems. Limited documentation available.

TRL 8 Actual system completed and "mission qualified" through test and demonstration in an operational environment (ground or space): End of system development. Fully integrated with operational hardware and software systems. Most user documentation, training documentation, and maintenance documentation completed. All functionality tested in simulated and operational scenarios. Verification and Validation (V&V) completed.

TRL 9 Actual system "mission proven" through successful mission operations (ground or space): Fully integrated with operational hardware/software systems. Actual system has been thoroughly demonstrated and tested in its operational environment. All documentation completed. Successful operational experience. Sustaining engineering support in place.
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