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ABSTRACT

We combine Hubble Space Telescope (HST) G102 and G141 near-IR (NIR) grism spectroscopy with HST/WFC3-UVIS, HST/WFC3-IR, and Spitzer/IRAC [3.6 μm] photometry to assemble a sample of massive (log(M*/M⊙) ∼ 11.0) and quenched (specific star formation rate < 0.01 Gyr−1) galaxies at z ∼ 1.5. Our sample of 41 galaxies is the largest with G102+G141 NIR spectroscopy for quenched sources at these redshifts. In contrast to the local universe, z ∼ 1.5 quenched galaxies in the high-mass range have a wide range of stellar population properties. We find that their spectral energy distributions (SEDs) are well fitted with exponentially decreasing star formation histories and short star formation timescales (τ ≤ 100 Myr). Quenched galaxies also show a wide distribution in ages, between 1 and 4 Gyr. In the (u−r)0-versus-mass space quenched galaxies have a large spread in rest-frame color at a given mass. Most quenched galaxies populate the z ∼ 1.5 red sequence (RS), but an important fraction of them (32%) have substantially bluer colors. Although with a large spread, we find that the quenched galaxies on the RS have older median ages (3.1 Gyr) than the quenched galaxies off the RS (1.5 Gyr). We also show that a rejuvenated SED cannot reproduce the observed stacked spectra of (the bluer) quenched galaxies off the RS. We derive the upper limit on the fraction of massive galaxies on the RS at z ∼ 1.5 to be < 43%. We speculate that the young quenched galaxies off the RS are in a transition phase between vigorous star formation at z > 2 and the z ∼ 1.5 RS. According to their estimated ages, the time required for quenched galaxies off the RS to join their counterparts on the z ∼ 1.5 RS is of the order of ~1 Gyr.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The formation and evolution of massive galaxies are some of the most studied and debated topics in extragalactic astronomy today. In the local universe the most massive galaxies primarily populate a well-defined relation in the color–magnitude space known as the “red sequence” (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2003; Baldry et al. 2004). These galaxies host mostly old, passively evolving stellar populations (e.g., Trager et al. 1998; Kuntschner et al. 2001; Mehliert et al. 2003; Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006; Renzini 2006 and references therein). The major processes behind mass assembly and structure formation of massive galaxies, however, are controversial.

In particular, the role of major mergers (as advocated in, e.g., Mihos & Hernquist 1994; Bekki 1998; Hopkins et al. 2006) in the mass buildup and in the quenching of the star formation has recently been challenged by new evidence pointing toward a mass-induced truncation of the star formation (e.g., Peng et al. 2010, 2012). Evidence supporting the merger picture is mostly restricted to z ≲ 1 from the tightness of the galaxy color–magnitude relation (e.g., Stanford et al. 1998; Faber et al. 2007), the evolution of the mass function of red-sequence galaxies (e.g., Bell et al. 2004; Faber et al. 2007; but see Cimatti et al. 2008; Scarlata et al. 2007), and the small scatter in the mass-to-light ratios of these systems (e.g., Kelson et al. 2000). The super-solar α-element abundances found in local massive galaxies (e.g., Nelan et al. 2005; Thomas et al. 2005) can be reproduced by both merger- and mass-induced quenching as long as the process took place several Gyr ago and on short timescales (< 1 Gyr; Thomas et al. 1999; Renzini 2009).

Recently, minor mergers have also been considered as a viable mechanism for the size growth of massive galaxies, gaining more popularity after the discovery of the strong size evolution of early-type galaxies (e.g., Bundy et al. 2009; de Ravel et al. 2009; López-Sanjuan et al. 2010; Ryan et al. 2012). The discovery of the compact nature of massive quenched galaxies at z ∼ 2 implies they must become ~2–4 times larger with time to match the sizes of quenched galaxies in the local universe (e.g., Daddi et al. 2005; Trujillo et al. 2006; van Dokkum et al. 2008; McLure et al. 2013). Other authors, however, have suggested that the evolution of the mass–size relation is primarily driven...
by the appearance of new large galaxies at later time rather than by the growth of individual objects (e.g., Valentiniuzzi et al. 2010; Poggianti et al. 2012; Carollo et al. 2013; Cassata et al. 2013).

The epoch between 1 \( \lesssim z \lesssim 3 \) is crucial for the assembly of massive galaxies, as most of their size and number density evolution seem to have taken place during this time (e.g., Fontana et al. 2006; Kriek et al. 2008; Marchesi et al. 2009; Illbert et al. 2010; Cassata et al. 2011; Conselice et al. 2011). Critical to study this redshift range are data covering the rest-frame optical, and in fact, the past few years have seen the completion of deep ground- and space-based photometric IR surveys. Although large samples of both star-forming (SF) and quenched massive galaxies at 1 \( \lesssim z \lesssim 3 \) can be assembled using color-selection techniques (e.g., Daddi et al. 2004; Lin et al. 2012; Newman et al. 2012 and references therein), results based on them are still hampered by the lack of spectroscopic redshifts, which translates into strong systematic effects on stellar population parameters (including, but not limited to, stellar masses and luminosity-weighted ages). Moreover, the mutual contamination between color-selected SF and quenched samples can only be established statistically as, typically, no further information is available for individual sources (e.g., \( \sim 30\% \) contamination for BzK selection; Cameron et al. 2011). Detailed spectroscopic studies on individual sources are still extremely rare and limited to the brightest, non-representative sources (Kriek et al. 2009; van Dokkum et al. 2010; Ferreras et al. 2012).

Additional complications appear when quiescent galaxies at \( z > 1 \) are considered. Without emission lines, the redshift determination must be constrained with continuum-emission features, like the 4000 Å and Balmer breaks, redshifted to the near-IR (NIR) regime. This requires deep IR spectroscopic observations, which (until recently) were limited to single-object spectroscopy. These studies have shown that the bulk of the star formation in massive quenched galaxies took place between 2 \( < z < 4 \) with formation timescales below 1 Gyr (Longhetti et al. 2005; Kriek et al. 2006; Newman et al. 2010; Onodera et al. 2012). Ground-based NIR spectroscopy, however, is limited to the \( J, H, \) and \( K \) atmospheric windows and suffers from high background emission and time-variable absorption features. Spectroscopic observations from space, then, represent the best way to acquire large samples of quiescent galaxies at \( z \gtrsim 1 \) with homogeneous spectroscopic data sets.

Here we present a stellar population study of a sample of quenched galaxies at 1.0 \( \lesssim z \lesssim 2.0 \) discovered in the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) Infrared Spectroscopic Parallel survey (WISP; Atek et al. 2010). The Hubble Space Telescope (HST) NIR grism spectroscopy covers the wavelength range 0.9 \( \mu \)m \( \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 1.6 \mu \)m and allows us to study quenched high-\( z \) galaxies on an individual basis.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present the observations and analysis of photometry and spectra. In Section 3, we describe the color and magnitude selection of our sample. In Section 4, we characterize our galaxy sample, including the calculation of spectrophotometric redshifts, stellar population parameters, and estimates of their systematic and random uncertainties. In Section 5, we discuss our main results, and we summarize them in Section 6. Five Appendices describing details in the data analysis are included at the end of the paper.

In this paper, we assume a flat cosmology with \( H_0 = 70 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \( \Omega_M = 0.3 \), and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \). Photometric magnitudes are always expressed in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA

The sample presented in this work was extracted from the first 27 WISP fields observed with the WFC3 on board the HST. Specifically, these fields were observed with both WFC3 grisms and with both WFC3/IR and WFC3/UVIS cameras. The WFC3/IR provides a plate scale of 0.13 pixel\(^{-1}\), over a total field of view (FOV) of 123′ × 136′. The UVIS channel of the WFC3 has a plate scale of 0.04 pixel\(^{-1}\), over a total FOV of 162′ × 162′. For seven of our fields the UVIS photometry was obtained from 2 \( \times \) 2 binned data (see Table 1). All the observations were carried out in pure parallel mode.\(^{12}\)

In each field, imaging was obtained using the F475X, F600LP, F110W, and F160W filters, with typical exposure times of 400, 400, 1000, and 500 s, respectively (see Table 1 for details). The two deeper fields Par96 and Par136 were observed in the UVIS bands F606W and F814W instead. The WFC3/UVIS CCDs suffer a degradation of their charge transfer efficiency with time, affecting F475X (F606W) and F600LP (F814W) fluxes. A flux correction was implemented and it is fully described in Appendix A.

Dispersed images were obtained using the WFC3/IR camera and the G102 and G141 NIR grisms. The blue (G102) grism provides a resolving power \( R = 210 \) for a point source and covers the 0.8–1.15 \( \mu \)m wavelength range. The red (G141) grism provides a resolving power \( R = 130 \) for a point source and covers the 1.07–1.7 \( \mu \)m range. The wavelength overlap between the two grisms ensures an accurate flux calibration of the spectra. Details of reduction and calibration of the WFC3 data are presented in Atek et al. (2010).

For 22 of the 27 fields we also obtained Spitzer IRAC observations at 3.6 \( \mu \)m (see Table 1 for details). The same pipeline used to produce the “Spitzer Enhanced Imaging Products” was also run on our data to measure the 3.6 \( \mu \)m fluxes (P. L. Capak et al., in preparation).\(^{13}\)

### 2.1. Photometric Catalog

In the analysis of the galaxy sample, we simultaneously make use of the broadband fluxes and NIR spectra. Thus, special attention should be paid to making sure that the aperture used for the extraction of the spectra and the aperture used to compute the broadband fluxes are the same. In order not to introduce artificial correlations among adjacent pixels, we worked on images with the original pixel scales. As a consequence, typical softwares used to compute object fluxes in matched apertures in different images could not be used.

In order to create the multi-band catalog of aperture-matched fluxes, we proceeded as follows. We first used the SExtractor software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to detect objects in the deepest F110W-band images and to compute the parameters of the Kron elliptical apertures (semi-axes and position angle). The Kron apertures, as computed by SExtractor, are intended to give the most precise estimate of total magnitudes for galaxies. Details about the routine can be found in the SExtractor Appendix A.

12 In the pure-parallel mode, one or more instruments sample the HST focal plane, while the prime program observes its planned target according to its desired visit schedule.

13 For a full explanation of the pipeline, see also http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/Enhanced/Imaging/ under “Explanatory Supplement.”
For each galaxy, we then computed the total flux in all bands, using the $F_{F110W}$-band-defined aperture and a custom IDL code.

The elliptical apertures were then appropriately scaled to account for different pixel scales between the UVIS and IR detectors. The local background for each elliptical aperture was calculated within a square annulus using the same prescription as in SExtractor. Within each annulus, the background per pixel was computed as a 3σ clipped mean. Then, the total background-subtracted flux was computed within the aperture by adding all the aperture pixels.

Flux uncertainties were computed using Monte Carlo simulations. We created 1000 images for each galaxy by randomizing the flux in each pixel of the elliptical aperture according to a normal distribution with a width provided by the 1σ error map. We measured the total elliptical flux as explained above on each of the simulated images. We then computed the 1σ errors by fitting a Gaussian to the distribution of the 1000 measured fluxes. We only retain in the catalog sources with 1σ flux larger than 3σ ($F_{F110W}$ is our deepest NIR band; see Table 1). For undetected sources in the other bands, we show the 3σ flux limit in the figures.

Because of the significantly lower spatial resolution of the Spitzer images, IRAC 3.6 μm fluxes were computed independently from the HST fluxes, using the “Spitzer Enhanced Imaging Products” pipeline, with some modifications (details in P. L. Capak et al., in preparation). Briefly, the pipeline utilizes the Spitzer MOPEX software package to create a mosaic for each IRAC image, before identifying and extracting sources using SExtractor. Fixed aperture fluxes were computed for each object, using an aperture of 2′′ 8 diameter. This is a smaller aperture compared to the typical 3′′ 8 diameter aperture used in the “Spitzer Enhanced Imaging Products” catalog. However, our exposures are significantly deeper than the standard images analyzed with the pipeline, so a smaller aperture is more appropriate to reduce contamination from nearby neighbors. The aperture fluxes were corrected to total fluxes assuming that the aperture pixels.

Due to the slitless nature of the spectroscopic observations, spectra from different sources may overlap. If not properly manual.14 For each galaxy, we then computed the total flux in all bands, using the $F_{F110W}$-band-defined aperture and a custom IDL code.

The elliptical apertures were then appropriately scaled to account for different pixel scales between the UVIS and IR detectors. The local background for each elliptical aperture was calculated within a square annulus using the same prescription as in SExtractor. Within each annulus, the background per pixel was computed as a 3σ clipped mean. Then, the total background-subtracted flux was computed within the aperture by adding all the aperture pixels.

Flux uncertainties were computed using Monte Carlo simulations. We created 1000 images for each galaxy by randomizing the flux in each pixel of the elliptical aperture according to a normal distribution with a width provided by the 1σ error map. We measured the total elliptical flux as explained above on each of the simulated images. We then computed the 1σ errors by fitting a Gaussian to the distribution of the 1000 measured fluxes. We only retain in the catalog sources with 1σ flux larger than 3σ ($F_{F110W}$ is our deepest NIR band; see Table 1). For undetected sources in the other bands, we show the 3σ flux limit in the figures.

Because of the significantly lower spatial resolution of the Spitzer images, IRAC 3.6 μm fluxes were computed independently from the HST fluxes, using the “Spitzer Enhanced Imaging Products” pipeline, with some modifications (details in P. L. Capak et al., in preparation). Briefly, the pipeline utilizes the Spitzer MOPEX software package to create a mosaic for each IRAC image, before identifying and extracting sources using SExtractor. Fixed aperture fluxes were computed for each object, using an aperture of 2′′ 8 diameter. This is a smaller aperture compared to the typical 3′′ 8 diameter aperture used in the “Spitzer Enhanced Imaging Products” catalog. However, our exposures are significantly deeper than the standard images analyzed with the pipeline, so a smaller aperture is more appropriate to reduce contamination from nearby neighbors. The aperture fluxes were corrected to total fluxes assuming that the objects are point sources. This is a fair assumption as the FWHM of the IRAC data. This procedure results in a five-broadband point-spread function (PSF) of 1′′ 5 is larger than the FWHM in the NIR of the largest resolved sources used in this paper (1′′ 43).

The Spitzer and WFC3 catalogs were cross-matched using target coordinates, by searching within a radius of 1′′ 5. We flagged all sources for which multiple objects fell within the PSF of the IRAC data. This procedure results in a five-broadband photometric catalog for our 27 fields of 15,302 sources brighter than 27.5 mag in $F_{F110W}$ band. Of those, 6991 have IRAC 3.6 μm detections, 26% of which are blended in this band.

### 2.2. NIR Spectroscopy: 2D Cleaning and ID Extracts

Due to the slitless nature of the spectroscopic observations, spectra from different sources may overlap. If not properly...
removed, the flux contribution from nearby sources may substantially change the total flux and shape of the extracted spectra, preventing an accurate fit of the spectral energy distribution (SED; e.g., Gobat et al. 2013). In order to perform an optimal extraction, preventing an accurate fit of the spectral energy distribution substantially change the total flux and shape of the extracted spectra. When the flux contribution from nearby sources may be removed, the flux contribution from nearby sources may substantially change the total flux and shape of the extracted spectra, preventing an accurate fit of the spectral energy distribution (SED; e.g., Gobat et al. 2013). In order to perform an optimal extraction, we compare the fluxes from the spectra with our NIR photometric data. In Figure 1, we show a comparison between the magnitudes computed from the extracted G141 spectra and those computed from the H$_{F160W}$ images shows an overall good agreement between them. The comparison was based on 102 galaxies for which the spectral H$_{F160W}$ magnitudes were calculated after contamination correction. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

removed, the flux contribution from nearby sources may substantially change the total flux and shape of the extracted spectra, preventing an accurate fit of the spectral energy distribution (SED; e.g., Gobat et al. 2013). In order to perform an optimal extraction, preventing an accurate fit of the spectral energy distribution substantially change the total flux and shape of the extracted spectra. When the flux contribution from nearby sources may be removed, the flux contribution from nearby sources may substantially change the total flux and shape of the extracted spectra, preventing an accurate fit of the spectral energy distribution (SED; e.g., Gobat et al. 2013). In order to perform an optimal extraction, we compare the fluxes from the spectra with our NIR photometric data. In Figure 1, we show a comparison between the magnitudes computed from the extracted G141 spectra and those computed from the H$_{F160W}$ images shows an overall good agreement between them. The comparison was based on 102 galaxies for which the spectral H$_{F160W}$ magnitudes were calculated after contamination correction. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

3. COLOR–MAGNITUDE SELECTION OF THE SAMPLE

The 4000 Å break fully falls within the wavelength range covered by the grism spectra for objects in the $1.2 \lesssim z \lesssim 2.7$ redshift range. This break can be used not only as a redshift indicator but also to study stellar population properties in galaxies with sufficient signal-to-noise (S/N) spectra (e.g., Ferreras et al. 2009; Hathi et al. 2009; Onodera et al. 2012). We limit the analysis of the spectra only to objects with $J_{F110W}−H_{F160W} \geq 0.6$ and magnitude brighter than $H_{F160W} = 23$. As shown in Figure 2, the color cut pre-selects sources with spectral breaks broadly covered by our spectra for which the redshifts can be measured, while the magnitude cut ensures we have spectra with sufficient S/N.

Among all sources with $H_{F160W} \leq 23$, we removed the stars using a size–magnitude diagram as explained in Appendix C. After visual inspection of all the sources flagged as stars (315), we found that 10 were misclassified galaxies and these were re-included in the sample.

In the left panel of Figure 3, we show the $J_{F110W}−H_{F160W}$ versus $R_{F600LP}−J_{F110W}$ color–color diagram for 1352 galaxies brighter than $H_{F160W} = 23$. The right panel is the corresponding plot for the two deep WISP fields, showing $J_{F110W}−H_{F160W}$ versus $H_{F110W}$ (163 galaxies). Sources brighter than $H_{F160W} = 23$ constitute ~11% of our photometric catalog. Out of 283 galaxies with $J_{F110W}−H_{F160W} \geq 0.6$, 84 (5) are upper limits in the $R_{F600LP}$ ($I_{F814W}$) band, and we show the 3σ limits in the color–color plots. Different model tracks showing the color evolution with redshift are overplotted (see figure caption and legend). Note that at the highest redshift considered ($z = 2.4$), the truncated 200 Myr burst model (orange line) is already passive, but significantly bluer in $R_{F600LP}−J_{F110W}$ than the instantaneous burst at the same redshift (red line). Figures 2
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and 3 show that dusty SF galaxies are also selected by our $J_{F110W} - H_{F160W}$ color criterion. However, these galaxies can be identified later through the SED fitting of their combined photometry + spectra (see Section 5).

We visually inspected both the spectra and the direct images of all the objects satisfying the $J_{F110W} - H_{F160W}$ color cut to remove galaxies for which the spectrum showed instrumental issues (e.g., for sources at the edge of the chip for which the spectrum is truncated), for which the spectrum was contaminated at a level that even our procedure could not recover (e.g., close to a bright star), and for which the WFC3/Spitzer photometry showed blended sources. We excluded 149 galaxies in this step. Note that about half of them were excluded because the full wavelength range of their spectra was not totally sampled within the CCD detector (i.e., truncation). We also excluded 32 galaxies for which the 2D dispersed stamps did not show any signal. We compared these 32 galaxies with the parent sample and found that they are at the faint end of the considered magnitude range (with average $H_{F160W}$ band of 22.7) and tend to have slightly larger radii than the remaining sources of the same magnitude. This may introduce a bias against less concentrated objects in our faintest magnitude bin.

Our master sample includes 102 sources with $J_{F110W} - H_{F160W} \geq 0.6$ and $H_{F160W} \leq 23$. In 23 out of 102 galaxies, we detected emission lines. It is important to note that, because in slitless mode the spectral resolution depends on the size of the object in the dispersion direction, our capability to detect emission-line galaxies depends not only on the line flux and equivalent width but also on the size of the galaxy itself. A detailed line-completeness analysis for the full WISP survey is presented in Colbert et al. (2013). The emission-line recovery rate drops below 40% for objects larger than 0′′.6, The median size of our sample galaxies is 0′′.45, corresponding to a completeness of about 70%. As discussed in Colbert et al., the completeness never reaches 100% because of spectral contamination issues. Our sample of 102 galaxies does not suffer from this problem, so we expect the completeness rate in our case to be even larger than 70%.

4. ANALYSIS

Prior to deriving spectrophotometric redshifts and stellar population properties (SPPs) from our analysis, we study the effects of emission lines in retrieving these continuum-based parameters. Our low spectral resolution might dilute emission lines in the continuum, affecting the retrieved redshifts and SPPs. The results of this study are presented in Appendix D. Briefly, we find that in those cases where emission lines are diluted in the continuum, the flux contribution from the line is below the $1\sigma$ uncertainties in the grism data. Therefore, in addition to masking the detected emission lines in the SEDs, there is no need to make specific modeling of emission lines for our SED fitting process and simulations.

4.1. Spectrophotometric Redshift

We compute spectrophotometric redshift for the 102 galaxies in our sample by fitting stellar population models (see below) to the combination of the photometric and spectroscopic data.
We developed our own IDL code for this task because of the (1) different spectral resolution in the two grisms, (2) different spectral resolution in each individual source, and (3) simultaneous fit to photometry and spectra.

We computed spectrophotometric redshifts by fitting 51 empirical templates from Coleman et al. (1980) and Kinney et al. (1996) to the $B_{4427}$($R_{5468}$), $R_{6563}$($I_{7114}$), G102 and G141 spectra, and IRAC 3.6 μm data, when available. Before the $\chi^2$ minimization, we matched the spectral resolution of the templates to that of the data (on an object-by-object basis). To do so, we re-binned both the data and the templates to the resolution element, computed from the FWHM of the object’s spatial profile in the dispersion direction. Given the typical size of our sources, the spectral resolution elements are on average $\sim$85.8 Å (162.8 Å) in G102 (G141). We considered the $0.0 \leq z \leq 4.0$ range with constant redshift steps of 0.05 and applied intergalactic medium absorption following Madau (1995). For sources with emission lines the purely spectrophotometric redshift based on those features was used instead (19% of our master sample). We determined redshifts for single-line galaxies assuming they are Hα emission. See Domínguez et al. (2012) and Colbert et al. (2013) for further details on emission-line redshift estimations.

We estimated random errors on the redshifts by using Monte Carlo simulations. For each galaxy, we varied both the spectra and the photometric points within the $1\sigma$ uncertainties, assuming Gaussian distribution. We created 500 realizations for each galaxy and derived the random error on the redshift as the $1\sigma$ width of the resulting distribution.

The spectrophotometric redshift distribution for the full master sample of 102 galaxies is shown in Figure 4. The distribution shows that 77% of the selected galaxies are at $z > 1$.

4.2. Stellar Population Properties

We compute luminosity-weighted age, stellar mass, star formation rate (SFR), and star formation history (SFH) of the master sample galaxies, using the same custom IDL code applied in the previous section, keeping the redshift fixed and using the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) library of stellar population synthesis models. We consider seven SFHs (continuous, exponentially declining with $e$-folding times $\tau = 0.01, 0.1, 1, 5$ Gyr, and exponentially increasing with $\tau = 1, 5$ Gyr), 70 log-binned ages between 10 Myr and 12 Gyr, Salpeter initial mass function (IMF; Salpeter 1955), and solar metallicity.

We use a Salpeter IMF based on recent results on local massive galaxies. A variety of observations, including stellar kinematics (e.g., Dutton et al. 2012; Cappellari et al. 2012), stellar populations (e.g., Conroy & van Dokkum 2012; Spiniello et al. 2012; Tortora et al. 2013), and gravitational lensing (e.g., Auger et al. 2010; Treu et al. 2010; Brewer et al. 2012), suggest that ellipticals and spiral bulges show “heavier” IMFs (Salpeter-like, with larger fractions of low-mass stars) than galaxy disks (Chabrier-like IMF; Chabrier 2003). Thus, the assumption of a Salpeter IMF seems to be more representative of the massive and quenched galaxy population we address in the present study. We note that if a Chabrier-like IMF was used, our inferred masses and SFRs would be smaller by a factor $\sim$1.7. Accordingly, our models and the mass-completeness limit we inferred from them (see Section 4.4) would be smaller in a similar amount without compromising our main results and conclusions. We also note that our specific SFRs (SSFRs) are robust to IMF changes. This is of particular importance as we base our quenched/SF classification on this parameter. Systematic changes of the IMF with galaxy mass are unlikely in the mass range considered in this work and are briefly discussed at the end of Appendix E.

Solar metallicity is in agreement with recent spectroscopic results by Onodera et al. (2012) on quenched galaxies at $z \sim 1.4$. We considered a range of extinctions ($0 \leq A_V \leq 1$), and we used the Calzetti et al. (2000) extinction law. The age of the stellar population is constrained to be smaller than the age of the universe in the adopted cosmology. Analogously to the redshift random error determination, random uncertainties on the SPPs were derived using Monte Carlo simulations.

We used the results of the stellar population modeling to select quenched galaxies as commonly done in the literature, based on the SSFR: quenched galaxies have SSFR $< 0.01$ Gyr$^{-1}$ and constitute 71% of our master sample.

4.3. Systematic Uncertainties in Redshift and Stellar Population Parameters

The statistical uncertainties associated with the measurements of redshifts and stellar population parameters are assessed in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. Here, we further quantify the systematic uncertainties introduced by the degeneracies in stellar population models. It is well known that if the photometric/spectroscopic data do not provide adequate constraints, studying SPPs of galaxies through SED fitting may produce strongly degenerate results by different combinations of age, SFR, SFH, and extinction (e.g., Muzzin et al. 2009 and references therein). For this reason, we performed a set of simulations to assess how well we can recover the SPPs with the available data.

The simulations are described in detail in Appendix E. Briefly, we used Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models with known stellar population properties and redshifts to simulate our spectroscopic and photometric data. These “model data” were treated in the same way as the real data: we applied both the color and magnitude cuts as for our galaxies, and the selected model data were fitted with our customized IDL code to recover their SPPs. In this section, we only summarize the main results of these tests and their implications for our galaxy sample.
Not surprisingly, the main conclusion of our study was that, in general, the SPPs were recovered more accurately for galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1.3$, where the 4000 Å break was fully covered by the grism spectra. More degeneracies appeared when the break was only coarsely covered by the UVIS photometric points. At redshift $z \gtrsim 1.3$, our simulations show we can recover the age measurements to within $\sim 35\%$ of the age value for our complete age range. We can also distinguish between short ($\tau \lesssim 100$ Myr) and long ($\tau \gtrsim 1$ Gyr) SFHs, although we cannot separate SFHs with $\tau = 100$ Myr and $\tau = 10$ Myr. Most importantly, we find that our distinction between quenched and SF galaxies (defined by a rough limit at SSFR = $10^{-2}$ Gyr$^{-1}$) is very robust.

At lower redshifts, we found we cannot reliably recover spectrophotometric redshifts below 1. Therefore, we decided to exclude from our galaxy sample all sources below this redshift. At $1 < z < 1.3$ we recovered most of the SPP values as at $z > 1.3$, although with larger scatter. At these lower redshifts, however, we found that the age and extinction show strong systematic offsets with respect to the input parameter values. This was mainly produced by a strong anti-correlation between both galaxy properties. However, because the distinction between quenched and SF galaxies is defined as a function of the SSFR only, even at $z < 1.3$ we can robustly distinguish between both galaxy types. The stellar mass is the most robust and best constrained parameter at all redshifts.

Overall, the addition of IRAC 3.6 μm data to the SED fits slightly reduces the scatter on the ages and stellar-mass-systematic uncertainties. The use of IRAC data provides the strongest constraints in the determination of SFR, where the scatter of our models is clearly reduced. The recovered redshifts also suffer a very mild improvement in their systematic uncertainties when IRAC data are used, while the other SPPs are mostly unchanged.

From the discussion above, we decided to remove from the following analysis all galaxies with $z < 1$. Also, we will not use in our analysis the age of galaxies at $z < 1.3$ and the extinction of all galaxies independently of their redshift.

In Table 2 we present a summary of the results from the systematic error analysis for redshift and SPPs, including results with and without IRAC data in the SED fits. Formally, many of the systematic offsets found are consistent with zero within the uncertainties. However, some cases have larger uncertainties than others. Therefore, in those cases we present our results as zero-systematic-error ± their 68% percentile.

### 4.4. Stellar Mass Completeness

The estimate of the mass completeness is not straightforward in a magnitude- and color-selected sample like ours. Together, these criteria imply a segregation not only in mass but also in current star formation activity and SFH.

First, we explore the $H_{160W}$-band completeness of our photometric catalog. In the left panel of Figure 5, we show the $H_{160W}$-band histogram for our entire photometry (Section 2.1). We proceed by running 1000 simulations of our $H_{160W}$-band FOV and re-extracting with SExtractor our simulated galaxies. Each of the 1000 galaxies per simulation was modeled as a random combination of observational properties for which ranges were set based on the data. We allowed a range of $H_{160W}$-band magnitudes between 26 and 15. Then, light profiles were modeled with a 2D Sérsic function with $0 \leq n \leq 4$, centered at random positions, with ellipticities between 0 and 1, and...
random projected orientations. The effective radii were allowed to vary between 0.2 and 0.6 (typical range for our galaxies). We add random noise to every single model run such as an average $H_{F160W} = 22$ mag galaxy has an integrated S/N = 200, like in the data. After extracting galaxies from each simulation, we study the completeness as a function of $H_{F160W}$-band magnitude, in bins of 0.2 mag. We found we are 100% complete down to magnitudes of 24.2, reaching 50% completeness at 25 mag. We also study completeness as a function of other parameters. Based on the effective radius, we are 100% complete up to $0.35$, reaching 70% completeness at $0.43$. In terms of the Sersic index, we are 70% complete down to $n = 2$. Finally, we are 75% complete for ellipticities up to 0.4. Based on these results, our magnitude cut at $H_{F160W} = 23$ for our galaxy sample (Section 3) is conservative enough to allow us to study a 100% complete sample in $H_{F160W}$ band with also very high completeness in other observables.

In the right panel of Figure 5, we show the galaxy stellar mass as a function of redshift for our galaxies (after cleaning the sample of cases with possible strong systematics in their SPPs; see previous section). Due to our $H_{F160W} = 23$ magnitude cut, the lower mass envelope changes with redshift and depends on the galaxy SFH and age. In order not to introduce any bias in the analysis, we conservatively estimate the mass completeness limit to be the mass of the model with the highest $M/L$ ratio for $H_{F160W} = 23$ at each redshift, as indicated by the solid red curve in the figure. In what follows, we adopt a mass completeness limit of $4.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (dashed black line in right panel of Figure 5), which is the minimum mass measurable at $z \sim 1.5$ with our conservative assumptions. We note that ~70% of our galaxies were above this mass cut. Of those, 40% are at or below redshift 1.5.

4.5. The Final Sample of Quenched Galaxies

The final sample of quenched galaxies includes sources at $1.0 \leq z \leq 2.0$. At $z > 2.0$ our mass limit implies that we find only a few of the rarest most massive galaxies, while at $z < 1.0$, our simulations show that we cannot reliably retrieve photometric redshifts with our data (see Section 4.3 and Appendix E).

After the redshift and SSFR cuts, our sample includes 41 quenched sources with $H \leq 23, J - H \geq 0.6, \log(M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot) > 10.65$ and redshifts in the range $1.0 \leq z \leq 2.0$. Four of the quenched galaxies (10%) have detected emission lines. We do not remove these galaxies from the sample of quenched objects for the following reasons: first, if star formation is at the origin of the detected emission lines, the line fluxes imply SFRs of about 0.4 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (using a Kennicutt 1998 conversion), which, given the masses of these objects, would still make them quenched according to our SSFR definition. Second, the emission lines might be produced by ionization due to an active galactic nucleus (AGN), rather than star formation. Various studies connect AGN activity with the quenching of star formation (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2006; Oser et al. 2012), so we do not want to bias our result against AGN activity. Because we lack information on the origin of the gas ionization, we leave the four emission-line galaxies in the sample and identify them in the analysis when needed. As will be shown in the following sections, the presence of quiescent galaxies with emission lines does not affect our main results and conclusions.

In Figure 6 (available in the electronic format of the paper), we show the $J_{F110W}$-band postage stamp of each galaxy of our final sample. In the same figure we present the G102 and G141 spectra together with the WFC3/UVIS and IRAC 3.6 $\mu$m photometric points used in the SED fits. The best SED model fit and some SPPs; see previous section). Due to our $H_{F160W} = 23$ magnitude cut, the lower mass envelope changes with redshift and depends on the galaxy SFH and age. In order not to introduce any bias in the analysis, we conservatively estimate the mass completeness limit to be the mass of the model with the highest $M/L$ ratio for $H_{F160W} = 23$ at each redshift, as indicated by the solid red curve in the figure. In what follows, we adopt a mass completeness limit of $4.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (dashed black line in right panel of Figure 5), which is the minimum mass measurable at $z \sim 1.5$ with our conservative assumptions. We note that ~70% of our galaxies were above this mass cut. Of those, 40% are at or below redshift 1.5.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the following section, we discuss the properties of the final sample of 41 quenched galaxies.

5.1. Distributions of Stellar Population Properties of the Quenched Galaxy Sample

In the top panel of Figure 7, we show the distribution of redshifts for our quenched galaxy sample. Redshifts in our

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Param.</th>
<th>Syst. Error (with IRAC)</th>
<th>Syst. Error (no IRAC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redsh</td>
<td>$1.0 \leq z \leq 1.2$ :</td>
<td>$0.0 \pm 0.10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$z &gt; 1.2$ :</td>
<td>$0.0 \pm 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age$^a$</td>
<td>$1.3 \leq z \leq 1.8 &amp; Age \leq 1.5$ Gyr :</td>
<td>$0.00 \pm 0.75$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$z &gt; 1.3 &amp; Age &gt; 1.5$ Gyr :</td>
<td>$0.00 \pm 0.35$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1.3 \leq z \leq 1.8 &amp; Age \leq 1.5$ Gyr :</td>
<td>$0.00 \pm 0.65$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$z &gt; 1.3 &amp; Age &gt; 1.5$ Gyr :</td>
<td>$0.00 \pm 0.45$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{\text{star}}^b$</td>
<td>$z &lt; 1.2$ :</td>
<td>$\approx 20%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1.2 \leq z &lt; 1.8$ :</td>
<td>$10%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$z \geq 1.8$ :</td>
<td>$10%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SFR^c$</td>
<td>$SFR &lt; 2.5 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ :</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2.5 \leq SFR &lt; 7.5 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ :</td>
<td>$0.0 \pm 1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$7.5 \leq SFR &lt; 15 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ :</td>
<td>$0.0 \pm 3 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$15 \leq SFR &lt; 20 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ :</td>
<td>$0.0 \pm 8 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SFR &gt; 20 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ :</td>
<td>$25 \pm 20 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.

$^a$ In the “no IRAC” column, if an empty space is present, the results are the same as in the “IRAC” case.

$^b$ Only ages for $z \geq 1.3$ galaxies are considered in this work.

$^c$ At $z < 1.2$, the systematic errors in stellar mass vary monotonically from 30%, 20%, and 10% at $\log M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot = 11.8, 11.0, \text{and} 10.6$, respectively.

$^d$ At $z \geq 1.3$, the error is valid for $SFR < 20 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ only.
Figure 6. Examples of SED fits for our sample galaxies (fits for our 41 galaxy sample available in the electronic format of the paper). Left: 6 × 6 arcsec² image stamps in H_{F160W} band. Right: the data and SED fits. In black, the G102 and G141 spectroscopic data. In blue, the photometric data. Circles represent HST/WFC3/UVIS B_{F475X} and R_{F600LP} photometry (arrows correspond to 3σ upper limits). Triangles represent Spitzer/IRAC 3.6 μm observations (when available). In red, the best SED model fit from our library of Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population models. In the y axis, flux densities, \( F_\lambda \), are normalized to \( 10^{-19} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-1}\).

(An extended, color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
For our sample of 41 quenched galaxies, we show the histograms of redshift and stellar mass. Red dashed lines represent the median value for the histogram.

**Figure 7.** For our sample of 41 quenched galaxies, we show the histograms of redshift and stellar mass. Red dashed lines represent the median value for the histogram. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

The sample spread between 1.0 and $\sim$ 2.0, with a median of 1.5. The sharp drop of the distribution for $z < 1$ is a direct consequence of our selection against these galaxies. As described in Appendix E, the redshift determination at $z < 1$ is dominated by strong systematics, obviously affecting the derived SPPs.

We present the stellar mass distribution in the bottom panel of Figure 7. The stellar masses of quenched galaxies spread from $\log(M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot) = 10.65$ (our mass cut) to 11.70 with a median 11.15.

The SFHs of our quenched galaxies are consistent with short star formation bursts, with all the galaxies having exponentially declining SFH with $\tau \leq 100$ Myr.

In Figure 8 we present the luminosity-weighted age distribution for galaxies at $z \geq 1.3$ (where this parameter could be constrained; see Section 4.3 and Appendix E). At these redshifts our sample consists of 32 quenched galaxies. We observe a wide distribution of ages between 1 and 4 Gyr with a median of 2 Gyr. We compare these results with data of quenched galaxies at similar redshifts from literature. The mean ages ($\sim$3 Gyr) found by Longhetti et al. (2005), Onodera et al. (2012), and van Dokkum et al. (2011, 2–4 Gyr) are systematically above our median age, even though our age histogram shows a peak at 3–4 Gyr consistent with these works. This may be due to different selections between our sample and those from the literature. In particular, being selected as extremely red objects (EROs; $R - K' > 5$), the Longhetti et al. (2005) sample is probably biased toward the oldest galaxies at these redshifts. The van Dokkum et al. (2011) sample is based on WFC3 grism data as in our study (though they only have the G141 grism). However, their sample is mass selected, while we use mass and color to select our quenched galaxies. Moreover, the reported ages in van Dokkum et al. are for galaxies significantly more massive than our sample ($M_{\text{star}} > 10^{11} M_\odot$). Finally, in order to maximize observing efficiency, the Onodera et al. (2012) sample was constrained to galaxies inhabiting regions of high galaxy overdensity, where the star formation and quenching take place more quickly. Therefore, the Onodera et al. sample would include mostly very old galaxies at the redshifts they were observed. Compared to $BzK z > 1$ galaxy selection (and alike), which separates SF and quenched galaxies (e.g., Daddi et al. 2004; Cameron et al. 2011), our combination of spectral fitting and $J_{F110W} - H_{F160W}$ color cut allows for blue (younger) quenched galaxies to be included in the sample (see section below).

In summary, our wide age distribution covers the different age regimes previously reported in the literature. Although our median age (2 Gyr) is below previous reports, we have shown that this does not imply an inconsistency between the different results, but most likely it reflects different selection biases intrinsic to each galaxy sample.
In Figure 9, we show rest-frame \( u - r \) color versus stellar mass for our sample of 41 quenched galaxies (in red, asterisks for galaxies with emission lines) together with local data from SDSS-DR7 (gray region; Abazajian et al. 2009). Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) colors for our galaxies were computed using the best-fit SED model and filter curves obtained from the SDSS webpage. When galaxies within our stellar mass range (\( \log(M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot) \geq 10.65 \)) are considered, the vast majority of SDSS galaxies belong to the red sequence. The color distribution for these objects shows a narrow peak at \( (u-r)_0 \approx 2.5 \), approximately 0.2 mag bluer compared to the peak of the color distribution of local red-sequence galaxies. This color difference matches the passive evolution of a short burst of star formation expected between \( z \sim 1.5 \) (the median redshift of our galaxy sample) and \( z \sim 0 \).

About 32% of our sample, however, has colors substantially bluer (\( \geq 0.4 \) mag) than the local red sequence, overlapping with the colors of local blue-cloud galaxies at masses below \( M_{\text{star}} \sim 3 \times 10^9 M_\odot \). In Figure 9, we show the best-fit red sequence to the \( z = 0 \) SDSS data passively evolved to \( z \sim 1.5 \) (0.2 mag offset; dashed line) and with an additional offset so galaxies fall within the scatter of the red sequence (0.22 mag; continuous line). The SDSS red sequence was computed by fitting a linear relation to the SDSS \( \log(M_{\text{star}}) \) and \( (u-r)_0 \), while the width was taken as the 2\( \sigma \) dispersion with respect to this fit. We use this line to split our sample into quenched galaxies on and off the \( z \sim 1.5 \) red sequence. Approximately 1/3 of the sample is off the red sequence.

At the beginning of this section, we describe how our \( J_{F110W} - H_{F160W} \) selection method produces a quenched galaxy sample with lower median age than previous works in the literature. We also describe how the selection effects of each of the cited works systematically bias their samples toward older ages.
their best-fit Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population models sequence galaxies (bottom; 13 galaxies, orange), together with
differences between on-red-sequence sources (top, 19 sources) and off-red-
sequence galaxies (bottom, 13 sources). Stacked spectra and UVIS data points
for individual galaxies are shown in orange, while best-fit Bruzual & Charlot
(2003) models to the spectra are shown in black. The models are exponentially
declining SFHs with \( \tau = 10 \) Myr, solar metallicity, Salpeter IMF, and ages of
3.0 and 1.4 Gyr for on- and off-red-sequence galaxies, respectively. In the top of
each panel, we show the number of galaxies used in the co-added spectrum as a function of wavelength. Flux densities are normalized to median flux between
5500 and 6000 Å.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

ages. Driven by this result, we further investigate the ages of our two sub-samples: quenched galaxies on and off the red sequence. Limiting the sample to quenched galaxies at \( z \geq 1.3 \) (where the age-sensitive 4000 Å/Balmer break is covered by the spectroscopy), we find a significant age difference between the stellar populations of galaxies on and off the red sequence. The red-sequence quenched galaxies have a median age of 3.1 Gyr, while quenched galaxies off the red sequence have a median age of only 1.5 Gyr.

We further investigate this age difference by stacking the spectra of the quenched galaxies on and off the red sequence in order to increase our S/N. Figure 10 shows the co-added spectra of quenched red-sequence (top; 19 sources, orange) and off-red-sequence galaxies (bottom; 13 galaxies, orange), together with their best-fit Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population models (black curves). The stack data were fitted using our full model library, where only metallicity was fixed to solar. The best-fit models are exponentially declining SFHs with \( \tau = 10 \) Myr, solar metallicity, Salpeter IMF, and ages of 3.0 and 1.4 Gyr for on- and off-red-sequence galaxies, respectively. As we see, these models provide a good representation of the stacked data. Also, they confirm our results from the two galaxy sub-samples based on individual-galaxy analysis. These results are consistent with recent findings of Whitaker et al. (2013) in co-added spectra, even though their ages for blue (0.9 Gyr) and red quenched galaxies (1.6 Gyr) are younger than ours.

5.4. “Rejuvenation” of Old Quenched Galaxies through a Secondary Starburst?

The Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models we considered in Section 5.3 assume an SFH with a single massive star formation event that passively declines with time. In this scenario, a possible interpretation of the distinction between the measured ages of galaxies on and off the red sequence arises from the progress of the sample toward the red sequence observed at different epochs of evolution for individual galaxies.

Even though these models fit the co-added spectra well, these are not the only possible SFHs for these galaxies. Small secondary starbursts (SBs) after quenching of the main stellar component have been observed at low and high redshifts (e.g., Kaviraj et al. 2013 and references therein). In this section, we consider the possibility that our quenched galaxies lie off the red sequence due to a relatively recent minor burst of star formation that rejuvenates the galaxies’ SEDs, making them appear relatively younger due to the production of luminous, high-mass O/B/A/ stars.

To test this scenario, we constructed a new set of model SFHs, which are defined by a primary star formation event (fixed at very high \( z \)) followed by a smaller, secondary SB. Both the main stellar component and the SB are modeled with exponentially declining SFRs, with solar metallicity, Salpeter IMF, and no extinction. The main component produces a total stellar mass of \( 10^{11} M_\odot \), with \( \tau = 10 \) Myr. The age of this main component before the SB ranges between 0.5 and 4.25 Gyr in steps of 0.25 Gyr (16 model variants). The secondary SB has intensities of 1%, 5%, 10%, and 50% of the total galaxy mass (four model variants) with \( \tau = 100 \) Myr. We observe the resulting SEDs at the time of the SB peak and after 50, 100, 250, and 500 Myr of that event (five model variants). The combinations of these possible parameters make a library of 320 models to be fitted to the co-added data.

In Figure 11, we present the best model fits for our co-added spectra of quenched galaxies on and off the red sequence (orange).

Just as a point of reference, in the top panel of Figure 11, we see (in blue) the best model fit for quenched galaxies on the red sequence that includes a secondary SB. This model has an age of 4.5 Gyr. The main stellar component is 4.0 Gyr old before the SB, with a burst mass of 1%. The coarse shape of the co-added spectra is fitted by this model, showing, however, a larger \( \chi^2 \) value than the single-burst best model (top panel of Figure 10). The predicted 4.5 Gyr is older than the 3 Gyr from the single-burst model. This is not surprising as the galaxy must passively evolve after the SB to recover the originally red SED.

In the central panel of Figure 11, we present the best model fit to the co-added spectra of quenched galaxies off the red sequence. This model has an age of 1.5 Gyr. The main stellar-component age before the SB is 1.0 Gyr, with a burst mass of 1%. The predicted age of 1.5 Gyr is consistent with the single-burst model prediction for these galaxies. We highlight that the best model for the quenched off-red-sequence galaxy data is not a combination of an old, passive stellar population with a younger SB. The fitting process demands both stellar populations to
Figure 11. Same stacked data as in Figure 10 (orange). Top panel: co-added spectra and individual-galaxy photometry of quenched galaxies on the red sequence together with the best model fit with two stellar components (main+SB, in blue). Middle panel: our two-stellar-component models cannot reproduce a “rejuvenation” SFH (old main component + young SB) consistent with the data. We show the co-added data of quenched galaxies off the red sequence together with the best model fit with two stellar components (both components young, in blue). Bottom panel: off the red-sequence galaxy data together with three models: in purple, best model fit among those observed at the SB peak; in green, best model among those with ages of observation $\gtrsim$3 Gyr; and in red, best model found for galaxies on the red sequence (top panel), with the proper scaling factor to better fit the off-red-sequence data.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

be similarly young, resembling a single-component young burst, i.e., the “rejuvenation” scenario is not supported by this analysis.

Given the above result, we proceed by being more selective on choosing models that resemble a “rejuvenation” SFH and test if they fit the data of off-red-sequence galaxies. In the bottom panel of Figure 11 we show three examples. In purple, we plot the best model fit to the co-added spectrum for all models observed at the peak of the SB. The main stellar component is, again, young (1.5 Gyr). More important, even the weakest SB we are testing (1%) cannot reproduce the data and clearly underpredicts the flux in the red extreme of the co-added spectrum. Also, the blue flux is overpredicted as can be seen by comparing the best-fit models to the individual-galaxy UVIS data points (not included in fit). Only one upper limit among 26 data points is consistent with this blue SED model.

In addition, we also considered only those models with an old (age $\gtrsim$ 3 Gyr) primary stellar component. The resulting best-fit model is shown in green in Figure 11. Similarly to the previous library, also this best-fit model overpredicts the flux at these blue wavelengths, and it is consistent with only 4 out of 26 observed UVIS data points. Finally, we overplot in red the best-fit model found for galaxies on the red sequence, with the proper minimization-scaling factor to fit the off-red-sequence data. This model is reasonably compatible with the individual-galaxy UVIS data (as before, not included in fit), but it clearly overpredicts the flux in the red extreme of the co-added spectrum.

In summary, after testing a library of models that include a secondary SB, we have found that they cannot reproduce the “rejuvenation” scenario for most of our off-red-sequence galaxies. The better fits are produced with overall young stellar populations (for both main component and SB), resembling a single young stellar component. The use of $\gtrsim$3 Gyr main stellar components systematically over/underpredicts the data flux at different wavelengths. We remind the reader, however, that we have not considered extinction in the model library used in this section. As we have seen before, extinction is a poorly constrained parameter that also introduces strong degeneracies with other SPPs. Therefore, the results shown in this section must be taken with caution and restricted to the model library we use here.

5.5. Red Fraction of Massive Galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$

We also investigate the fraction of quenched galaxies that have already settled on the red sequence ($f_{\text{red}}$) and those that have not, at the average redshift of our sample ($z \sim 1.5$). Using the red sequence computed above, we found that 28/41 galaxies fall within 2$\sigma$ of the red sequence. These galaxies constitute 68% of our whole sample. Since all blue SF galaxies are excluded from our selection, we infer that less than 68% of all galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$ with log($M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot$) $\gtrsim 10.65$ fall on the red sequence. We stress that this is an upper limit. While our $J_{F110W} - H_{F160W}$ color pre-selection allows us to select all of the red galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1.0$, it systemically excludes blue galaxies at the same redshifts.

We can improve the upper limit on $f_{\text{red}}$ by including emission-line-selected sources in the same apparent magnitude, redshift, and mass ranges of our sample. For this, we use the Domínguez et al. (2012) sample of emission-line galaxies from 17 WISP fields (all fields in common with our sample). These galaxies are selected only by the presence of the Hα emission line. Domínguez et al. limit their search to $z = 1.5$, thus probing
a smaller volume compared to our study. As a result, the value of $f_{\text{red}}$ provided below has still to be considered as an upper limit. We applied the same $H_{\text{F160W}}$-band magnitude, mass, and redshift selection criteria used in our sample galaxies, to identify sources within our parameter ranges. This selection results in 15 galaxies that are not in common with our galaxy sample. Stellar masses from Dominguez et al. were scaled by a factor of 1.7 to account for the different IMFs used (Chabrier in their case, Salpeter in ours). By scaling the number of emission-line sources to our number of fields, we derive an expected number of 24 blue massive emission-line galaxies. This implies that, at $z \sim 1.5$, $f_{\text{red}} < 43\%$.

Recently, Peng et al. (2010) predicted the evolution of the red fraction as a function of galaxy mass and redshift, based uniquely on empirically motivated relations. Peng et al. predict that at $z \sim 1.5$, at the median mass of our galaxy sample, between 70% and 90% of the galaxies should have colors consistent with being on the red sequence. These fractions were derived from their predictions for galaxies in low-density environments.\(^{16}\)

We also note that, even though the typical mass of our sample is well within what Peng et al. identify as the “mass-quenching” regime (i.e., quenching depends only on intrinsic properties of the galaxy and not on the local environment it inhabits), our color–mass relation in Figure 9 does not show such a mass dependency. In our data, whether a quenched galaxy resides on or off the red sequence appears to be independent of the galaxy’s mass. On the contrary, a mass-dependent quenching mechanism would demand a rising left-to-right gradient in the color–mass diagram, such as the most massive galaxies mostly populate the red sequence, while less massive systems should be bluer. This is not observed in our galaxy sample, despite covering one order of magnitude in mass. Therefore, it seems reasonable to conclude that both internal (e.g., mass quenching) and external (e.g., environment) mechanisms are at play in quenching the star formation in some of these massive galaxies.

We speculate that the young massive galaxies with no ongoing star formation observed at $z \sim 1.5$ may be in transition between a phase of vigorous star formation at $z > 2$ and the $z \sim 1.5$ red sequence. The large masses and short SF timescales that characterize these galaxies imply very high past SFRs (of the order of $\sim1000\, M_\odot\, \text{yr}^{-1}$). The survey volume covered in the $1.3 < z < 1.7$ redshift range is a few $\times10^8\, \text{Mpc}^3$, implying a volume density for our quenched galaxies of $\sim10^{-7}\, \text{Mpc}^{-3}$. Even considering that we had to remove from our sample about half of the galaxies because of spectral contamination, this volume density is still comfortably below the observed space densities of some of the likely progenitors of these sources, i.e., ultraluminous IR galaxies at $z \sim 2.5$ ($6 \times 10^{-6}\, \text{Mpc}^{-3}$; Chapman et al. 2005).

Finally, we would like to highlight that the results presented in this paper do not change strongly because of a misclassification of emission-line galaxies as “quenched.” If we remove the four quenched galaxies with emission from the sample, we find the following results. First, for quenched galaxies on and off the red sequence the median ages (3.1 Gyr and 1.3 Gyr) and single-component ages from co-added spectra (3.0 Gyr and 1.3 Gyr) are virtually unchanged with respect to our complete sample estimations. Second, we find that 72% (68% previously) of the sample galaxies are on the red sequence. Also, the $f_{\text{red}} < 43\%$ does not change with respect to the upper limit found previously. As a consequence, we can be confident that neither the results nor the global picture presented in this work are affected by SF galaxies misclassified as quenched systems.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have used the WISP survey to identify a sample of massive ($\log(M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot) \geq 10.65$) galaxies at redshift $1.0 \leq z \leq 2.0$. The sample was selected to have $H_{\text{F160W}} - H_{\text{F160W}} > 0.6$ and $H_{\text{F160W}} > 23$, in 27 independent fields, overcoming the effect of cosmic variance, which typically plagues massive galaxy samples at these redshifts. Our color selection implies that the final sample is biased against star-forming galaxies. We derived stellar population parameters by fitting stellar population models to the combined broadband photometry ($HST$/WFC3-UVIS, $HST$/WFC3-IR, Spitzer/IRAC) and IR spectra ($0.9 \mu m < \lambda < 1.6 \mu m$). We have shown that the availability of rest-frame optical spectra covering the 4000 Å/Balmer breaks dramatically improves the reliability and accuracy of the derived stellar population parameters.

Our results are based on a color- and mass-selected sample of 41 quenched galaxies. In agreement with other studies, we find that at $z = 1.5$, the mass range above $\log(M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot) = 10.65$ is populated by galaxies with a wide range of stellar population properties. We find that quenched galaxies are well fitted with exponentially decreasing SFHs and short star formation timescales ($\tau \leq 100\, \text{Myr}$). They also show a wide distribution in stellar ages, between 1 and 4 Gyr.

We find that quenched galaxies are far from being a homogeneous population. In the $(u - r)_{\text{HST}}$-versus-mass space, quenched galaxies have a large spread in rest-frame color at a given mass. Most quenched galaxies populate the $z \sim 1.5$ “red-sequence,” although 32% of them have substantially bluer colors. We find that quenched galaxies on the red sequence have older median ages (3.1 Gyr) than the quenched galaxies off the red sequence (1.5 Gyr). The average ages of the two subsamples (on and off the red sequence) are confirmed by the analysis of their stacked spectra. Furthermore, we also demonstrated that a “rejuvenated” SED cannot reproduce the observed stacked spectra.

We derive the upper limit on the fraction of galaxies on the red sequence at $z \sim 1.5$ to be $f_{\text{red}} < 43\%$, in disagreement with empirical model predictions from Peng et al. (2010), $f_{\text{red}} = 70\%$–90\%. This mismatch can partially be due to the Peng et al. assumption of an instantaneous quenching mechanism. However, the homogeneous spread in mass of our quenched galaxies on and off the red sequence suggests that more than one mechanism is responsible for the quenching at these stellar masses (i.e., internal, galaxy-mass-dependent versus external, environmental triggers).

We speculate that the young massive galaxies with no ongoing star formation are in a transition phase between vigorous star formation at $z > 2$ and the $z \sim 1.5$ red sequence. According to their estimated ages, the time required for quenched galaxies off the red sequence to join their counterparts on the $z \sim 1.5$ red sequence is of the order of $\sim1\, \text{Gyr}$.

The question concerning what mechanisms halt star formation remains open. Having been quenched more recently, the galaxies off the red sequence with no ongoing star formation will be the ideal laboratory to further investigate this process. Once the WISP survey is completed, we will be able to study this and other galaxy populations in greater detail, with a much larger number of statistics.

\(^{16}\) The red-galaxy fraction for galaxies in high-density environments is predicted to be larger.
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APPENDIX A

THE UVIS CHARGE TRANSFER EFFICIENCY CORRECTION

WFC3/UVIS CCDs experience a degradation of their charge transfer efficiency (CTE) over time, introduced by their exposure to energetic radiation. The CTE degradation and fractional associated losses worsen for low sky background, faint fluxes, and distance from the readout amplifiers. These effects are discussed in Noeske et al. (2011) for observations obtained between 2009 October and 2011 October. Correction for CTE losses is currently not implemented in the reduction packages for the WFC3-UVIS data, so we corrected the measured fluxes as follows.

Noeske et al. (2011) provide linear fits of the CTE losses as a function of background electron counts, position on the detector, and source flux. We interpolated the linear fits to compute, for each galaxy, a unique correction as a function of the source counts and position on the CCD. For sources fainter than 500 e\(^{-}\), the correction was obtained by extrapolating the solution from higher counts, while no correction was applied for sources brighter than 16,000 electrons. As the source detection for our photometric catalog was performed in the deeper (and brighter) \(J_{F110W}\) images, many of the WFC3/UVIS fluxes are below the extrapolation limit of 500 e\(^{-}\) (\(\approx 45\%\) of the catalog sources). Typically, these sources have median magnitudes between 25.0 and 25.5 in the different UVIS bands used in this study. Most of these sources (\(\approx 95\%\)), however, are also below our 3\(\sigma\) detection limit, so upper limits for their magnitudes (independent of the CTE correction) are used instead (see Section 2.1 for details).

Therefore, in practice, most of the sources with 3\(\sigma\) are above the extrapolation limit for the CTE correction.

In Figure 12, we show an example of the CTE correction in one of our fields versus the original source magnitude (left) and versus \(y\) axis distance with respect to the amplifiers (right). Most of the galaxies in our final sample (see Section 3) have corrections below 0.1 mag, typically corresponding to \(<5\%\) in their total fluxes.

APPENDIX B

SPECTRA EXTRACTION

One of aXe’s features is the two-dimensional (2D) modeling of the source’s spatial profile for each galaxy. In what follows, we refer to the target galaxy as the primary and to other galaxies that may contaminate the spectrum as secondaries. In Figure 13, we show a typical example. The second panel of Figure 13 shows the 2D dispersed stamp extracted by aXe. The stamp is centered on the spectrum of the primary (circle in the top panel), and the spectra of two secondary objects are visible below it. Within aXe, source profiles for each galaxy are fitted at each wavelength assuming a single Gaussian profile. Although the single Gaussian is a sufficient approximation for faint, poorly resolved sources, this assumption breaks down for brighter resolved galaxies (such as those in the example shown in Figure 13). Resolved, brighter sources typically present extended wings, which are poorly modeled by a single Gaussian profile.

After testing different functional forms to describe the extended wings, we converged on describing each galaxy’s profile with a combination of two Gaussians sharing the same center, but with different amplitudes and width. We fit a profile of the form

\[
G_2(y) = A_1 \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{y-Y_{c1}}{\sigma_y}\right)^2} + A_2 \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{y-Y_{c2}}{\sigma_y}\right)^2},
\]

where \(Y_{c1}\) and \(Y_{c2}\) are the centers of the Gaussians, and \(\sigma_y\) is the width of the Gaussians.
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where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are the amplitudes of the two Gaussian components, $S_1$ and $S_2$ are the width, and $Y_c$ is the coordinate of the center in the cross-dispersion direction. This function provides the best compromise between functional flexibility and number of parameters to adjust.

As is clear from Figure 13, the contamination from secondary sources changes with wavelength (e.g., due to relative position of sources in the sky, spectral features like absorption breaks and emission lines, and variation of the grism transmission). For this reason, we fit a combination of $G_2(y)$ functions (one for the primary and one for each secondary) to the spatial profile extracted from the 2D dispersed stamps after a three pixel binning in the wavelength direction. Note we are only binning the data to perform the fit. The spectral resolution for the rest of the analysis was not degraded.

The fit is performed by minimizing the $\chi^2$ between the models and the observed profile at each wavelength position. The first guess for the parameters ($A_1$, $S_1$, $A_i$, $S_i$) is estimated from the direct F110W images (for the G102 spectra) and F160W images (for the G141 spectra). Contrary to their appearance in the dispersed images, galaxies are typically well separated in the sky, allowing us to obtain accurate relative positions and widths for all components entering the fit.

In Figure 13, we show an example of this procedure. The second, third, and fourth panels show an original (aXe output) 2D dispersed stamp, a version clean from secondary contaminants.

Figure 13. Example of 2D spectral stamp for slitless spectroscopy (source Par136 ID14 in G141 grism data). Blue symbols and labels correspond to primary target source; green color represents contaminants, secondary sources. Top panel: $H_{F160W}$ imaging of the sources. Second panel: original 2D stamp after aXe reduction. Third panel: 2D stamp after removing the secondary sources with aXe. Fourth panel: 2D stamp after removing the secondary sources with our models. Fifth panel: residual after removing our 2D model of the primary source. At $\sim12200$ Å, the residuals from an emission line are visible.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
using the aXe model, and a version cleaned using our procedure, respectively.

In the top panel of Figure 14, we show the mean spatial profile of the Figure 13 example target (black curve). We show our best-fit component to the primary (blue curve) and the secondary (green curve) profiles and also include the best-fit aXe estimation of the primary profile (dashed orange curve). In the inset panel, we show aXe’s and our profiles with a common (arbitrary) normalization. Because in the optimal spectral extraction the profile is used to weight each pixel in the final spectrum, the aXe profile assigns relatively more weight to the lower S/N wings with respect to the peak flux. This effect, together with a poorer aXe removal of contaminants, may translate into an overestimate of the total flux in the 1D extracted spectrum and a lower S/N.

In Figure 15, we compare the integrated flux in the wavelength range 0.8–1.1 μm computed in aXe’s and our extraction. In the comparison, we consider only sources brighter than $J_{F110W} \leq 23$. Although there is a broad agreement between the two measurements, the residuals (shown in the bottom panel) show that aXe’s fluxes are systematically overestimated from about 10% for bright objects up to ≈50% for some faint sources. The flux overestimate is due to different effects for bright and faint sources. In fact, what dominates in the bright sources is the poor fit of the single Gaussian profile, while at the fainter level the dominant contribution is due to the poor removal of nearby sources.

APPENDIX C

CONTAMINATION FROM STARS

The contamination from foreground stars was removed using a magnitude–size diagram. The $J_{F110W}$ measurements and total-light radius estimations from Source Extractor software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) were used to separate galaxies from stars. In Figure 16, we see how stars form a well-defined trend. All these sources with magnitudes $J_{F110W} \leq 23$ were flagged as stars (similar magnitude limit as our final galaxy sample). Some residual contamination from stars could be expected among the remaining sources (galaxies) and vice versa. We pay special attention to this while selecting our final galaxy sample (see Section 3).

A total of 234 stars were flagged in this way. Another 71 stars (out of the demarcated area in Figure 16) were identified individually while checking individual galaxy spectra and photometry. Therefore, a total of 305 stars were flagged and removed from our 27 fields.

APPENDIX D

EFFECT OF DILUTED EMISSION LINES
IN CONTINUUM FLUX

We have studied the effects of diluted emission lines in the continuum in order to establish whether this has an important effect on the SED fitting and therefore in the retrieved SPPs. In all the SED fits presented in this paper, regions with detected emission lines have been masked to include only flux from the continuum. Potentially problematic cases, however, come from undetected emission lines (e.g., low S/N, large FWHM) that might artificially increase the continuum flux used in the SED fits. We explored these cases by modeling passive SEDs with emission lines. With these simulations we attempted to model the worst possible scenarios for the detection of emission lines. The models were made as follows.

1. We use the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) model of a typical quenched galaxy ($τ = 10$ Myr, $M_{\text{star}} = 10^{11} M_\odot$, SFR = 0, solar metallicity, $A_V = 0$) and include emission lines
represented as Gaussians. We model the lines $[\text{O} \text{ iii}]5007$ and $\text{H} \alpha$ as those are the most common features detected in our data set. In a first step, a variety of EWs (between 35 Å—our detection limit—and 500 Å) and intrinsic FWHMs (between 40 Å—the pixel size on G141—and 300 Å) were explored for these lines.

2. The spectra were redshifted to $z = 1.3$ so the $[\text{O} \text{ iii}]$ and $\text{H} \alpha$ lines are both in G141, the poorer resolution grism. Then we degraded the spectra to G141 resolution. We considered the larger angular size of a galaxy in our sample along the dispersion direction of the slitless spectroscopy (525 Å in G141) to mimic the worst-case resolution where emission lines can be easily missed.

3. In these simulations we use a noise range characteristic for our galaxy sample. The $S/N$ per pixel typically ranges between 20 and 80 with a median of 40 (corresponding to an $S/N$ per Å of 0.8 in G141).

4. We produce a library of models with different combinations of $S/N$, line EW, and FWHMs. Those cases where the emission lines are lost in the continuum were flagged. The task was performed both by using the automatic line finder of Colbert et al. (2013) and by eye.

5. This resulted in a set of parameter ranges between which the emission lines are not detected (being diluted in the continuum): EW: 35–50 Å (above 50 Å the lines are always identified); FWHM: 50–270 Å; $S/N$: 30–80 (below 30 the lines cannot be distinguished from the noise).

APPENDIX E
SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES IN REDSHIFT AND STELLAR POPULATION PARAMETERS

In this appendix, we present a study on the systematic effects of SED fitting in our data. Our aim is to constrain for which specific data sets (e.g., with UVIS detections or upper limits, with or without IRAC) and SPP ranges our predictions are reliable, also providing systematic errors for the different SPPs. Our study is based on simulating our data set...
Figure 17. Model examples of combinations of S/N, EW, and FWHM that cover the parameter space where emission lines are not detected. Top panels show Hα. Bottom panels show [OIII]. Black represents continuum spectra; color represents continuum+emission line. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 18. Percentage differences between fluxes in the model continuum and continuum + emission spectra are <7% for all S/N (Hα in blue, [OIII] in red). Small “×” symbols are 100 MC simulations for each case, while large symbols are the mean values. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

At different redshifts with stellar population synthesis models to later recover the (known) redshifts and SPPs of these models using our customized IDL χ² minimization code (Sections 4.1 and 4.2).

We simulate our photometric and spectroscopic data by using Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models. The complete single-burst model library considered to retrieve SPPs of our galaxy sample was used here (see Section 4.2 for the different SPP ranges considered). At this point we want to mention that assuming that the SFHs of our sample galaxies have a single-burst component is a simplification. Real galaxies may (and probably do) have more complex SFHs, like those that include multiple SBs during their lives. A proper exploration of these scenarios for the estimation of systematic errors, however, would substantially increase the number of models to be tested. Among others, multiple combinations of different numbers of SBs, occurring at different times, with different intensities and e-folding timescales would be needed. In the literature, such a number of simulations are not usually (if ever) attempted for the kind of analysis presented in this appendix, mainly because the immense amount of computational time is prohibitive. Therefore, we decided to limit our simulations to the original single-burst model library. As a consequence, we remind the reader that our systematic error estimates should be considered lower limits.

The model SEDs were re-scaled to agree with typical data broadband fluxes and corresponding stellar masses. We multiplied all the SEDs for a given factor such that a typical galaxy in our sample (exponentially declining SFH with τ = 10 Myr, 2 Gyr old, no star formation, and no extinction) would have a stellar mass of 10¹¹ M⊙. Variations in the stellar mass between 10.6 and 11.8 dex (the range of our data) do not produce any significant change in the results of our simulations. Therefore, most of the results presented here correspond to an input model mass of 10¹¹ M⊙ (a mild spread in input mass, typically of ±1×10¹⁰ M⊙, occurs given the different combinations of model SPPs).

Redshifts between 0.6 and 2.4 in steps of 0.2 were tested, and the model SEDs were redshifted accordingly. Using a wide redshift range for the models allowed us to explore the effects of redshift misidentification in the error budget and derived quantities. For z < 0.6, none of the models pass our JF110W−HWF160W ≥ 0.6 galaxy selection criterion. At z > 2.4, the contribution to the mass function integral is very small (Marchesini et al. 2009).

We then degraded the models to G102 and G141 spectral resolutions to obtain our simulated grism spectroscopy. Random noise was also added to these models in order to match our data. A per pixel of ∼40 was adequate to represent most of our galaxy spectra. Then the model spectra were binned in wavelength to reproduce the slitless-resolution dependency on the angular size of the source in the dispersion direction. For the angular size, we use the median luminosity profile FWHM of our sample galaxies in JF110W band, corresponding to five pixels in the WFC3 detector (125 and 237 Å in G102 and G141, respectively).

In addition to simulating the grism spectra, we use the model SEDs to simulate broadband photometry (F475X, F600LP, F110W, and F160W filters). For the two WFC3/UVIS bands we considered a typical data 1σ uncertainty of 0.15 mag. Their fluxes were randomized using a Gaussian distribution with this width. Then we compared the model UVIS magnitudes...
In order to make a reliable representation of our data set, we need more than retrieving the galaxy SPPs and modeling the specific features of the photometric and spectroscopic data. When observations cover a given redshift range, different selection effects are present, like the different cosmic volumes and regions of the luminosity (mass) function sampled at different redshifts. We took into account these effects in our systematic error calculations by assigning a “relative weight” to each simulated SED as a function of redshift ($z_{\text{IN}}$). The selection effect was introduced as a normalized multiplicative factor for a retrieved redshift or SPP (e.g., $z_{\text{OUT}}, \text{Age}_{\text{OUT}}$). The weights come from the redshift distribution of galaxies from CANDELS (Grogin et al. 2011) after selecting sources with the same color–magnitude selection criteria used in our data. A normalized histogram of the redshift distribution is shown in the left panel of Figure 19, together with a smoothed version used in our calculations. In the right panel of Figure 19, we show the systematic errors in $z_{\text{OUT}}$ versus $z_{\text{OUT}}$ with median systematic offsets for nine redshift bins (black dots) and their 68% percentiles. In this plot, we clearly see that for $z_{\text{IN}} \leq 1.0$ the redshifts are completely misrecovered, having in most cases values $z_{\text{OUT}} \geq 1.0$. The fact that we were not sampling the 4000 Å break with the spectroscopy at low $z$ has a relevant systematic effect for $z_{\text{OUT}} \leq 1.2$. As a result of these redshift-recovering tests, we decided to remove from our galaxy sample all sources with recovered spectrophotometric redshifts $<1.0$ as they are not reliable. For redshifts $\geq 1.0$, the systematics are consistent with zero, within the uncertainties. We present those uncertainties in Table 2.

As retrieving spectrophotometric redshifts is the first step in characterizing our galaxy sample, any uncertainty in the systematic error of this parameter will have repercussions in the recovered SPPs. For this reason, the systematics for most of the SPPs shown in Table 2 are also presented at different redshift ranges.

In Figure 20, we show comparisons between input and output age, SFH, extinction ($A_V$), stellar mass, and SFR for $0.6 \leq z_{\text{IN}} \leq 2.4$ models. The different colored in symbols and histograms represent different $z_{\text{IN}}$ as described in the legend. The continuous red lines indicate no difference between input and output values.

In panel (A) of Figure 20, we show the percentage difference between input and output ages ($\Delta$age) versus $\text{Age}_{\text{OUT}}$. The median systematic offsets (black dots) clearly show large uncertainties for ages $\sim 1$ Gyr. We notice, however, that the larger contribution to these offsets comes from low $z_{\text{IN}}$ where the 4000 Å break is not sampled with the spectroscopy. On the other hand, we found an important degeneracy between age and $A_V$. This degeneracy also contributes to the systematics in $\text{Age}_{\text{OUT}}$. $\Delta$Age described before, and its strength strongly depends on how well can we constrain these SPPs at different redshifts. The $\Delta$age versus $\text{Age}_{\text{OUT}}$ systematics become more evident at lower redshifts where we lack the spectroscopic constraint of the 4000 Å break. But even at larger redshifts, we also observe some degree of degeneracy between young underestimated ages and high overestimated extinctions. Taking all this in consideration, we decided to proceed as follows. We only considered ages at
$z_{\text{OUT}} \geq 1.3$ as constrained enough in order to be discussed in this paper. The extinctions and lower-redshift age estimations are too degenerate to make meaningful statements based on them. As for the redshifts, the systematics in Age$_{\text{OUT}}$ are consistent with zero within the uncertainties. We show these results in Table 2.

In panel (D) of Figure 20, we show histograms for the difference (in %) between recovered and input stellar masses. In this particular case, we show models with $M_{\text{star}} \approx 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, as this is a representative mass for our galaxy sample and there are no strong variations in the results within our galaxy mass range. The stellar mass is the most robust SPP retrieved with our data. Between $1.2 \leq z_{\text{OUT}} \leq 1.8$ there are virtually no systematic offsets within $\log (M_{\text{STAR,OUT}}/M_{\odot}) = 10.6$–11.8 ($\leq 10\%$). At $z_{\text{OUT}} < 1.2$, systematic offsets become more relevant, varying with stellar mass from 30%, 20%, and 10% at $\log (M_{\text{star}}/M_{\odot}) = 11.8$, 11.0, and 10.6, respectively (on average). These systematics are shown in Table 2.

For the remaining two SPPs (SFR and SFH) different combinations of data sets could determine whether the recovered values are reliable (or not). In panel (B) of Figure 20, we show output versus input SFHs. From this figure we highlight two points. First, for our entire redshift range we can distinguish between short ($\tau \leq 100$ Myr) and long ($\tau \geq 1$ Gyr) SFHs, although we cannot separate SFHs with $\tau = 100$ Myr and $\tau = 10$ Myr. Second, short SFHs ($\tau \leq 100$ Myr) are recovered independently of the data set used to derive redshifts and SPPs. For more extended SFHs ($\tau \geq 1$ Gyr), however, we found that we are able to recover similarly extended SFHs only for data sets with two UVIS detections independently of the redshift. Overall, these simulations imply we were able to broadly discriminate between $\tau$ above and below 1 Gyr.

In panel (E) of Figure 20, we present the difference between output and input SFRs versus SFR$_{\text{OUT}}$. Over the entire redshift range we can successfully recover SFR$_{\text{OUT}} \leq 10 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ with uncertainties $\leq 3 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. For SFR$_{\text{OUT}} > 10 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, however, the uncertainties in the systematics increase steadily, reaching $20 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ at SFR$_{\text{OUT}} > 20 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. The different retrieved redshifts do not make much of a difference on this trend, but only increase the scatter on the median systematic errors at high $z_{\text{OUT}}$. For this reason, in Table 2, we present the systematics in SFR independently of redshift.

The strong systematic overprediction of the SFR for SFR$_{\text{OUT}} > 20 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, however, does not have a significant impact in our paper. First, we base the science on a
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Figure 21. Same as Figure 20 but for SED fits without IRAC 3.6 μm data. Analogously to Figure 19 (right panel), in panel (F), we show the weighted mean systematic uncertainties in z_OUT for SED fits without IRAC data. The z_IN color code is the same as in Figures 19 and 20.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

quenched galaxy sample that always has the low SFRs we can successfully retrieve. Second, we do not make claims based on the precise values of the SFRs. We only use it through the specific SFR to identify quenched galaxies (SSFR < 10^{-2} Gyr^{-1}). As no further claims are made based on SSFRs, the relevant test is to determine whether an intrinsically quenched/SF galaxy can be recovered as such with our procedure, independently of the exact value of their SSFR.

Stellar population properties such as SSFR and SFH are closely linked. For example, at the time of observation a galaxy with an extended SFH is more likely to show a higher SSFR than a short-burst galaxy. Also, our ability to constrain these properties is tightly related to the constraints we can provide with the data. Of particular importance is the number of UVIS detections available to constrain these SPPs. Detections in the rest-frame UV are more likely to occur in SF galaxies. For these reasons we decided to explore the success in recovering SSFRs and SFHs for different UVIS data sets in our entire redshift range. In this exercise we did not explore individual values of SSFR and SFH but ranges within which these parameters are reliable. For the SSFR two regimes were defined as above/below 10^{-2} Gyr^{-1} (our quenched/SF selection criterion). As already mentioned in previous paragraphs, SFHs are defined as short SFHs if τ < 1 Gyr and extended if τ ≥ 1 Gyr.

Our results show that the reliability of the recovered SFHs and SSFRs depends on the constraints from the UVIS data. At all redshifts we reliably recovered the quenched and short-SFH models (the relevant sources for this paper). This was independent of the level of constraint from UVIS data (two upper limits, one and two detections). On the contrary, and just to give the complete picture to the reader, SF and extended-SFH models were harder to constrain. Only those models with two UVIS detections provided reliably recovered SFHs and SSFRs.

In Figure 21, we present the systematic uncertainties of all our SPPs and redshifts for SED fits on which no IRAC 3.6 μm data were used (referred as “no-IRAC” from now on). A summary of the systematic errors for no-IRAC models is shown in the right column of Table 2. We observe that ~15% of the model SEDs experience convergence issues during the χ^2 minimization. This percentage is fairly constant for our entire range of z_IN models. In a closer analysis of these cases we found that models with A_V,IN > 0.8 mag are frequent among the nonconverging cases, particularly at z_IN < 1.0. This does not imply, however, that a majority of high-A_V,IN models do not converge. During the selection of our galaxy sample, the cases of nonconvergence for no-IRAC galaxies were very few. In any case, given the number of no-IRAC galaxies in our final sample, 15% of failed convergence would imply that at the most we are losing one galaxy for this reason. Overall, we conclude from this analysis that the IRAC 3.6 μm data make it easier to constrain sources with high extinction.

As a test, to avoid misinterpreting the slightly smaller number statistics of no-IRAC simulations with respect to the IRAC counterpart, we remove from the latter the non-convergent
models of the former. We found that the systematic errors and dispersions of the simulations with IRAC barely change after removing those models. Therefore, we can confidently interpret differences among IRAC/no-IRAC models as the consequence of lacking the 3.6 μm data.

For all the SPPs no major changes were observed in the median systematic errors by using or not IRAC data. For $\sigma_{\text{OUT}}$, no changes in the systematics were observed with respect to the simulations with IRAC. The systematics in stellar ages remain fairly stable, with a 10% increment in the systematic uncertainties for $\sigma_{\text{OUT}} \geq 1.8$. Only a reduction from 75% to 65% appears for the younger ages at $1.3 \leq \sigma_{\text{OUT}} \leq 1.8$. However, as the uncertainties for that age regime are very large, we decided to keep 75% of error in the systematics for the no-IRAC models for those age and redshift ranges.

The SFHs are still well recovered within the broad categories defined above (exponentially declined with $\tau < 100$ Myr and $\tau \geq 1$ Gyr). The no-IRAC model runs only suggest a larger fraction of misidentified $t_{\text{FP}} = 5$ Gyr as $t_{\text{FP}} = 1$ Gyr. Also, without IRAC, fewer models are classified as exponentially increasing SFHs.

The lack of IRAC data has limited effect on the median systematics of stellar mass. It mostly widens the distributions shown on panel (D) of Figure 21, where the stronger effects are observed at high $z_{\text{IN}}$. This implies that the stellar mass of a galaxy is probably better constrained by using IRAC, particularly at high retrieved redshift. However, this does not translate into a larger average offset in the systematics on no-IRAC models: only at $\sigma_{\text{OUT}} \geq 1.8$ is a mild increment of 5% in the systematic error measured.

The SFR$_{\text{OUT}}$ suffers from minor variations in the uncertainty on the systematics for no-IRAC models. As might be expected at this point, the lack of IRAC data does not prevent us from constraining the SSFR as we did for the models with IRAC photometry.

In summary, our study of the systematic uncertainties in the SED fitting procedure allowed us to identify those specific data sets (combinations of photometry and spectroscopy) that did not provide enough constraints to reliably recover certain SPPs. Apart from $A_V$ and ages from sources at $z < 1.3$, quenched-galaxy SPPs are fairly well constrained independently of the data set used. Concerning the actual systematic uncertainties we retrieved, there is no significant bias in the derived stellar masses, (SSFR, SFH, and ages (the latter at $z > 1.3$) for galaxies with and without IRAC photometry. Our ability to constrain SPPs with our current data set is in part constrained by our uncertainty in redshift determination, for which the 4000 Å break is the key ingredient. A summary of the systematic errors for each discussed SPP is presented in Table 2.

Some final remarks: We should mention that systematic uncertainties would increase/decrease in the case of an IMF variation within our galaxy sample. The variation in the IMF is a very debated topic where most of the recent developments are restricted to local galaxy data. A recent paper by Cappellari et al. (2012) on local early-type galaxies suggests the existence of a range of IMFs from “heavier Salpeter” to Chabrier cases. In this work, however, and for the specific mass range of our galaxy sample (assuming that $\log(M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot) \geq 10.65$ galaxies have velocity dispersions $\geq 200$ km s$^{-1}$), there is no correlation between IMF and stellar mass. Galaxies in this mass range spread from heavier Salpeter to Chabrier-like IMFs (see also Spiniello et al. 2013). Mitchell et al. (2013) present a theoretical study on the systematic effects of SED fitting in stellar mass estimates. For different stellar population synthesis models (a Bruzual & Charlot version and Maraston 2005) and IMFs (Kennicutt 1983 and a non-universal IMF), these authors find no IMF dependency on mass estimations in our mass range. For high masses, the scatter in the systematics is large independently of model/IMF used, with dispersions ranging from 20% to 30% the value of the original input mass. All these results may imply that IMF variations are a galaxy-to-galaxy phenomenon, information we certainly lack. Therefore, we chose to use a unique Salpeter IMF for our calculations as it seems to be “representative” of the galaxy population we are studying. It also allowed us to directly compare our results with similar stellar population studies in the literature.
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