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ABSTRACT

Disordered thin film SiO$_2$/SiO$_x$ coatings undergoing electron-beam bombardment exhibit cathodoluminescence, which can produce deleterious stray background light in cryogenic space-based astronomical observatories exposed to high-energy electron fluxes from space plasmas. As future observatory missions push the envelope into more extreme environments and more complex and sensitive detection, a fundamental understanding of the dependencies of this cathodoluminescence becomes critical to meet performance objectives of these advanced space-based observatories. Measurements of absolute radiance and emission spectra as functions of incident electron energy, flux, and power typical of space environments are presented for thin (~60-200 nm) SiO$_2$/SiO$_x$ optical coatings on reflective metal substrates over a range of sample temperatures (~40-400 K) and emission wavelengths (~260-5000 nm). Luminescent intensity and peak wavelengths of four distinct bands were observed in UV/VIS/NIR emission spectra, ranging from 300 nm to 1000 nm. A simple model is proposed that describes the dependence of cathodoluminescence on irradiation time, incident flux and energy, sample thickness, and temperature.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recent and planned space-based astronomical observatory missions push the design and performance envelope, as these missions use more complex and sensitive detectors, reside in more extreme and remote environments, become larger and require open architectures, and operate at broader temperature ranges. For example, to meet its demanding science objectives, the ambitious James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) space-based observatory project currently being constructed by NASA is designed for very high sensitivity over a 0.6 µm to 27 µm bandpass, resides in the dynamic L2 environment, has an open architecture with very large collecting area (≥25 m$^2$), and will operate at cryogenic temperatures ≤40 K. These extended operational requirements for space-based observatories, either individually or synergistically, can raise new issues for optics elements and coatings subject to space environment effects. One such concern is electron-induced luminescence (or cathodoluminescence) of optical and coatings exposed to high-energy electron fluxes from space plasmas. Such emission from optical components can produce an additional background source as light propagates to instrument focal planes, limiting the observatory sensitivity. This study characterizes the phenomena of cathodoluminescence for a specific, ubiquitous class of optical coatings—disordered SiO$_2$/SiO$_x$ thin films, frequently used as protective overcoatings for highly reflective mirrors—and provides estimates of the effects of space environment parameters such as electron flux and energy spectrum, coating temperature, and film thickness on the absolute spectral radiance of the electron-induced luminescence. A fundamental understanding of the dependencies of cathodoluminescent intensity on these parameters combined with the environmental electron spectrum and the sensitivity of the observatory to such optical glow, allows an assessment of the impact to observatory performance.
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The space plasma environment creates a harsh setting in which spacecraft reside. One important consequence of space plasma interactions that accounts for the majority of spacecraft anomalies produced by the space environment is spacecraft charging; this is the accumulation of an electrical charge on orbiting spacecraft induced by the space plasma environment and can have harmful effects on the electrical functionality of a spacecraft. However, the magnitude of cathodoluminescence will also be significantly affected by the environmental conditions, in particular the energy spectrum of impacting electrons. Energy spectra typical of five common space plasma environments (GEO, LEO, auroral, plasma sheet and solar wind), dominated by fluxes (~0.01 pA/cm² to ~ 10 nA/cm²) for electron energies of up to ~100 keV, are shown in Fig. 1(a). Environmental electron fluxes often show a wide variance due to storm conditions, stochastic variability and changes due to the different environments which may be encountered during a particular mission; the curves in Fig. 1(a) are intended to illustrate the range of some of these different environments. Severe space weather conditions for environments such as those illustrated in Fig. 1(a) have on the order of 10 µW-cm⁻² incident electron power (i.e., 10 nA-cm⁻² and 1 keV or 1 nA-cm⁻² and 10 keV); this provides a scaling factor for comparison of luminescent intensities observed in the experiments described below.

Previous studies have observed cathodoluminescence in both epoxy resin composites and in disordered SiO₂ at room temperature. In addition, recent charging studies at Utah State University (USU) using low-flux intermediate-energy electron beams on thin film highly disordered SiO₂ samples exhibited not only cathodoluminescent behavior but also occasional arcing under some conditions. Similar behavior was also observed in experiments for other dielectric materials including cyanate ester and epoxy resins in graphite and fiberglass composites and Kapton HN™ polyimide and carbon-loaded polyimide nanodielectric composites. These results suggest that materials used in structural components, optical elements, and thermal control surfaces of spacecraft and space-based observatories could, when exposed to the space plasma environment, luminesce. However, little information is available with regard to the temperature dependence of the cathodoluminescence. Because IR instrumentation is temperature sensitive and NASA’s IR observatories are positioned in space accordingly, it is imperative to know and understand the material luminescent behavior at the operating temperature, rather than simply at room temperature. This motivated a study of the dependence of the cathodoluminescent intensity of SiO₂ optical coatings on incident electron flux, energy and power and on material temperature and thickness. Measurements for fluxes of well-characterized, monoenergetic electron beams are presented of the absolute radiance and emission spectra as functions of incident electron energy, flux and power for vapor deposited silicon dioxide coatings (~60 nm to ~200 nm thick) on reflective metal substrates over a range of sample temperatures (~40 K to ~400 K) and emission wavelengths (~250 nm to ~1900 nm).

2. EXPERIMENTATION

Our experiments measured the electrical and optical response of sample materials (~1-5 cm² area) bombarded with a beam of electrons. These were conducted in the main USU electron emission ultrahigh vacuum test chamber, modified
for observations of low intensity UV/VIS/NIR glow over a 40 K to 400 K range of sample temperatures. Figure 2 provides a schematic and image of the experimental system used. Details of the experimental set up, electron sources and beam characterization, optical detectors, methods, calibration, and the sample mounting carousel and thermal stage, are given by Dennison et al. Additional details of the thermal dependence of the cathodoluminescence are presented by Jensen et al. Electron transport, surface voltage data, and electrostatic discharge measurements acquired simultaneously with luminescence data are reported by Wilson et al. 

Electrons were directed to the samples using well-characterized, low-flux electron beams (~50 pA/cm² to 1 µA/cm²) with an energy range of 5-25 keV. For most results shown in Figs. 3 and 4, beams of 5 keV at ~500 nA/cm² from a low energy electron gun [Staib, EK-5-51; 20 eV to 5 keV] were used. The defocused electron beam produced a beam profile at the sample with about ±30% uniformity over an ~3 cm diameter beam spot. Beam fluxes were measured before and after each experiment with a Faraday cup and were stable to within ±5%. The W filament of the electron gun also produced visible light that traveled down the bore of the electron gun and impinged on the sample; even at the low operating filament temperatures used for the low flux beam (~2500 K), the intensity of the filament spot on the sample was initially larger than the luminescent signals. To minimize this background filament light, a blocking aperture was developed, which allowed an electron beam focused ~5° off axis and then electrostatically deflected back on to the sample while sending the filament light into an optical baffle. The baffle and the primarily specular nature of the reflected filament light that made it past the blocking aperture reduced the stray light background seen by the optical cameras to acceptable levels.

Table 1. Camera Sensitivity and Background Radiance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Camera</th>
<th>Bandpass (nm)</th>
<th>Weighted Central Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Bandwidth (nm)</th>
<th>Detection Threshold Spectral Radiance a [W-(cm-nm-sr)⁻¹]</th>
<th>Zodiacal Background Spectral Radiance b [W-(cm-nm-sr)⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLR CCD Camera</td>
<td>390-650</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>4·10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>7·10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCD Video Camera</td>
<td>400-900</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4·10⁻¹⁶</td>
<td>3·10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InGaAs Video Camera</td>
<td>950-1700</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>8·10⁻¹⁶</td>
<td>1·10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ a \text{ Assumes linear power scaling at an incident electron power of 10 } \mu \text{W-cm}^{-2} \]
\[ b \text{ Assumes broadband continuum emissions evenly distributed across the camera bandwidths for a zodiacal sky background at 1AU near the south ecliptic pole for the weighted central wavelength} \]

Figure 2. (a) Block diagram of instrumentation for collecting the pulse charging surface voltage, electrode current and cathodoluminescence data induced by electron beam bombardment. Instrumentation includes picoammeters, Pearson coils, and a storage oscilloscope for electrode current measurements and UV/VIS and IR spectrometers, an SLR CCD still camera, and a NIR video camera for optical measurements. (b) Photograph of instrumentation mounted to USU Electron Emission Test Chamber.
Two cameras and two fiber optic spectrometers were used to monitor very low light intensity (see Table 1). Optical spectra were collected using UV/VIS (Stellar net, 13LK-C-SR; ~200 nm to 1080 nm with ~ 1 nm resolution) and NIR (Stellarnet, RW-InGaAs-512; ~1000 nm to 1700 nm with ~3 nm resolution) spectrometers. Imagery of the electron illuminated sample was obtained with an SLR CCD still camera (Cannon, EOS Rebel XT DS126071; ~390 nm to 650 nm, 30 s per frame), a VIS/NIR image-intensified CCD video camera (Xybion, ISG-780-U-3; ~400 nm to 900 nm, 30 frames per s), and InGaAs (Goodrich Sensors Unlimited, SU320MS-1.7RT; ~800 nm to 1700 nm, 60 frames/s) and InSb (~1000 nm to 5500 nm; Santa Barbara Focalplane, SBF119) video cameras. Various filter combinations were used to measure IR emissions; no IR in the 900 nm to 2500 nm range was observed for any of the experiments reported here. For each instrument the spectral response and wavelength range were determined and the sensitivity was calibrated with NIST traceable sources prior to the luminescence studies (see Table 1)\(^8\). Visible range calibrations for the SLR CCD camera, CCD video camera and InGaAs video camera were done using a 6 in diameter Spectralon\textsuperscript{TM} integrating sphere (Labsphere, Model SC6000) with a NIST traceable calibration curve for absolute spectral radiance, using an integrated W-halogen lamp powered by a NIST traceable calibrated current source (Keithley, Model 6430). A smaller 1.5 in diameter Spectralon\textsuperscript{TM}-lined fiber optic integrating sphere (Ocean Optics, Model FOIS-1) connected to a calibrated W-halogen blackbody (2530 K) source (Ocean Optics, Model LS-1-CAL-INT) was used as an \textit{in vacuo} secondary standard. NIR calibrations for the InGaAs and InSb video cameras were done \textit{in vacuo} using a NIST traceable blackbody calibration source (CI Systems, Model SR80) operating at 273 K to 373 K with ±25 mK stability.

The samples (2.5 cm diameter) studied here had an optically smooth, disordered SiO\(_2\) thin optical coating (~65 nm thick) deposited on ~175 nm thick highly reflective, optically smooth metal (mostly Au) layers on a 2 mm thick fused quartz substrate. The samples were optically cleaned and underwent a ~12 hr vacuum bakeout at ~390 K and <1×10\(^{-3}\) Pa to eliminate adsorbed water and volatile contaminants. The samples were mounted on Cu pedestals on a multi-sample carousel, and were placed in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber (base pressure <1×10\(^{-6}\) Pa) for >24 hrs to allow outgassing before measurements were made. The sample carousel was thermally anchored to (but electrically isolated from) a thermal reservoir. In combination with resistive heaters and liquid N\(_2\) cryogen, the samples were maintained over a range of temperatures from ~150 K to ~400 K with a stability of ±4 K maintained over typical 2 hr experiment durations. Lower temperature data were acquired using a closed-cycle He refrigerator based system from ~40 K to ~300 K with a
stability of ±1 K. Though not the focus of this paper, each sample was wired appropriately for collecting electrical data during bombardment as well; these results are reported elsewhere.

3. RESULTS

Low intensity cathodeluminescence was observed for disordered SiO$_2$ thin films under low intensity incident electron irradiation over a wide range of temperatures. The thin film SiO$_2$ samples exhibited luminescence (see Fig. 3) readily observable with the naked eye in a darkened room at incident electron powers 10 to 100 times larger than typical of storm conditions in the solar wind, GEO or L2 environments [see Fig. 1(a)]. The intensity scaled with electron beam intensity and responded to changes in beam position and profile on rapid time scales, as demonstrated by the image of a defocused and distorted electron beam incident on the sample shown in Fig. 3(d). A clear variation in the intensity and
color as a function of temperature was seen with the SLR images of Figs 3(a-c); as temperature increased, the light emission from the samples became bluer and less red. This was confirmed with relative intensity measurements of the Red-Green-Blue bands (390-650 nm total bandpass) of the SLR CCD camera as a function of temperature, as shown in Fig. 3(e).

A more quantitative measure of the cathodoluminescent intensity was obtained from the signal of the CCD video camera (400-900 nm bandpass) averaged over the illuminated area of the sample, as shown in Figs. 4(a-c). These plots are given in terms of calibrated absolute spectral radiance for the beam currents, beam energies, and temperatures noted. Total luminescent radiance increased with increasing beam current at fixed incident energies and temperature, as seen in Fig. 4(a). Total luminescent radiance initially increased with beam energy at fixed incident fluxes and temperature, but decreased above beam energies of ~1.5 keV [see Fig. 4(b)]. Total luminescent radiance in the visible range at fixed incident energy and incident flux increased as temperatures decreased from room temperature to the lowest measured temperatures at 163 K, as shown in Fig. 4(c).

Dennison et al. have proposed a basic model for the observed electron-induced luminescence phenomenon, based on band theory of highly disordered insulating materials that explains the measurements in Fig. 4. The observed luminescence occurs when an incident high energy, charged particle undergoes a series of inelastic collisions exciting valence band electrons into the conduction band. The excited electron rapidly decays to localized (shallow trapped) states, with a mean binding energy $E_{ST}$ below the mobility edge. A final electron transition, from the short-lived shallow trap states to longer lived deep trap states is the origin of the emitted photon. The model predicts that the luminescence intensity $I_{\gamma}$ scales with incident current density $J_{b}$, incident beam energy $E_{b}$, temperature $T$, and photon wavelength $\lambda$ as

$$I_{\gamma}(J_{b}, E_{b}, T, \lambda) \propto \frac{\hat{D}(J_{b}, E_{b})}{\hat{D}_{sat}} \left\{ e^{-(\varepsilon_{ST}/k_{B}T)} \left[ 1 - e^{-(\varepsilon_{ST}/k_{B}T)} \right] \right\}\left( 1 - \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(\lambda) \right) \left[ 1 + \mathcal{R}_{m}(\lambda) \right],$$  

(1)

where the dose rate $\hat{D}$ (absorbed power per unit mass) is given by

$$\hat{D}(J_{b}, E_{b}) = \frac{E_{b} J_{b}|1-\eta(E_{b})|}{q_{e} \rho_{m}} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [1/L] & ; R(E_{b}) < L \\ \left[1/R(E_{b})\right] & ; R(E_{b}) > L \end{array} \right.,$$  

(2)

$q_{e}$ is the electron charge, and $\rho_{m}$ is the mass density of the coating. Fits shown in Fig. 4 were obtained with the overall intensity, $I_{\gamma}$, and $\hat{D}_{sat}$ as free parameters; only the overall intensity varied from one experiment to the next. A more detailed discussion of the model is given by Dennison et al. Here we only summarize the dependence of spectral radiance on $J_{b}, E_{b}, T,$ and $\lambda$.

**Incident Beam Current.** For SiO$_2$ (band gap≈8.9 eV), excitation from the valence band to conduction band is not thermal, but rather occurs through collisions with the incident high energy electrons. Thus, at low currents, $I_{\gamma}$ is proportional to the incident current, $J_{b}$, through the dose rate. At very high current density, saturation can occur when trap states fill, limiting the number of states electrons can decay into, and leading to $I_{\gamma} \propto (\hat{D} + \hat{D}_{sat})^{-1}$. This saturation behavior is evident in Fig. 4(a). $\hat{D}_{sat}$ is a material dependant saturation dose rate, found equal to ~10 Gy/s for these disordered SiO$_2$ coatings.

**Incident Beam Energy.** Below saturation current densities, $I_{\gamma}$ is linearly proportional to $\hat{D}$, given by Eq. (2) as the number areal density of incident electrons per unit time, $(J_{b}/q_{e})$, times energy per incident electron, $E_{b}$, divided by the mass into which energy is deposited, $(\rho_{m} L \cdot Area)$. For nonpenetrating radiation—where the energy-dependent penetration depth or range, $R(E_{inc})$, is less than the film thickness $L$—all incident power is absorbed in the coating and $I_{\gamma}$ and $\hat{D}$ are linearly proportional to the incident power density, $(J_{inc} E_{inc}/q_{e})$. For penetrating radiation—where $R(E_{inc}) > L$—the absorbed power is reduced by a factor of $[L/R(E_{inc})]^{14}$. At energies up to ~1.5 keV needed to penetrate a ~65 nm SiO$_2$ coating, $I_{\gamma}$ is linearly proportional to incident flux, energy and power. However, above the coating penetration energy the energy-dependence of $R$ and $\hat{D}$ cause $I_{\gamma}$ to decrease with incident energy (see Fig. 1(b)). This is consistent with the energy-dependant intensity data shown in Fig. 4(b).

Fig. 1(b) shows $R(E_{b})$ for disordered SiO$_2$, along with a corresponding $\hat{D}(E_{b})$ curve based on Eq. (2); the extrema in range and dose rate curves occur near 139 eV, the mean energy lost by high energy electrons in a single inelastic
collision. The maximum energy absorption is ~100 eV for SiO₂, falling to ~5% of the peak absorption at ~20 keV. Comparison of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) shows that the penetration depth in this energy range is ≤10 μm and that coatings of any reasonable thickness will have significant power absorbed for electrons of energies up to ≤20 keV. This also suggests that luminescence may be less of a problem in environments where high energy flux that will pass through most optical coatings dominates, such as GEO.

An energy-dependent correction to the incident flux, \( I_p [1 - \eta(E_p)] \), is included in Eq. (2) to account for quasi-elastic backscattered electrons that do not deposit substantial energy; \( \eta(E_p) \) is the backscattered electron yield. For the most part, this correction is small and weakly dependant on energy. For biased samples, or when excess charge is stored in the trap states, a surface voltage \( V_s \) results and \( E_{inc} \) is replaced everywhere in Eqs. (1) and (2) by the landing energy, \( (E_p - q_s V_s) \). Thus, glow intensity may diminish appreciably for kV surface potentials often observed on GEO satellites in eclipse.

**Temperature.** The thermal dependence of luminescence in equilibrium is proportional to the number of electrons in the conduction band that can fall into the shallow traps (\( \propto \left[ e^{-\left(\varepsilon_{ST}/k_BT\right)} \right] \)) and to the fraction of electrons that are retained in the shallow traps and not thermally excited into the conduction band (\( \propto \left[ 1 - e^{-\left(\varepsilon_{ST}/k_BT\right)} \right] \)). Thus, \( I_p \propto \left[ \left[ e^{-\left(\varepsilon_{ST}/k_BT\right)} \right][1 - e^{-\left(\varepsilon_{ST}/k_BT\right)}] \right] \). At higher temperatures where \( k_BT \gg \varepsilon_{ST} \), the thermal energy exceeds the mean energy depth of the shallow traps below the conduction band, \( \varepsilon_{ST} \), and \( I_p \propto \left( \varepsilon_{ST}/k_BT \right) \). This is consistent with the results in Fig. 4(c), with \( \varepsilon_{ST} \approx 41 \text{ meV} \).

**Wavelength.** The final term in curly brackets in Eq. (1) accounts approximately for photons propagation through the coating. The terms shown in Eq. (1) are first order, and assume the optical absorption of the coating materials, \( \beta_{\lambda}(\lambda) \ll 1 \) for propagation through the full film. For coating materials with very high optical absorption, \( I_p \) is limited to emission from the near-surface region from which photons can escape and is approximately independent of electron energy. \( R_m(\lambda) \) is the reflectivity of the metallic layer. For highly reflective materials like Ag, \( R_m \approx 1 \) at all \( \lambda \) considered. For materials like Au, the glow spectrum is enhanced at longer \( \lambda \), due to greater absorptivity of Au at shorter \( \lambda \). For less reflective materials, \( R_m \ll 1 \) at all \( \lambda \), hence photons reflected from the metallic layer do not substantially increase the luminescence.

Luminescence from disordered SiO₂ will be most significant in instruments operating primarily in the visible portion of the spectrum. The measured relative cathodoluminescence UV/VIS/NIR spectra of SiO₂ [see Fig. 4(d)] are most pronounced in the visible range, extending from ~250 nm to ~900 nm. The spectra are consistent with the number, relative intensity and wavelengths of peaks observed in previous room temperature studies for similar disordered SiO₂ samples. Three peaks are seen, centered at approximately 275 nm, 500 nm and 645 nm; an additional shoulder is observed at ~455 nm. Sahl et al. identified the peak at 645 nm as a nonbridging oxygen hole center in the structure. The peaks at 275 nm and 500 nm were attributed to an oxygen deficient center by Trukhin et al.

The variation in spectral intensity with temperature is shown for three representative temperatures in Fig. 4(d). The observed intensity decrease with increasing temperature in the red peak near 645 nm and the increase in the blue and UV peaks near 455 nm and 275 nm, respectively, are consistent with the qualitative SLR observations seen in Fig. 3. A shift of luminescent peaks to shorter wavelengths with increasing temperature was observed for all but the red peak [see Fig. 1(f)]. A model with multiple deep trap bands is necessary to explain the four identifiable UV/VIS peaks observed in the spectra, and has been used to qualitatively explain the observed temperature dependence of the peak position and intensity.

**4. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Detection of extremely faint signals (distant objects) observed by space-based observatories can be limited by background light levels from a variety of sources. These can include contributions from astronomical sources within and outside our solar system (zodiacal and galactic background, respectively), contributions from the observatory itself (i.e., thermal self emission in the infrared), and scattered light from the combination of astronomical sources and observatory properties. Ambient operating temperature of ~40 K reduces thermal background radiance below the zodiacal background, except for mid-IR wavelengths >10 μm. Cathodoluminescence from electron impact onto coatings or optical components, constitutes yet another possible source of background light. Ferguson et al. compare the
cathodoluminescence radiance to solar radiance, Earthshine radiance, equilibrium thermal emittance, and zodiacal background radiance at LEO and GEO orbits. Further from the Earth, for Lissajous orbits about the Earth-Sun L2 Lagrange libration point, contributions from the Earthshine and moonshine and from bright point sources near the field of view were estimated to be at least an order of magnitude less than the limit from the zodiacal sky. Thus, a maximum stray light condition is often set by the zodiacal sky background. As a reference point, the intensity of the zodiacal background at 1AU near the south ecliptic pole is 8·10^{-14} W cm^{-2} nm^{-1} sr^{-1} at 500 nm, 3·10^{-14} W cm^{-2} nm^{-1} sr^{-1} at 1000 nm, and 6·10^{-15} W cm^{-2} nm^{-1} sr^{-1} at 2000 nm.

To determine the magnitude of cathodoluminescence for a specific space-based observatory situation, to compare with these background sources, requires knowledge of:

(i) The observatory electron environment and specifically the electron flux spectrum. Representative spectra for several common environments shown in Fig. 1 provide typical ranges, but fluxes for each observatory will depend on the particular environments that are encountered throughout the mission as well as temporal variations (e.g., phase of solar cycle, space weather events, etc.). This may require consideration of a range of fluxes and worst case situations. In almost all space environmental conditions, the incident flux is low enough for the cathodoluminescent intensity to be linearly proportional to the absorbed dose rate.

(ii) The degree of baffling or shielding of electrons onto potentially luminescent optics. An open architecture and minimal shielding, often required for large observatories due to size and mass constraints, permits stray light to enter the optical path of the telescope and also exposes optical elements and surrounding support structures to greater environmental electron fluxes. Some optical surfaces may have significant baffling against electron flux, either as a result of dedicated stray light baffling or by their location within a system with effective baffling due to nearby structure. The effect of such baffling can depend on the orientation and directionality of the electron flux as well. It also can depend on incident energy-dependant attenuation of the environmental flux by shielding. For example, incident electrons will lose approximately 20 keV in penetrating ~8-25 µm of SiO₂ or polymeric shielding [see Fig. 1(b)], but electrons above ~20 keV could still produce cathodoluminescence on interior surfaces. Thus, for a solar wind environment, a 1 mil thick blanket would reduce interior luminescence by more than 3 orders of magnitude, but the sample blanket would have little effect in a GEO environment [see Fig. 1(a)].

(iii) The effectiveness of the optical system in transmitting the generated cathodoluminescence from the impacted optics to instrument focal planes. This depends, for example, on the juxtaposition of the luminescent elements and the location of stops along the optical path. Because the SiO₂ optical coatings studied here are often found on lenses and windows directly along the optical path, the effects can be much higher than for stray light which could be generated by luminescent support structures or shields outside or at the periphery of the optical path.

(iv) The thickness of the luminescent coating. The total luminescent intensity has a critical dependence on the film thickness as compared with the incident electron energy-dependent penetration depth; this ratio determines the fraction of power deposited in the coating (see Fig. 4(b) and Eq. 2) and the resulting luminescent intensity. This analysis is more complicated for a distribution of energies in a given environmental flux that spans both penetrating and nonpenetrating radiation. For example, for ~60 nm to ~200 nm thick SiO₂ coatings studied here, the vast majority of electron flux for the solar wind environment is below ~1keV and hence nonpenetrating, while the majority of electron flux in the GEO environment is above ~20 keV and hence penetrates the SiO₂ coatings. Other environments shown in Fig. 1(a) have a distribution of both penetrating and nonpenetrating radiation.

(v) The temperature of the luminescent coating. As shown here, lower operating temperature for long wavelength sensitive observatories will lead to higher luminescence, down to ~90 K; below this temperature luminescence decreases slowly. While the shape of the luminescence versus temperature curve should be similar for different materials, the temperature of the inflection point depends on the energy distribution of the shallow defect states which can vary substantially for otherwise similar materials. See Fig. 4(d) and Eq. (1).

(vi) The composition of the luminescent coating. To a modest extent, the luminescent spectrum and overall intensity will change with the exact composition and atomic scale structure of the luminescent coating. We present here measurements for disordered SiO₂/SiOₓ coatings, but expect that similar effects are likely for other common protective coatings—e.g., other forms of disordered SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and MgF coatings—due to the similarities of their band structure. A related issue is the effect of coating contamination or surface
modification on coating reflectivity and luminescence \(^{24}\). These effects were not addressed quantitatively in this study; however, Lightsey et al.\(^{1}\) provide an excellent discussion of the effects of contamination on optical elements in relation to stray light background that is applicable to coating contamination.

It is important to be aware as well of the close coupling of cathodoluminescence with spacecraft charging effects. Charging and electrostatic discharges are caused by the same electron plasma fluxes that induce cathodoluminescence, and share many of the same dependence on incident energy, incident flux, and sample temperature \(^{11,25}\). Another related consequence of arcing is the possibility of sputtering of optical coating or reflective layers due to discharge\(^{11}\). This can be particularly problematic if the reflective coatings are not electrically grounded, which can lead to enhanced arcing. The type and size of damage sites from arc-induced sputtering and further surface contamination from arc-induced ejecta, and their effects on optical performance, can be compared to damage from micrometeoroid impacts addressed by Lightsey\(^{1}\) and others.

Cathodoluminescence can also be potentially useful. One such possibility is to provide the capability for remote monitoring of the evolution of satellite surfaces, using natural space plasma as an excitation source. Alternately, materials that have particularly high intensity could be mounted on spacecraft to provide remote monitoring of such things as contamination and spacecraft potential. Ferguson et al. reviewed these possibilities \(^{22}\). They concluded that it was unlikely that direct observations of such luminescence could be made from Earth-based telescopes or even of GEO satellites from LEO observatories; however, the possibility of observations of these phenomena from co-orbiting LEO or GEO satellites seemed feasible.

**CONCLUSION**

Disordered, thin film SiO\(_2\)/SiO\(_x\) coatings, commonly used as protective mirror coatings, exhibit cathodoluminescence under electron-beam bombardment. Our measurements indicate that this emission arises primarily from four distinct bands observed in UV/VIS/NIR (275-1000 nm). The intensity increases and reddens at lower temperatures. We also measured the radiance of this glow as a function of electron energy and beam current. A simple model was proposed that describes the dependence of this cathodoluminescence on irradiation time, incident flux and energy, sample thickness, and temperature. Other factors necessary for the estimation of the magnitude and effect of this potential source of background light for a particular mission include: the electron environment and variability, considerations of baffling of the impacted elements, transmission of this luminescent light to instrument focal planes, thickness of the coatings used on particular elements, and the temperatures of the coated elements. The information provided in this study, coupled with these specific mission specifications, is sufficient to determine the risks posed to the performance of spaced-based observatories from space plasma environmentally-induced cathodoluminescence.

In efforts to explore further into the farthest reaches of our universe, astronomical observatories continue to expand in size and complexity and to be located in more exotic and demanding environments. As future, more complex and sensitive missions push the envelope into more extreme environments, the fundamental understanding of luminescent dependences of spacecraft materials on time, temperature, flux, energy, and material structure from this work, provides a key factor for estimating and minimizing the deleterious effects of this radiation.
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