Selection of Hyperspectral Narrowbands (HNBs) and Composition of Hyperspectral Twoband Vegetation Indices (HVIs) for Biophysical Characterization and Discrimination of Crop Types Using Field Reflectance and Hyperion/EO-1 Data

Prasad S. Thenkabail, Isabella Mariotto, Murali Krishna Gumma, Elizabeth M. Middleton, David R. Landis, and K. Fred Huemmrich

Abstract—The overarching goal of this study was to establish optimal hyperspectral vegetation indices (HVIs) and hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs) that best characterize, classify, model, and map the world’s main agricultural crops. The primary objectives were: (1) crop biophysical modeling through HNBs and HVIs, (2) accuracy assessment of crop type discrimination using Wilks’ Lambda through a discriminant model, and (3) meta-analysis to select optimal HNBs and HVIs for applications related to agriculture. The study was conducted using two Earth Observing One (EO-1) Hyperion scenes and other surface hyperspectral data for the eight leading worldwide crops (wheat, corn, rice, barley, soybeans, pulses, cotton, and alfalfa) that occupy ~70% of all cropland areas globally. This study integrated data collected from multiple study areas in various agroecosystems of Africa, the Middle East, Central Asia, and India. Data were collected for the eight crop types in six distinct growth stages. These included (a) field spectroradiometer measurements (350–2500 nm) sampled at 1-nm discrete bandwidths, and (b) field biophysical variables (e.g., biomass, leaf area index) acquired to correspond with spectroradiometer measurements. The eight crops were classified and classified using ~20 HNBs. The accuracy of classifying these 8 crops using HNBs was around 95%, which was ~25% better than the multi-spectral results possible from Landsat-7’s Enhanced Thematic Mapper+ or EO-1’s Advanced Land Imager. Further, based on this research and meta-analysis involving over 100 papers, the study established 33 optimal HNBs and an equal number of specific two-band normalized difference HVIs to best model and study specific biophysical and biochemical quantities of major agricultural crops of the world. Redundant bands identified in this study will help overcome the Hughes Phenomenon (or “the curse of high dimensionality”) in hyperspectral data for a particular application (e.g., biophysical characterization of crops). The findings of this study will make a significant contribution to future hyperspectral missions such as NASA’s HyspIRI.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND RATIONALE

Numerous studies (e.g., [1], [2]) have shown that the Hyperion imaging spectrometer onboard the Earth Observing One (EO-1) satellite has provided significantly enhanced data over conventional multi-spectral remote sensing systems. Hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs) and hyperspectral vegetation indices (HVIs) derived from EO-1 and field spectral measurements in the 400–2500 nm spectrum allow us to study very specific characteristics of agricultural crops. These include biomass, leaf area index (LAI), pigment content (e.g., chlorophyll, carotenoid, anthocyanin), stress (e.g., due to drought or disease), management properties (e.g., nitrogen application, tillage), and other biochemical properties (e.g., lignin, cellulose, plant residue) [23], [24]. The ability of hyperspectral data to significantly improve the characterization, discrimination, modeling, and mapping of crops and vegetation, when compared with broadband multispectral remote sensing, is well known [8]. This has led to improved and targeted modeling and mapping of specific agricultural characteristics, such as (a) biophysical and biochemical quantities [3]–[8], [13], (b) crop type/species discrimination [9]–[12], [15], (c) stress factors [14], [15], and (d) crop and water productivity, and energy balance [16]–[22]. These benefits will help us better understand a broad range of agricultural applications involving droughts [2], [3], food security [8]–[12], biodiversity [9], [11], and invasive species [9], [24]. Nevertheless, there are still significant knowledge gaps that require further research.

Contiguous bands of spectrometer data allow for accurate retrieval of plant biophysical and biochemical quantities using methods like continuum removal, first discussed by Clark and Roush in 1984 [25]–[28]. However, since information about agriculture is time sensitive, approximate analyses, quickly
obtained using one or more HVIs may be more useful than slow detailed retrievals based on continuum removal or similar approaches. Thus, there is an important need to develop hyperspectral narrowband indices. Recent research has demonstrated that the optimal information required to quantify, discriminate, and classify crop characteristics may be captured with a relatively small number of specific narrowbands [8], [23], [29]. However, these studies were limited to small areas, lacking regional or global perspective, and they contain significant uncertainties.

Large data volumes can be reduced through several data mining methods such as [8], [23], [30], [31], [34], [35]: (1) feature selection (e.g., principal component analysis, derivative analysis); (2) \( \lambda \) versus \( \lambda R^2 \) - plots between the different wavelength bands; (3) partial least squares (PLS), (4) stepwise linear regressions; and (5) hyperspectral vegetation indices (HVIs). These data mining methods led to: (a) reduction in data dimensionality, (b) reduction in data redundancy, and (c) extraction of unique information. There are several other methods of analyzing hyperspectral data such as Hierarchical Multiple Endmember Spectral Mixture Analysis (MESMA) [7], continuum removal [37], derivative vegetation indices [31], unmixing approaches [10], neural networks [30], and others [8].

In this current research, we made use of hyperspectral data from two Hyperion images and in-situ spectroradiometer data (1153 samples) of eight major worldwide crops (wheat, corn, rice, barley, soybeans, pulses, cotton, alfalfa; Table I). These crops occupy ~70% of all cropland areas of the world (Table I). These data were collected from distinct agroecosystems in Africa, the Middle East, Central Asia, and India (Fig. 1) and
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**Fig. 1.** Study areas from where hyperspectral data from spectroradiometer and Hyperion were gathered. The irrigated and rainfed cropland study areas of eight major world crops (Table I) in distinct agroecosystems for which hyperspectral data from spectroradiometer and Hyperion were collected from four study areas (see details in Table II).
represent eight distinct plant growth stages, each with sufficiently large sample size. These data were then used in the development of robust models of crop productivity (CP; kg/m²) using HNBs and HVIs.

As the number of bands in an image increases, the number of observations required to train a classifier increases exponentially to maintain classification accuracy [1], [2], [30]. When the spectral dimensionality of the data increases, this causes a loss of classifiability for an image with the same fixed number of training samples [1], [2], [30]. This is called the Hughes Phenomenon (or “the curse of high dimensionality”) [38]. We examined the high dimensionality problem for crop classification issues for biophysical retrievals. We used unique data mining techniques involving several thousand HVIs for each investigated crop variable, with the goal of identifying and eliminating redundant spectral bands.

Our main objectives were to: (1) select the best Hyperion narrowbands to compose two-band HVIs (i.e., normalized differences) for biophysical characterization of biomass, LAI, plant height, plant density, and grain yield; (2) identify the best HNBs and indices (HVIs) from field reflectance spectra for discriminating crop types and for comparing their performance with the corresponding broadband indices; and (3) perform meta-analysis to select optimal HNBs and HVIs for agriculture monitoring.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Study Areas

Four distinct study areas (Fig. 1) were selected based on the available hyperspectral and corresponding biological data (Table I) for the eight major world crops in various agroecological zones. These data were collected during different years (2000 through 2010) [8], [23], [32]–[35]. The study areas (Fig. 1) were: (A) Syria, semi-arid with supplemental irrigation (barley, pulses, soybeans); (B) Uzbekistan, heavily irrigated croplands (wheat, cotton, rice, alfalfa); (C) Africa, agricultural crops from different agroecological and climate zones (e.g., savanna in Sudan, Northern Guinea, Southern Guinea, with crops of corn, soybeans, and rice); and (D) India, rainfed croplands in semi-arid environments (barley, pulses, soybeans). Detailed characteristics of these data gathering efforts are described in various places [8], [23], [32]–[35] and will not be restated here. Data analysis of pooled, cross-site hyperspectral data for leading global crops from distinct agroecosystems of the world is quite rare, making this study unique.

B. Field Spectroradiometer Data

All field spectral measurements were made using Analytical Spectral Devices FieldSpec instruments (ASD, Boulder, CO, USA), which gather data between 350–2500 nm [8]. For the eight crops (Figs. 1, 2), there were a total of 1553 data points (Table II) for which hyperspectral data were available from various ASD FieldSpec instruments. These data were available for 6 distinct plant growth stages: early vegetative, mid vegetative, flowering, tillering, critical, and senescing. “Critical” growth stages vary for each crop. For rice crops, “critical” growth stages are tiller initiation, flowering, and milky stage.

For wheat crops, “critical” growth stages are crown initiation, flowering, joining, milky, and tillering. Gathering these spectra involved optimizing the integration time (typically set at 17 ms), providing fore-optic information, recording current data, and collecting white reference reflectance. At each site, 10 reflectance measurements were consistently taken along a transect, using a ladder to obtain a 3 m high nadir view. Crop variables collected during field visits included: (1) crop type (Table I); (2) crop growth stages (Fig. 2); (3) biophysical quantities such as wet and dry biomass (kg/m²), leaf area index (m²/m²), plant height (mm), and canopy cover (%); and (4) biochemical variables such as leaf nitrogen and plant pigments. Details of the methods and approaches of collecting data are discussed elsewhere [31], [33]–[35].

C. EO-1 Hyperion Data

Two Hyperion images were available for the Uzbekistan study area, taken within 2 days of the corresponding field data (Fig. 3). Hyperion Level 1 products are radiance values stored as 16-bit signed integers. These were converted from radiances (W m⁻² sr⁻¹ μm⁻¹) to at-sensor reflectance. Several atmospheric corrections were tried, but all had problems providing good correction values. Thus, using the original at-sensor reflectance data was considered the best option.

The first atmospheric correction tried was the MODTRAN-based FLAASH (Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral Hypercubes) routine, which retrieves aerosol and water vapor information from the image to provide well-adjusted input for the atmospheric correction [28]. However, using FLAASH on the two Hyperion images resulted in over-correction and/or uncertainties. These poor results may have been obtained because of the linearity assumption, which presumes uniform atmospheric transmission, scattering, and adjacency effects throughout the scene [36]. Also, very accurate water vapor and aerosol retrievals are only possible when the image contains bands in exact wavelength positions. In addition, FLAASH does not accept any kind of ancillary data, such as ozone, surface pressure, or water vapor for cases when this cannot be retrieved from the image data itself. In our study, a few pixels in the Hyperion images with specular reflectance seemed to influence the surface reflectance correction and there was uncertainty in the aerosol and water vapor retrievals due to the small area coverage of the Hyperion images. Essentially, FLAASH was unable to adjust for variations in several atmospheric parameters (e.g., ozone, surface pressure, and water vapor) [28], limiting the usability of FLAASH-derived surface reflectance for our study.

We also attempted to provide a simple alternative atmospheric correction [34] using the improved dark object subtraction technique [39], [40] to derive surface reflectance from apparent or at-sensor reflectance. This alternative correction appeared to retain features involving either oxygen, water vapor, or carbon dioxide, and thus produced poor results as well.

Original Hyperion images have 242 bands each of 10 nm bandwidth between 400 and 2500 nm, but only 157 narrow-bands were used. These useful bands were visible and near-infrared (VNIR) bands 8–57 (427.55–925.85 nm), and SWIR
TABLE II
STUDY AREAS, CROPS STUDIED, AND HYPERSONIC DATA POINTS. A TOTAL OF 1553 HYPERSONIC DATA POINTS WERE COLLECTED FOR EIGHT MAJOR WORLD CROPS (FIGS. 1, 2; TABLE I) USING GROUND BASED SPECTORADIMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Study Areas</th>
<th>Major crops</th>
<th>Major crop characteristics</th>
<th>Hyperspectral data and Data acquisition dates</th>
<th>number of data points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Africa (Sudan savanna, N. guinea savanna, S. guinea savanna, derived savanna, humid forests)</td>
<td>corn, soybeans, rice</td>
<td>biomass, plant height, plant density, crop types</td>
<td>Spectroradiometer; March- April, 2002</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Syria (supplemental irrigated areas)</td>
<td>Barley, corn, soybeans, wheat, pulses (chickpea)</td>
<td>biomass, LAI, Yield, plant height, plant density, nitrogen, crop types</td>
<td>spectroradiometer, August-September, 1997, April-May, 1998</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Uzbekistan (irrigated areas)</td>
<td>wheat, rice, cotton, alfalfa, corn</td>
<td>biomass, Yield, plant height, plant density, crop types</td>
<td>Hyperion 08/01/2007 08/06/2007 spectroradiometer</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>India (rainfed areas)</td>
<td>barley, soybeans, pulses (chickpea)</td>
<td>biomass, plant height, plant density, crop types</td>
<td>Spectroradiometer</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bands 79–224 (932.72–2395.53 nm). The uncalibrated bands (357–417 nm, 936–1068 nm, and 852–923 nm) were dropped as were wavebands in atmospheric windows (1306–1437 nm, 1790–1992 nm, and 2365–2396 nm) which had high noise. The
Fig. 2. Cross-site hyperspectral spectroradiometer data. Cross-site mean (regardless of which study site (1–4, Table II)) spectral plots of eight leading world crops in various growth stages. (A) Four crops at different growth stages; (B) same four crops as in A but in different growth stages; (C) four more crops at early growth stages; and (D) same four crops as C, but at different growth stages. Note: numbers in bracket are sample sizes.

Fig. 3. Hyperion data of crops illustrated for typical growth stages in the Uzbekistan study area. The Hyperion data cube shown here is from a small portion of one of the two Hyperion images. The Hyperion spectra of crops are gathered from different farm fields in the two images and their average spectra illustrated here along with the sample sizes indicated within the bracket. The field data was collected within two days of the image acquisition.
Fig. 4. Original narrowband versus simulated broadband reflectance field spectra of leading world crops. The hyperspectral reflectance field spectra of eight leading crops, each at two distinct growth stages, are shown for narrowbands (left) and simulated for Landsat ETM+ broadbands (right). Note: sample size within brackets.

at-sensor reflectances were then obtained for the wheat (56 samples), corn (64), rice (38), cotton (52), and alfalfa (32) crops from the two Uzbekistan images. These images were acquired during the crop stages shown in Fig. 3. The study addressed the early growth stage for wheat, and late growth stages for the other four crops (corn, rice, cotton, and alfalfa). The crops in other growth stages within these images were ignored since the sample sizes were inadequate (<200 pixels). The average at-sensor reflectance spectra of the 5 crops at either early or late growth stages are shown in Fig. 3.

D. Methods for Objective 1: Selection of Hyperion Narrowbands to Compose Two-Band Indices for Biophysical Characterization

Contour plots of R-square values for wavelength bands and HVIs with rigorous search criterion are considered the best choice for the comprehensive assessment of hundreds of wavebands and thousands of indices [31], [34], [35], [42]. Two-band normalized difference Hyperion indices (HVIs) were examined for biophysical characterization. These indices were computed from every possible 2-band combination of Hyperion bands from the two images of Uzbekistan. The HVIs were computed using the standard equation [31]:

\[ \text{HV1}_{ij} = \frac{R_j - R_i}{R_j + R_i} \]  

where, i, j are the two waveband centers for reflectance (R, %) for 157 narrow-wavebands. For each variable (e.g., biomass) per crop, there are 12,246 unique indices possible. These were calculated as \( \left( \frac{157 \times 157 - 157}{2} \right) \); divided by 2 because the values above and below the diagonal are the transpose of one another, and minus 157 because these are diagonal values. Each of these HVIs were then correlated with crop variables, such as wet and dry biomass (kg/m²), leaf area index (m²/m²), and plant height (mm).

E. Methods for Objective 2: Selection of FieldSpec and Two-Band Narrowband Indices for Discriminating Crop Types

We adopted a discriminant model [35], [43] to determine how well the eight crops were distinguished based on hyperspectral narrowband data (Fig. 4(a)) and simulated corresponding broadband data (e.g., for Landsat ETM+, Fig. 4(b)). Crop discrimination was performed using Wilks’ Lambda, a stepwise discriminant analysis (SDA) [43], because it provided the most lucid, rapid, and straightforward results to determine the separability among multiple classes [35]. In addition to the Wilks’ Lambda, there are a number of other SDA methods for crop class separability, such as [31], [34], [35]: (a) Jeffries-Matusita (JM) index; (b) Pillai trace; and (c) canonical correlation. Wilks’ Lambda is the most commonly used and reported, however Pillai’s criterion is useful for small or unequal sample sizes.

The Wilks’ Lambda SDA (PROC STEPDISC [43]) begins with no waveband information in the model. At each step, the variable (e.g., specific narrowband) that contributes most to the discriminatory power of the model is entered. The stepwise process continues, with the inclusion of variables that meet the criterion to stay, and stops when no additional variables add to model success [43]. The class separability of the 1553 hyperspectral measurements representing various growth stages of the eight leading world crops was determined using Wilks’
A. Selection of Hyperion Narrowbands to Compose Two-Band Indices for Biophysical Characterization

We used the Uzbekistan Hyperion images (Section II.C, Fig. 3) to examine the HVI relationships to crop biomass for wheat and corn crops. These two crops were chosen because they had the largest sample sizes and are the two leading crops of the world (Table I). Fig. 5 shows contour plots of coefficients of determination (R-square) for all pairs of wavelength bands in two band normalized difference HVI with: (a) wheat wet biomass (Fig. 5, above the diagonal), and (b) corn crop wet biomass (Fig. 5, below the diagonal). The “bull’s eye” regions (Fig. 5, colored areas) are areas of highest $R^2$ values and are used to determine the most important HNBs. The large number of wavebands in the gray areas have the lowest $R^2$ values (<0.5) and hence are considered to be redundant. These wavelength plots are a powerful means of determining the most useful Hyperion narrowbands. Based on these plots and meta-analysis (Section III.C), we selected those HNBs having high $R^2$ values (Table IV), in agreement with several studies (e.g., [8], [23], [31], [34], [35]).

The waveband combinations that provide the best $R^2$ values between HVIs and biophysical quantities are different for wheat and corn crops (Fig. 5). This is due to different growing conditions (e.g., soils, climate, management practices) and different agroecosystems. This is why major crops from distinct agroecosystems have been pooled and studied together.

B. Selection of FieldSpec Narrowbands for Crop Discrimination

The Wilks’ Lambda [34], [35] was used to see how well the eight crops were separated using various number of HNBs vs. Landsat ETM+ bands and EO-1 ALI bands (Fig. 6). It was found that the smaller the value of the Wilks’ Lambda statistic, the greater the separability. So, for perfect separation of the eight crops, we would need a Wilks’ Lambda of zero. Since hyperspectral sensors have hundreds of wavebands, the likelihood of finding ones that can separate vegetation/crop types or biochemical quantities increases drastically. At about 20 bands, Wilks’ Lambda becomes near zero (Fig. 6) indicating near perfect separability of the eight crops. In comparison, the Wilks’ Lambda of the eight crops simulated for the Landsat ETM+ and the ALI bands were only about 0.49 and 0.32 respectively, indicating poor differentiation of crop types using these broadbands.

The discriminant model (Section II.E, 1) was used to determine overall accuracies in classifying the eight crops using the HNBs and BBs (Fig. 7). About 20 HNBs provided a classification accuracy of 95% (Fig. 7). Additional bands increased that by an insignificant amount, leading to near asymptotic accuracy beyond 20 bands. In comparison the maximum accuracies attained were 67% for the six non-thermal simulated Landsat ETM+ bands, and 71% for the nine simulated ALI bands. The best band combinations of HNBs for separating or discriminating crop types or classifying them are shown in Table III.

If the number of bands remains high, the number of observations required to train a classifier increases exponentially to maintain classification accuracies [30], due to the Hughes Phenomenon. For example, three narrowbands centered at 540 nm,
Fig. 6. Separating eight major crops of the world based on Wilks' Lambda stepwise discriminant analysis (SDA) method using: (a) broadband data of Landsat ETM+ and EO-1 ALI, and (b) hyperspectral narrowband (HNB) data of EO-1 Hyperion using some of the data of three study areas. Note: the smaller the Wilks' Lambda the greater the separability. A Wilks' Lambda of 1 means perfect separability. It took about 25 HNBs to achieve near perfect separability between eight crops.

Fig. 7. Crop classification performance of hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs) versus multispectral broadbands (MBBs). Overall accuracies in classifying five agricultural crops using simulated reflectance field spectra of Landsat ETM+ and EO-1 ALI broadband Landsat broadbands vs. Hyperion hyperspectral narrowbands. Overall accuracies attained using six non-thermal Landsat bands was about 60% whereas about 20 hyperspectral narrow bands provided about 90% overall accuracy. Beyond 20 bands, any increase in accuracy with increase in additional bands is very minor.

550 nm, and 560 nm are almost perfectly correlated to one another when studying agricultural crop biophysical characteristics. Therefore, wavebands that provide the best information should be selected and the others dropped when studying crops. Nevertheless, the bands deemed redundant for one application may be valuable in other applications, such as in the study of geology, water/ice, and marine resources.

C. Selection of Optimal HNBs and HVIs for Crop Biochemical Characteristics

Selection of HNBs and HVIs (Table IV) for crop biochemical characteristics required rigorous meta-analysis (Section II.F). The relevance of these HNBs and their use in calculating HVIs has been established by numerous researchers (Table IV) and is discussed in various chapters of Thenkabail et al. [8]. For example, Thenkabail et al. [8] show that a waveband centered at 550 nm provides excellent sensitivity to plant nitrogen, one centered at 515 nm is best for pigments (carotenoids, anthocyanins), and one at 970 or 1245 nm is preferred to study plant moisture fluctuations. Lignin, cellulose, protein, and nitrogen have relatively low reflectance and strong absorption in SWIR bands due to water absorption that masks other absorption features [4], [5]. Thus, there is sufficient scope to expand this research further to find additional hyperspectral two-band vegetation indices (HTBVIs) (Table IV) and hyperspectral multiband vegetation indices (HMBVIs) [31], [33]–[35]. This could lead to identifying specific biophysical indices such as biomass, LAI, plant height, canopy cover, fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (fAPAR), net primary productivity (NPP), and grain yield. Discrimination of subtle biochemical constituents such as the starches, proteins, lignin, and cellulose requires fine (3 to 5 nm) spectral bandwidths (Fig. 8) [8]. Biochemical factors such as chlorophyll a and b, total chlorophyll, carotenoids, anthocyanins, nitrogen, water, and those involved in plant structure (e.g., lignin, cellulose) (Fig. 8) require similar bandwidths.

NASA's planned hyperspectral satellite, HyspIRI (Hyperspectral Infrared Imager), is expected to cover the entire globe once every 19 days. This new source of HNB data will provide continuous spectra leading to spectral signatures of every target (Fig. 8). However, for any given application (such as agricultural cropland studies), this HNB data will also yield a significant number of redundant bands, which once identified can be ignored.

D. Optimal HNBs and HVIs versus Whole Spectral Analysis: A Discussion

As shown in this research, the entire spectrum is not required for many applications, due to redundant HNBs. This study achieved three key goals in characterizing eight major world agricultural crop biophysical and biochemical characteristics by:

A. Overcoming the Hughes Phenomenon (or the curse of high dimensionality of hyperspectral data) by utilizing...
THENKABAIL et al.: SELECTION OF HNBs AND COMPOSITION OF HVIs FOR BIOPHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND DISCRIMINATION OF CROPTYPES

Fig. 8. Optimal hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs). Current state of knowledge on hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs) for agricultural and vegetation studies (inferred from [8]). The whole spectral analysis (WSA) using contiguous bands allow for accurate retrieval of plant biophysical and biochemical quantities using methods like continuum removal. In contrast, studies on wide array of biophysical and biochemical variables, species types, crop types have established: (a) optimal HNBs band centers and band widths for vegetation/crop characterization, (b) targeted HVIs for specific modeling, mapping, and classifying vegetation/crop types or species and parameters such as biomass, LAI, plant water, plant stress, nitrogen, lignin, and pigments, and (c) redundant bands, leading to overcoming the Hughes Phenomenon. These studies support hyperspectral data characterization and applications from missions such as Hyperspectral Infrared Imager (HyspIRI) and Advanced Responsive Tactically Effective Military Imaging Spectrometer (ARTEMIS). Note: sample sizes shown within brackets of the figure legend refer to data used in this study.

-33 optimal HNBs and ignoring redundant HNBs (e.g., Fig. 5);

B. Targeting specific vegetation biophysical and biochemical variables (e.g., plant moisture, cellulose, lignin, biomass, yield) using the ~33 most sensitive HVIs. Each of these HVIs are targeted towards a specific study (e.g., plant moisture) as shown in Table IV and Fig. 8; and

C. Improving accuracies in vegetation type or species classification through ~20 optimal HNBs as illustrated in Figs. 6 and 7.

Nevertheless, the HNBs deemed optimal for biophysical and biochemical characterization of agricultural crops may not be optimal for the study of other applications such as minerals, water, and forests. Therefore, there will always be the need for full spectrum data. Having continuous spectra will be invaluable for: (a) establishing derivative greenness vegetation indices through continual removal that integrates spectra over a range of electromagnetic spectrum, (b) building spectral libraries of ideal or target spectra for spectral matching techniques, and (c) applying spectra for multitude of applications where certain wavebands that are redundant for one application (e.g., biophysical quantification) but invaluable for some other applications (e.g., minerals, water).

E. Relevance of HNBs and HVIs in Crop Classification, Discrimination, and Modeling

Overall, this research established that 33 HNBs and an equal number of HVIs are the most valuable for studying major world crops (Table IV). Eight of these HNBs are in the far short wave infrared (1945–2230 nm), six in the near short-wave infrared (1440–1770 nm), three in the near-infrared (1095–1250 nm), four in the near-infrared (750–1050 nm), three in the red-edge (700–745 nm), two in the red (645–700 nm), four in the green (510–575 nm), and three in the blue (400–495 nm).
We also found that HNBs used to classify or discriminate agricultural crops (Figs. 6 and 7) became asymptotic between ~20 to 25 HNBs. Beyond this point, adding additional bands in classification or discrimination of crop types did not statistically provide improvements. The physically meaningful HVIs, computed using the HNBs, are classified into 6 distinct types: (1) biophysical HVIs; (2) biochemical HVIs; (3) plant stress HVIs; (4) plant water and moisture HVIs; (5) light use efficiency HVIs; and (6) lignin, cellulose, and residue HVIs. The physical relevance of these HVIs has also been found by other researchers, as summarized in Table IV.

### IV. Conclusion

Several key advances were discussed in this paper. First, optimal hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs) and hyperspectral vegetation indices (HVIs) were identified for the study of eight major agricultural worldwide crops (wheat, corn, rice, barley, soybeans, pulses, cotton, and alfalfa) that occupy ~70% of the global cropland areas. There were 33 HNBs (Table IV, Fig. 8) found to be optimal for characterizing, classifying, monitoring, modeling, and mapping these crops.

Second, 33 HVIs were constituted to address six specific crop and vegetation characteristics (Table IV, Fig. 8) based on λ versus λ R^2 - plots. Physiological indices such as PRI, NDWI, and ARI established in other studies have their formulations based on criteria other than λ versus λ R^2 - plots. The closest physical/biological rationale for each of the 33 HVIs can be understood from the references provided in Table IV.

Third, approximately 20 HNBs were best able to classify and separate the eight leading world crops. These crops were classified with an overall accuracy of 95% using ~20 HNBs, whereas the six non-thermal Landsat ETM+ broadbands provided overall accuracy of 67%, and the nine EO-1 ALI broadbands provided an overall accuracy of 71%. Therefore,
the HNBs provide about 25% greater accuracies when compared with broadbands such as Landsat ETM+ and EO-1 ALI, which should be similar to results forthcoming from Landsat-8. Fourth, this research further solidified earlier findings [8], [23], [30], [31] that about 90% of the HNBs are redundant in characterizing, classifying, modeling, and mapping agricultural crops. Identification of these redundant bands will help in overcoming the Hughes Phenomenon. The $\lambda_1$ (350–2500 nm) contour plots of $R^2$ values were used to model crop biophysical and biochemical characteristics and determine optimal versus redundant bands. This process, along with the meta-analysis, also helped identify waveband centers ($\lambda$) and waveband widths ($\Delta\lambda$) that provide the best relationships, the highest $R^2$-values (Table IV).

Furthermore, the question of whether to use contiguous bands or optimal bands needs careful evaluation. Continuous spectra will be invaluable for: (a) establishing derivative greenness vegetation indices through continual removal that integrates spectra over a range of electromagnetic spectrum, (b) building spectral libraries of ideal or target spectra for spectral matching techniques, and (c) applying spectra for multitude of applications where certain wavebands that are redundant for one application (e.g., biophysical quantification) but invaluable for some other applications (e.g., minerals, water). However, a large number of HNBs will be redundant in characterizing major agricultural crops. Thereby, use of optimal bands will suffice for many purposes.

The results of this study will aid in better understanding of hyperspectral data in agricultural crop characterization, classification, monitoring, modeling, and mapping. This research will also make significant contribution to future hyperspectral missions such as NASA’s HypsIIRI.
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