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Basics of Nuclear Systems

Heat Energy = 0.023 MeV/nucleon (0.558 W/g Pu-238)
Natural decay rate (87.7-year half-life)

Long history of use on Apollo and space science missions
44 RTGs and hundreds of RHUs launched by U.S. during past 4 decades
Heat produced from natural alpha (α) particle decay of Plutonium (Pu-238)
Used for both thermal management and electricity production

Heat Energy = 0.851 MeV/nucleon
Controllable reaction rate (variable power levels)
Used terrestrially for over 65 years
Fissioning 1 kg of uranium yields as much energy as burning 2,700,000 kg of coal
One US space reactor (SNAP-10A) flown (1965)
Former U.S.S.R. flew 33 space reactors
Heat produced from neutron-induced splitting of a nucleus (e.g. U-235)
At steady-state, 1 of the 2 to 3 neutrons released in the reaction causes a subsequent fission in a “chain reaction” process
Heat converted to electricity, or used directly to heat a propellant
Fission Introduction

• Creating a fission chain reaction is conceptually simple
  – Requires right materials in right geometry
• Good engineering needed to create safe, affordable, useful fission systems

• 1938 Fission Discovered
• 1939 Einstein letter to Roosevelt
• 1942 Manhattan project initiated
• 1942 First sustained fission chain reaction (CP-1)
• 1943 X-10 Reactor (ORNL), 3500 kWt
• 1944 B-Reactor (Hanford), 250,000 kWt
• 1944-now Thousands of reactors at various power levels
Fission is Highly Versatile with Many Applications

• Small research reactors
  – Examples include 2000 kWt TRIGA reactor recently installed in Morocco (< $50M)

• Advanced, high-power research reactors and associated facilities
  – Examples include the US Fast Flux Test, EBR-II, ATR, HFIR

• Commercial Light Water Reactors
  1,371,000 kWe (3,800,000 kWt)

• Space reactors
  – SNAP-10A 42 kWt / 0.6 kWe
  – Soviet reactors typically 100 kWt / 3 kWe (some systems >150 kWt)
  – Cost is design-dependent
Fission is Highly Versatile with Many Applications (continued)

- Naval Reactors
  - Hundreds of submarines and surface ships worldwide

- Production of medical and other isotopes

- Fission Surface Power
  - Safe, abundant, cost effective power on the moon or Mars

- Nuclear Thermal Propulsion
  - Potential for fast, efficient transportation throughout inner solar system

- Nuclear Electric Propulsion
  - Potential for efficient transportation throughout solar system

- Highly advanced fission systems for solar system exploration
Typical Space Fission System Operation

• System power controlled by neutron balance
• Average 2.5 neutrons produced per fission
  – Including delayed
• Constant power if 1.0 of those neutrons goes on to cause another fission
• Decreasing power if < 1.0 neutron causes another fission, increasing if > 1.0
• System controlled by passively and actively controlling fraction of neutrons that escape or are captured
• Natural feedback enables straightforward control, constant temperature operation
• 200 kWt system burns 1 kg uranium every 13 yrs

0.5 m
Control of Reactor Conditions

\[ k \equiv \text{Multiplication Factor} \]

\[ k = \frac{\text{Production Rate}}{\text{Loss Rate}} = \frac{N(t+l_n)}{N(t)} \]

- \( k < 1 \) (subcritical, \( dN/dt < 0 \))
- \( k = 1 \) (critical, \( dN/dt = 0 \))
- \( k > 1 \) (supercritical, \( dN/dt > 0 \))
Safe, Compact, Near-Term Fission Power Systems Could Help Enable Higher Power Fission Propulsion Systems

**Science:**

- Jupiter Europa Orbiter ~600 We (5 to 6 RPS)
- Neptune Systems Explorer ~3 kWe (9 Large RPS)
- Kuiper Belt Object Orbiter ~4 kWe (9 Large RPS)
- Trojan Tour ~800 We (6 RPS)

**Exploration:**

- Teleoperated Rovers
- ISRU Demo Plants
- Site Survey Landers
- Comm Relay Stations
- Remote Science Packages
Fission Can Provide the Energy for Either Nuclear Thermal or Nuclear Electric Propulsion Systems

- NEP Power System Performance Projections from 2001 STAIF Conference
- Fission Surface Power and Prometheus Concepts Superimposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Near</th>
<th>Cargo</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>Crew</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>1300K</td>
<td>1500K</td>
<td>2000K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brayton, Brayton, Brayton, Brayton</td>
<td>6 kg/m^2, 3 kg/m^2, 1.5 kg/m^2</td>
<td>200 Vac, 1000 Vac, 5000 Vac</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available ~10 yrs</td>
<td>Available ~ 15-20 yrs</td>
<td>Available ~ 25-30 yrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cargo=Instrument rated shielding, 1.6x10^15 nvt, 1.2x10^8 rad @ 2 m
Crew=Human rated shielding, 5 rem/yr @ 100 m, 7.5° half angle

Chart courtesy Lee Mason, NASA GRC
NASA is Currently Funding an “Advanced Exploration Systems” Project Investigating Nuclear Thermal Propulsion (NTP)

• Nuclear thermal propulsion (NTP) is a fundamentally new capability
  – Energy comes from fission, not chemical reactions
  – Virtually unlimited energy density
• Initial systems will have specific impulses roughly twice that of the best chemical systems
  – Reduced propellant (launch) requirements, reduced trip time
  – Beneficial to near-term/far-term missions currently under consideration
• Advanced nuclear propulsion systems could have extremely high performance and unique capabilities
• A first generation NTP system could serve as the “DC-3” of space nuclear power and propulsion
NERVA Reactor Cross Section

Current Designs Build on Previous NTP Engine Designs / Tests

- Control Drums
- Reflector
- Core
- Control Drum Absorber Plate

Fuel Segment Cluster

- Fuel Element
- Support Element
- Inner Tie Tube
- ZrH Moderator
- Outer Tie Tube
- Insulator
- Tie Tube Support Collar and Cap
- Miniarch
Leverage the highly successful Rover/NERVA program (1955-1973) and more recent programs.
The most powerful nuclear rocket engine ever tested (Phoebus 2a) is shown during a high-power test. The reactor operated for about 32 minutes, 12 minutes at power levels of more than 4.0 million kilowatts.
Typical Space Fission System Operation

System power controlled by neutron balance
Average 2.5 neutrons produced per fission
  – Including delayed
Constant power if 1.0 of those neutrons goes on to cause another fission
Decreasing power if < 1.0 neutron causes another fission, increasing if > 1.0
System controlled by passively and actively controlling fraction of neutrons that escape or are captured
Natural feedback enables straightforward control, constant temperature operation
200 kWt system burns 1 kg uranium every 13 yrs
- 45 grams per 1000 MW-hr
• Fission events yield bimodal distribution of product elements.

• These products are generally neutron-rich isotopes and emit beta and gamma particles in radioactive decay chains.

• Most products rapidly decay to stable forms – a few, however, decay at slow rates or decay to daughter products which have long decay times.

• Example fission products of concern:
  —Strontium-90 (28.8-year half-life)
  —Cesium-137 (30.1-year half-life)

• Isotope amounts decrease by factor of 1,000 after 10 half-lives and 1,000,000 after 20 half-lives.

• Decay power 6.2% at t=0 (plus fission from delayed neutrons), 1.3% at 1 hour, 0.1% at 2 months (following 5 years operation).
Fission Products

- **α decay**
  - $^{209}_{83}$Bi ($\frac{N}{Z} = 1.52$)

- **β decay**
  - $^{107}_{47}$Ag ($\frac{N}{Z} = 1.28$)
  - $^{56}_{26}$Fe ($\frac{N}{Z} = 1.15$)
  - $^{20}_{10}$Ne ($\frac{N}{Z} = 1.0$)

- Positron emission and/or electron capture

See area enlargement "Band of Stability 2"
\[
\frac{I}{I_o} = (B)e^{-\frac{\mu}{\rho}(x\rho)}
\]

\( I \) = intensity

\( I_o \) = initial intensity

\( B \) = Buildup Factor

\( e \) = 2.71828

\( \mu \) = linear attenuation coefficient

\( \rho \) = density

\( \frac{\mu}{\rho} \) = mass attenuation coefficient

\( X \) = shield thickness

Mass Attenuation Coefficient ($\mu/\rho$ cm$^2$/g) of Al, Fe, W, and U at 1.0, 3.0, and 8.0 MeV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 MeV</td>
<td>0.0615</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0618</td>
<td>0.0790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 MeV</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>0.0362</td>
<td>0.0408</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0 MeV</td>
<td>0.0244</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0447</td>
<td>0.0488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shield design must also take into account “buildup”, inelastic neutron scatter, gammas from neutron capture, geometry, thermal management, radiation damage, and other factors.
Neutron Radiation Shielding

Use hydrogenous material to slow neutrons.

Optimal Design – Avoid Capture Gammas, Gammas From Inelastic Scatter

\(^6\text{Li}\) and \(^{10}\text{B}\) capture neutrons with no significant gamma radiation released.

Water is a great neutron shield, borated water a little better still!
Neutron Cross Sections

Measure of the probability of a particular neutron-nucleus interaction.

Property of the nucleus and the energy of the incident neutron.

Symbolized “σ”, common unit is “barn” = 1.0 x 10^{-28} m^{2}

Neutron Flux = \( n \nu = \Phi \)

\( n = \) neutrons / m^{3}
\( \nu = \) neutron speed (m/s)

Reaction rate = \( \Phi \ N \ \sigma \)

\( N = \) nuclei / m^{3}
\( \Phi = \) neutron flux (neutrons / m^{2}-s)
\( \sigma = \) cross section (m^{2})
Comparison of Hydrogen and Deuterium Cross Sections

**Hydrogen Energy Dependent Neutron Cross Sections**

- (n,elastic) xsec
- (n, gamma) xsec

**Deuterium Energy-Dependent Cross Sections**

- (n,elastic) xsec
- (n, gamma) xsec
Beryllium Energy Dependent Cross Sections

- (n, elastic) xsec
- (n, gamma) xsec
- (n, alpha) xsec

Cross Section (barns)

Energy (eV)

10^{-3} to 10^{1}

10^{-2} to 10^{0}

10^{-1} to 10^{1}

10^{-2} to 10^{0}

10^{-3} to 10^{1}

10^{-2} to 10^{0}

10^{-3} to 10^{1}

10^{-2} to 10^{0}
NTR Transfer Vehicles for Reusable NEA, Lunar Cargo and Crewed Landing Missions using ~70 t-class SLS
(Courtesy Stan Borowski, NASA GRC)
Growth Paths Identified using Modular Components to Increase Vehicle LH₂ Capacity & Mission Applications
(Courtesy Stan Borowski, NASA GRC)

Configuration 1 Applications:
- Fast Conjunction Mars Landing Missions – Expendable
- “1-yr” Round Trip to Large NEAs 1991 JW (2027) and Apophis (2028) – Reusable
- Propulsion Stage & Saddle Truss / Drop Tank Assembly can also be used as:
  - Earth Return Vehicle (ERV) / propellant tanker in “Split Mars Mission” Mode – Expendable
  - Cargo Transfer Vehicle supporting a Lunar Base – Reusable

Configuration 2 Applications:
- Fast Conjunction Mars Landing Missions – Reusable
- 2033 Mars Orbital Mission
  545 Day Round Trip Time with 60 Days at Mars – Expendable
- Cargo & Crew Delivery to Lunar Base – Reusable

Configuration 3 Applications:
- Fast Conjunction Mars Landing Missions – Reusable or Expendable
- 2033 Mars Orbital Mission
  545 Day Round Trip Time with 60 Days at Mars – Reusable
- Some LEO Assembly Required
  - Attachment of Drop Tanks
- Additional HLV Launches

Options for Increasing Thrust:
- Add 4th Engine, or
- Transition to LANTR Engines – NTRs with O₂ “Afterburners”

Transition to “Star Truss” with 2 – 4 Drop Tanks to Increase Propellant Capacity
Notional NCPS Mission -- 2033  600 day Mars Piloted Stack
Core Stage, In-line Tank, & Star Truss w/ (2) LH₂ Drop Tanks
(Courtesy Stan Borowski, NASA GRC)

Design Constraints / Parameters:
- # Engines / Type: 3 / NERVA-derived
- Engine Thrust: 25.1 klbf (Pewee-class)
- Propellant: LH₂
- Specific Impulse, Isp: 900 sec
- Cooldown LH₂: 3%
- Tank Material: Aluminum-Lithium
- Tank Ullage: 3%
- Tank Trap Residuals: 2%
- Truss Material: Graphite Epoxy Composite
- RCS Propellants: NTO / MMH
- # RCS Thruster Isp: 335 sec (AMBR Isp)
- Passive TPS: 1" SOFI + 60 layer MLI
- Active CFM: ZBO Brayton Cryo-cooler
- I/F Structure: Stage / Truss Docking
- Adaptor w/ Fluid Transfer

Mission Constraints / Parameters:
- 6 Crew
- Outbound time: 183 days (nom.)
- Stay time: 60 days (nom.)
- Return time: 357 days (nom.)
- 1% Performance Margin on all burns
- TMI Gravity Losses: 265 m/s total, f(T/W₀)
- Pre-mission RCS ΔVs: 181 m/s (4 burns/stage)
- RCS MidCrs. Cor. ΔVs: 65 m/s (in & outbound)
- Jettison Both Drop Tanks After TMI-1
- Jettison Tunnel, Can & Waste Prior to TEI

NTP Transfer Vehicle Description:
NTP system consists of 3 elements: 1) core propulsion stage, 2) in-line tank, and 3) integrated star truss and dual drop tank assembly that connects the propulsion stack to the crewed payload element for Mars 2033 mission. Each 100t element is delivered on an SLS LV (178.35.01, 10m O.D.x 25.2 m cyl. § ) to LEO -50 x 220 nmi, then onboard RCS provides circ burn to 407 km orbit. The core stage uses three NERVA-derived 25.1 klbf engines. It also includes RCS, avionics, power, long-duration CFM hardware (e.g., COLDEST design, ZBO cryo-coolers) and AR&D capability. The star truss uses Gr/Ep composite material & the LH₂ drop tanks use a passive TPS. Interface structure includes fluid transfer, electrical, and communications lines.

Notional Example of Human Mars Mission
Proposed Types of Nuclear Thermal Propulsion

- LIQUID CORE NUCLEAR ROCKET
- SOLID CORE NUCLEAR ROCKET
- OPEN-CYCLE GAS CORE NUCLEAR ROCKET
- CLOSED-CYCLE GAS CORE NUCLEAR ROCKET
Future Plans / Path Forward

• Space nuclear power and propulsion are game changing technologies for space exploration

• The NASA Nuclear Thermal Propulsion (NTP) project has 1 to 3 years to demonstrate the viability and affordability of NTP

• Participation is encouraged. Please feel free to contact the NTP project with interest or ideas (michael.houts@nasa.gov)