the assembly. However, in practice, low yields were already observed for larger designs (up to 24,576 nucleotides attempted thus far). Solving this challenge may require improvements in structure and sequence design, enzymatic synthesis for higher-quality strands, optimized thermal or iso-thermal (44) annealing conditions, and a detailed understanding and perhaps explicit engineering of the kinetic assembly pathways (8, 14, 44) of DNA brick structures.

The DNA brick structure, with its modular architecture, sophisticated geometry control, and synthetic nature, will further expand the range of applications and challenges that nucleic acid nano-technology has already started to address—for example, to arrange technologically relevant guest molecules to functional devices (6, 25, 32–34), to serve as programmable molecular probes and instruments for biological studies (33, 34, 36), to render spatial control for biosynthesis of useful products (25), to function as smart drug delivery particles (37), and to enable high-throughput nanofabrication of complex inorganic materials for electronics or photonics applications (6, 32). The modularity of the brick structure may facilitate rapid prototyping of diverse functional nano-devices. Its sophisticated and refined geometrical control may enable applications that require high-precision arrangements of guest molecules. Because the brick structure is composed entirely of short synthetic strands (no biologically derived scaffold), it is conceivable to make bricks by using synthetic informational polymers other than the natural form of DNA. Such polymers may include L-DNA (26), DNA with chemically modified backbones or artificial bases, or chemically synthesized or in vitro (or even in vivo) transcribed RNA. This material diversity may potentially produce nanostructures with not only prescribed chemical) properties (such as nuclease resistance shapes but also designer chemical (or bio-) technology has already started to address 1. N. C. Seeman, J. Theor. Biol. 99, 237 (1982).

A Reconciled Estimate of Ice-Sheet Mass Balance

Andrew Shepherd, 1,4 Erik R. Ivins, 2* Geruo A., 4 Valentina R. Barletta, 6 Mike J. Bentley, 7 Srinivas Bettadpur, 5 Kate H. Briggs, 8 David H. Bromwich, 9 René Forsberg, 10 Natalia Galin, 11 Martin Horwath, 12 Stan Jacobs, 12 Ian Joughin, 11 Matt A. King, 12,27 Jan T. M. Lenaerts, 13 Jilu Li, 14 Y. Ke et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 15903 (2009).

References and Notes

We combined an ensemble of satellite altimetry, interferometry, and gravimetry data sets using common geographical regions, time intervals, and models of surface mass balance and glacial isostatic adjustment to estimate the mass balance of Earth’s polar ice sheets. We find that there is good agreement between different satellite methods—especially in Greenland and West Antarctica—and that combining satellite data sets leads to greater certainty. Between 1992 and 2011, the ice sheets of Greenland, East Antarctica, West Antarctica, and the Antarctic Peninsula changed in mass by −142 ± 49, +14 ± 43, −65 ± 26, and −20 ± 14 gigatonnes year−1, respectively. Since 1992, the polar ice sheets have contributed, on average, 0.59 ± 0.20 millimeter year−1 to the rate of global sea-level rise.

Fluctuations in the mass of the polar ice sheets are of considerable societal importance, because they affect global sea levels (1, 2) and oceanic conditions. They occur as a consequence of their internal dynamics and changes in atmospheric and oceanic conditions (3–5). Analysis of the geological record suggests that past climatic changes have precipitated
sustained ice-sheet contributions, in excess of 10 mm year$^{-1}$ over millennial time periods (6), and the prospect of such changes in the future are of greatest concern. Even the modest rises in ocean temperature that are predicted over the coming century (7) could trigger substantial ice-sheet mass loss through enhanced melting of ice shelves (8–10) and outlet glaciers (11, 12). However, these processes were not incorporated into the ice-sheet models that informed the current global climate projections (13). Until this is achieved, observations of ice-sheet mass balance remain essential in determining their contribution to sea level.

Satellite geodesy has revolutionized the manner in which ice-sheet mass balance is estimated (14, 15). Since 1998, there have been at least 29 ice-sheet mass balance estimates, based variously (16), on satellite geodesy, gravimetry, and altimetry (16). These estimates, and their respective uncertainties, allow for a combined Greenland and Antarctic ice-sheet mass im-

平衡 of between $-676$ and +69 gigatonnes (Gt) year$^{-1}$, equivalent to a mean global sea-level contribution in the range of +1.9 to −0.2 mm year$^{-1}$. However, much of this spread, which is large in comparison to other ice-sheet imbalance assessments (1, 2) and to the estimated rate of global sea-level rise (17), is due to the brevity of many satellite surveys (4.5 years, on average) relative to the rate at which ice-sheet mass fluctuates (5, 18–20). Because the various satellite methods differ in their strengths and weaknesses (14, 15, 21), careful consideration ought to make them complementary. Here, we compare and combine estimates of ice-sheet mass balance derived from all three satellite geodetic techniques, using common spatial and temporal domains, to investigate the extent to which the approaches concur and to produce a reconciled estimate of ice-sheet mass balance.

**Data and Methods**

In this assessment, we use 19 years of satellite radar altimeter (RA) data, 5 years of satellite laser altimeter (LA) data, 19 years of satellite radar interferometer data, 8 years of satellite gravimetry data, 32 years of surface mass balance (SMB) model simulations, and estimates from several glacial isostatic adjustment models, to produce a reconciled estimate of ice-sheet mass balance. The satellite data sets were developed by using independent methods and, in the case of the LA, gravimeter, and SMB data sets, through contributions from numerous research groups. To enable a direct comparison, we reprocessed the geodetic data sets with use of common time intervals and common definitions of the East Antarctic, West Antarctic, Antarctic Peninsula, and Greenland ice sheet (EAS, WAIS, APIS, and GrIS, respectively) boundaries (16). The maximum temporal extent of the satellite data sets spans the period 1992 to 2011, and results from all geodetic techniques are available between January 2003 and December 2008. Unless stated otherwise, all results are presented with 1-sigma uncertainty estimates.

**Ice-sheet surface mass balance**

SMB includes solid and liquid precipitation, surface sublimation, drifting snow transport, erosion and sublimation, and meltwater formation, refreezing, retention, and runoff. Our estimates of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) and the GrIS SMB are derived from reconstructions of the RACMO2 regional atmospheric climate model (22) over the period 1979 to 2010, with horizontal resolutions of 27 (AIS) and 11 (GrIS) km. RACMO2 has a multilayer snowpack with drifting snow and snow albedo schemes (23) and has been evaluated against in situ temperature, wind, and surface-temperature observations from weather stations (24–26) as well as satellite-derived estimates of melt extent, mass changes, and drifting snow (5, 26, 27).

The spatial uncertainty of the RACMO2 mean SMB has been assessed through comparison with 310 (GrIS) and 1850 (AIS) in situ observations (28). However, temporal fluctuations in snow accumulation are poorly resolved in observation al data sets, so we assess the temporal uncertainty through comparison with global atmospheric reanalyses (16). We also use RACMO2 to drive a model of AIS firm densification (29) for the purpose of converting satellite LA observations into changes in ice-sheet mass.

**Glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA)**

GIA of the solid Earth is an important contributor to the signals observed by satellite gravimetry and, to a lesser extent, satellite altimetry (30). The GIA must therefore be considered when estimating ice-sheet mass balance with either technique. In Antarctica, the use of GIA models has in practice introduced considerable uncertainty (up to 130 Gt year$^{-1}$) into ice-sheet mass balance estimates derived from satellite gravimetry (31–33). There are a number of contributory factors to this uncertainty, including the scarcity of constraints on the evolution of the ice sheet since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), limited knowledge of Earth mechanical properties, and the scarcity of near-field relative sea-level and vertical crustal motion data with which to evaluate model performance (34, 35).

Here, we consider variants of six GIA models, and we assess their impact on geodetic ice-sheet mass balance estimates. For Greenland, where the signal of GIA is relatively small and well constrained, we use the Simpson (36), ICE-5G (37), and ANU (38) models. For Antarctica, we compare the ICE-5G model (39) with two recent Antarctic GIA models: the W12a model (35, 40) and a version (iB05_R2) of the iB05 model (41) updated for this study (16). Both regional GIA models incorporate recently improved constraints on the ice-loading history (42–45) that suggest that the AIS was thinner at the LGM than previously thought, leading to a lowering of estimated ice-sheet mass losses since that time (40, 45).

Although a consequence of this revision is a potential discrepancy between far-field sea-level records and commonly accepted Northern Hemisphere deglaciation models, both of the new regional GIA models perform well when compared with Antarctic Global Positioning System (GPS) observations (34), and we conclude that these latest solutions are best suited for estimating AIS mass balance.

**Radar and laser altimetry**

RA and LA provide ice-sheet mass balance estimates through measurements of ice-sheet volume change. The technique has been applied to both Greenland (46–48) and Antarctica (4, 47, 49) and is unique in spatially resolving the detailed pattern of mass imbalance, with monthly temporal sampling. RA provides the longest continuous record of all geodetic techniques (50). Altimeter measurements of elevation change are precise, because they require only modest adjustments to account for sensor drift, changes in the satellite attitude, atmospheric attenuation, and movements of Earth’s surface. By far the greatest uncertainty lies in the conversion from volume to mass change. In the case of LA, this conversion has been performed by using an external model of fluctuations in the firm
layer thickness (29, 48, 51). In the case of RA, the conversion to mass has been performed by using a prescribed density model and by allowing for temporal fluctuations in snowfall in the uncertainty (52).

We used European Remote-Sensing (ERS-1 and ERS-2) satellite and Envisat 35-day repeat satellite RA observations to determine changes in the mass of the EIS and WAIS between May 1992 and September 2010 (16). Time series of surface elevation change were developed at 39,375 crossing points of the satellite orbit ground tracks by using dual-cycle crossovers (49, 53). In total, 46.5 million measurements were included in this analysis, encompassing 74 and 76% of the EIS and WAIS, respectively. The satellites were cross-calibrated by considering differences between elevation changes occurring during periods of mission overlap. Elevation data were corrected for the lag of the leading-edge tracker and for variations in dry atmospheric mass, water vapor, the ionosphere, solid Earth tides, and surface scattering (50). The IJ05_R2 model was used to correct for elevation changes associated with GIA. Mass changes were calculated by using a surface-density model with a nominal density for firm (400 kg m⁻³) applied to all regions other than those in which changes are assumed to occur at the density of ice (900 kg m⁻³) (52). Rates of mass change were computed in regions of interest by interpolating measurements derived at satellite-orbit crossing points and by extrapolating these results to unobserved area. To estimate the uncertainty of mass trends, we treated the estimated variability of snowfall (49) and the elevation trend variability as equivalent sources of uncertainty. This approach is used because it has not yet proved possible to separate, in the observed elevation change, annual cycles due to density fluctuations from residual variations due to signal penetration into the firm.

We used ICESat (Ice, Cloud, and Land Elevation Satellite) LA observations acquired between September 2003 and November 2008 (17) to determine mass changes in the mass of Earth’s polar ice sheets (16). AIS and GrIS elevation rates were computed by four and two different groups, respectively, using methods that compare surface heights measured along repeated ground tracks. This approach provides fine along-track resolution with measured along repeated ground tracks. This approach was also used to determine the mass changes for the APIS, EAIS, WAIS, and GrIS, respectively; the remainder is assumed to have no loss due to ice dynamics.

Gravimetry. The Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite mission has allowed fluctuations in ice-sheet mass to be estimated through measurement of their changing gravitational attraction (32, 67–69). Advantages of the GRACE method are that it provides regional averages without the need for interpolation, measures the effect of mass fluctuations directly, and permits monthly temporal sampling. However, a key challenge is to discriminate fluctuations in ice-sheet mass from changes in the underlying crust and mantle. This is achieved by using models of GIA, which, in the case of the AIS, has led to significant adjustments (70). The spatial resolution of GRACE observations derived from global spherical harmonic solutions of about 300 km in the polar regions (71) is coarse in comparison to that of the other geodetic techniques. Hence, a further complicating factor is that signals may leak into regional GRACE solutions as a consequence of remote geophysical processes. In circumstances where spatial relationships between geophysical mass fluxes can be adequately characterized, application of the mass concentration unit (mascon) method (68, 72, 73) introduces a capacity to study changes at smaller scales.

Data from the GRACE satellite mission were used to estimate changes in the mass of the AIS and GrIS between January 2003 and December 2010 (16). Analysis methods varied between the six groups who contributed these observations; some used the mascon approach, whereas others used spatial-averaging kernels. The GRACE data were corrected for the effects of GIA by using the Simpson, ANU, and ICE-5G models in Greenland and the W12a, IJ05_R2, and ICE-5G models in Antarctica. Although we only include results using the W12a and IJ05_R2 models in our reconciled estimates for Antarctica, we also provide separate ice-sheet mass balance estimates determined by using the ICE-5G GIA model solution (16) to allow comparison with previously published estimates; its use leads to more-negative estimates of AIS mass balance. Each group made its own decisions on processing the GRACE data, including how to combine results by using different GIA models, handle contamination from external sources, compute uncertainties, and compute regional mass trends and time series. Ice-sheet mass time series and trends from all groups were then averaged to obtain the individual GRACE results reported here. For all regions, the mass trends contributed by the individual groups agree with the combined GRACE trend to within the estimated uncertainties.

Results and Discussion

We investigated the extent to which the independent geodetic techniques record similar fluctuations in ice-sheet mass. First, we considered mass
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changes within 52 AIS glacier drainage basins as determined by the techniques of satellite RA and IOM (Fig. 1), which are well suited to this task (14-17). In each case, the 19-year average rate of mass loss from RA was compared with values (55) developed by using the IOM over a similar period. The average difference between the estimates of basin mass imbalance was 1.4 ± 3.8 Gt year⁻¹, and there is agreement within 1- and 2-sigma uncertainty estimates in 42 and 49 of the 52 basins, respectively. Next, we computed the mass change as determined by satellite RA within areas of the EAIS and WAIS that were beyond the scope of the IOM survey (55) to assess the extent to which the two methods are complementary (Fig. 1). These two areas, which typically fall between glacier drainage basins of the IOM survey, have small imbalances (4.5 ± 6.0 and 1.4 ± 1.7 Gt year⁻¹, for the EAIS and WAIS respectively), implying that the region surveyed by the IOM is sufficient to capture the vast majority of the present EAIS and WAIS mass imbalance. Furthermore, the IOM technique is able to resolve important mass changes in regions that are beyond the effective resolution of the RA survey, such as the APIS (Fig. 1).

As a second example, we investigated the extent to which independent geodetic techniques are able to detect fluctuations in SMB. For this exercise, we considered an exceptional snowfall event in East Antarctica during the first half of 2009 (Fig. 2). A snowfall anomaly that can be identified in CloudSat precipitation data (75) here is clearly apparent within the RACMO2 (and, hence, IOM), RA, and GRACE data sets, which record the firn thickness and mass, volume, and

![Fig. 1. Comparison of ice sheet mass balance estimates derived from satellite RA (green) and the IOM (red) over the period 1992 to 2011, with 1-sigma and 2-sigma error bars in dark and light shading, respectively, and mean values are shown in white. The comparison is performed for 52 Antarctic drainage basins (55) and the dislocated regions of East and West Antarctica that are omitted from the IOM survey (EAIS OM and WAIS OM, respectively). Basin locations are illustrated in the supplementary materials.](on May 3, 2013www.sciencemag.org)

![Fig. 2. Estimated anomalies in cumulative ice-sheet firn mass (A), and mass (B and C), derived from the RACMO regional climate model, satellite RA, and GRACE satellite gravimetry, respectively, over a period of anomalously high snowfall in East Antarctica. Anomalies were computed over the period July 2009 to July 2010 relative to July 2008 to July 2009. Before that, linear trends, as fitted to the 2003 to 2008 interval, were removed. The time evolution of the event, as resolved by these data sets and three additional climate models [ERA-Interim (ERAI), CFSR, and MERRA], is also illustrated (D) as the average anomaly over four drainage basins of Dronning Maud Land in East Antarctica (shaded areas in inset map). Although there are SMB fluctuations elsewhere during the same time interval, the pattern of mass loss in West Antarctica is primarily associated with longer-term ice-dynamical imbalance. Relatively large annual cycles are present within some RA time series, but they do not obscure either short- or long-lived events. m w.e., meters water equivalent.](on May 3, 2013www.sciencemag.org)
Fig. 3. Intercomparison of mass balance estimates of the GrIS, APIS, WAIS, AIS, and the AIS plus GrIS, derived from the four independent geodetic techniques of RA (cyan), IOM (red), LA (green), and gravimetry (blue) over the period 2003 to 2008. Also shown is the reconciled result (gray).

Fig. 4. Rate of mass change of the four main ice-sheet regions, as derived from the four techniques of satellite RA (cyan), IOM (red), LA (green), and gravimetry (blue), with uncertainty ranges (light shading). Rates of mass balance derived from ICESat LA data were computed as constant and time-varying trends in Antarctica and Greenland, respectively. The gravimetry and RA mass trends were computed after applying a 13-month moving average to the relative mass time series. Where temporal variations are resolved, there is often consistency in the interannual variability as determined by the independent data sets.

Fig. 3. Intercomparison of mass balance estimates of the GrIS, APIS, WAIS, AIS, and the AIS plus GrIS, derived from the four independent geodetic techniques of RA (cyan), IOM (red), LA (green), and gravimetry (blue) over the period 2003 to 2008. Also shown is the reconciled result (gray).
and altimetry processing, and the orbital corrections that are common to the LA and RA systems, these dependencies are in practice difficult to characterize. For the purpose of calculating the reconciled ice-sheet mass balance estimate, we considered IOM, gravimetry, and altimetry to be independent geodetic techniques. On the basis of this assumption, we compute the standard error of the uncertainty estimates from independent techniques as a measure of their collective uncertainty. Over the course of our 19-year survey, the average rates of mass balance of the AIS and the GrIS were $-71 \pm 53$ and $-152 \pm 49$ Gt year$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 1). For completeness, we also compute cumulative mass trends by using the data from each individual geodetic technique (fig. S1).

We also computed ice-sheet mass trends over shorter intervals to examine their variability (Table 1). These estimates, along with our integrated time series (Fig. 5), confirm several known signals of mass imbalance, including increasing mass losses from the WAIS ($55, 74–77$), the APIS ($73, 78–80$), and the GrIS ($5, 81, 82$). Although rates of mass loss from the GrIS were modest during the 1990s, they have increased sharply since then because of episodes of glacier acceleration ($18, 83$) and decreasing SMB ($5, 66$). GrIS glacier acceleration is, however, neither uniform nor progressive ($65, 84, 85$), and the large mass losses in 2010 were in fact driven by anomalously low snow accumulation and high runoff ($86$).

The WAIS has lost mass throughout the entire survey period, and our reconciled data set shows that the rate of mass loss has increased significantly over time (Table 1). The pattern of WAIS imbalance is dominated by mass losses (Amundsen Sea sector) and gains (Kamb Ice Stream) of dynamical origin. Although close to balance during the 1990s, there have been significant mass losses from the APIS since then because of glacier acceleration in the wake of ice-shelf collapse ($87, 88$) and calving-front retreat ($77, 89$). The APIS now accounts for around 25% of all mass losses from Antarctic regions that are in a state of negative mass balance, despite occupying just 4% of the continental area. In contrast, the EAI, which occupies over 75% of Antarctica, was in approximate balance throughout the 1990s. Although the EAI has experienced mass gains during the final years of our survey (Table 1 and Fig. 5), our reconciled data set is too short to determine whether they were caused by natural fluctuations that are a common feature of Antarctic ice-core records ($90$) or long-term increases in precipitation that are a common feature of global and regional climate model projections ($91–93$). Both satellite altimeter data sets highlight the lower reaches of the Cook and Totten Glaciers as regions of ice dynamical mass loss ($15, 77$), but neither signal is large in comparison with the wider EAI mass trend. Overall, snowfall-driven mass gains in East Antarctica, notably the anomalous event in Dronning Maud Land during 2009 (Fig. 2), have reduced the rate at which Antarctic ice losses have increased over time, but the EAI record is too short to determine whether this is a long-term trend.

Our reconciliation exercise has highlighted several other issues. Assessments of GrIS mass balance require more careful consideration than was possible here, because the surrounding mountain glaciers and ice caps are included in some, but not all, of our geodetic surveys and because the ice-sheet domains varied in area by 2%. One estimate has put their contribution at $\sim 20$ Gt year$^{-1}$ ($94$), a value that falls between two we have derived ourselves from ICESat data ($10$ and $40$ Gt year$^{-1}$). For the EAI, our mass change estimates exhibit an unsatisfactory spread, with results from the IOM and LA techniques falling consistently lower and higher than the mean value we have derived (table S2). Although the average signal of EAI imbalance is relatively small, such a large divergence is a matter of concern: improvements of the ancillary data sets that support satellite observations would be of considerable benefit in this region. Lastly, the spatial sampling of mass fluctuations at the APIS is at present inadequate, particularly considering that it provides a significant component of the overall AIS imbalance. Improvements in the spatial and temporal density of satellite observations of this region are needed.

**Conclusions**

We estimate that, between 1992 and 2011, the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets lost $1350 \pm 1010$ and $2700 \pm 930$ Gt of ice, respectively, equivalent to an increase in global mean sea level

---

**Fig. 5.** Cumulative changes in the mass of (left axis) the AIS, WAIS, and APIS (top) and GrIS and AIS and the combined change of the AIS and GrIS (bottom), determined from a reconciliation of measurements acquired by satellite RA, the IOM, satellite gravimetry, and satellite LA. Also shown is the equivalent global sea-level contribution (right axis), calculated assuming that 360 Gt of ice corresponds to 1 mm of sea-level rise. Temporal variations in the availability of the various satellite data sets (Fig. 4) means that the reconciled mass balance is weighted toward different techniques during certain periods.

**Table 1.** Reconciled ice-sheet mass balance estimates determined during various epochs, inclusive of all data present during the given dates. The period 1993 to 2003 was used in an earlier assessment (2).
of 11.2 ± 3.8 mm. The greater certainty and comprehensive nature of this estimate is made possible through our combination of observations derived from a range of geodetic techniques, each of which has different strengths and weaknesses. We have quantified and characterized the ice-sheet imbalance associated with glacier dynamics (the APIS and WAIS), SMB (the EAIS), and a mixture of the two processes (the GrIS). We have also identified the geographical regions where improved data sets are required; the APIS and the EAIS would benefit from measurements with greater spatial sampling and longer temporal sampling, respectively. Although measurements from new and future satellite missions, such as CryoSat-2, may offer new data with which to tackle the former of these challenges, improvements to ancillary data sets are also required. We have shown that assessments of mass imbalance based on short geodetic records should be treated with care, because fluctuations in SMB can be large over short time periods. Lastly, our assessment demonstrates that geodetic assessments of ice-sheet mass balance should consider both the spatial and temporal limitations of the particular data sets upon which they are based and their value in relation to findings based on other independent approaches.
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