Spatial distribution of rolled up Kelvin-Helmholtz vortices at Earth’s dayside and flank magnetopause
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Abstract. The Kelvin-Helmholtz Instability (KHI) can drive waves at the magnetopause. These waves can grow to form rolled-up vortices and facilitate transfer of plasma into the magnetosphere. To investigate the persistence and frequency of such waves at the magnetopause we have carried out a survey of all Double Star 1 magnetopause crossings, using a combination of ion and magnetic field measurements. Using criteria originally used in a Geotail study made by Hasegawa et al. (2006) (forthwith referred to as H2006), 17 candidate events were identified from the entire TC-1 mission (covering \(\sim\)623 orbits where the magnetopause was sampled), a majority of which were on the dayside of the terminator. The relationship between density and shear velocity was then investigated, to identify the predicted signature of a rolled up vortex from H2006 and all 17 events exhibited some level of rolled up behavior. The location of the events had a clear dawn-dusk asymmetry, with 12 (71\%) on the post noon, dusk flank suggesting preferential growth in this region.
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1 Introduction

The penetration of solar wind plasma across the magnetopause into the Earth’s magnetosphere has been the subject of study for a number of decades. The situation for a predominantly southward directed interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) is driven by magnetic reconnection at the dayside magnetopause (Dungey, 1961). Under northward IMF, magnetic reconnection is less likely to occur at the low-latitude magnetopause and yet evidence of ongoing transport
is exemplified by the formation and thickening of the low latitude boundary layer (LLBL) (Eastman and Hones, 1979) and the formation of the cold dense plasma sheet (e.g. Tereszawa et al., 1997). Impulsive plasma penetration has also been proposed, most recently with Cluster data (e.g. Lundin et al., 2003). Processes pertaining to plasma transport in the context of the cold dense plasma sheet are: diffusion (Treumann, 1997), poleward-of-the-cusp reconnection (Song and Russell, 1992; Øieroset et al., 2005, 2008; Lavraud et al., 2005, 2006; Li et al., 2005; Imber et al., 2006) and the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (KHI) (Fujimoto and Tereszawa, 1995; Fairfield et al., 2000; Nkiri and Otto, 2001) or a combination of each (e.g. Taylor et al., 2008). KHI activity is also observed at other planets, most recently at Saturn (Masters et al., 2010) and Mercury (Sundberg et al., 2011, 2012).

Such KHI driven waves can grow non-linearly, roll up into vortices and facilitate plasma transport into the magnetosphere, as demonstrated with simulations (Nakamura and Fujimoto, 2005; Nakamura et al., 2006) and observations by Cluster (Hasegawa et al., 2004; Nkiri et al., 2006). Takagi et al. (2006) carried out three-dimensional MHD simulations of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in a magnetotail flank-like geometry. They found that rolled-up vortices contained a characteristic feature when relating the velocity and density, showing a component of low-density plasma with tailward speeds exceeding that of the adjacent magnetosheath flow, and suggested that this feature could be used as a marker of the roll-up of Kelvin-Helmholtz driven vortices. H2006 presented spacecraft observations compared to 3-D MHD simulation results by Takagi et al. (2006). Four Cluster spacecraft measurements of a rolled up vortex (reported previously in Hasegawa et al., 2004) confirmed the simulation predictions of the so-called “faster-than-sheath” feature in the velocity–density relationship. Using this feature, along with other signatures of KHI, H2006 proposed a single spacecraft method to identify rolled up vortices and carried out a survey on a large data set of 9 years of Geotail measurements. Of the 19 rolled up events identified, only 1 was found on the day side of the dawn–dusk terminator and a near symmetric distribution across both flanks, with 9 on the dawn and 10 on the dusk side of the noon–midnight meridian. This technique has been used in more recent studies (Nishino et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2008; Hwang et al., 2011) and similar features were observed in hybrid simulations of KHI at the magnetopause (Cowee et al., 2010). In the current study we present an extension of H2006’s work using the complete Double Star 1 data set.

2 Instrumentation

The Double Star 1 spacecraft was launched on 29 December 2003 into a $570 \times 78970$ km equatorial orbit (Liu et al., 2005). Post commissioning observations began after February 2004, and on 14 October 2007 after completing its design orbit lifetime it returned to Earth having completed around 1163 27.25-h orbits. We use data from the Hot Ion Analyzer (HIA; Rème et al., 2005), which measures full three-dimensional (3-D) ion (without mass discrimination) distribution functions in the energy range 5–32 keV q$^{-1}$ (32 energy steps) every spin (4 s), with an angular resolution of $11.25^\circ$–$22.5^\circ$. The moments shown here come from straight integration of the distribution functions onboard the spacecraft. The full 3-D distributions transmitted to the ground from which the distributions in this paper are drawn are accumulated over 2 spins (8 s) and have an angular resolution of $22.5^\circ$–$22.5^\circ$. We also use spin resolution magnetic field measurements from the Flux Gate Magnetometer (FGM; Carr et al., 2005). Solar wind conditions are taken from 5 min, high resolution OMNI data (King and Papitashvili, 2005), which are provided time-shifted to the bow shock nose. We estimate an additional magnetosheath transition period (Spreiter and Stahara, 1994) of between 2–5 min based on the variation of solar wind conditions, which we translate to an overall 10 min additional lag when considering the IMF and solar wind conditions below, to avoid bow shock – magnetopause/spacecraft location convection time uncertainties.

3 Observations

We surveyed all TC-1 magnetopause crossings by eye, examining the behaviour of the ion moments, omnidirectional energy-time spectrograms and time series of magnetic field components. We identified candidate rolled-up KHI intervals using criteria based on H2006. These were (1) clear quasi-periodic fluctuations with period 1–5 min in the spectrograms, bulk plasma parameters and magnetic field; (2) to restrict events to having at least 5 wave periods; (3) IMF orientation is continuously northward (with a clock angle ($\theta_{B,MF} = \arctan(B_x/B_y)$ GSM) between $\pm 70^\circ$ for the entire time period, based on the OMNI IMF data propagated to the magnetopause as described above); (4) a sufficient number of low density measurements had associated anti-sunward speed that was higher than that of the magnetosheath. We note that H2006 do not quantify this level specifically, which we have attempted to do below. Criterion (3) is imposed to avoid high magnetic shear at the magnetopause boundary and hence mis-interpreting low density, high speed flows through reconnection instead of rolled up vortices. To impose criterion (4), a shear flow direction for the crossings was defined from taking the average of all flow directions during a single event. This shear direction was also compared to the local magnetosheath flow in each case and found to be in agreement (directionally) to within $10^\circ$, suggesting that the shear direction was reasonably tangential to the nominal magnetopause surface.

Figure 1 shows an example magnetopause crossing on 14 April 2007. Panel (a) shows an omnidirectional ion differential energy flux spectrogram, panel (b) the magnetic
Fig. 1. An example magnetopause crossing on 14 April 2007. Panel (a) shows an omnidirectional ion differential energy flux spectrogram, panel (b) the magnetic field GSM components, panels (c)–(e) show the ion density, temperature (parallel and perpendicular components) and GSM velocity components. Panels (f)–(i), show OMNI data, upstream solar wind conditions (where $\theta_{\text{IMF}} = \arctan(B_y/B_z)$ GSM) time shifted to the magnetopause, by taking the OMNI parameters shifted to the Bow Shock (King and Papitashvili, 2005) and adding an extra 10 min as described in the text.
entire period the interplanetary magnetic field is predominantly northward. The crossing shown in Fig. 1 is rather typical of the events examined, which we list in full in Table 1.

Table 1 indicates all events adhering to criteria 1–3 and provides a number of characteristics for each event, in particular the level to which the event adheres to criterion 4 by the column \( \%_{RO} \), which is discussed below. The times in Table 1 indicate the period over which the analysis for criterion 4 was carried out. Of all crossings, only events 5 + 6 are closely spaced in time and location, as a result of a re-exit into the magnetosheath during a particular orbit. We note that event 3 has been discussed at some length in Taylor et al. (2008) and Taylor and Lavraud (2008). In addition to \( \%_{RO} \), for each event the \( \beta \) magnetosheath, solar wind Alfvén Mach number \( M_A \) (OMNI), speed and density along with the TC1 GSM location are listed. We note that \( \beta \) magnetosheath is the value nearest to the event in question and may in some cases be very close to the boundary itself so not always “pristine” magnetosheath. The “Extended NW IMF” column indicates whether the IMF was northward for at least 1 h before the period examined (where the * indicates that \( \theta_{IMF} \) was within 20° of pure northward for the entire preceding hour) and is followed by the average wave period and associated wavelength (based on the magnetosheath velocity). The next column includes a ratio of the distance from the subsolar point to the wavelength, where \( d_{ssolar} \) is an approximate distance of the event from the sub-solar point. The mixing status indicates the ion mixing status in a similar manner to H2006. “Mixed” indicates a significant amount of cool magnetosheath-like plasma (density \( >1/cc \)) was observed on the magnetospheric side of the magnetopause. “Weakly” indicates that magnetosheath like plasma was observed on the magnetospheric side, but with densities lower than 1/cc. \( \Theta_{AZI} = \tan^{-1} (IMF B_y/IMF B_x) \) indicates the orientation of the IMF in the ecliptic plane, where multiple entries indicate variations in the IMF. \( \Theta_{AZI} \) is used in the final column to determine the nature of the shock upstream of each event, either parallel or perpendicular (or a mixture of both due to field rotations). The yellow highlight indicates the pre-noon/dawn events.

Fig. 2. A plot of shear velocity versus density for the event in Fig. 1. The plot is described in the text.

Fig. 3. The location of all the events listed in Table 1 in Geocentric Solar Magnetospheric (GSM) coordinates, subdivided into varying levels of \( \%_{RO} \): (Category 1) \( \%_{RO} > 40 \), (Category 2) \( 30 < \%_{RO} < 40 \), (Category 3) \( 10 < \%_{RO} < 20 \) % and (Category 4) \( \%_{RO} < 10 \) %.
Table 1. List of events discussed in the text, for the periods over which shear-velocity-density analysis was carried out. For each event the corresponding values of \( \% \text{RO}, \beta_{\text{Magnetosheath}} \), Solar wind pressure, Alfvén Mach number, speed and density are displayed, along with TC1 location, indication of the longevity of the northward IMF conditions around the event, the period and wavelength of the waves, and the ratio of approximate distance from the subsolar region to the wavelength. Finally the level of mixing of the boundary layer is indicated, along with the \( \Theta_{\text{AZI}} \), the azimuthal component of the IMF and the condition of the shock upstream of the event. The yellow highlight indicates the pre-noon/dawn events.

| Date         | Time range     | \( \% \text{RO} \) | \( \beta_{\text{Magnetosheath}} \) | SW P (nPa) | SW \( M_A \) | SW speed | SW n | TC1 location \( (R_E) \text{ GSM} \) | Extended NW IMF | \( \lambda \) \( (R_E) \text{ SW} \) | Mixing status | \( \Theta_{\text{AZI}} = \text{atan}(B_y/B_x) \text{ (min)} \) | Upstream shock |
|--------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|----------|------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 2004-05-19   | 23:05:00–23:35:00 | 7                   | 1               | 2.6–4.4   | 4–6.5       | 340–380  | 12–16 | (2.2,–11.5,–6.15) N | 2              | 4.1           | Mixed         | 150 (–100) Para/perp |
| 2004-05-20   | 02:48:00–03:00:00 | 9                   | 0.3             | 2.4–2.7   | 6.2–7.1     | 385–405  | 5–11  | (3.5,–10.6,–4.25) Y | 2              | 2.8           | 2.6–3.9       | Mixed         | –37 Para |
| 2004-12-05   | 16:40:00–18:15:00 | 79                  | 0.2             | 6–10      | 3.8–7.8     | 420–450  | 13–26 | (–3.0,12.6,–0.0) Y | 2              | 7.5           | 15–11.25      | Mixed         | –140 Para |
| 2004-01-06   | 13:07:00–14:00:00 | 9                   | 0.2             | 2.2       | 9           | 530–560  | 3.2–4  | (5.0,12.2,1.7) N | 2              | 1.4           | Mixed         | 2.5            | 170 Para |
| 2005-01-08   | 22:11:00–22:55:00 | 25                  | 0.2             | 3.7–4.8   | 12.8        | 440–490  | 7.12  | (4.5,10.9,5.8) Y | 2              | 2.5           | Mixed         | 1.4–2.8        | 30–41 Mixed |
| 2006-01-09   | 00:09:00–01:15:00 | 17                  | 0.6             | 3–4.5     | 12–9        | 440–470  | 6–10  | (3.2,10.7,5.6) Y  | (*)            | 2.8           | Mixed         | 3.8–4.3        | 145 Pep |
| 2005-01-14   | 16:55:00–18:30:00 | 9                   | 0.6             | 2.9–3.6   | 9–12        | 520–560  | 4–7   | (4.2,20.8,4.4) Y | 1              | 2.8           | Mixed         | 120 (–170) (0) Mixed/para |
| 2005-05-06   | 04:10:00–04:55:00 | 10                  | 0.5             | 1.2–1.4   | 10.2–8.5    | 340–380  | 5.5–8 | (7.6,–9.7,0.2) Y  | (*)            | 1.4           | Mixed         | 30.7            | 165 Para |
| 2005-05-09   | 13:20:00–14:45:00 | 13                  | 0.4             | 1.6–2.5   | 6.6–6.6     | 560–620  | 1.5–4 | (6.6,–9.8,1.6) Y | 1              | 2.6           | Mixed         | 5.4            | 40 Pep |
| 2006-02-11   | 22:00:00–23:05:00 | 1                  | 0.2–0.5         | 2.7       | 8           | 400–420  | 7.5–9.5 | (6.14,5.3,7.2) N | 2              | 1.9–3.8       | Mixed         | 3.3–6.7        | 145 Pep |
| 2006-06-21   | 01:10:00–04:00:00 | 46                  | 0.1             | 0.8       | 12          | 330–345  | 2.7–4.3 | (8,–10,20.6) Y | 2              | 2.3–3.9       | Mixed         | 4.0–6.0        | 140 Para |
| 2007-02-13   | 12:00:00–13:30:00 | 10                  | 0.1–0.5         | 2.9–3.4   | 8–6.5       | 460–540  | 4.5–8 | (0.1,13.5,–1.0) Y | 1              | 2.8           | Mixed         | 3.1            | 132 Pep |
| 2007-03-11   | 22:15:00–00:30:00 | 28                  | 0.2             | 3–5       | 7–8         | 380–440  | 7–20  | (3.116,2.0) N  | 1–1.5          | 2.3–3.5       | Mixed         | 3.1–4.8        | 140 Pep |
| 2007-03-30   | 04:45:00–06:00:00 | 26                  | 0.5             | 1.3–1.8   | 14–8        | 370–395  | 4.5–8 | (6.2,10.6,1.2) N | 1–1.5          | 1.9–2.8       | Mixed         | 49–73          | 20 (10) Para/para |
| 2007-04-14   | 00:30:00–02:00:00 | 27                  | 0.4             | 1.1–1.6   | 10–12       | 376–384  | 3–7   | (8.2,9.2,–0.8) Y | 1              | 1.7           | Mixed         | 9.22            | 80 (15) Para |
| 2007-04-19   | 17:30:00–17:54:00 | 2                  | 0.1             | 1.2–1.4   | 12–11       | 384–394  | 4–5   | (9.1,8.3,–2.3) Y | (*)            | 1.5           | Mixed         | 11.1           | 35 Para |
| 2007-04-23   | 06:20:00–06:56:00 | 41                  | 0.5             | 2.5–3.2   | 6–8         | 480–495  | 5–10  | (7.3,7.4,–3.5) N | 1              | 2.3           | Mixed         | 85 (105)       | Pera/para |
To attempt to quantify criterion 4 and the rolled up nature of the crossings, we introduce a parameter, $\%_{\text{RO}}$, to quantify the “faster-than-sheath” characteristic. To do this, as in H2006, the shear velocity and density for each period were plotted to find “faster-than-sheath” features. Figure 2 shows such a plot for the same data as were introduced in Fig. 1. As mentioned previously, $V_{\text{shear}}$ was defined by taking the average flow velocity for the entire period, as implemented in H2006. To obtain the faster-than-sheath component, we define a magnetosheath density, $n_{\text{MSH}}$, as 80% of the maximum density from the entire period (the vertical solid line in Fig. 2). The characteristic sheath velocity, $V_{\text{MSH}}$ (horizontal black line in Fig. 2) is derived from all points above $n_{\text{MSH}}$. From the faster-than-sheath features discussed in H2006, values between 60% and 75% of the characteristic magnetosheath density were considered. We have used a value of 70% $n_{\text{MSH}}$ as the upper threshold for density in the current study (indicated by the vertical red line in Fig. 2). The faster-than-sheath component of the data is then defined as all points with $n < 70\% n_{\text{MSH}}$ and with a velocity threshold $V_{\text{shear}} < (V_{\text{MSH}} - \sigma)$. This region is indicated in Fig. 2 by the region bounded by solid red lines. To provide a generic identification and quantification of such a “rolled-over” characteristic, we relate these low density-high speed components to all points with magnetosheath-like velocities ($V_{\text{shear}} < V_{\text{MSH}} + \sigma$) (indicated by the uppermost horizontal dashed black line in Fig. 2) to obtain a percentage value, $\%_{\text{RO}}$. The outcome of the examination of criterion 4 is shown for all events in Table 1 and shows that all events have evidence of some level of rolling up. Relaxing the velocity threshold from $(V_{\text{MSH}} - \sigma)$ to $V_{\text{MSH}}$ made no apparent change to the results, other than increasing the value of $\%_{\text{RO}}$ for each event.

4 Discussion and conclusions

There is a clear asymmetry in the spatial distribution of the events, with 12 of the 17 (71%) events on the post noon-dusk hemisphere and only 5 on the dawn, pre-noon side. Figure 3 shows the location of all the events listed in Table 1 in Geocentric Solar Magnetospheric (GSM) coordinates and sub-divides them in terms of the value of $\%_{\text{RO}}$ as follows: (Category 1) $\%_{\text{RO}} > 40$, (Category 2) $30 < \%_{\text{RO}} < 40$, (Category 3) $10 < \%_{\text{RO}} < 20\%$ and (Category 4) $\%_{\text{RO}} < 10\%$. All events, apart from the 5 December 2004, lay sunward of the terminator, suggesting rather rapid evolution of the KHI for these events, evolving to a non-linear state in only a few wavelengths. There is no clear ordering in $x_{\text{GSM}}$ and categories of $\%_{\text{RO}}$ on the dusk flank. On the dawn flank, somewhat counter-intuitively, $\%_{\text{RO}}$ decreases with increasing distance from the sub-solar region. Indeed, for events 5 and 6, which are closely located in time, $\%_{\text{RO}}$ also reduces with distance from the sub-solar point. As discussed by H2006, the appearance of the v-n plot is dependent on the trajectory of the spacecraft through the vortex, and therefore may not necessarily contain all the points from the simulated plots in Tagaki et al. (2006). H2006 suggested that the “faster-than-sheath” high velocity—low density data points in v-n plots are most likely obtained from the magnetosheath side of the vortex centre and that clustering of data points at low density and lower velocities could indicate sampling of the inner region of the vortex centre (Hwang et al., 2011). Hybrid simulations by Cowee et al. (2010) have indicated that “faster-than-sheath” signatures may be suppressed with higher magnetic shear as the low-density flows are not accelerated as much. In addition, they found no “faster-than-sheath” signature for cases with low-density gradients across the boundary. So we consider that the spatial variation of $\%_{\text{RO}}$ of each event is not simply related to the distance from the sub-solar point, but also to specific conditions of the boundary at each event and to the sampling of the rolled-up vortex structure itself.

As shown in Table 1, events have a low $\beta_{\text{magnetosheath}}$ and solar wind $M_{A}$ values are all $> 4$. There is no clear correlation for either $M_{A}$ or $\beta_{\text{magnetosheath}}$ with $\%_{\text{RO}}$. Lavraud and Borovsky (2008) discussed the possible implications of low solar wind $M_{A}$ and $\beta_{\text{magnetosheath}}$, where increased flow shears may be expected at the flank magnetopause. Low $\beta_{\text{magnetosheath}}$ and hence similar magnetic and plasma conditions on either side of the magnetopause boundary, would result in KHI growing for any velocity shear value (with growth rate being proportional to this shear magnitude). However, they also noted that low values of $\beta_{\text{magnetosheath}}$, as observed in this study would result in stronger magnetic tension forces to act against the growth of the KHI. The lack of correlation between KHI vortex properties (location and $\%_{\text{RO}}$) and upstream conditions suggest a counteracting interplay between larger magnetopause velocity shear and the stabilization effect of magnetic tension forces affecting KHI wave growth.

All events in this study display some level of mixing at the boundary (Table 1). If we consider this to be the signature of a pre-existing boundary layer, this may have aided the excitation of the KHI (Hasegawa et al., 2009), presumably formed by double-lobe reconnection (Song and Russell, 1992). Unlike H2006, who related weaker mixing to periods of weaker or shorter-lived northward IMF, we find weaker mixing for events with extended (> 1 h) northward IMF and in the case of event 17 after periods of strongly northward IMF ($\theta_{\text{IMF}}$ within 20° NW). We note that no weakly mixed events occur in the pre-noon sector and that there is a weak tendency for the more weakly mixed events to occur close to the sub-solar region (larger $X_{\text{GSM}}$), perhaps indicating broadening of the boundary layer (Mitchell et al., 1987; Foullon et al., 2008). In terms of wave period and wavelength, there is weak tendency for period and wavelength to increase with distance from the sub-solar point, in a similar manner to that reported in H2006. This is more clearly exemplified in Table 1, which shows the ratio of the distance from the sub-solar point to the wavelength of the event. The weaker mixed events also tend
to have a smaller period and wavelength, perhaps reflecting
the relationship between wavelength and growth rate and the
thickness of the boundary layer (e.g. Miura and Pritchett,
1982).

A number of studies have reported a dawn-dusk asymme-
try in the characteristics of the magnetosphere, for example
in the plasma sheet and LLBL under northward IMF (Fuji-
moto et al., 1998, 2002; Wing and Newell, 2002; Hasegawa
et al., 2003). These studies reported the existence of a two-
component ion energy distribution, comprised of a cold mag-
netosheath and a hotter magnetospheric population on the
dusk side and a more condensed/mixed distribution on the
dawn flank. Nishino et al. (2011) reported simultaneous ob-
servations of KHI driven waves at both flanks of the mag-
netosphere, with simultaneous conjugate flank observations
from Geotail and Cluster. The macroscopic appearance of
the vortex structures themselves was roughly symmetric, al-
though at the microscopic level there were clear differences,
with a mixed like appearance of distributions around the
dawn vortices and a two-component distribution found on the
dusk side. Dawn-dusk asymmetries have also been reported
in ground based PC5 wave activity (Baker et al., 2003).

Such asymmetry may be seeded by perturbations down-
stream of the quasi-parallel bow shock (Miura, 1992) for
the dawn flank and finite Larmor radius effects on the dusk
(Huba, 1996) or dawn (Nagano, 1979) flank. In the mag-
netosheath, Němeček et al. (2003), Paularena et al. (2001),
Longmore et al. (2005) have shown higher ion fluxes and ve-
locities and lower densities on the dusk flank compared to the
dawn, with no link to IMF orientation. However, Paularena
et al. (2001) showed a solar cycle variation, with no correla-
tion during solar maximum but some correlation with solar
wind conditions during solar minimum. In the current study
we examined the IMF azimuthal clock angle (\(\Theta_{AZI} = \tan^{-1}
(IMF B_y/IMF B_x)\)) to infer a likely orientation of the IMF to
the nominal bow shock normal upstream of each event. We
found no correlation with the observed asymmetry, with a
rather balanced distribution of either quasi-parallel or quasi-
perpendicular or mixed conditions, as indicated in Table 1.

MHD KHI simulations by Collado-Vega et al. (2007) show
a majority of KHI driven vortices on the dawnside for a par-
ticular case study. H2006 reported a nearly symmetric dis-
tribution of rolled up vortices over the dawn and dusk flank,
with 9 events post-noon/dusk and 10 events pre-noon/dawn,
with all except one anti-sunward of the terminator. We plot
these events along side those of the current study in Fig. 4,
with Geotail locations in red and TC1 locations in black.

Combining both datasets, of the total 36 events, 21 (58 %)
are found on the dusk side. As presented above, the TC1
mission was in operation for just over 3.5 years. Initial oper-
ations began in late February 2004, coinciding with apogee
being in the morning sector and representing the first morn-
ing flank season in the current study, as the spacecraft orbit
precessed clockwise, towards earlier local time. If we divide
the coverage into dawn/morning and afternoon/evening and
we consider the entire period surveyed in this paper, from
24 February 2004–4 May 2007, we find that the spacecraft
sampled both regions on three occasions each (we note that
although the mission continued up to October 2007 before
re-entering, data coverage was rather sparse during the pe-
riod after 4 May). The Geotail data used in H2006 were taken
over a period of 9 years from 1995–2003 and also provided

Fig. 4. Location of all events from current study combined with
Geotail events from H2006m with Geotail locations in red and TC1
locations in black.
an equal sampling of both flanks, so we do not believe the dawn-dusk asymmetry is due to a sampling issue. From Fig. 4, a clearer asymmetry can be seen with respect to the terminator, with the majority of TC1 events on the dayside and on the nightside for Geotail. The smaller apogee of TC1 (∼12 \( R_E \)) prevented sampling of the flanks at larger distances anti-sunward of the terminator. For Geotail, H2006 examined the period 1995–2003, during the mission’s “near-tail” phase in which the apogee of the spacecraft orbit was 30 \( R_E \), providing coverage for both dayside and nightside magnetopause crossings. H2006 only used 12-s resolution data from the LEP-EA instrument (Mukai et al., 1994) as this instrument gives more reliable data in the boundary layer than LEP-SW. Bulk parameter calculations are automatically selected from either LEP-EA or LEP-SW according to the intensity of ion fluxes and for the magnetosheath and outer boundary, this would likely be from LEP-SW. As the faster-than-sheath data points are expected to be on the magnetosheath side of the boundary, it is likely that a number of candidate events were not considered thereby explaining the lack of events on the dayside reported in H2006. It is not likely that the incident angle of the orbit with the magnetopause and hence time period in the vicinity of the boundary could play a role. The smaller apogee of the TC1 orbit provides more tangential or skimming crossings on the dayside for that phase of the orbit (near apogee) compared with the more perpendicular incidence for Geotail. But the higher perigee (∼10 \( R_E \)) of the Geotail orbit would result in similar types of incidence nearer perigee, so it is more likely an issue with instrument mode than orbit coverage. Figure 4 and hence the combination of TC1 events from this study and Geotail events from H2006 provides a complete picture of the occurrence of KHI vortices under northward IMF conditions, suggesting that a dawn-dusk asymmetry in rolled up KHI driven vortices exists on the dayside but disappears anti-sunward of the terminator. The various conditions discussed above could affect the growth rate, stability and initiation of the KHI at the magnetopause. In addition, magnetospheric-ionospheric coupling must be considered when examining the evolution of KHI waves (Miura and Kan, 1992). Wei and Lee (1993) investigated KHI driven vortices at the LLBL and their associated field aligned currents linking to the ionosphere. They found that competing effects of the formation and driving of the vortices at the LLBL with the dissipation at the ionosphere led to limited regions of strong vortex formation, namely in the post noon sector and associated them with bright auroral spots in the same local time sector. This, along with the vorticity enhancements on growth rates from finite Larmor radius effects could result in the dayside asymmetry observed in the current study. We note that Sundberg et al. (2012) have recently reported a similar asymmetry in the occurrence of KHI driven waves at the magnetopause of Mercury, where all events were found on the post noon dusk side magnetopause. Why such an asymmetry in the current study does not continue to the tail, could then be due to the formation of secondary KHI and Rayleigh Taylor instabilities (Cowee et al., 2009), which would work to break down the vortices formed at the dayside. These effects are dependent on the magnetic shear and density profile across the magnetopause and may have different magnitudes on each flank, ultimately bringing a more uniform scenario at both the magnetopause flanks, anti-sunward of the terminator.

5 Summary

We have surveyed the entire Double Star 1 mission to find magnetopause crossings exhibiting behavior akin to the rolling up of Kelvin-Helmholtz unstable waves. This survey was implemented using a technique proposed in Takagi et al. (2006) and implemented in spacecraft data by Hasegawa et al. (2006). We have found an asymmetric distribution of KHI driven rolled up vortices, with 70 \% (12 out of 17) of events occurring at the post noon magnetopause. All but one of these events was found on the dayside magnetosphere, suggesting rapid evolution of the KHI to a non-linear state. We have attempted to quantify the magnitude of the rolling up in terms of a \% of low-density higher velocity data points or \%RO but found no obvious tendency with location. We found no clear differences either in terms of wavelength, \( \beta_{magnetosheath} \) or a number of solar wind parameters including \( M_A \) or inferred bow shock characteristics upstream of the events.

To provide a broader picture of vortices we combined the current study results with those from H2006, resulting in the asymmetry to reduce to 62 \% (21 out of 34). This also showed the asymmetry to be clearly limited to the dayside, with the post-noon sector providing the best location for rolled up vortices. A number of factors could be invoked to provide preferential KHI onset on either flank, in the case of the dusk flank, an ortho-Parker spiral configuration would provide quasi-parallel conditions at the bow shock to seed KHI growth, along with long-term average trends of higher ion fluxes and velocities in the magnetosheath. The dayside weighting of the asymmetry pertains to a driver sunward of the terminator, with possible link to the dayside coupling of the magnetosphere/ionosphere in the post noon sector. Why such an asymmetry does not continue into the tail could then be a combination of various local (magnetopause) and connectivity (ionosphere) conditions, along with the varying contributions from secondary processes, again related to the local plasma conditions. Linking the asymmetry reported here with those observed within the magnetosphere itself should be the target of a future study incorporating simultaneous observations of the magnetosphere and magnetopause.
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