Genesis of twin tropical cyclones as revealed by a global mesoscale model: The role of mixed Rossby gravity waves
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[1] In this study, it is proposed that twin tropical cyclones (TCs), Kesiny and 01A, in May 2002 formed in association with the scale interactions of three gyres that appeared as a convectively coupled mixed Rossby gravity (ccMRG) wave during an active phase of the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO). This is shown by analyzing observational data, including NCEP reanalysis data and METEOSAT 7 IR satellite imagery, and performing numerical simulations using a global mesoscale model. A 10-day control run is initialized at 0000 UTC 1 May 2002 with grid-scale condensation but no sub-grid cumulus parameterizations. The ccMRG wave was identified as encompassing two developing and one non-developing gyres, the first two of which intensified and evolved into the twin TCs. The control run is able to reproduce the evolution of the ccMRG wave and thus the formation of the twin TCs about two and five days in advance as well as their subsequent intensity evolution and movement within an 8–10 day period. Five additional 10-day sensitivity experiments with different model configurations are conducted to help understand the interaction of the three gyres, leading to the formation of the TCs. These experiments suggest the improved lead time in the control run may be attributed to the realistic simulation of the ccMRG wave with the following processes: (1) wave deepening (intensification) associated with a reduction in wavelength and/or the intensification of individual gyres, (2) poleward movement of gyres that may be associated with boundary layer processes, (3) realistic simulation of moist processes at regional scales in association with each of the gyres, and (4) the vertical phasing of low- and mid-level cyclonic circulations associated with a specific gyre.


1. Introduction

[2] Accurately forecasting tropical cyclone (TC) genesis, frequency, intensity and movement is crucial in preventing loss of life and property as well as studying TC inter-annual variability and the impact of climate change on long-term TC variations. Over the past several decades, TC track forecasts have steadily improved, but intensity and genesis forecasts have lagged behind. One of the major challenges in TC genesis prediction is the accurate simulation of complex interactions across a wide range of scales, from the large-scale environment (deterministic), to mesoscale flows, down to convective-scale motions (stochastic).

[3] Using global models for TC prediction is a natural choice for achieving the goal of studying TC inter-annual variability, because these models can simulate multiscale flows globally (e.g., large-scale tropical waves) and thus reduce the errors that may be associated with the use of regional numerical models. For example, these errors may come from the use of time-varying lateral boundary conditions from global analyses and the inherited limitation of the one-way interactions between a TC and its environmental flows that are provided by the global analyses. However, the goal of improving short-term forecasts of TC intensity and formation on a regional scale is still very challenging because such a global model would require sufficient resolution to accurately represent fine-scale physical and dynamical processes as well as respond to warm sea surface temperatures [e.g., Bengtsson et al., 2007a, 2007b] and thereby demands tremendous computing resources. Modern supercomputing technology has made it possible to employ ultra-high resolution GCMs [Kerr, 2006] and as a result obtain remarkable short-term forecasts of hurricane track and intensity [e.g., Atlas et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2006a, 2006b, 2006c].
Motivated by the scientific challenge and encouraged by the preliminary success with a global mesoscale model [e.g., Shen et al., 2010a] which was originally developed as a climate model, the objective now is to extend TC studies from TC short-term forecasts to TC climate simulations, beginning with examining the model’s ability to simulate the multiscale processes associated with different kinds of tropical waves (such as MRG waves and African easterly waves, AEWs) and their scale interactions that lead to TC genesis.

[4] Hurricane models, along with guidance from observations, have been used to help construct TC theories since the 1960s. Two major intensification mechanisms [e.g., Lin, 2007] are CISK (conditional instability of the second kind) [Charney and Eliassen, 1964; Ooyama, 1964] and air-sea interaction (wind-induced surface heat exchange –WISHE) [Emanuel, 1986], both of which assume the existence of a pre-TC disturbance. These mechanisms focus on the so-called cooperative interactions between the primary (vortex-scale) circulation and the secondary circulation [Ooyama, 1969] and have been used to explain the physical processes of TC intensification during a TC’s mature stage with some degree of satisfaction. However, their validity in depicting the intensity evolution for a weak TC and/or the initiation of the pre-TC disturbance is less known. From a modeling perspective, verification of these related processes relies on the accurate representation of moist processes and boundary/surface layer processes associated with cumulus parameterizations (CPs) [e.g., Anthes, 2003] and boundary/surface layer parameterizations [e.g., Braun and Tao, 2001], respectively. However, it has been documented that coarse-resolution model simulations are strongly influenced by these parameterizations, which often leads to large errors in track and intensity. In contrast to the concept of “cooperative interaction,” a “vortex merger” mechanism that focuses on the upscaling feedback by small-scale vortices has been proposed to address the initial intensification during tropical cyclogenesis [e.g., Hendricks et al., 2004; Montgomery et al., 2006]; it states that the merging of small-scale vortices plays an essential role in TC formation. In particular, a moist vortex merger is more efficient than a dry one, producing stronger TC intensities at a relatively shorter time. Therefore, an ultra-high-resolution (~1–4 km) model with proper moist processes seems to be crucial for simulating TC formation, assuming vortex merger is an important component for genesis. In contrast to the fine-resolution runs, simulations of TC formation with a “coarse-resolution” (>~10 km) regional model by Nolan [2007] suggested that axisymmetrization, instead of “vortex merger,” may appear in association with vortex intensification. With a limited-area model at a comparable resolution, Tory et al. [2006a, 2006b] conducted short-term numerical experiments on a 0.15 degree (~16 km) unstaggered grid and reported that TC formation can be predicted with a lead time of 24–36 h without the detailed simulation of small-scale convection.

[5] Despite the success of the aforementioned processes in explaining TC dynamics, further improvement in terms of realistically capturing the impacts associated with large-scale flows is still needed in order to understand long-term TC activity. For example, some of the aforementioned numerical models that were used for studying TC intensity evolution lack the ability to realistically simulate the two-way interaction between hurricanes and environmental flows (e.g., large-scale tropical waves). These include axisymmetric models with idealized initial flows and regional models with imposed initial environmental flow information and time-varying lateral boundary conditions from global analyses/reanalyses at an internal of 6 h. In addition, the assumption of a pre-existing disturbance in hurricane theories has the advantage of putting the focus on the critical processes that happen just prior to TC genesis over a relatively short period of time, but only provide a short lead time for TC prediction. However, this kind of assumption does not address the dependence of these critical processes on the large-scale environmental processes that can initiate or impact the pre-existing disturbances. Thus, the assumption of a pre-existing disturbance needs to be removed or relaxed to consider the impact of (larger-scale) environmental flows on the formation of a pre-TC disturbance and its subsequent intensification. This can be important for extending the lead time of TC genesis prediction.

[6] In contrast to the focus on the small-scale processes that contribute to TC formation, Holland and Webster [2005] emphasized the importance of downscale energy and vorticity transfer associated with the accumulation of large-scale Rossby type of waves [Webster and Chang, 1988], which does not necessarily involve moist convection. They applied this idea to explain why a coarse-resolution (~100 km) model with the advantage of simulating large-scale flows could have skill with regard to TC genesis simulation. One implication of this is that an accurate representation of the evolution of the large-scale flow could “narrow” down the uncertainties in the area and period for TC formation [e.g., Ooyama, 1982]. Recent studies [e.g., Frank and Roundy, 2006; Bessafi and Wheeler, 2006; Schreck et al., 2012] examined the role of different tropical waves in tropical cyclogenesis with observations. By analyzing NCEP-NCAR reanalyses (e.g., 850 and 200 hPa winds) and outgoing longwave radiation data, Frank and Roundy [2006] found a strong relationship between TC formation and enhanced activity in equatorial Rossby (ER) waves, mixed Rossby gravity (MRG) waves, TD-type disturbances (or easterly waves, EWs), or the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) [Madden and Julian, 1994]. In addition, they pointed out the possibility of detecting the convective anomalies in waves associated with genesis up to 1 month in advance. Their results provide an observation basis for the predictive relationship between tropical waves and TC formation and thus suggest the possibility of extending the lead time of TC genesis prediction with numerical models. In comparison, by studying the frequency of TC genesis that is associated with tropical waves in different regions, Schreck et al. [2012] suggested that (1) TD-type disturbances were the most common TC precursor in the NH; (2) the number of TCs attributed to the TD-type disturbances and ER waves is roughly equivalent in the SH; (3) fewer TCs were attributed to MRG waves, Kelvin wave or the MJO. However, in an earlier study by Bessafi and Wheeler [2006], they concluded that statistical analysis of the TCs west of 100°E shows a significant modulation by the MJO and ER waves. Note that the ER, MRG and Kelvin wave modes were first studied by Matsuno [1966] with linearized shallow-water questions on a beta plane. In contrast, the TD-type disturbances and MJOs do not belong to the linear solutions to Matsuno’s equations.
The modulation of TC activity by an MJO has been documented and studied for more than a decade [e.g., Liebmann et al., 1994; Maloney and Hartmann, 2000]. Lander [1990] showed that the eastward-moving MJO may have an impact on the nearly simultaneous formation of two TCs straddling the equator at low latitudes in the Indian Ocean and West Pacific Ocean. These TCs are called “twins,” as they are “nearly” symmetric with respect to the equator. A restrictive definition on twin TCs proposed by Lander [1990] requires forming “nearly simultaneously” at the latitude of 5°N and 5°S and along the same longitude. In contrast, a twin TC was loosely defined by Keen [1982] as two TCs forming within 8–9 days of each other, within about 22° latitude and within a longitudinal difference of about 10°. The latter seems to be more applicable for daily weather forecasts. However, it will be shown that the degree (extent) of timing lag and longitudinal variations in the formation of the two consecutive TCs, one in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) and the other in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), may involve different intermediate physical processes, e.g., an ER wave versus MRG wave dynamics. While the well-documented solution of the linear MRG wave [e.g., Matsuno, 1966; Wheeler et al., 2000; Kiladis et al., 2009], will be briefly discussed in section 3.1, its impact on TC activity is briefly reviewed below.

The linear MRG wave is a special wave mode that behaves like a Rossby wave for high zonal wave numbers and an inertia-gravity wave for low zonal wave numbers. While an ER wave is symmetric with respect to the equator, an MRG wave is antisymmetric with respect to the equator. Because of its asymmetric features, an MRG wave may lead to the successive formation of TCs at different longitudes with time lags in different hemispheres. Earlier studies suggested that lower-tropospheric MRG waves with 3–6-day periods were observed in different regions. Typical wavelengths of 7,000–10,000 km, a westward phase speed of 15–20 m/s and an eastward group speed of about 5 m/s were documented for the MRG waves in the central Pacific [e.g., Dickinson and Molinari, 2002, and references therein]. In comparison, shorter MRG waves with slower phase speeds were found in the western Pacific [e.g., Liebmann and Hendon, 1990, Figure 8]. For example, Dickinson and Molinari [2002] examined an MRG wave event with a wavelength of about 2300–3000 km in the western Pacific. Note that Molinari et al. [2007] also documented a very short ER wave with a wavelength of 3600 km (wave number 11) and a phase speed of −1.9 m/s in the northwest Pacific. In addition to the horizontal 2D dynamics of a MRG wave, the vertical structure of the wave (i.e., phase tilting) may be influenced by moist processes and was examined by Maruyama [1967] and Lindezen and Matsuno [1968]. The impact of boundary layer processes, which may cause a TC to become cut off from a MRG wave, was examined by Holton [1975]. He pointed out that the phase relationship between the low geopotential height perturbation and convergence in a free MRG wave is distorted in a forced MRG wave with the inclusion of boundary layer friction.

Based on the phase relationship of two fields, Takayabu and Nitta [1993] classified the 3–5 day-period disturbances into two types: MRG-wave type and TD type. The MRG-wave-type disturbance appears as a linear MRG wave with organized convection in the convergence region of the wave, i.e., the vertical motion is in phase with the meridional winds. In comparison, the TD-type disturbance has off-equatorial vortex train features with organized convection within a low pressure cyclonic vortex, which has the phase relationship similar to a forced MRG wave mode. Takayabu and Nitta then suggested that a MRG-type disturbance may turn into a TD-type disturbance as a result of boundary layer processes whereby its convergence zone becomes in phase with the low pressure center, leading to a vortex cutoff from the equator. Thus, TD-type disturbances may be viewed as off-equatorial westward propagating Rossby gyres [e.g., Kiladis et al., 2009]. However, in practice, it is not easy to separate these two types (TD-type and MRG-type) of disturbances [e.g., Straub and Kiladis, 2003] because they occupy overlapping wave number-frequency space. Therefore, the term MRG-TD type disturbance, which often appear in the spectral or empirical orthogonal function analysis [e.g., Frank and Roundy, 2006, Figure 7; Kiladis et al., 2009, Figure 12], is used to represent an MRG-type disturbance that may transition into a TD-type disturbance. Namely, a MRG-TD-type disturbance has a hybrid structure of the MRG-type and TD-type disturbances. In contrast to the boundary layer processes, Chang and Webster [1995] suggested that negative stretching deformation associated with an ER or a MRG wave can enable the latitudinal stretching and modify the wave number of the mode.

The dynamics of “twin vortices” have been previously examined with shallow water equations or idealized simulations. For example, using idealized simulations, Ferreira and Schubert [1996] suggested the importance of westerly wind bursts (WWBs), which are associated with the MJO, in the formation of twin TCs, and emphasized the role of ER waves. The relationship between twin TC and an ER wave has been studied with observations [e.g., Schreck and Molinari, 2009, and references therein]. Recently, Gall et al. [2010] examined the role of ERs in TC genesis by conducting idealized simulations with the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model, and suggested the importance of nonlinear horizontal momentum advection terms in TC genesis. In comparison, Aiyyer and Molinari [2003] studied the dynamics of an idealized MRG wave and suggested the importance of its interaction with asymmetric heating in leading to vortex genesis and its poleward movement. A pair of TCs that form successively might eventually turn into twins, appearing as a transition from an MRG wave to an ER wave. However, from a modeling perspective, predicting these TCs is very challenging, because a time lag of 3–5 days in their formation would require a high level of performance in extended-range (beyond 5 days) simulations.

These aforementioned studies collectively indicate the importance of accurately representing the large-scale environmental flows (e.g., tropical waves), realistically resolving mesoscale vortex circulations, simulating the modulation of the large-scale flows on the vortex and the (aggregate) feedback of small-scale processes (e.g., convection) to the vortex. A high-resolution global model that possesses these characteristics is therefore preferred to be adopted to improve the prediction of TC formation. In deference to this view, a global mesoscale model was previously applied [Shen et al., 2010a, 2010b] to address whether and how such a predictive relationship between large-scale flows (explicit or implicit precursor conditions) and hurricane events can be simulated.
Those experiments were performed to investigate the multiple, hierarchical scale interactions and controlling factors that lead to the formation of TC Nargis (2008) and Hurricane Helene (2006). The term multiscale interaction in this study and previous studies [e.g., Shen et al., 2010a, 2010b] is loosely defined as the nonlinear processes that involve flows with different scales, including wavelength reduction of large-scale waves, TC genesis associated with the appearance of a mesoscale vortex, and/or their interaction with resolved or parameterized convective-scale processes. Among the various important physical processes, it was the association with an equatorial Rossby wave and an African easterly wave that were crucial for extending the lead time in the prediction of the genesis of TC Nargis and Hurricane Helene, respectively. Encouraged by these simulations, the original motivation was to examine the model’s performance in the simulation of the MJO and its influence on the formation of twin TCs in May 2002, of which the former was one of the major research themes proposed by the Center for Multiscale Modeling of Atmospheric Processes (CMMAP) in 2007. Having recently finished the cases in Shen et al. [2010a, 2010b], the current focus will be twin TC formation in association with the ccMRG wave that appeared as the integral of three gyres in the Indian Ocean during the active phase of the MJO in May 2002. Note that the term MRG wave, ccMRG wave, or MRG-type disturbance or even MRG-TD-type disturbance is used interchangeably in this study, and the last one is used for the one which has the phase relationship similar to a forced MRG wave. One specific focus is to understand why such a ccMRG wave is able to determine the timing and location of TC genesis and to help predict TC formation with an extended lead time. The numerical approach is described in section 2, and the results are discussed in section 3. Concluding remarks are given at the end.

2. Data Analysis and Numerical Approach

2.1. Analysis of the MJO, ccMRG Wave and Twin TCs in May 2002

[12] The large-scale MJO associated with the appearance of the twin TCs is shown in the 200-hPa velocity potential ($\phi$) field of the NCEP reanalysis data (Figure 1). The Laplacian of the velocity potential ($\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2}$) represents the divergence of the horizontal winds. The MJO appeared in the Indian Ocean in early May, moved eastward to the West Pacific in mid-May, and weakened in late May [see also Zhang et al., 2007, Figure 3]. On 1 May 2002, the MJO event in the Indian Ocean was indicated by the large-scale organized convection as shown in the METEOSAT 7 IR satellite images (Figure 2a). As the MJO continuously progressed eastward, four TCs (Figures 2b and 2c) subsequently appeared in the Indian Ocean [see also Moncrieff et al., 2007]: TCs Kesiny (or 23S), 01A, 02B, and Errorl. On May 9th, these four TCs appeared as two pairs of twin TCs, “symmetric” with respect to the equator (Figure 2c). However, TCs Kesiny and 01A (the first pair of twin TCs) formed at different longitudes and differed in time by about three days (Figure 2b or Figure 6), one in the SH and the other in the NH. In addition to the two developing systems that became TCs Kesiny and 01, there existed a non-developing system (Figure 2a) during the period 1–7 May prior to the formation of the second twin TCs. These asymmetric and alternating features (the time lag and asymmetry with respect to the equator) suggest the possibility of a ccMRG wave associated with the eastward propagating system (e.g., an MJO in this case). The first three convective systems, which are in association with the gyres $S_1$, $N_1$, and $S_2$ (e.g., Figure 4), provide a very unique case for which to examine the model’s performance in simulating both developing and non-developing convective systems that may appear as a ccMRG wave. In contrast, the second pair of twin TCs had a smaller time lag and longitudinal variation in their formation and resembles an equatorial Rossby wave.

[13] The characteristics of an analytic MRG wave solution to shallow water equations on a beta plane are illustrated below, providing a simple way to qualitatively identify a ccMRG wave and trace its evolution in both the global analyses and the model simulations. Figure 3 shows the normalized analytic solutions for a linear MRG wave [Matsuno, 1966], which have been well documented in Wheeler et al. [2000] and Kiladis et al. [2009]. This is similar to Figure 3c in Kiladis et al. [2009] with different units. The MRG wave has maximum/minimum meridional wind perturbations at the equator and alternating clockwise and counter-clockwise circulations along the equator (Figure 3a). The high and low geopotential height perturbations in the NH (which are shaded in red and blue, respectively) are in phase with the clockwise and counter-clockwise circulation, respectively. The corresponding geopotential height perturbations in the SH are antisymmetric with respect to those in the NH. Along the direction of the westward propagating wave, the low geopotential height perturbation leads the convergence (indicated by solid green lines) by 1/4 wavelength in the both NH and SH. The unique phase relationship in a free MRG wave is distorted in a forced MRG wave with the inclusion of boundary layer friction [e.g., Holton, 1975] and will be discussed later. In this study, the ccMRG wave appeared during an active phase of an MJO that was accompanied by a westerly wind burst (WWB). To identify the MRG wave in the total zonal winds, an idealized WWB solution is superimposed into the zonal wind perturbations (Figure 3b). In addition, Figure 3c shows low geopotential heights (dashed lines) and southerly (red) and northerly winds (blue) along the equator. These alternating features of an MRG wave are unique as compared to an ER wave. Figures 3b and 3c are used to examine the wave’s evolution in Figures 8 and 9, respectively, in section 3.

[14] To identify the key characteristics of an MRG wave in real data, Figure 4 shows initial horizontal winds and the geo-potential heights at 850 hPa, which are derived from NCEP global analysis and reanalysis at 0000 UTC 1 May 2002. Low geo-potential heights appear over a broad area near the equator between longitudes 65°E–85°E. Three gyres, each with a closed-form cyclonic circulation, can be identified at this time. These gyres from west to east along longitudes 63°E, 78°E, and 90°E are labeled $S_1$, $N_1$, and $S_2$, respectively. These appeared when the MJO moved eastward (Figures 1 and 2). While the major circulations of both gyres $S_1$ and $N_1$ are slightly off from the equator, gyre $S_2$ is still close to the equator with clear cross equatorial flows. At 500-hPa, gyres corresponding to $S_1$ and $N_1$ also appeared at this time (Figure 4b). However, for gyres $S_1$ and $N_1$, their vortex circulations at different heights are not vertically
coherent. This indicates a phase tilt with baroclinicity. In comparison, gyre S₂ is shallow and cannot be detected at 500 hPa at this time. These alternating gyres collectively suggest the appearance of an MRG-type disturbance (or ccMRG wave) with a wavelength that is roughly approximated by the distance between gyres S₁ and S₂. More analyses on the ccMRG wave, including its wavelength, phase speed and vertical structure, are given in the following.

[15] It is important to verify high-resolution global forecasts with global analyses at a comparable resolution. However, due to limits in data availability, NCEP 2.5° (~265 km) reanalyses are still used in some plots (e.g., Figures 1 and 5) to examine the time evolution of weather systems at a time interval of 6 h. Because the spatial resolution in the reanalyses is much coarser than in the analysis (~55 km), the ccMRG wave and the three gyres could be represented differently in the reanalyses. Figure 4c is plotted with the reanalysis data for comparison with Figure 4a. It can be seen that the major differences between these two data sets appear in the representation of the gyres S₁ and S₂ that are associated with the ccMRG wave (near the equator, Figure 4d). This may imply the need for fine grid spacing in the representation of the gyres and ccMRG wave. However, differences in the model physics and/or data assimilation schemes (e.g., the inclusion of high-resolution satellite data), which are associated with the change in grid spacing, could also lead to differences in the representation of the ccMRG wave.

[16] Previous studies suggested that a time-longitude diagram of the meridional winds near the equator [e.g., Dickinson and Molinari, 2002] would be useful for the detection of a ccMRG wave’s evolution. Figure 5 shows 850-hPa meridional winds averaged over latitude 5°S to 5°N in time-longitude space during the period 1 to 11 May 2002. Note that NCEP “reanalysis” and 10-day model outputs

---

**Figure 1.** An MJO, which moved eastward out of the Indian Ocean, is shown with NCEP 2.5° reanalysis data. Panels from top to bottom display 200-hPa velocity potential from 2 to 27 May 2002 with a time interval of 5 days.
Figure 2. (a) IR data from METEOSAT 7 satellite images show the formation of twin TCs associated with the MJO-organized convection over the Indian Ocean at 0630 UTC 1 May 2002. (b) TCs Kesiny and 01A appear as a pair of twin TCs on May 6; (c) later, a second pair of twin TCs, 02B and Errol, formed on May 9. ‘ND’ indicates a non-developing system. See also Moncrieff et al. [2007].

Figure 3. Analytical solutions for a mixed Rossby-gravity wave from shallow water equations on a beta plane. (a) Normalized perturbations of horizontal winds (vectors), geopotential heights (shaded, positive in red and negative in blue), and convergence (contours in green, positive in solid lines and negative in dashed lines). ‘H’ and ‘L’ indicate the centers of the maximum and minimum for geopotential height perturbations, respectively. The main features include an asymmetry in the winds and geopotential heights with respect to the equator, cross equatorial flows, and alternating clockwise and counter-clockwise circulations (indicated by the dark line in Figure 3c). The low geopotential heights (shaded in blue) lead the convergence (solid green contour lines) by 1/4 wavelength. (b) A schematic diagram showing the total zonal wind solution, which includes the perturbation zonal winds from the MRG wave, $y*\sin(x)*\exp(-0.5*y^2)$, and an idealized westerly wind burst (WWB), which is assumed to follow $\exp(-0.5*y^2)$. ‘L’ in Figure 3b still indicates low geopotential heights, which, however, does not take the WWB into consideration. The bold arrows indicate the westward propagation of the MRG wave. (c) Normalized perturbations of meridional winds (shaded) and low geopotential height perturbations (dashed lines).
are displayed. Clearly, the phase speed of the southerly (northerly) winds in red (blue) is westward. The alternating southerly and northerly wind couplets, extending eastward from 50°E, suggest an eastward propagating “group” velocity of ccMRG wave packets or a Kelvin wave in association with the movement of the MJO and a westward propagating ccMRG wave from 90°W to 100°E. In this study, the gyres in the SH and NH are labeled as Sn and Nn, respectively. The subscript ‘n’, either 1 or 2, denotes the appearance of the gyres sequentially from west to east during the transition of the southerly (northerly) winds to northerly (southerly) winds, where areas of strong cyclonic vorticity exist. The period and wavelength of the ccMRG wave are approximately 7–8 days and 30 degree (wave number 12), respectively. This appears to be a relatively short MRG wave, according to Figure 1 of Kiladis et al. [2009]. The corresponding phase speed is roughly equal to the reciprocal of the slope of a constant phase line (the black arrow near the label S2), which is about −1.8 m/s. In the Appendix A, we showed that the estimated phase speed with the wavenumber of 12 is in good agreement with the theoretical value derived from the dispersion relation of the linear MRG wave with an equivalent depth of 90 m and a background zonal wind speed of 3.71 m/s. In Figure 5b, the simulated wavelength and phase speed are compared well with those in the reanalysis. Note that a short MRG wave with comparable wavelength and period was documented in Figure 1b of Dickinson and Molinari [2002].

[17] Labels S1 and N1 in Figure 5a denote the potential timing and location for the corresponding gyres to develop into tropical cyclones, TC Kesiny and TC 01A, respectively, based on the best tracks. TC 01A appeared to be in association with the intensification of the southerly winds between longitudes 70°W to 80°E, a manifestation of the deepening of the ccMRG wave (as also shown in Figure 8). Gyre S2 along longitude 80°E lasted for more than five days but did not turn into a TC and is also referred as to the non-developing (ND) convective system (see also Figure 2). This provides a good case to test whether the model will produce a false alarm event.

[18] Based on the above discussions, an MRG-type disturbance (or a ccMRG wave) is defined as the integral of the three gyres with the following features: (1) asymmetry with respect to the equator, (2) alternating clockwise and counterclockwise circulations in the SH and NH, (3) cross equatorial...
flows (e.g., gyre S2 along longitude 90°E, and gyre N1 along longitude 85°E), and (4) phase line tilting with height. In addition, there existed a relatively close match between the estimated phase speed of 1.8 m/s and wavelength of 30° at 850 hPa and the linear MRG wave solution of the shallow water equations. Associating the three gyres with a ccMRG wave will help to examine their evolution as a whole and thus provide an integrated view of their development. Therefore, the accurate representation of an initial ccMRG wave and its evolution (in terms of its phase and amplitude) are useful for the detection of TC genesis.

2.2. Numerical Approach

[19] The GMM, previously called the high-resolution finite-volume GCM (fvGCM), is composed of three major components: (1) finite-volume dynamics, (2) NCAR CCM3 physics, and (3) the NCAR Community Land model [Lin et al., 2003; Lin, 2004; Atlas et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2006]. After the NASA Columbia supercomputer came into operation in late 2004 [Biswas et al., 2007], a global mesoscale model (GMM) with a high resolution of 1/12 degree (9 km at the equator and 6.5 km at middle latitudes) was successfully deployed in 2005. Prior to the deployment of the 1/12 degree GMM, a 1/2 degree model was first tested systematically with hundreds of 5-day NWP (numerical weather prediction) runs in 2002 [Lin et al., 2003], and a 1/4 degree model was applied to the forecasting of hurricanes in 2002 and 2004 [Atlas et al., 2005]. The 1/4 degree model doubled the resolution of many operational global weather models in 2004. Later, a 1/8th degree GMM was deployed to illustrate the impact of increased resolution on the simulation of three intense hurricanes from 2004 and two terrain-induced mesoscale eddies [Shen et al., 2006a]. With the 1/8th degree model, Shen et al. [2006b] examined the impact of explicitly resolved moist processes (i.e., no CPs) as well as increased resolution on 5-day forecasts of Hurricane Katrina’s (2005) track, intensity and near-eye wind distribution, and Shen et al. [2006c] documented more than sixty 5-day forecasts of intense hurricanes from 2004 and 2005. The model includes a hybrid sigma-pressure vertical coordinate; the vertical resolution has 18, 32, 48, 55, and 64 layers implemented with differing model tops of 2.9, 0.4, 0.4, 0.01, 0.01 hPa, respectively. In this study, 32 layers with a domain top of 0.4 hPa are used.

[20] Dynamic initial conditions (ICs) and sea surface temperatures (SSTs) are derived from GFS T254 (~55 km) analysis data and 1° optimum interpolation SSTs from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP). No vortex initialization (e.g., a bogus vortex) is applied in the initial fields. For model verification, NCEP T254 (~55 km) analyses and/or NCEP 2.5° reanalysis data are used. Though the T254 analyses data are better for model verification because of a much finer resolution, these analyses were archived only at 0000 UTC. In contrast, the NCEP 2.5° reanalyses are available at a time interval of 6 h from a public ftp site. Best tracks for the TCs are available from the Joint Typhoon Warning Center (JTWC) and are used for comparison. QuikSCAT seawinds data [e.g., Liu et al., 1998], available at a 0.5° resolution, are also used for verification of the low-level (surface) winds. Precipitation from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) [Huffman et al., 2007] is also used for verification.
[21] In order to make the model suitable and feasible for studying TC climate, the focus is on the model’s performance regarding TC genesis rather than its ability to simulate very fine-scale “convective” processes such as vortical hot towers. The control run in this study, which is a 10-day forecast initialized at 0000 UTC 1 May 2002, is performed at 1/4 degree resolution using only a grid-scale condensation scheme [Sundqvist, 1988] (i.e., no CPs). The same model configuration was previously used for 7-day simulations to examine the hierarchical multiscale interactions (e.g., the excitation of an equatorial Rossby wave that may lead to the formation of TC Nargis (2008) in the Indian Ocean [Shen et al., 2010a]). This model also realistically simulated the successive initiation of multiple (six) AEWs and the association of the 4th AEW with hurricane formation (e.g., Hurricane Helene, 2006) in 30-day experiments initialized at 0000 UTC 22 August 2006 [Shen et al., 2010b]. These studies, among others that are being conducted, suggest that the model configurations are able to realistically simulate the large-scale environmental flows (e.g., equatorial Rossby waves and AEWs), their time-varying evolution, and their modulation of TC formation with reasonable results. This also suggests a potential for extending the lead time for TC genesis prediction. In this study, the model’s ability to simulate the evolution of the ccMRG wave in early May 2002 and the successive formation of two TCs, that later turned into twin TCs, is further examined.

[22] As discussed in the Introduction, previous studies suggested that tropical waves (e.g., MRG waves) and TCs could be modulated by moist processes; thus, realistic representation of the moist processes in numerical models appears crucial for simulating the scale interactions that lead to tropical cyclogenesis. To verify whether the simulations of the ccMRG wave and TCs (and gyres as well) are sensitive to the choice of particular model moist physics, parallel experiments are performed with the same initial conditions but different CPs. The first experiment (labeled “EXP-A”) follows the settings in the control run but applies the Zhang and McFarlane [1995] (denoted as ZM95 hereafter) and Hack [1994] (denoted as H94 hereafter) schemes for deep and shallow-and-midlevel convection, respectively. This configuration was previously used in Atlas et al. [2005]. The second experiment (labeled “EXP-B”) is performed with the NCEP SAS (simplified Arakawa and Schubert) scheme [Pan and Wu, 1995]. The impact of regional changes in the moist processes is examined by comparing the control run, EXP-A run, and two additional runs (EXP-C and EXP-D), which disable CPs only in a global channel (e.g., from the equator to 40°N or from 40°S to the equator) and enable the ZM95 and H94 schemes elsewhere. All of these runs are used to examine the association of the simulated ccMRG wave with the timing and location of twin TC formation as well as a false-positive event that appears as the over-intensification of the gyre S2. An additional run (EXP-E) initialized at 0000 UTC 2 May 2002 was performed to illustrate the sensitivities of simulations to different initial conditions (ICs). Table 1 gives a summary of these six experiments.

### Table 1. Numerical Experiments and Their Modeling Configurations*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXP ID</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>Grid-Scale Condensation</th>
<th>CPs Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNTL</td>
<td>05/01</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-A</td>
<td>05/01</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>ZF95 and H94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-B</td>
<td>05/01</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>NCEP SAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-C</td>
<td>05/01</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>ZF95 and H94 except for a global channel No CPs in the global channel 0°–40°N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-D</td>
<td>05/01</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>ZF95 and H94 except for a global channel No CPs in the global channel 40°–0°S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-E</td>
<td>05/02</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EXP ID is the name of the experiment; IC is the starting date of the initial conditions. Grid-Scale and CPs indicate the usage of an explicit grid-scale condensation scheme and/or cumulus parameterizations (CPs). Dates are given as month/day.

### 3. Results

[23] In the following sections, simulations of twin TC genesis and its association with a ccMRG wave using the GMM initialized with NCEP T254 analysis data at 0000 UTC 1 May 2002 are discussed. To our knowledge, this is the first such attempt at a global simulation of twin TC genesis and one non-developing system with real-data. The evolution of the simulated ccMRG wave is verified against NCEP analyses in section 3.1. The simulated TC tracks and intensities in the 10-day control run are compared with the best track observations in section 3.2. In section 3.3, the sensitivity of the ccMRG wave and TC simulations to different moist processes are discussed as is the simulated precipitation associated with each of the developing and non-developing systems (gyres S1, N1 and S2) on a regional scale. At the end of section 3.3, the sensitivity of the simulations to a different set of ICs is examined. Then, a conceptual diagram is proposed to illustrate the scale interactions of the three gyres.

#### 3.1. Evolution of the ccMRG Wave and Its Impact on the Formation of the Twin TCs

[24] Figure 6 shows the evolution of 850-hPa winds and geopotential heights from the GMM control run after 48, 72, and 96 h of integration and their corresponding fields from the NCEP analyses. The NCEP data shows that near the center in each of the gyres S1 and N1, the low continues to deepen. As time progresses, the wavelength of the ccMRG wave shortens as indicated by the reduced distances between gyres S1 and S2 (Figures 6a, 6c, and 6e). Note that gyre S2 (e.g., the gray oval in Figure 6c) resembles a linear MRG wave packet (Figure 3c) well. Gyre N1 moved northward on May 5 (Figure 6c), and later developed into TC 01A on May 6. At 0000 UTC 5 May, the centers of gyre N1 at 850 hPa (Figure 6e) and 500 hPa (Figure 7a) are still not vertically coherent. These features revealed in the analyses are simulated quite well by the model (Figures 6b, 6d, 6f, and 7b). During this period, the GMM control run also reproduces a realistic evolution of Gyre S1, which later developed into TC Kesiny, and slightly overestimated Gyre S2, which, however, did not develop into a TC.
Figure 6. The 850-hPa winds (vectors) and geo-potential heights (shaded) at 0000 UTC 3–5 May 2002 from (a, c, and e) NCEP T254 analyses and (b, d, and f) the control run. There were three gyres with cyclonic circulation centers, S1 N1 and S2. A reduction in the MRG wavelength can be seen by the reduced distance between gyres S1 and S2. During the period of MRG wave intensification, the northern vortex moved poleward in association with a northward extension of the MRG wave and later developed into TC 01A. The gray oval in Figure 6c indicates the circulation of gyre S2 which is similar to that of a MRG wave in Figure 3c.
Figure 8 provides a qualitative but simple view of the intensification of the ccMRG wave and the northward movement of Gyre N1 during the period 1–5 May. These can be seen in 850-hPa zonal winds from NCEP T254 analyses that became wavy, deepened, and extended poleward (Figures 8a, 8b, and 8c). The deepening in zonal winds between longitudes of 72°E–82°E is consistent with the intensifying southerly winds (e.g., Figure 5). The control run reasonably simulated the intensification and poleward extension of the ccMRG wave associated with the

Figure 8. Evolution of 850-hPa zonal winds (shaded) and geopotential heights (contours) from (a, b, and c) NCEP T254 analyses, (d, e, and f) the control run and (g, h, and i) NCEP 2.5° reanalyses at 0000 UTC 1 May (Figures 8a, 8d, and 8g), the initial time, 0000 UTC 3 May (Figures 8b, 8e, and 8h) and 0000 UTC 5 May (Figures 8c, 8f, and 8i). Selected contour lines of geopotential heights include 1460, 1470 and 1480 m. As time progresses, zonal winds become wavy, deepen, and extended poleward. The pattern in Figure 8f is similar to the idealized solution in Figure 3b.
three gyres (Figure 8d, 8e, and 8f; more details on the convective activity are revealed in the GMM results than in the analysis data). However, the wave packet associated with the simulated ccMRG wave moved eastward faster than that in the global analyses. Figures 8g, 8h, and 8i show the corresponding fields from the NCEP 2.5°C14 reanalysis data for comparison, suggesting a similar tendency of ccMRG wave evolution with comparable intensity. The ccMRG wave in Figure 8f or 8i resembles the linear MRG wave in Figure 3b.

[26] To facilitate the discussion of the sensitivity experiments in section 3.4, the 850-hPa meridional winds and geopotential heights are analyzed to examine the evolution and propagation of the ccMRG wave and its relation to the formation of TCs Kesiny and 01A in Figure 9. A similar representation of the linear MRG wave was shown in Figure 3c. Figure 9 shows the NCEP T254 analyses (Figures 9a, 9b, and 9c) and the control run (Figures 9d, 9e, and 9f) at 0000 UTC 2, 4, and 6 May 2002. The westward-moving ccMRG wave is indicated by the alternating southerly and northerly wind couplets and three accompanying gyres located between the transitions from southerly to northerly winds. In the early stage, the wavelength of the ccMRG wave reduced in association with the faster westward speed of gyre S2 compared to that of gyre S1. At the same time, the ccMRG wave was deepening and extended northward into the NH (also see Figure 8f) as indicated by the intensifying southerly winds (Figures 9b and 9e). Accordingly, one may conclude that gyre N1 continued to develop in association with the deepening of the ccMRG wave, gradually moving northward with the wave’s northward extension before intensifying and developing into a TC. In section 3.3, a similar analysis is made for the sensitivity experiments.

[27] The vertical structure of the ccMRG wave at 0000 UTC 4 May 2002 is shown in the vertical cross sections of the meridional winds in Figure 10. Figures 10a, 10b, and 10c display the meridional winds in the NCEP T254 analyses averaged over latitudes 5°S to the equator and from the equator to 5°N, respectively. Figures 10d, 10e, and 10f show the corresponding meridional winds from the model simulations. Notable features include down-shear tilting of the ccMRG phase lines, which suggests an upward propagating transfer of energy. Note that there are westerly winds at low levels and easterly winds at upper levels, giving an easterly wind shear. These features resemble those of the MRG wave in Figure 3 of Dickinson and Molinari [2002]. The location of the gyre S2 (N2) is roughly indicated by the transition of the southerly (northerly) winds to northerly (southerly) winds in Figure 10a (Figure 10b). At this time, the main circulation of Gyre S1 was already away from latitude 5°S. Though the phase line tilting is an important feature of the ccMRG wave, the accompanying vertical shear is unfavorable for TC formation. Thus, it is important to determine when the vertical shear might be reduced to favor TC formation. This will be discussed along with TC 01A below.

[28] Figures 11 and 12 display latitude-height cross sections of zonal winds averaged over 4° longitudes centered on the gyre N1 at 850 hPa (Figures 11a–11c and 12a–12c) and the corresponding meridional winds averaged over 4° latitudes (Figures 11d–11f and 12e–12f). NCEP analyses at 0000 UTC 5, 6 and 7 May are shown in Figure 11, respectively, while the model simulations at the corresponding times are shown in Figure 12. Figures 11a, 11b, and 11c show low- and mid-level westerly winds (in red) in low latitudes (0°–8°N) up to 400 hPa, and easterly winds (in blue) to the north of the westerly winds. Figures 11d, 11e, and 11f show low- and mid-level northerly winds (in blue) on the west side and southerly winds (in red) on the east side. Zero zonal wind speeds are indicated in white. These figures indicate clearly the low- and mid-level cyclonic circulations (CCs). Overall, the tilted phase line of zero wind
speeds at the earlier time indicates the vertical shear near the center of the CC (e.g., Figures 11a and 11d). As time proceeds, the CC moves westward and northward, and the phase line of zero wind speeds near the center of the CC becomes upright, indicating smaller vertical shear. Thus, the coherence of the CC centers at different heights becomes favorable for TC formation. Overall, the structure and evolution of the CC associated with the gyre N1 are realistically simulated by the GMM control run. On May 6 when TC01A formed, the 200–850 hPa vertical shear appeared anticyclonic (AC) centered at the minimum of sea level pressure where wind shear was weak (less than 10 m/s) (not shown). The anticyclonic wind shear is associated with a low-level CC (at 850 hPa) and an upper-level AC (at 200 hPa). Although the centers of the low-level CC and upper-level AC were not vertically coherent at this time, the appearance of the AC vertical shear near the low pressure center is consistent with the findings by Shen et al. [2010a], who examined the formation of Nargis (2008). However, the simulated TC 01A appears at 68°E and 11°N on May 6, which is about 2.5° north of the observed TC 01A.

[29] As discussed in section 1, the phase lag of the meridional winds and convergence/divergence may serve as an indicator of whether the wave disturbance resembles a MRG-type disturbance or a TD-type disturbance; the latter indicates the impact of other processes such as boundary layer friction on the distortion of the phase relationship. While the sensitivities of the simulations to the boundary layer processes are being performed with a focus on the impact of the boundary layer heights (which are a function of the Richardson number), in this study, the phase lag of the two fields at different heights are simply compared. Figure 13 shows a Hovmoller diagram of the vertical pressure velocity (shaded) and meridional winds (contours) averaged over latitudes 0 to 5°N at 850-hPa (Figure 13a) and 500-hPa (Figure 13b). Since the model is hydrostatic, the vertical pressure velocity is a good indicator of the horizontal convergence/divergence. The maximum vertical pressure
Figure 11. (a, b, and c) Latitude-height cross sections of zonal winds averaged over 4° longitudes centered on the gyre N1 and (d, e, and f) longitude-height cross sections of meridional winds averaged over 4° latitudes. Mid- and low-level cyclonic circulations near the center of the gyre N1 from the NCEP 254 analyses validated at 0000 UTC 5 (Figures 11a and 11d), 6 (Figures 11b and 11e), and 7 (Figures 11c and 11f) May 2002.

Figure 12. Same as Figure 11 but from the GMM control run.
velocity at 850 hPa is in phase with zero meridional winds, suggesting the vertical pressure velocity roughly leads the southerly wind maximum by 1/4 wavelength in the westward direction. In contrast, the vertical pressure velocity maximum at 500-hPa is in phase with the southerly wind maximum before May 5, which is consistent with the linear free MRG wave in Figure 3a. This suggests that the westward propagating signals at 500-hPa has the phase relationship similar to that of a free MRG wave mode, while the corresponding signal at low levels (850-hPa) has the phase relationship close to that of a “forced” MRG wave mode that is assumed to be influenced by boundary layer processes. Note that because the model includes (relatively) comprehensive physical parameterizations, other low-level processes such as shallow convection may also play an important role in the distortion of the phase lag. While Figures 13a and 13b show the combined features of the gyres N1 and S1 between latitudes 0–5°, Figures 13c and 13d are plotted to show the phase relationship of vortex center and vertical motions for individual gyres at 850 and 500 hPa, respectively. From
Figure 13c, it is very clear that vertical motions at 850 hPa appear mainly near the vortex center of gyres S1 and S2. The phase relationship is similar to that of a TD-type disturbance. In contrast, vertical motions at 500 hPa are in phase with southerly winds in the NH and with northerly winds in the SH, which are shown in blue ovals of Figure 13d. All of these above suggest a transition from a MRG-type disturbance (e.g., gyre N1) to a TD-type disturbance.

3.2. Simulated Tracks and Intensities for Twin TCs Kesiny and 01A

[30] The TC Formation Alert for Kesiny (2002) in the SH was issued (re-issued) at 2100 UTC 2 (0600 UTC 3) May, as shown in the best track of Figure 14a. Once formed, TC Kesiny first moved eastward, before turning southward, and finally west-southwestward. By 0600 UTC 6 May, Kesiny’s MSLP (minimum sea level pressure) deepened to 976 hPa, and maintained that strength until 0000 UTC 7 May (Figure 14b). It continued to move west-southwestward and crossed the northern tip of Madagascar on 9 May. The accompanying torrential rains caused severe and widespread flooding in Madagascar. About 520,000 people were adversely affected by Kesiny and 5000 were left homeless. TC 01A, Kesiny’s counterpart in the NH, was first recorded at 1800 UTC 5 May (Figure 14c), about three days after Kesiny’s alert was issued, and moved northwestward due to the influence of a sub-tropical ridge to its north. It made landfall near Salalah, Oman at about 0900 UTC 10 May and then weakened afterward. TC 01A was the first storm to hit Salalah in nearly 20 years and caused substantial damage (http://www.australiasevereweather.com/cyclones/2002/summ0205.htm). Based on the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) [2004] report that summarized the track prediction of TC 01A in the Arabian Sea during 06–10 May 2002, the track errors after 24 and 48 h of integration with the quasi-Lagrangian model were 200 and 120 km, respectively; the track errors after 24, 36, and 48 h of integration with the Climatology and Persistence (CLIPER) model were 251, 329, and 533 km, respectively.

[31] The simulated tracks and intensities for TCs Kesiny and 01A are also shown in Figure 14 from the 10-day control run initialized at 0000 UTC 1 May 2002. Despite the time difference in the formation of TCs Kesiny and 01A of about 89 h, which poses a challenge to predict both, the GMM predicts the genesis of TCs Kesiny and 01A at the right locations and times about 45 h (54 h) and 114 h in advance, respectively, successfully capturing the intensification process whereby initial weak storms/disturbances grow to become twin TCs. To our knowledge, no real-data simulation has ever been conducted to produce the genesis of twin TCs with realistic movement and intensification. The subsequent movement and intensity evolution of these twin TCs is also simulated reasonably well (e.g., Figures 14b and 14c), including Kesiny’s re-curving on 3–5 May. Errors in the predicted location of Kesiny are 179, 283, 232, 115, 127, 95, 175, 438, 493 km after 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, 168, 192, 216, and 240 h of simulation, respectively. For TC 01A, the errors are 341, 97, 71, 114, 198 km after 120, 144, 168, 192, 216 h of simulation, respectively. Compared to the forecast position errors of TC 01A in the WMO [2004], the track forecast using a global model is very encouraging. While the initial intensity...
of Kesiny is overestimated as compared to the best track, presumably related to the initial vortex spin-up problem, its intensity is simulated quite well between 6 and 10 May after the formation of TC 01A. In comparison, the intensity of TC 01A is well predicted during its early lifecycle but is overestimated after 156 h of integration (Figure 14b), which is consistent with the excessive precipitation associated with disabling the CPs (not shown). It is worth mentioning that during 6–8 May, both the weakening of Kesiny and the intensification of TC 01A are realistically simulated.

[32] The implication from this global model simulation on the role of the large-scale environmental flow is as follows. As TC movement is largely steered by the large-scale flow, realistic simulations of the “subsequent” movement of TCs Kesiny and 01A after they formed suggest an important role for the “large-scale” flow in determining their movement. Due to the continuation of physical processes, one may expect that the “large-scale” flow may also play a role in determining the timing and location of their formation (before they formed). This indicates the advantage of using a global model, such as GMM, in improving the simulation of TC formation, movement and intensification; because it is required to know the global initial state if a forecast time span is greater than one week [Smagorinsky, 1967] Having discussed the modulation of TC formation by the large-scale waves in section 3.1, the feedback from small-scale moist processes (e.g., precipitation) are now examined to illustrate their impact on the simulations of developing and non-developing gyres.

3.3. Sensitivity of Simulations to Moist Processes and Initial Conditions

[33] It has been found that numerical simulations of hurricane formation are very sensitive to cases and also model physics [e.g., Davis and Bosart, 2002]. To address this, the three gyres, which are associated with one non-developing and two developing TCs, respectively, are simulated in two 10-day runs with different ICs, which are at 0000 UTC on 1 and 2 May 2002, respectively. In addition, different cumulus parameterization schemes are applied in the sensitivity experiments. In the following, the impact of using different moist processes on the simulation of the twin TCs’ genesis are assessed in terms of their movement (Figures 15 and 17), associated precipitation (Figure 16) and the (horizontal) structures of the MRG wave (Figure 19).

[34] Figure 15 shows the spatial distribution of MSLP from three 10-day experiments to qualitatively display the initial location and subsequent movement of the simulated TCs. One of the major advantages with this kind of plot is the ability to check if a real TC or false-alarm event forms or appears in different locations during the period. Figures 15a, 15b, and 15c are results from the control (CNTL), EXP-A, and EXP-B runs, respectively. As discussed earlier, the CNTL run in Figure 15a simulates the formation and movement of the first twin TC realistically. In contrast, the EXP-A run fails to simulate the genesis of TC 01A (Figure 15b). Though the EXP-B run is able to simulate the formation of TC 01A, it simulates the TC 01A’s genesis about 24 h later and also results in slower propagation speeds for both of the twin TCs than the best track (Figure 15c). In addition to the developing events, Gyre S2 did not develop in the control run but did intensify and lead into false-alarm events in both the EXP-A and EXP-B runs. These experiments suggest that TC simulations significantly depend on the parameterizations of moist processes. The simulated precipitation associated with these three events is examined below.

[35] In section 3.3, it was shown that the formation of twin TCs can be predicted up to 5 days in advance with a time lag of 3 days between the formation of the first and second TCs. During the period 1 to 6 May, these three gyres went through different stages of their lifecycles, including the initial formation of TC 01A, the intensification of Kesiny, and the intensification and then weakening of the false-alarm event. Therefore, to simplify the discussions, only the spatial distributions of “averaged” precipitation from 3 to 6 May will be analyzed to illustrate the overall performance (or general difficulties) of a specific scheme in simulating the aggregate effects of precipitation processes at regional scales associated with these events at their different stages. An average is used simply because precipitation fluctuates at timescales shorter than the large-scale circulation. In addition, the relatively coarse resolution and the stochastic nature of the individual convective cells make it very challenging to reproduce the small spatial and temporal variability of precipitation. It
should be noted that quantitative precipitation forecasts on a time scale of 5 days is still challenging at operational NWP centers. As follows, simulated surface winds and precipitation are compared with NASA QuikSCAT winds and TRMM precipitation to discuss: (1) the performance of moist processes in simulating precipitation at regional scales in association with the three events, (2) the dependence of simulated TC formation on the different moist schemes, and (3) the impact of different moist processes on the appearance of the false-positive event associated with the non-developing event.

Figures 16a–16d show precipitation (shaded) and surface winds (vectors) averaged over 3–6 May 2002 from (a) NASA TRMM (shaded) and QuikSCAT winds (vectors), (b) the CNTL run, (c) the EXP-A run and (d) the EXP-B run. Each of the three black boxes contains a local precipitation maximum and is used to calculate domain-average precipitation from the corresponding numerical experiments. Figures 16b–16d show 10 m winds from the simulations initialized at 0000 UTC May 1.

Figure 16. Precipitation (mm/day) and surface winds averaged over 3–6 May 2002 from (a) NASA TRMM (shaded) and QuikSCAT winds (vectors), (b) the CNTL run, (c) the EXP-A run and (d) the EXP-B run. Each of the three black boxes contains a local precipitation maximum and is used to calculate domain-average precipitation from the corresponding numerical experiments. Figures 16b–16d show 10 m winds from the simulations initialized at 0000 UTC May 1.

[16] Figures 16a–16d show precipitation (shaded) and surface winds (vectors) averaged over 3–6 May 2002 using data from NASA satellites (e.g., TRMM precipitation and QuikSCAT winds) and the CNTL, EXP-A, and EXP-B runs, respectively. The CNTL run simulates realistic amounts and spatial distributions of precipitation for the three events. In contrast, the parameterized moist processes associated with a specific CP and their nonlinear interactions with other physical processes led to the under-development of Gyre N1 but the over-development of Gyre S1. For quantitative comparison, precipitation over the large domain (25°S–25°N; 40°E–110°E, see Figure 16) and three additional 10° × 10° sub-domains is further averaged to understand the model’s performance in simulating precipitation at regional scales. Each of these sub-domains (labeled D1–D3) is selected to be close to one of the three major convective events (associated with the three gyres) and is centered on a precipitation maximum. Note that D1 in the two parallel runs (e.g., EXP-A and EXP-B runs) is not realistic, because TC 01A did not appear in these runs. These domain-averaged precipitation amounts from TRMM and all of the experiments are summarized in Table 2. It is clear that the control run with its explicit moist scheme produces the best results over the large domain and the three sub-domains.
Table 2. Spatial and Temporal Averaged Precipitation (mm/d) During the Period 0000 UTC 3 to 0000 UTC 6 May 2002 From TRMM and Numerical Simulations, Including the Control, EXP-A, EXP-B and EXP-E Runs*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>TRMM</th>
<th>CNTL</th>
<th>EXP-A</th>
<th>EXP-B</th>
<th>EXP-E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1 (0°, 75°)</td>
<td>(0°, 75°)</td>
<td>(0°, 75°)</td>
<td>(0°, 75°)</td>
<td>(0°, 75°)</td>
<td>(0°, 75°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 (-10°, 63.5°)</td>
<td>(-10°, 63.5°)</td>
<td>(-10°, 63.5°)</td>
<td>(-10°, 63.5°)</td>
<td>(-11°, 63.5°)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 (mm/d)</td>
<td>23.84</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>28.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 (%)</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (%)</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>-28.15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3 (%)</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The large domain (D1) covers the area (25°S–25°N, 40°E–110°E). Three additional 10° × 10° sub-domains (D1, D2, D3) are centered on the precipitation maxima associated with TCs 01A, Kesiny, and the organized (non-developing) convective event, respectively. These domains are shown by the black boxes in Figure 16. D1, i = L, 1, 2, 3* indicates the (lat, lon) of the corresponding domain center. P1 (mm/d) indicates the domain average precipitation, while Ei is the relative error in the domain-averaged precipitation. Ei = (Pi-PTRMM)/PTRMM, where PTRMM is the corresponding domain average precipitation from TRMM. D3 in EXP-E is the same as that in the control run.

[39] As shown in Figures 15b and 15c, both the EXP-A and EXP-B runs underdevelop Gyre N1 and overdevelop Gyre S2. In Figures 16c and 16d, the simulated precipitation amounts are consistent with those results with precipitation underestimated for Gyre N1 and overestimated for Gyre S2. Previous studies [e.g., Vitart et al., 2001] suggested that CPs may stabilize the environmental flow and limit TC formation, which should be carefully addressed in a future study. With these resolutions, the deep cumulus parameterization of CP was an important technical factor in the reduction of a multiscale interaction problem to a mathematically tractable form [Ooyama, 1982].

[38] To illustrate the impact of regional change in the moist processes, the spatial distributions of ALITLP from the EXP-C and EXP-D runs are shown in Figure 17. These two runs disable the CPs in a global channel (i.e., from the equator to 40°N in EXP-C and from 40°S to the equator in the EXP-D) and enable the ZM95 and H94 schemes elsewhere.

Figure 17. Same as Figure 15 except for the (a) EXP-C and (b) EXP-D runs. These two runs disable CPs in a global channel (i.e., from the equator to 40°N in EXP-C and from 40°S to the equator in the EXP-D) and enable the ZM95 and H94 schemes elsewhere.
everywhere else. The EXP-C run was designed mainly to understand if the simulation of TC 01A’s formation could be improved with respect to the EXP-A run, while EXP-D was made to examine the simulation of Gyre S2. It is shown that simulations of TC 01A in EXP-C and Gyre S2 in both EXP-C and EXP-D are improved slightly as compared to EXP-A. However, these results also suggest that regional changes in the moist processes may not be sufficient to improve the accuracy in the simulation of TC 01A’s formation (e.g., EXP-C) or the evolution of Gyre S2 (e.g., EXP-D) because they may involve interactions with the large-scale environmental flow, such as the MRG wave. Brief discussions on the association of these gyres with the MRG wave are given below in Figures 19 and 20.

The last experiment, EXP-E, was made to show the sensitivity of the simulations to a different set of ICs (i.e., 0000 UTC 2 May 2002). The spatial distribution of the MSLP during the 10-day period suggests that the formation of the twin TCs is captured realistically and their subsequent movement is also simulated with some degree of satisfaction (Figure 18a). The simulation is slightly less accurate than the CNTL run but more realistic than the EXP-A and EXP-B runs with CPs. Precipitation (mm/day) averaged over 3–6 May 2002 is shown in Figure 18b, which can be compared with TRMM and the control run in Figure 16. Domain-average precipitation inside each of the three boxes is listed in Table 2. Overall, the spatial distribution of the precipitation associated with the three gyres is comparable to that in the CNTL run (e.g., the location of each sub-domain listed in Table 2). However, the domain-averaged precipitation has larger errors than the CNTL run, which suggests there may be a dependence on ICs or with the model spin up. It is, however, encouraging that the average precipitation in the large domain and three sub-domains in EXP-E is better than in EXP-A and EXP-B as shown by the corresponding relative errors in Table 2 (note that the $D_1$ domain selected in both EXP-A and EXP-B is not associated with a realistic Gyre N$_1$ or TC 01A).

Sensitivities of the MRG wave and TC simulations to different settings in the moist processes and a different set of ICs are shown in Figure 19. Figures 19a–19h show the meridional winds (shaded) and geopotential heights (contours) at 0000 UTC 6 May 2002 from NCEP T254 analyses, NCEP 2.5° reanalyses, and the CNTL, EXP-B, EXP-A, EXP-C, EXP-D, EXP-E runs, respectively. To facilitate discussions on the genesis of TC 01A, a vertical line is plotted at longitude 70°E. Comparing the panels suggests: (1) the northerly winds along 90°E are better represented in the NCEP 2.5° reanalyses than in the T254 analyses, which therefore suggests that the CNRL run realistically simulated the intensification of the MRG wave at this time (e.g., Figure 19c and Figure 8f), (2) the nongenesis (or inaccurate genesis) of TC 01A in the EXP-A (EXP-B) run is associated with a faster (slower) westward propagation speed of the MRG wave, (3) among the EXP-A, EXP-C and EXP-D runs where ZF95 and H94 are applied globally or in a global channel, little differences appear in the simulation of TC Kesiny, but significant differences appear in the simulation of TC 01A and the associated MRG wave, and (4) the EXP-E run (Figure 19h) initialized at 0000 UTC 2 May 2002 produces the simulations of the twin TCs and the MRG wave that are comparable to the control run (Figure 19c). A more detailed evaluation on the phase and amplitude of the simulated MRG wave and their impact on TC genesis is being conducted.

It has been shown that the wave number of a mode can be modified by background longitudinal stretching deformation, namely $dU/dx$, $U$ is the background wind speed. When the background flow contains negative stretching deformation, the wave’s scale decreases and its amplitude increases. This is the so-called wave accumulation processes, which was first proposed by Webster and Chang [1988]. In recent years, it has been applied to explain the intensification of an ER wave that could lead the formation of a TC [e.g., Molinari et al., 2007]. The relation between a deepening and shortening MRG wave and its association with
Figure 19. The 850-hPa meridional winds (shared) and geopotential heights (contours) at 0000 UTC 6 May 2002 for (a) NCEP T254 analyses, (b) NCEP 2.5° reanalyses, (c) the CNTL run, (d) EXP-B, (e) EXP-A, (f) EXP-C, (g) EXP-D, and (h) EXP-E. Selected contour lines of geopotential heights include 1460, 1470 and 1480 m. Note that EXP-E is initialized by a different set of ICs and is still compared well with the CNTL run.
stretching deformation is discussed below. To avoid the complication in decomposing the total zonal winds into the background mean winds and wave perturbations which involve complicated mean-wave and wave-wave interactions, we choose to calculate the stretching deformation of the total zonal winds. Since we are interested in the impact of stretching deformation on wave shortening and deepening, which may help predict the timing of TC formation, a Hovmoller diagram of the stretching deformation ($10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) is plotted in Figure 20. While Figure 20a shows the NCEP reanalysis data (at a resolution of 2.5$^\circ$), Figures 20b, 20c, and 20d show the results from the CNTL, EXP-A and EXP-B runs. Negative stretching deformation (blue), which indicates wave accumulation, appeared in the areas between 60$^\circ$E and 80$^\circ$E from May 1 to 5, 2002. The appearance of the local minimum (with the magnitude greater than 1 $\times$ $10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) between longitudes 65$^\circ$E and 72$^\circ$E from May 3 to 5 is consistent with the intensification of the MRG wave (e.g., solid contour lines in Figure 20a; also shown in Figure 5a). Overall, a comparison of the CNTL run and these two experiments with the NCEP reanalysis suggests that the control run produces the best evolution of the stretching deformation (e.g., blue areas) in terms of timing and location. This is important for improving the prediction of TC 01A formation. Note that the control run and the other experiments as well is able to reveal the evolution of shear deformation at smaller scale near gyres $N_1$ and $S_1$ than the reanalysis.

Figure 21 shows a Hovmoller diagram of the vorticity ($10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) from the CNTL, EXP-A, EXP-B, and the EXP-C runs. Neither NCEP reanalysis nor analyses data are used in this plot, because the former has coarse resolution that cannot accurately resolve the mesoscale vortex and thus vorticity and the latter are available only at a time interval of one day. Vorticity is defined here as $(dv/dx – du/dy)$. Positive values correspond to cyclonic (anti-cyclonic) circulations in the NH (SH). Shaded areas show vorticity averaged over latitudes 10$^\circ$S and 0$^\circ$, while contour lines show vorticity averaged over latitudes 0$^\circ$ and 10$^\circ$N. Note that only positive contour lines are plotted and thus dense contour lines indicate the
location of gyre $N_1$. Thus, local minima (blue) indicate the locations of Gyre $S_1$ and Gyre $S_2$. Between these two gyres, positive vorticity in the NH (shown by the contour lines) indicates the gyre $N_1$. During the period of May 1–4, gyres $S_1$ and $S_2$ approach each other, indicating a wavelength reduction (Figure 21a). During this period of wavelength reduction, the red areas between gyres $S_1$ and $S_2$ indicate the southern portion of the circulation associated with the gyre $N_1$. Note that as TC 23S and TC 01A moved southwestward and northwestward, respectively, they moved outside the latitudes of 10°S and 10°N at later times, thus no visible in Figure 21a. In comparison, the simulated strength of gyre $S_2$ is overestimated after 4–5 May 2002, which was shown in the averaged precipitation in Figure 16. The EXP-A run did not capture the evolution of wave shortening but weaker vorticities during the first three days. Thus, no TC (i.e., TC 01A) appeared at the right time in the NH. Interestingly, as the EXP-B run simulates TC 23S at much slower speeds (Figure 15c) and gyre $S_2$ with a stronger intensity during the period of May 4–5, a model TC appeared at a later time (24 h later than the best track) in the NH, shown by the contour lines in Figure 21c. This may also indicate the importance of the appearance of the MRG wave in “determining” the location and timing of TC 01A’s genesis, though wave shortening is not very clear in this case. The latter might indicate the importance of improved moist processes at a regional scale (e.g., near gyre $N_1$) in the simulation of TC 01A genesis. We illustrate this with a simple comparison between the EXP-A and EXP-C runs. While both runs with less accurate simulated evolutions of the MRG’s wavelength (as compared to the CNTL run) (Figures 21b and 21d), the
The wave deepening appears to be associated with (1) the horizontal phasing of the southwesterly winds of gyres N1 and N2, which may lead to wave deepening if one of them is intensified (or moving closer), which can be shown by the improved simulation of gyre N1 in the EXP-C run as compared to the EXP-A run (Figures 21b and 21d) and or the falsely intensified gyre S2 in the EXP-B run (Figures 21a and 21b). Therefore, the second one suggests the importance of accurate representations of the vortex circulation and thus aggregate feedbacks of precipitation processes at the mesoscale. Note that nonlinear interactions might exist between the first and the second processes. For example, as the wave deepening occurs in association with wavelength reduction and negative stretching deformation from May 2–5 (Figure 20), convection tends to become active and contribute to the intensification of the gyres, which can be shown in Figure 16 with heavy precipitation near the gyres.

4. Concluding Remarks

[44] In this study, numerical simulations from a global mesoscale model are presented to address the formation of twin TCs (Kesiny and 01A) that occurred during an active phase of the MJO in the Indian Ocean in early May 2002. This is one of a series of modeling studies [e.g., Shen et al., 2010a, 2010b] that aim to understand the role of tropical waves in the formation of TCs and the predictive relationship between them with the goal of extending the lead time for predicting TC genesis. Previously, the association of an equatorial Rossby wave and an AEW with the formation of TC Nargis (2008) and Hurricane Helene (2006) was studied in Shen et al. [2010a] and Shen et al. [2010b], respectively. In this study, the role of a ccMRG wave disturbance, which appeared as an integral of three gyres and intensified in the convectively active envelope of the MJO, in the formation of twin TCs is examined. Based on the analysis of NCEP reanalysis, the MRG wave has an averaged wavelength of about 30°, a period of about 7 days, and a westward phase speed of (−1.8 m/s). These features are close to the theoretical values from the dispersion relation of a linear MRG wave with an equivalent depth of 90 m and an averaged background zonal wind speed of 3.71 m/s. Six 10-day 0.25° simulations are discussed, five of which were initialized at 0000 UTC 1 May and one at 0000 UTC 2 May. The control run predicted the genesis of TCs Kesiny and 01A realistically about 2 and 5 days in advance, respectively, as well as their subsequent movement up to 10 days. The other five experiments were conducted to examine the sensitivities of the numerical simulations to the moist processes with different CPs as well as a different set of ICs. Results from these experiments suggest that the predictability may be attributed to the improved simulation of the ccMRG wave and the associated small-scale moist processes. Major processes that are crucial for the formation of the twin TCs are summarized as follows:

[45] 1. Deepening of the ccMRG wave in association with wavelength reduction or the intensification of individual gyres (e.g., S2). Initially, a westward-moving MRG wave with baroclinicity appeared as the integral of three gyres (S1, N1 and S2); scale reduction was indicated by the faster westward phase speed of gyre S2 than that of gyre S1. The wavelength reduction is consistent with the appearance of negative stretching deformation of the zonal winds.

[46] 2. Poleward movement (or cut-off) of a gyre (e.g., N1) that may be influenced by boundary layer processes. There existed a westward phase lag of 1/4 wavelength between the vertical pressure velocity maximum and the low level (e.g., 850 hPa) southerly wind maximum, which resembles a TD-type disturbance. However, the phase relationship at middle levels (e.g., 500 hPa) is still similar to that of a free MRG wave. Therefore, the MRG wave has a hybrid structure of the MRG-type and TD-type disturbances. As suggested by Holton [1975], boundary layer processes are responsible for this phase lag and may lead to the cut-off of the gyre.

[47] 3. Realistic spatial distributions of precipitation associated with the initial intensification of the three gyres during May 3–6 (Figure 16), which is consistent with the simulated locations of the Gyres S1 and N1 and also consistent with the simulations of vertical pressure velocities in Figure 13. The simulations support the finding of Aiyyer and Molinari [2003] that the poleward movement is related to the diabatic heating.

[48] 4. Development of low- and mid-level CCs near Gyre N1. As time progresses, the low- and mid-level CCs associated with Gyre N1 moved westward and northward and became “coherent” vertically, providing a favorable condition for TC genesis with the zero vertical wind shear.
line centered on the CC. Simulations of this vertical coherence in the CCs at different levels (e.g., on May 5 and 6 in Figure 12) are consistent with the simulations of precipitation (in Figure 16), which are associated with deep convective processes.

[40] Even though the simulations of average precipitation are consistent with the simulations of Gyres S1 and N1, the causality between these gyres (and the ccMRG wave) and the diabatic heating remains unclear and is subject to a future study. Specifically, due to the nonlinear interactions between the heating and the MRG wave, the current study is not yet sufficient to conclude that the adiabatic heating acts as a forcing to drive the evolution of the MRG wave. After TC Kesiny and 01A formed on May 3 and 6 respectively, these two TCs moved at different speeds and gradually evolved into twin TCs. In addition, the gyre S2, which resembles an MRG wave well, started weakening after May 6 (e.g., Figure 2a). All of these “appear” as a transition of an MRG wave into an equatorial Rossby wave. In contrast, the scale interactions of the three gyres can be conceptually viewed as the interactions between an ER (consisting of gyres S1 and N1) and a MRG wave mode (gyre S2, e.g., Figure 21). All of these are subject to further examination.

[50] Although the MRG wave provides an integral view on the activity of the three gyres, accurate simulations of the MRG wave require realistic simulations of each of the three gyres. Moreover, it is important to realistically capture the spatial distributions of precipitation associated with the three different gyres that may move at different speeds. The results indicate the difficulty of simulating these phenomena using a model with CPs, presumably because CPs are column based and are less sensitive to adjacent grid columns. Parallel experiments with CPs enabled in the global environment or a global channel suggested that the performance of the associated moist processes in the specific settings depended on the ICs, namely the performance differs for different gyres. For example, in both EXP-A and EXP-B, gyre N1 was underestimated while gyre S1 was overestimated. Therefore, a future study is needed to examine if the model with different moist schemes or different ICs may experience different spin-up processes, leading to an improper tendency in the intensity evolution of the gyres, which may require further model calibrations or tuning. In contrast, the control run with an explicit large-scale condensation scheme produced the best predictions for the two developing and one non-developing event(s). The EXP-E run with the same setting as those in the control run but with different ICs also generated better results than the other experiments with CPs. A similar conclusion that better results appeared in the runs with no CPs was also made in Shen et al. [2010a, 2010b]. However, these limited case studies are still not sufficient to conclude that 1/4 degree simulations do not need CPs. Instead, the importance of understanding the performance of a specific CP (or other column-based physics parameterization) in simulating multiple organized convective events and their spatial distribution with regards to representing the large-scale flow (e.g., a ccMRG wave) is emphasized.

[51] It should be emphasized that in treating the numerical model as a tool, we would prefer to focus first on the TC genesis that is predictable, so that we may gain confidence in the model’s ability to simulate hurricane climate. Based on the current study and two previous studies [Shen et al., 2010a; 2010b] using the global mesoscale model, the following view on the predictability of mesoscale TC genesis is proposed: (1) Both a downscaling cascade of processes associated with the large-scale systems and an upscaling cascade of processes associated with the small-scale (e.g., precipitation) systems are important. Because of the asymmetry in the spatial and temporal scales and the strengths among these systems, the term “hierarchical multiscale interactions” is used to describe these scale interactions that can lead to TC formation. (2) Large-scale tropical waves such as an MRG wave may provide determinism in regards to the timing and location of TC genesis, which can be viewed as a pre-cursor. (3) Since a larger-scale system (i.e., the precursor) has better predictability, it becomes possible to extend the lead time for TC genesis prediction as long as the model can improve the simulations of the precursor and its modulation on TC activities. (4) Accurate simulations of convective-scale processes remain challenging, but their aggregate feedbacks to TC genesis, especially under strong “forcing” such as with an MRG wave or an equatorial Rossby wave, can be simulated with some degree of satisfaction (e.g., this study and Shen et al. [2010a]). Further studies should focus on how these small-scale processes with a short life cycle can efficiently feedback to a TC (or gyre) or MRG. For example, the next stage will be verifying the so-called Marsupial Paradigm [Dunkerton et al., 2008] hypothesis that a large-scale tropical easterly wave with a critical layer/level (CL) may provide a small “protected area” within which small-scale processes can develop and efficiently provide upscale feedback that leads to TC genesis.

Based on the aforementioned discussions, further improvement to the realistic evolution of MRGs using the global mesoscale model could enhance the ability to predict TC genesis. As the occurrence of an MRG wave may be associated with the large-scale MJO, it becomes important to improve the simulation of the MJO (e.g., its eastward movement) to extend the lead time of the MRG wave simulations, especially their eastward moving component. Further studies should focus on the role of moist processes in the simulation of the MJO and MRG waves, because their evolution (in particular their poleward propagation/movement [e.g., Aiyyer and Molinari, 2003]) is dependent on the diabatic heating associated with the large-scale organized moving convective processes. As the MJO in May continuously moved eastward, a second pair of twin TCs appeared on May 9 in the Indian Ocean, and two additional TCs, super-typhoon Hagibis and Hurricane Alma, formed in mid-May in the West and East Pacific. Three additional 10-day numerical experiments initialized at 0000 UTC on 6, 11, and 22 May have been performed to simulate the formation of these four TCs. Preliminary analyses suggest that an equatorial Rossby wave may be the precursor to the formation of the second twin TCs, and an MRG-type or TD-type disturbance might be associated with the formation of super-typhoon Hagibis in the West Pacific, but no wave-like (no ER, MRG wave or TD-type disturbance) signal appears to be associated with Hurricane Alma in the East Pacific. This leads to the question of how and when an MRG wave could be excited in association with the MJO. A further study examining the excitation of MRG waves is being conducted.
with this case and additional MJO cases in support of the Year of Tropical Convection (YOTC) project. The next step is to examine if and how a global mesoscale model can improve extended-range (30 day) simulations of the MJO, its association with MRG waves and TC genesis in different basins.

Appendix A: Dispersion Relation of the MRG Wave

[53] In this appendix, we discussed how the wavelength and phase speed of the MRG wave are estimated and whether they are consistent with the linear dispersion of the dry MRG wave. Note that as active convection (of the MJO) was associated with the MRG wave, it is not realistic to expect an exact match between the observed and theoretical phase speeds [e.g., Dickinson and Molinari, 2002]. In addition, as the MJO moved eastward, suggesting the potential existence of other disturbance with an eastward group speed, we did not make an attempt at determining the group speed of the MRG wave from either the reanalysis or model simulations. From Figure 5a, the wavelength is about 30° (e.g., the distance between the two minima on 4 May 2002.), which gives the wave number of 12. The corresponding phase speed is roughly equal to the reciprocal of the slope of a constant phase line. The black arrow near the label S2 in Figure 5a indicates a distance of 10° during a 7-day period, which gives an estimated phase speed of 1.8 m/s. Next, we compare these estimated values with those from the linear shallow water solution [e.g., Matsuno, 1966].

[54] Figure A1a shows the dispersion curves with the following dispersion relation:

$$\omega = \frac{k \sqrt{gH}}{2} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{4\beta}{k^2 \sqrt{gH}} \right)^{1/2} \right].$$

[55] Here, \( \omega \) and \( k \) are the frequency and wave number, respectively; \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity; \( H \) is the
equivalent depth. $\beta$, which is the variation of the Coriolis parameter with latitude, is the so-called Rossby parameter. When the basic state zonal flow has a constant wind speed of $U$, the above dispersion is modified by a Doppler effect (e.g., $U(\omega - kU)$) and a non-Doppler effect [e.g., $\omega = \omega(k, H)$]. To simply our discussions, we only consider the Doppler effect, which gives the same dispersion relation, as the $\omega$ is replaced by $(\omega - kU)$. Figure A1a shows dispersion curves with different equivalent depths, from 8 m to 90 m, which are typical values in Kiladis et al. [2009]. These curves compare well with existing studies.
