The Carbon Tetrachloride (CCl₄) Budget: Mystery or Not

Qing Liang¹², Paul A. Newman¹, John S. Daniel³, Stefan Reimann⁴, Bradley Hall⁵, Geoff Dutton⁵⁶, Lambert J. M. Kuijpers⁷

¹ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Laboratory, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA.
² Universities Space Research Association, GESTAR, Columbia, MD 21044, USA.
³ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory, Chemical Sciences Division, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA.
⁴ Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland.
⁵ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory, Global Monitoring Division, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA.
⁶ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA.
Key Points

• Interhemispheric gradient can serve as a proxy to constrain CCl₄ emissions.
• A minimum 30 Gg/yr CCl₄ emissions is necessary to reconcile the observations.
• The likely lifetime for CCl₄ is 25-36 years, longer than the current estimate.
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Abstract

Carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) is a major anthropogenic ozone-depleting substance and greenhouse gas and has been regulated under the Montreal Protocol. However, atmospheric observations show a very slow decline in CCl₄ concentrations, inconsistent with the nearly zero emissions estimate based on the UNEP reported production and feedstock usage in recent years. It is now apparent that there are either unidentified industrial leakages, an unknown production source of CCl₄, or large legacy emissions from CCl₄ contaminated sites. In this paper we use a global chemistry climate model to assess the budget mystery of atmospheric CCl₄. We explore various factors that affect the global trend and the gradient between the Northern and Southern hemispheres or interhemispheric gradient (IHG): emissions, emission hemispheric partitioning, and lifetime variations. We find a present-day emission of 30-50 Gg/yr and a total lifetime ~25-36 years are necessary to reconcile both the observed CCl₄ global trend and IHG.

Index terms

Constituent sources and sinks; Troposphere: constituent transport and chemistry; Air/sea constituent fluxes.
1. Introduction

Carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) is primarily used as a feedstock or processing agent for chlorinated species, but has been used extensively as a cleaning agent and as a solvent in the past [CTOC Report, UNEP, 2011]. CCl₄ is recognized as both an ozone-depleting substance (ODS) and a greenhouse gas. As of 2008, CCl₄ accounted for about 11% of total tropospheric chlorine [WMO, 2011]. The ozone depletion potential (with respect to CFC-11) is 0.82 [WMO, 2011] and it has a 100-yr global warming potential of 1,400 [WMO, 2011]. In 1987, Article 2 of the Montreal Protocol (MP) included regulations of CCl₄ under Annex B Group 2. CCl₄ production and consumption were eliminated for developed countries in 1996 under the amendments to the MP. Developing countries (i.e., Article 5 countries) were allowed some phase down production and consumption until fully banned in 2010. CCl₄ continues to be legally used as a contained feedstock, e.g. for the production of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), since feedstock uses are not regulated by the Montreal Protocol.

The primary sinks for CCl₄ include photolysis loss in the stratosphere, degradation in the ocean and the soil [SPARC, 2013]. The current best estimate of total lifetime (τ) for CCl₄ is 25 years [SPARC, 2013], relatively unchanged from the WMO [2011] assessment (26 years). A best estimate of the atmospheric partial lifetime (τₐₗₗₜₛ) for CCl₄ is 44 years [SPARC, 2013]. The best estimates of partial lifetimes due to the ocean sink (τ₉₉₉₉₉₉) and the soil sink (τ₉₉₉₉₉₉) are approximately 81 (71-167) years and 195 (108-907) years [SPARC, 2013], respectively.

The MP controls have led to declining CCl₄ levels in our atmosphere at a rate slightly greater than 1% per year [WMO, 2011]. Under the MP Article 7, each Party provides CCl₄ data to the Ozone Secretariat on production, imports, exports, feedstock amounts, and amounts destroyed.

The current CCl₄ bottom-up emissions estimate from the MP parties based on reported
production and feedstock usage (bottom-up estimate) was zero after 2007 [WMO, 2011]. There are also no known substantial stocks of CCl₄ in existing equipment or storage containers; thus, a \( \tau \sim 25 \) years would imply an annual decrease of 4% per year rather than the observed 1%. The atmospheric CCl₄ observations and the current total lifetime can be used to derive a top-down estimate of global emissions. This top-down emission estimates for 2007 and the following years were upward of 50 Gg per yr (Gg/yr) [WMO, 2011]. This very large difference of emission estimates is equivalent to approximately ~1,600 railroad tank cars of liquid CCl₄ lost each year.

The difference between the top-down and bottom-up emission estimates suggests that there is an unreported source of CCl₄. Recent work by Fraser et al. [2013] suggests that emissions from contaminated soils, toxic waste treatment facilities, and possibly chloro-alkali plants could be contributing 10-30 Gg/yr. De Blas et al. [2011] also observed excess CCl₄ above the background in Bilbao, Spain (similar to the Fraser et al. observations near Melbourne, Australia), and attributed this to an unidentified source near the measurement site. Odabasi [2012] found that mixing of bleach with surfactants or soap could form CCl₄, but global emissions from this source have not been estimated. Emissions from CCl₄ feedstock uses are highly uncertain [TEAP, 2011], but have been estimated to be approximately 0.5% of the total feedstock used (equivalent to 5 Gg/yr for 2011 production) [Miller and Batchelor, 2012]. None of these potential sources alone can fully explain the 50 Gg/yr discrepancy between the top-down and bottom-up emission estimates.

In this paper, we use available source and sink data in global and box models to test the compatibility of the existing emission and lifetime estimates with CCl₄ mixing ratio observations. In particular, we present the most likely emission and lifetime scenarios that close the current gap and best reconcile the observed trend in concentrations and their difference between the
Northern hemisphere (NH) and Southern hemisphere (SH) (i.e., the inter-hemispheric gradient or IHG).

2. Models and Simulations

Models. We pair a 3-Dimensional (3-D) Chemistry Climate Model (CCM) with 1-box and 2-box models to examine the atmospheric budget of CCl₄. The global 1-box model used in this study is the same model used in recent WMO Ozone Assessments, and is described in detail in Velders and Daniel [2013]. The global 1-box model has been used to derive top-down emission estimates for long-lived ODSs using best-estimate lifetimes and observed surface mixing ratios as constraints. The 2-box hemispheric model is developed from the global 1-box model and simulates both the long-term global trend and Northern-Southern hemispheric differences in atmospheric concentrations of CCl₄. We assume ocean and soil losses in two hemispheres are scaled exactly with ocean and soil area. The IHG is generated by the asymmetry in hemispheric emission fraction and the ocean and land surface fractions in the two hemispheres. The 3-D CCM used here is the NASA GEOS Chemistry Climate Model (GEOSCCM) Version 2, which couples the GEOS-5 GCM [Reinecker et al., 2008] with a detailed stratospheric chemistry module [Douglass and Kawa, 1999]. A comprehensive evaluation of several CCMs over the 1960-2005 period shows that the GEOSCCM agrees well with observations for many of the meteorological, transport-related, and chemical diagnostics [Eyring et al., 2006]. Of particular relevance to this study, GEOSCCM represents well the mean atmospheric circulation as demonstrated by its realistic age-of-air, and further, realistic loss and atmospheric lifetimes for long-lived ODSs [Waugh et al., 2007; Douglass et al., 2008; Chipperfield et al., 2014]. The model also features realistic inter-hemispheric transport and reproduces well the observed IHG in
previous flux-based simulations of major long-lived ODSs [Liang et al., 2008; Chipperfield et al., 2014]. A detailed description of the box models and the GEOSCCM is in Appendix A.

While the 3-D GEOSCCM is used to understand how various processes impact CCl$_4$ concentrations in a more realistic modeled atmosphere, the box models provide top-down emissions and lifetime estimates that are consistent with the observed surface mixing ratios.

Pairing the simple box models with the 3-D GEOSCCM greatly enhances the computational efficiency of choosing emissions and corresponding lifetimes for the 3-D model simulations. The box models also provide useful conceptual tools to examine the sensitivities of CCl$_4$ mixing ratio observations to sources, sinks, and the atmospheric inter-hemispheric distributions of these quantities (2-box hemispheric model).

**3-D Simulations.** The global emissions in the 3-D simulations are top-down emission estimates consistent with the observed atmospheric CCl$_4$ decline when using the global 1-box model. CCl$_4$ is run with flux boundary conditions, using geographically resolved surface emissions originally described in Xiao et al. [2010]. Five simulations are performed (Table 1). The baseline run, Run A, is a 53-yr simulation with the SPARC 2013 photochemistry, soil and ocean lifetime recommendations, and the corresponding top-down emission derived using the global 1-box model. To better understand the factors that influence the CCl$_4$ budget, we conduct four additional model simulations (1995-2012), Runs B-E, with varying lifetimes, global emission and emission distributions. Runs B-E are initialized with the January 1995 Run A initial conditions.

**3. Results**

**3.1 Discrepancy between bottom-up and top-down emissions estimates**
We use the long-term surface observations of CCl₄ made by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration – Global Monitoring Division (NOAA-GMD) [Montzka et al., 1999; Thompson et al., 2004] to derive the top-down emission estimates in the global 1-box model. The GMD dataset is a combination of in situ and flask samples, all based on Gas Chromatography – Electron Capture Detector (GC-ECD) analysis [Hall et al., 2011]. The global mean atmospheric CCl₄ is decreasing at a mean rate $\sim -1.1$ ppt per year since 1995 (Figure 1A). With a $\tau \sim 25$ years, this suggests a slow decrease in emission from $\sim 80$ Gg/yr from the late 1990s’ to $\sim 55$ Gg/yr in the early 2010s’ (Figure 1C). Reported industrial production and feedstock usage of CCl₄ suggests a rather sharp decrease in CCl₄ emissions from 100 Gg/yr in 1999 to near-zero emissions after 2007 (Figure 1C) if all CCl₄ is emitted in the year in which it was produced, as is generally expected. Such a drastic emission reduction rate is inconsistent with the observed CCl₄ decline.

We use the deviation of CCl₄ surface mixing ratios from the linear decay line to estimate year-to-year changes in annual emissions. After removing the least-squares linear fit (2000-2012) from each NOAA GMD station, we apply a 25-month $\frac{1}{2}$-amplitude Gaussian low-pass filter to the observations (Figure 1B). The filtering reveals 3 periods of change: 1) from 1995-2005 a continuous increase in CCl₄ anomalies across all stations (mean $\sim +0.2$ ppt/yr) with an increase of 0.6 ppt from 2003 to 2005 (+0.3 ppt/yr), 2) from 2007-2011 a decrease of about 1 ppt ($-0.25$ ppt/yr), and 3) an anomalous jump of $\sim 0.6$ ppt beginning in about 2012 (+0.6 ppt/yr). Based on the global 1-box model estimate, a 1 ppt change in atmospheric mean CCl₄ is equivalent to $\sim 25$ Gg/yr change in emissions. Thus, these observed anomalies imply: 1) $\sim +8$ Gg/yr anomaly emissions in period 1 from 2003-2005, 2) a $\sim -6$ Gg/yr extra emission decrease between 2005-2008, and 3) an anomalous increase of $+15$ Gg/yr in 2012. These year-to-year changes in
observed CCl₄ anomalies are inconsistent with the bottom-up emissions estimate from reported production and consumption (Figure 1C).

These inconsistencies between the mean trend and year-to-year emission variations and the observed CCl₄ changes suggest that the bottom-up emissions estimate from reported production and consumption are likely incorrect.

3.2 The inter-hemispheric gradient

It has long been recognized that IHG is a qualitative indicator of emissions for long-lived chemical compounds [Lovelock et al., 1973]. The results from our 3-D model simulations show a compact linear correlation between the model annual IHG and the annual global emissions for all individual runs (R = 0.92-0.98 for Runs A-D) (Figure 2a). Of course, changing the hemispheric emission ratio affects this ratio, as do changes to the distributions of loss between the hemispheres (see discussion below). The collective correlation coefficient between the two variables from all four runs is 0.96, despite the various emissions and lifetimes used in each model run. This implies that IHG can be used as an empirical proxy to quantitatively infer global emissions.

It is important to mention that model results suggest that the global mean IHG calculated using all grid points in each hemisphere is different than that calculated using only model values at the NOAA GMD stations, due to biased sampling using only station data (Appendix B). The model global mean IHG is ~1.2 ppt higher than the IHG calculated using the model grid point values sampled at the GMD stations. This difference remains rather constant between 1995-2012. As a result, we apply a +1.2 ppt correction factor to the IHG calculated using the NOAA GMD station observations (1.5 ± 0.3 ppt). This corrected IHG is referred to as the NOAA GMD-
inferred IHG (IHGGMD) in the rest of the paper, which ranges between 2.3-3.0 between 1995-2012.

### 3.3 3-D model simulations: Budget constraints from trend and inter-hemispheric gradient

We use the global trend and the IHG as two independent constraints in the 3-D GEOSCCM. All model runs, except Run E, are designed to reproduce the observed CCl₄ global trend. The model IHG is then compared with IHGGMD to assess various emission and lifetime scenarios that best reconcile the observed IHG.

There are many factors that contribute to the CCl₄ IHG, including global emissions, hemisphere emission fractions (EF_hemis), and soil and ocean loss rates. Despite a large range of emission strengths, total and partial lifetimes used, runs A-D yield very similar IHG-emission regression slopes (0.049-0.058 ppt/Gg yr⁻¹) (Figure 2a). These regression lines also show similar zero-emission intercept points at 0.59-0.64 ppt (Figure 2a), the likely IHG that can be explained by ocean and soil losses alone.

Of the range of parameters explored in the 3-D simulations, global emission strength plays the dominant role in determining the IHG. Baseline Run A employed the highest emissions and yielded the highest IHG between 3-5 ppt for 1995-2012, ~ 50% higher than IHGGMD. This suggests that the mean ~ 64 Gg/yr emissions estimate in Run A is likely biased high. To test this emission level, Run B was employed with the lowest mean emission considered of ~ 35 Gg/yr. Run B had the smallest IHG between 2.3-3.0 ppt for 1995-2012, agreeing well with IHGGMD. This is not surprising as Run B was designed from the 2-box model with global emissions that would reproduce the observed gradient, albeit a corresponding lifetime increase to ~ 36.5 years was necessary to match the long-term trend. The two runs with intermediate emissions (mean ~ 50 Gg/yr) produce intermediate IHGs (2.6-3.7 ppt for Run C and 2.8-4.0 ppt for Run D).
In addition to changes in global emissions, Run C is designed to explore the sensitivity of IHG to changes in EF\textsubscript{hemis}. We change EF\textsubscript{hemis} from the baseline 94\%NH:6\%SH used in Runs A and B to 88\%NH:12\%SH. Run C shows a slightly smaller IHG/emissions regression slope (0.049 ppt/Gg vs. 0.053 ppt/Gg in Run A). This implies, to match the observed gradient, higher global emissions are needed if one assumes a larger fraction of emission resides in the SH. The result of this magnitude of repartitioning emissions into the SH is a relatively small reduction of the IHG, in comparison to the global emission strength.

The oceanic loss also affects the IHG. Run D features a latitude dependent ocean loss with a faster degradation in the SH. Faster SH ocean loss rates lead to a slight increase in the IHG ($\leq 10\%$). Again, this ocean loss rate impact on the IHG is small, in comparison to the global emission strength.

Run E is a special run in which we used global emissions consistent with the observed IHG, but lifetimes were kept the same as in SPARC [2013]. The Run E CCl\textsubscript{4} decreased at $\sim 2.2$ ppt/yr, double the observed rate. This suggests that while an average global emission $\sim 35$ Gg/yr is in better accordance with the observed IHG and the bottom-up estimate, there is a large discrepancy between this estimate and the current best estimate $\tau$ of 25 years for closing the global CCl\textsubscript{4} budget.

### 3.4 What impacts the inter-hemispheric gradient? – Insights from the 2-box hemispheric model

The 2-box hemispheric model yields a similar a strong linear relationship between the IHG and global emission as the 3-D GEOSCCM. With the inter-hemispheric exchange timescale ($\tau_{\text{interhemis}}$) set at $\sim 1.7$ years, the regression slope of IHG vs. emissions from the 2-box hemispheric model reference calculation (0.05 ppt/Gg, Figure 3 red symbols) agrees well with
the 3-D model hemispheric mean IHG from runs using the same EF_{hemis} and partial lifetimes.

The zero-emission intercept from the 2-box model is, however, only ~0.1 ppt, much lower than
the ~0.6 ppt value from the 3-D model. This is likely due to missing atmospheric processes that
could impact the IHG, e.g. stratosphere-troposphere exchange differences between the NH and
SH, or a simplified constant \( \tau_{\text{interhemis}} \). Nevertheless, the similar IHG/emissions relationship
between the 3-D global model and the 2-box hemispheric model makes it possible to employ the
2-box hemispheric model to explore the important factors that determines the IHG.

Mathematically, IHG can be approximated using the following equation:

\[
IHG = a + b \times \text{Emission}
\]  

(1)

Where \( a \) (unit of ppt) is the zero-emission intercept point and \( b \) (unit of ppt/Gg yr\(^{-1}\)) is the
regression slope on the scatter diagram. The value of \( a \) is a function of \( \tau_{\text{ocean}}, \tau_{\text{soil}}, \text{EF}_{\text{hemis}}, \) and
likely STE as well in the 3-D model, and \( b \) is mainly decided by \( \text{EF}_{\text{hemis}} \).

We vary \( \text{EF}_{\text{hemis}}, \tau_{\text{ocean}}, \tau_{\text{soil}}, \) and \( \tau_{\text{atmos}} \) to illustrate how changes in each variable regulate the
IHG (Figure 3). All 2-box hemispheric model calculations shown here use consistent emissions
and lifetimes that reproduce the observed global CCl\(_4\) trend between 1995-2012. While the top-
down emission estimates are fixed for any specified lifetime input, the IHG can vary
significantly with changing \( \text{EF}_{\text{hemis}} \) (Figure 3a). A 100% NH emission (\( b = 0.066 \) ppt/Gg yr\(^{-1}\))
requires \( \sim 30-40 \) Gg/yr global CCl\(_4\) emissions to reproduce the IHG\(_{\text{GMD}}\). Decreasing the NH
release fraction greatly increases the total emissions necessary to capture the IHG\(_{\text{GMD}}\) (e.g,
\( b=0.026 \) ppt/Gg yr\(^{-1}\) for 70% release fraction in the NH, which requires emissions >75-115
Gg/yr). This 70% scenario is unlikely as the needed emissions greatly exceed the bottom-up
estimate - approaching the peak emissions \( \sim 120 \) Gg/yr in the 1970s’ and 1980s’ before CCl\(_4\) was
regulated by the MP.
Changing partial lifetimes also impacts the IHG. Increases of $\tau_{\text{ocean}}$ (i.e., less loss, see Fig. 3b) decreases the IHG by decreasing the hemispheric contrast in ocean surface losses, reflected in the value of $a$ in Eq. (1). As $\tau_{\text{ocean}}$ increases from 80 years to 240 years, $a$ decreases from 0.21 ppt to 0.05 ppt. Increases of $\tau_{\text{soil}}$ (Fig. 3c) increase the IHG, and $a$ increases from 0.08 ppt to 0.22 ppt as $\tau_{\text{soil}}$ increases from 200 years to 1000 years. Changing $\tau_{\text{atmos}}$ has little impact on $a$ (Fig. 3d).

Overall, these impacts are small. However, increases in $\tau_{\text{ocean}}$, $\tau_{\text{soil}}$, and $\tau_{\text{atmos}}$ can affect the calculated IHG to a greater extent through increases in total lifetime and the implied necessary decreases in emissions to match the observed trend. Hence, the 2-box hemispheric model calculations, similar to the 3-D model results, indicate that global emissions and $\text{EF}_{\text{hemis}}$ play a dominant role in controlling the IHG with partial lifetimes associated with ocean, soil, and atmospheric losses contributing only minor modifications.

3.5 The likely emissions and lifetime scenarios

The 2-box hemispheric model, even with the least number of necessary processes considered in the present form, contains more unknown variables ($\tau$, global emissions, $\text{EF}_{\text{hemis}}$) than constraints (observed trend and IHG). Hence, it is inadequate to uniquely close the CCL$_4$ budget mystery. Alternatively, we use the least root mean square deviation (RMSD) approach with our current best understanding of emissions and loss processes to rule out the unlikely $\text{EF}_{\text{hemis}}$ scenarios and, furthermore, to infer an optimal total lifetime and emission scenario for each likely $\text{EF}_{\text{hemis}}$, as illustrated in Figure 4.

The RMSD of the calculated IHG suggests that the NH fractional emission is unlikely to be less than 80%, as a 70% or 76% NH emission fraction would yield an optimal total lifetime of ~20 years. This not only implies a much larger gap in total emissions needed to reconcile with the current bottom-up emissions estimate, but also significant decreases in partial lifetimes, both of
which are difficult to accommodate. The RMSD approach suggests that the likely NH emission fraction is between 80%-100%. This range implies average emission ~ 30-50 Gg/yr for 1995-2012 and optimal $\tau \sim 25$-36 years, equal or longer than the current best estimates. A smaller NH fractional emission release requires a corresponding scenario of more global emissions and shorter $\tau$ to match the observed gradient, e.g. emission ~ 50 Gg/yr and $\tau \sim 25$ years for a 80% NH emission fraction.

Assuming 100% emissions reside in the NH ($b=0.066$ ppt/Gg yr$^{-1}$) and using a mean IHG$_{GMD} \sim 2.7$ ppt and $a \sim 0.6$ ppt from the 3-D model in Eq. (1), we are able to determine the minimum mean global emissions necessary to match the atmospheric CCl$_4$ observations is ~ 32 Gg/yr between 1995-2012 and ~ 29 Gg/yr for the 2010s’’. However, this requires a lifetime of 36 years, much longer than the 25 years current estimate. In the 3-D model Run B, we tested this 36-year lifetime by increasing $\tau_{\text{atmos}}$ to ~ 62 years and $\tau_{\text{ocean}}$ to ~ 160 years and leaving $\tau_{\text{soil}}$ unchanged. An increase in Run B’s $\tau_{\text{atmos}}$ from 47 years to 62 years requires a ~ 60% reduction in the CCl$_4$ photolysis rate which greatly exceeds the lab-measured 15-20% cross section uncertainty range [Rontu Carlon et al., 2010; SPARC, 2013]. When comparing Run B’s CCl$_4$ with two limited balloon profiles, this leads to a model high-bias in the critical stratospheric photolysis loss region (10-70hPa) (Figure A3). Keeping $\tau_{\text{atmos}}$ unchanged, a $\tau \sim 36$ years means the summed lifetime against ocean and soil losses is > 150 years, which requires increases of both $\tau_{\text{ocean}}$ and $\tau_{\text{soil}}$ to the upper limit of the current best estimates (167 years for $\tau_{\text{ocean}}$ and 907 years for $\tau_{\text{soil}}$). The above points to a need of re-evaluation of the current best estimates of partial lifetimes to address the possibility of a longer $\tau$.

4. Summary and discussions
CCl₄ was increasing in the atmosphere until the early 1990s and is now in decline [WMO, 2011]. This decline is a result of the regulations imposed by the Montreal Protocol. The decline during the 1990-2006 period was caused by a decrease in emissions and removal from the atmosphere via loss processes [WMO, 2011; SPARC, 2013].

The current CCl₄ downward trend should be primarily determined by the lifetime, because bottom-up emissions after 2007 are estimated to be near zero. However, from 2007-2012, the e-folding time-scale of the decrease in the CCl₄ observations is about 66 years. This slow downward trend cannot be reconciled with our current best estimate of the ~ 25 years (implied top-down emissions ~ 55 Gg/yr between 2007-2012) derived from comprehensive chemistry-climate models and atmospheric, ocean, and soil observations [SPARC, 2013].

Comparisons of mixing ratio observations with year-to-year variations of bottom-up emissions estimates cannot be understood either. Much larger year-to-year CCl₄ fluctuations are expected from the current time-series of bottom-up emissions estimate than are actually observed in the atmospheric abundances. For example, between 1996 and 1998 the estimate of CCl₄ emissions jumped by about 80 Gg. Cumulatively, this 3-year period would have resulted in a 12 ppt increase in CCl₄ concentrations with respect to the slow decreases due to atmospheric, soil, and ocean losses. Observations filtered to show these shorter time scale changes reveal approximately a 1-2 ppt change of CCl₄. Such discrepancies indicate potential serious flaws with CCl₄ emission reports.

Using a fully-coupled chemistry-climate model with a state-of-the-art photochemical loss scheme for CCl₄, along with current estimates of CCl₄ oceanic and soil sinks, we have performed a series of model simulations to constrain the atmospheric budget of CCl₄. The inter-hemispheric gradient (IHG) of CCl₄ has been qualitatively used in the past to infer emissions of long-lived...
ozone-depleting substances. Our CCM results suggest that the IHG provide useful information for quantitatively estimating CCl₄ emissions. We exploited the global trend and IHG as two independent constraints in this study to evaluate possible explanations for the discrepancy between top-down and bottom-up emission estimates.

The near-zero emissions from the UNEP reported production and feedstock usage in the recent years cannot be reconciled with these model estimates. At a minimum, a present-day global emission of 30 Gg/yr is required to reproduce the observed CCl₄ trend and IHG. It is most likely that ~80%-100% of the total global emissions are released in the NH. The likely range of global emissions and total lifetime (τ) associated with the above range of NH emission fraction remains large, varying from global emission ~ 30 Gg/yr and τ ~ 36 years for a 100% NH emission fraction to global emission ~ 50 Gg/yr and τ ~ 25 years for a 80% NH emission fraction. In the majority cases, this implied τ needed to reconcile the observed trend and IHG, is longer than the current best estimate lifetime (25 years). This would necessitate longer atmospheric, ocean and/or soil partial lifetimes than the current best estimates. Our findings point to the need of a more accurate bottom-up emissions estimate and/or lifetime estimate to close the CCl₄ budget mystery. Alternatively, information on fractional emission estimate from the two hemispheres, which is not well quantified currently, can also help in narrowing the likely range of global emission and lifetime.
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Table 1. A description of the five 3-D GEOSCCM CCl₄ simulations used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Average emission 1995-2012 (Gg/yr)</th>
<th>Hemispheric emission fraction NH:SH</th>
<th>Lifetime τ (yr)</th>
<th>Partial lifetimes (yr)</th>
<th>Simulation Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Simulation Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run A</td>
<td>Baseline simulation</td>
<td>1960-2012</td>
<td>64&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94%:6%</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>47 80 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run B</td>
<td>Decreased ocean loss, Decreased atmospheric loss forced by reducing the photolysis rate</td>
<td>1995-2012</td>
<td>35&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94%:6%</td>
<td>36.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; 160&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run C</td>
<td>Repartitioning of emissions into the SH with reduced global emissions.</td>
<td>1995-2012</td>
<td>50&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88%:12%</td>
<td>30.7&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>47 160&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run D</td>
<td>As Run C, but with latitude-dependent ocean loss rates with faster degradation in the Southern Hemisphere.</td>
<td>1995-2012</td>
<td>50&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88%:12%</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>47 135 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run E</td>
<td>Same lifetimes as in Run A and same emissions as in Run B. This simulation does not match the observed CCl₄ decline.</td>
<td>1995-2012</td>
<td>35&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94%:6%</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>47 80 200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The global 1-box model top-down emissions estimate for τ ~ 25.8 years.

<sup>b</sup> IHG-based annual emissions calculated using the average of IHG<sub>GMD</sub> (section 3.2) and the IHG from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) network [Prinn et al., 2000] (Appendix B).

<sup>c</sup> τ, τ<sub>atmos</sub>, and τ<sub>ocean</sub> are determined using the global 1-box model in the forward mode with the IHG-based emissions and the observed global trend.

<sup>d</sup> For Run C, τ<sub>ocean</sub> is determined using the 2-box hemispheric model by matching the IHG<sub>GMD</sub>.

<sup>e</sup> The global 1-box model top-down emissions estimate for τ ~ 30.7 years.

<sup>f</sup> The latitude-dependent ocean loss rates used are 1/288 yr<sup>-1</sup> for 45-90°N, 1/222 yr<sup>-1</sup> for 0-45°N, 1/122 yr<sup>-1</sup> for 0-45°S, 1/75 yr<sup>-1</sup> for 45-90°S. The relative strength of latitude-dependent loss rates are provided by Shari Yvon-Lewis (personal communication) and then scaled to give an ocean partial lifetime of 135 yrs.
Figure 1. A) The observed CCl$_4$ mixing ratios at the NOAA GMD stations (color symbols) and the global mean values (solid black line). B) Same as A) but for mixing ratio anomalies. Note that some 2012-2013 data are preliminary, and have not yet undergone final calibration. C) CCl$_4$ emissions derived from atmospheric measurements (red and blue shading) and bottom-up potential emissions estimated from production data (green lines). The potential emissions estimate was derived from the difference between total CCl$_4$ production reported to UNEP (black line) and feedstock (line labeled). Red shading indicates the top-down emissions estimate from the global 1-box model using a total lifetime range of 25-36 yr. Blue shading indicates the IHG-scaled emissions using IHG$_{GMD}$ and an empirically derived scaling factor with a range of 1/0.05-1/0.06 Gg yr$^{-1}$/ppt (section 3.5).
Figure 2. (a) The scatter diagram of model mean inter-hemispheric gradient vs. global annual emissions used in each model run between 1995-2012. Each symbol represents one annual-averaged value. The dashed lines (same color as the symbols) show the regression slope for each run. The gray shaded region indicates the IHG_{GMD} between 1995-2012. (b) The global mean CCl₄ mixing ratios from the NOAA GMD stations (thick black line) and model runs A-E.
Figure 3. The red plus symbols on all panels show the scatter diagram of annual mean IHG vs. global emissions between 1995-2012 from a reference calculation from the 2-box hemispheric model. This reference calculation is equivalent to the 3-D model Run C, with a hemispheric emission fraction of 88%NH:12%SH, $\tau_{ocean}=160$ yrs, $\tau_{soil}=200$ yrs, and $\tau_{atmos}=47$ yrs. Each symbol represents one annual-averaged value. The gray shaded region indicates the range of IHGMD between 1995-2012. The groups of blue squares on each panel show the 2-box hemispheric model sensitivity results by varying one of the input variables, (a) $E_{hemis}$ with regression slope $b$ (ppt/Gg yr$^{-1}$) shown in parenthesis, (b) $\tau_{ocean}$, (c) $\tau_{soil}$, and (d) $\tau_{atmos}$, with respect to the reference calculation. The dashed lines (same blue color as the symbols) show the regression slope for each corresponding 2-box hemispheric model calculation.
Figure 4. The root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD) of 2-box hemispheric model calculated IHG (using the IHGMD as references) as a function of total lifetime for each assumed hemispheric emission fraction (70%-100%). For each hemispheric emission fraction line, we highlight in red symbols the optimal total lifetime that yields the least RMSD.