INTRODUCTION

The emphasis of this research is on the Human Research Program (HRP) Exploration Medical Capability’s (ExMC) “Risk of Unacceptable Health and Mission Outcomes Due to Limitations of In-flight Medical Capabilities”. Specifically, this project aims to contribute to the closure of gap ExMC 2.02: We do not know how the inclusion of a physician crew medical officer quantitatively impacts clinical outcomes during exploration missions. The experiments are specifically designed to address clinical outcome differences between physician and non-physician cohorts in both near-term and longer-term (mission impacting) outcomes.

METHODS

Medical simulations will systematically compare success of individual diagnostic and therapeutic procedure simulations performed by physician and non-physician crew medical officer (CMO) analogs using clearly defined short-term (individual procedure) outcome metrics. In the subsequent step of the project, the procedure simulation outcomes will be used as input to a modified version of the NASA Integrated Medical Model (IMM) to analyze the effect of the outcome (degree of success) of individual procedures (including successful, imperfectly performed, and failed procedures) on overall long-term clinical outcomes and the consequent mission impacts. The procedures to be simulated are endotracheal intubation, fundoscopic examination, kidney/urinary ultrasound, ultrasound guided intravenous catheter insertion, and a differential diagnosis exercise. Multiple assessment techniques will be employed, centered on medical procedure simulation studies occurring at 3, 6, and 12-months after initial training (see the Figure for a flow diagram of the experimental design).

DISCUSSION

Analysis of procedure outcomes in the physician and non-physician groups and their subsets (tested at different post-training elapsed times) will allow the team to:

1) define differences between physician and non-physician CMOs in terms of both procedure performance (pre-IMM analysis) and overall mitigation of the mission medical impact (IMM analysis);

2) refine the procedure outcome and clinical outcome metrics themselves;

3) refine or develop innovative medical training products and solutions to maximize CMO performance; and

4) validate the methods and products of this experiment for operational use in the planning, execution, and quality assurance of the CMO training process.

Within the first year the team expects to finalize training protocols, develop a software training tool in collaboration with Butler Graphics (Detroit, MI), certify our training as continuing medical education, and begin subject recruitment. This work is supported by NSBRI grant #SMST03801.