Assessing the origins of aliphatic amines in the Murchison meteorite from their compound-specific carbon isotopic ratios and enantiomeric composition

José C. Aponte a,b,*, Jason P. Dworkin b, Jamie E. Elsila b

a NASA Postdoctoral Program at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
b NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and Goddard Center for Astrobiology, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

Received 1 March 2014; accepted in revised form 29 June 2014; Available online 10 July 2014

Abstract

The study of meteoritic organic compounds provides a unique window into the chemical inventory of the early Solar System and prebiotic chemistry that may have been important for the origin of life on Earth. Multiple families of organic compounds have been extracted from the Murchison meteorite, which is one of the most thoroughly studied carbonaceous chondrites. The amino acids extracted from Murchison have been extensively analyzed, including measurements of non-terrestrial stable isotopic ratios and discoveries of L-enantiomeric excesses for α-dialkyl amino acids, notably isovaline. However, although the isotopic signatures of bulk amine-containing fractions have been measured, the isotopic ratios and enantiomeric composition of individual aliphatic amines, compounds that are structurally related to amino acids, remain unknown. Here, we report a novel method for the extraction, separation, identification and quantitation of aliphatic monoamines extracted from the Murchison meteorite. Our results show a complete suite of structural isomers, with a larger concentration of methylamine and ethylamine and decreasing amine concentrations with increasing carbon number. The carbon isotopic compositions of fourteen meteoritic aliphatic monoamines were measured, with δ13C values ranging from +21‰ to +129‰, showing a decrease in 13C with increasing carbon number, a relationship that may be consistent with the chain elongation mechanism under kinetic control previously proposed for meteoritic amino acids. We also found the enantiomeric composition of sec-butylamine, a structural analog to isovaline, was racemic within error, while the isovaline extracted from the same Murchison piece showed an L-enantiomeric excess of 9.7%; this result suggested that processes leading to enantiomeric excess in the amino acid did not affect the amine. We used these collective data to assess the primordial synthetic origins of these meteoritic aliphatic amines and their potential linkage to meteoritic amino acids.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Carbonaceous chondrites represent some of the oldest and most primitive solid materials formed in the Solar System. These meteorites have recorded the history of the formation of the molecular cloud, the solar nebula, and subsequent development of the protoplanetary disc (Anders, 1989; Chyba and Sagan, 1992; Pizzarello and Shock, 2010). The Murchison meteorite is a CM2 carbonaceous chondrite that fell in southeastern Australia in 1969 and represents the most thoroughly studied carbonaceous chondrite with respect to its organic chemistry (Kvenvolden et al., 1970; Yuen and Kvenvolden, 1973; Cronin and Chang, 1993; Meierhenrich et al., 2004; Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010). Multiple organic classes,
including amino acids, carboxylic acids, aliphatic amines, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, nucleobases and polyls have been identified from this meteorite (e.g. Jungclaus et al., 1976; Krishnamurthy et al., 1992; Cooper et al., 2001; Martins et al., 2008; Callahan et al., 2011), providing valuable insights into the chemical inventory of the early Solar System, the primordial synthesis of organic matter, and the question of how life appeared on Earth.

One of the most intriguing questions about meteoritic organics is the origin of the L-enantiomeric excesses reported in a few chiral amino acids extracted from Murchison (Cronin and Pizzarello, 1997; Engel and Macko, 1997; Pizzarello and Cronin, 2000; Glavin and Dworkin, 2009) and other carbonaceous meteorites (Pizzarello et al., 2008, 2012; Glavin et al., 2012; Burton et al., 2013). The delivery of these enantiomeriched amino acids to the early Earth may have contributed to the origin of biological homochirality (predominance of the L-enantiomer in biological amino acids), a fundamental unanswered question in origins of life. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the origin of the amino acid symmetry breaking found in some carbonaceous meteorites (Bonner, 1991; Greenberg, 1996; Meinert et al., 2014; Modica et al., 2014) and the subsequent amplification of their enantiomers (e.g. Soai et al., 1995; Klussman et al., 2006; Pizzarello and Groy, 2011; Glavin et al., 2012); however, the exact mechanisms remain unclear (Aponte et al., 2014).

Most meteorite amino acids possess stable isotopic compositions (D/H, $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C, $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N) that are enriched in the heavier isotopes relative to terrestrial sources, suggesting a link to formation in cold, interstellar environments. In addition, the $^{13}$C isotopic composition of structurally different amino acids has been shown to differ according to the degree of aqueous and thermal alteration in various meteorite types (Martins et al., 2007; Burton et al., 2012), providing important constraints on their formation mechanisms (Epstein et al., 1987; Pizzarello et al., 1991, 2004; Elsila et al., 2012).

Meteoritic aliphatic monoamines (for simplicity we use “amines” throughout the manuscript) and amino acids share structural features such as carbon backbones and chiral centers. If these compound classes also share a synthetic history, then there should be evidence preserved in the molecular and isotopic distribution of these species. Specifically, since meteorite branched aliphatic amines (e.g. sec-butylamine) contain chiral centers similar to those found in some meteoritic amino acids (e.g. isovaline) (Scheme 1), it has been suggested that these compounds might share a common chemical origin (Pizzarello, 2002; Pizzarello et al., 2006; Hudson et al., 2009).

$\text{C}_1$ and $\text{C}_2$ aliphatic amines (methylamine and ethylamine) were detected in comet-exposed material from the Stardust sample return mission to comet Wild 2 and were argued to be non-terrestrial in origin (Sandford et al., 2006; Glavin et al., 2008; Elsila et al., 2009), while various aliphatic amines extracted from the Murchison meteorite have been previously reported by Jungclaus et al. (1976) and Pizzarello et al. (1994). The isotopic compositions of bulk amine-containing fractions extracted from the Murchison meteorite have been measured (Pizzarello et al., 1994), but no compound-specific measurements have been reported. There is only one preliminary report in an abstract of the enantiomeric distribution of sec-butylamine in Murchison (Pizzarello, 2002). In addition, the amine content from eight Antarctic meteorites have been reported (Pizzarello and Holmes, 2009; Pizzarello et al., 2012), but the isotopic ratios and enantiomeric compositions of aliphatic amines were not determined. Without isotopic and enantiomeric information for the aliphatic amines in meteorites, it is difficult to investigate the potential synthetic relationship between amines and amino acids and to eliminate terrestrial contamination as their origin, leaving an important gap in the study of these compound families.

The objectives of the present study were: (a) to develop a novel meteorite extraction and analytical protocol for the quantification of meteoritic amines and their enantiomeric composition; (b) to measure the compound-specific $\delta^{13}$C isotopic composition of meteoritic aliphatic amines; and (c) to evaluate the potential synthetic relationships between meteoritic amines and their structurally related amino acid analogs based on their distributions and $^{13}$C isotopic compositions. We also evaluated different synthetic pathways for the sec-butylamine and isovaline in light of the data, and discuss the astrophysical implications for the molecular origins of these compounds.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Standards and reagents were purchased from Alfa Aesar or Sigma–Aldrich and used without further purification except as noted below. Ultrapure water (Millipore Direct Q3 UV, 18.2 MΩ, 3 ppb total organic carbon; hereafter referred to as “water”), HPLC grade dichloromethane (DCM), semi-conductor grade NaOH, and 6 M HCl (doubly distilled) were used. Functionalized aminopropyl and triaza-bicyclodecene (TBD) silica gels were obtained from SiliCycle (SiliaBond®, 40–63 μm particle size). (S)-(-)-N-(trifluoroacetyl)pyrroolidine-2-carbonyl chloride (S-TPC, 97% ee, contained trace amounts of dimethylamine) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. All glassware, ceramics and sample handling tools were rinsed with water, wrapped in aluminum foil and heated in a muffle furnace at 500 °C in air overnight.

2.2. Extraction of aliphatic amines

A single chip of the Murchison carbonaceous chondrite with a visible portion of fusion crust (CM2, USNM 5451.1; total mass: 13.74 g; extracted mass: 12.95 g) was provided by the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. The sample, which was composed of more than 95% inner Murchison and the rest fusion crust,
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Scheme 1. Structures of sec-butylamine and isovaline (chiral center is marked with *).
was manually crushed and powdered using a porcelain mortar and pestle inside a positive pressure high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtered laminar flow hood (Air-Clean Systems, Inc.). The meteorite powder was separated into fourteen individual portions of approximately 900 mg each, flame sealed inside glass ampules with 1 mL of 0.5 M HCl, and extracted for 24 h at 100 °C. The aqueous supernatants were removed after centrifugation, the pH of the supernatants measured (~8; various forms of carbonates and other alkaline species may explain this pH, see De Leuw et al. (2010) and references therein), and the residual powders were rinsed twice using 0.5 mL of water and once using 0.5 mL of 6 M HCl to retain the non-volatile hydrochloride amine salts. The aqueous supernatants and rinses from the fourteen portions were combined into two fractions, which were dried under vacuum overnight. Three mL of water were added to each of the two dried residues, which were then centrifuged. The liquid supernatants were divided into six fractions. Each residue was also rinsed twice more (2 and 1 mL water), centrifuged, and the liquid supernatants added to the six fractions. The pH was continuously monitored using pH paper, and was observed to be acidic throughout. Next, iron hydroxides were precipitated by adding 1 mL of 8 M NaOH to each of the six fractions. After centrifugation, the liquid layers (~3 mL each) were removed and apportioned into eight vials which contained 1 mL of 6 M HCl each. The six vials of the precipitated iron hydroxides were additionally rinsed using 1 mL of water, and this water was again apportioned into the eight vials. These eight acid fractions were concentrated under vacuum, blown dry with nitrogen gas. Samples were dissolved in acetate for GC–MS and GC–IRMS analyses. were determined by ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection and time of flight mass spectrometry (LC–FD/ToF-MS) technique coupled with o-phthaldialdehyde/N-acetyl-L-cysteine (OPA/NAC) derivatization following previously described methods (Glavin et al., 2011). The carbon isotopic composition of the amino acids in these samples was not measured because of sample size limitations.

2.4. Derivatization of aliphatic amines

We modified previously reported methods using enantiopure S-TPC as a chiral derivatization reagent (Van Boeckel et al., 1997; LeVasseur et al., 2008; Mohr et al., 2012). Typically, a base is needed to catalyze and achieve a complete conversion of primary and secondary amines to their corresponding amides; we used TBD bound to silica gel to obtain a complete conversion. Attempts to use other bases such as aminopropyl silica gel, hydroxides and tertiary amines produced a mixture of byproducts, incomplete reactions, or contaminated the sample with primary amines. The use of S-TPC as the derivatization reagent allowed resolution of a mixture of aliphatic amines, including the enantiomers of sec-butylamine, using a chiral GC column. TBD silica gel (50 mg) and 0.1 M S-TPC in DCM (50 μL) were added to the DCM extracts containing free or total amines; the slurry was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. Next, 100 mg of aminopropyl silica gel were added to the mixture and stirred for an additional 15 min to remove any excess of S-TPC. The slurry was passed through a glass pipette with a plug of pyrolyzed quartz wool as a filter, rinsed using 0.5 mL of DCM, and blown dry with nitrogen gas. Samples were dissolved in 50 or 100 μL (free and total extracts respectively) of ethyl acetate for GC–MS and GC–IRMS analyses.

2.5. Compositional and isotopic analyses

Amine analyses were performed using gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry and isotopy ratio mass spectrometry (GC–MS/IRMS), which provides compound-specific identification and stable carbon isotopic ratios (Elsila et al., 2012). The gas chromatographic separation was accomplished using a Thermo Truce GC equipped with a 5 m base-deactivated fused silica guard column (Restek, 0.25 mm ID) and four 25 m length × 0.25 mm I.D. × 0.25 μm film thickness Chirasil L-Val capillary columns (Agilent) connected using Press-Tight connectors (Restek). The oven program was set as follows: initial temperature was 40 °C, ramped at 8 °C/min to 136 °C and held for 1 min, ramped at 4 °C/min to 148 °C and held for 10 min, ramped at 2 °C/min to 152 °C and held for 22 min, ramped at 4 °C/min to 178 °C, and ramped at 10 °C/min to 200 °C with a final hold of 12 min. The carrier gas used was UHP helium (5.0 grade) at 1.8 mL/min flow rate. Triplicate injections of derivatized amines were made in splitless mode in aliquots of 1 μL. Approximately 10% of the sample eluting from the GC column was directed into a Thermo DSQII electron-impact quadrupole mass spectrometer (ion source set at 200 °C and 70 eV). The mass...
spectrum was used to identify and quantify the meteoritic aliphatic amines by comparison to reference standards and applying a calibration curve. The m/z of the single ion used to identify and quantify compounds 1–8, 10–14 and 18–25 was 166; compound 9 was extracted at m/z = 100; compounds 15 and 16 at m/z = 236–238; and compound 17 at m/z = 280. The remaining 90% of each eluting compound was directed through a Thermo GC-C III interface for oxidation of the compounds to carbon dioxide; the δ¹³C stable isotopic measurement was then made on a Thermo MAT 253 IRMS. The δ¹³C values of the eluting compounds were obtained after injection of three pulses of precalibrated CO₂ (δ¹³C = −24.23‰ VPDB) into the IRMS and computation using Thermo Isodat 2.5 software. In order to correct for the amount of carbon added by the derivatization reagent, underivatized standards were analyzed on a Costech ECS 4010 combustion elemental analyzer (EA) connected to the IRMS. The final δ¹³C values of the meteoritic amines were calculated using Eq. (1).

\[
\delta^{13}C_{\text{sample amine}} = \left[ \left( \frac{n_{\text{amine}} + n_a}{n_{\text{amine}}} \right) \times \left( \delta^{13}C_{\text{derivatized sample amine}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{derivatized std amine}} \right) \right] + \delta^{13}C_{\text{underivatized std amine}}
\]

(1)

where \( n_{\text{amine}} \) = number of carbon atoms in underivatized amine and \( n_a \) = number of carbon added by derivatizing reagent. The precision (standard deviation) of the δ¹³C values was obtained using Eq. (2) (Docherty et al., 2001).

\[
\sigma^2_{\text{sample amine}} = \sigma^2_{\text{underivatized std}} + \left[ \left( \sigma^2_{\text{derivatized std}} \times \left( \frac{n_{\text{amine}} + n_a}{n_{\text{amine}}} \right) \right) \right] + \left[ \left( \sigma^2_{\text{derivatized sample}} \times \left( \frac{n_{\text{amine}} + n_a}{n_{\text{amine}}} \right) \right) \right]^{-1}
\]

(2)

2.6. Effects of methodology on pure amino acid and amine standards

In order to understand whether our methodology would have a significant impact on the measured amine content, we first extracted, hydrolyzed, and derivatized a mixture of 26 amino acid standards (including isovaline and other C₁–C₀ amino acid isomers and enantiomers previously identified in the Murchison meteorite). We also evaluated the effects of the extraction, hydrolysis, and derivatization procedure on aliphatic amine standards. Enantiopure (S)-sec-butylamine was subjected to this protocol to determine whether significant racemization occurred. In addition, δ¹³C values were measured of both unprocessed and processed aliphatic amine standards to investigate potential isotopic fractionation effects.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Method for analysis of aliphatic amines and potential terrestrial contamination

We developed a novel gas chromatographic method suited for the separation of a total of 25 aliphatic primary and secondary amines, including three pairs of enantiomers. The two previous methods used to analyze aliphatic amines were developed by Jungclaus et al. (1976) who used ortho-phthalaldehyde (OPA) to derivatize primary amines only; and by Pizzarello et al. (1994) who used pentafluoroproionic anhydride (PFPA) for the derivatization of primary and secondary amines; however, neither of these two protocols were suited for the enantiomeric separation of chiral amines. The conversion of the amines into their corresponding amides is presented in Scheme 2; chiral diastereomers are formed from enantiomeric amines, allowing for improved chromatographic separation on a chiral GC column and the determination of enantiomeric composition. The identities and abundances of the investigated compounds are presented in Table 1, while their structures are shown in Fig. 1.

S-TPC was the derivatization reagent of choice given its commercial availability, chiral nature, and low number of carbon atoms added during derivatization. However, this reagent had one minor drawback; it contained a trace amount of dimethylamine, as seen in the procedural blank (Fig. 2). The ¹³C isotopic value of dimethylamine present in the procedural blank was not measurable given its low concentration and thus is a minor contributor to the ¹³C isotopic value of meteoritic dimethylamine. The acid form of S-TPC (Fig. 2, peak R) coeluted with two chiral amines having five carbons (C₅) ((R)-sec-pentylamine, and (S)-3-methyl-2-butylamine) and a secondary amine (ethylpropylamine); however, we identified and quantified these compounds either by running the derivatization reactions using an excess of the amine standard over S-TPC (in which case the S-TPC-acid peak does not appear), or by using their distinctive fragmentation patterns in the mass spectrometer.

No racemization was observed when the enantiopure (S)-sec-butylamine standard was taken through the extraction, hydrolysis, and derivatization procedure, and δ¹³C variations were within error after aliphatic amine standards were taken through the entire analytical protocol (Table S2). Our workup protocol appears to accurately measure the original enantiomeric and isotopic composition of aliphatic amines.

Terrestrial contamination in the Murchison sample was inferred from the amino acid contents. We measured the free and total amino acid content of both the fusion crust and interior of the Murchison meteorite sample; results are shown in Table S1. The analyses indicated contamination by terrestrial amino acids, evident in the increased

Scheme 2. Synthesis of diastereomeric sec-butylamine amides. TPC is: (S)-(−)-N-(Trifluoroacetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carbonyl chloride.
L/D ratios of proteinogenic amino acids such as alanine and valine in the fusion crust. The interior, however, contained racemic alanine and valine, indicating that the bulk of the sample did not suffer from terrestrial contamination. Furthermore, we also noticed an increase in the amounts of isovaline from the interior chip to the fusion crust piece without changes in its L/D enantiomeric ratio. Experiments aimed at understanding mechanisms leading to the potential concentration of amino acids in the fusion crust that are beyond the scope of this investigation might be required to fully understand this observation.

In order to investigate the potential contribution of amines by the decomposition of amino acids, we took a mixture of 200 μmol of each of 26 amino acids and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Aliphatic amine</th>
<th>Abundance (nmol/g)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>tert-Butylamine</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.23</td>
<td>44 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Isopropylamine</td>
<td>7.24 ± 0.75</td>
<td>42 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Methylamine</td>
<td>57.37 ± 4.01</td>
<td>94 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dimethylamine</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.60</td>
<td>23 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ethylamine</td>
<td>10.09 ± 0.74</td>
<td>24.13 ± 2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>tert-Pentylamine</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ethylmethylamine</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(R)-sec-Butylamine</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.22</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Diethylamine</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(S)-sec-Butylamine</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.18</td>
<td>5.03 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>n-Propylamine</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.30</td>
<td>6.39 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(R)-3-Methyl-2-butylamine</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Methylpropylamine</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Isobutylamine</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(R)-sec-Pentylamine</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(S)-3-Methyl-2-butylamine</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ethylpropylamine</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3-Pentylamine</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>(S)-sec-Pentylamine</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>n-Butylamine</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>(R,S)-2-Methylbutylamine</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Isopentylamine</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>n-Pentylamine</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Pyrrolidine</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-Hexylamine</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Concentrations and δ¹³C values (‰ VPDB) for free and total aliphatic amines extracted from the Murchison CM2 carbonaceous chondrite.

- **a**: Compounds identified by comparison with elution time and mass spectra of standards.
- **b**: Values are the average of three measurements; errors shown are standard deviations.
- **c**: Includes small contribution from dimethylamine, phthalate or S-TPC acid.
- **d**: Value could not be determined due to coelution or poor peak resolution.
- **e**: Value could not be determined due to coelution with S-TPC acid.
- **f**: Value could not be determined due to coeluting compounds and limited amount of sample.

![Fig. 1. Structures of aliphatic amines listed in Table 1. Unresolved chiral center marked with *](image-url)
subjected it to the complete analytical protocol (extraction, hydrolysis and derivatization); we observed the production of isopropylamine and isobutylamine in trace amounts. Isopropylamine could be formed by decarboxylation of $\alpha$-aminoisobutyric acid and $\beta$-aminobutyric acid; while isobutylamine could be derived from the decomposition of valine, 3-amino-2,2-dimethyl-propanoic acid, and 4-amino-3-methylbutanoic acid.

Since the potential meteoritic amino acid precursors of isopropylamine and isobutylamine we extracted from the Murchison sample were at minimum 1333 (inner) and 42 (crust) times lower than those in this experiment, the contribution of any amines produced during the workup are well below our quantitation and isotopic measurement errors so they would not interfere with our measurements of indigenous meteoritic aliphatic amines.

3.2. Distribution and quantification of aliphatic amines in the Murchison meteorite

Fig. 2 presents the total ion chromatogram of a procedural blank, a representative injection from the Murchison meteorite through GC–MS and GC–IRMS, and the mixture of amine standards, illustrating the application of our analytical protocol to a meteorite extract. We analyzed both the free and total amine content of the Murchison meteorite sample. The “free” extract contains those soluble or chemically unbound amines that are readily extracted in
the aqueous 0.5 M HCl fraction, while the “total” extract contains both 0.5 M HCl soluble amines and those bound that are released after 6 M HCl vapor hydrolysis. Fig. 3 compares the amine content of the two extracts.

We found that after hydrolysis methylamine and ethylamine (48% and 139%, respectively) and other primary amines having linear aliphatic chains showed a significant increase in their concentrations. Any increase in the abundance of branched and secondary amines were within our analytical uncertainties (Table 1, Fig. 3). The observed increase in the abundance of linear amines is in agreement with Jungclaus et al. (1976) who reported that the amount of some meteoritic aliphatic amines in Murchison increased more than 100% after hydrolysis in liquid 6 M HCl. Hydrolysis liberates amines from bound precursor forms, for example from amides in their free-soluble form and those bound to small size particles of insoluble organic matter.

We identified a total of 25 meteoritic amines in the Murchison meteorite, including all amines previously reported by Jungclaus et al. (1976), as well as all the amines reported by Pizzarello et al. (1994) with the exception of butylmethylamine, for which we lacked the reference standard. In addition, we identified the compounds pyrrolidine (a cyclic secondary amine) and n-hexylamine, which to our knowledge have not been previously reported in Murchison or any other meteorite. Our results show a complete suite of

![Graph showing abundances of amines](image)

Fig. 3. Abundances of 25 free (acid-soluble) and total (free plus those released by 6 M HCl-vapor hydrolysis) amines extracted from the Murchison CM2 carbonaceous chondrite. Compounds were plotted in order of increasing number of carbon atoms and grouped according to their molecular structures.
C1–C4 aliphatic amines (with higher concentration of methylamine and ethylamine), and a trend of decreasing abundance of primary and secondary aliphatic amines with increasing number of carbons (Table 1, Fig. 3). The concentrations of free and total amines were 106 and 157 nmol/g of meteorite respectively; methylamine was the most abundant amine, constituting 54% of the concentration of free amines and total amines. Secondary amines were lower in concentration than primary amines; they represented 5% and 6% of extracted free and total amines respectively. The overall high concentration of methylamine and the low abundance of secondary amines are in agreement with previous measurements of Murchison (Jungclaus et al., 1976; Pizzarello et al., 1994).

A comparison of individual compound abundances indicates that the amount of primary amines we detected were 1.2–2.8 times lower than those found by Jungclaus et al. (1976) but up to 2.3 times higher than the abundances reported by Pizzarello et al. (1994). Differences in concentrations could be attributed to different extraction and derivatization methodologies; extraction efficiencies may vary, and S-TPC as well as the other derivatization reagents used previously may react at different rates with amines depending on their steric hindrance and steric shielding, leading to enhancement or suppression of relative abundances in a mixture. However, we did not observe a direct relationship between amine structure and comparisons of measured abundances with previous reports. For example, we detected 1.7 and 2.8 times less n-propylamine and isopropylamine than Jungclaus et al. (1976), but similar amounts of the more hindered tert-butylamine; analogously, we obtained higher amounts of sec-butylamine than Pizzarello et al. (1994), but similar amounts of less hindered propylamine and isopropylamine. Therefore, we consider that the differences in both absolute and relative concentrations could be due to heterogeneity within the Murchison meteorite, as has been reported previously for other organic compounds (Cronin and Pizzarello, 1983; Krishnamurthy et al., 1992; Pizzarello et al., 2003). Nevertheless, the complete structural diversity and trend of lowering abundance with increasing molecular weight is similar to that seen in other compound classes such as meteoritic aliphatic amino acids and carboxylic acids (Cronin and Pizzarello, 1983, 1986; Huang et al., 2005; Aponte et al., 2011). These similarities in compound distributions may be indicative of the common origins and development throughout the Solar System.

3.3. Compound-specific δ¹³C analysis of meteoritic aliphatic amines

Table 1 and Fig. 4 present the δ¹³C values we measured for the amines extracted from the Murchison meteorite; all measured compounds were substantially enriched in ¹³C relative to biogenic organics, strongly supporting an extraterrestrial origin (Scott et al., 2006; Larsen et al., 2009). Given the presence of terrestrial amino acid contamination in the fusion crust of this sample (Section 3.1) it is reasonable that some terrestrial amines are also present, thus δ¹³C values presented here should be considered as a lower limit for extraterrestrial Murchison amines.

The compound-specific δ¹³C values ranged from +23‰ to +94‰ for free amines, and from +21‰ to +129‰ for total amines, with methylamine possessing the highest δ¹³C values in both (Table 1). These enriched carbon isotopic values constrain the primordial synthesis of aliphatic amines or their precursors to cold interstellar environments. The only previous assessment of the carbon isotopic composition of meteoritic amines was reported by Pizzarello et al. (1994). In that report, the total hydrolyzed amine extract exhibited a δ¹³C of +22‰; no compound-specific measurements were made. As with the previously discussed variations in amine concentrations, there are several possibilities for the relative enrichment of our compound-specific measurements compared to the previous bulk measurement. First, amines may have been measured with different efficiencies in the two studies. Second, the differences may reflect the inherent variability of the organic composition in different fragments of the Murchison meteorite. Third, the bulk extract previously measured may have contained organic bases other than primary and secondary aliphatic amines. It is possible that the workup by Pizzarello et al. (1994) also included tertiary amines, nitrogen heterocycles,

![Fig. 4. δ¹³C isotopic data for the aliphatic amines extracted from the Murchison meteorite. The compounds were grouped by increasing number of carbon atoms and according to their molecular structures.](image-url)
etc. and may have lighter carbon isotopic compositions or biogenic sources and thus lowered the δ13C value of the bulk basic extract.

Table 1 compares the carbon isotopic ratios of the free and total amines. For most compounds, there was no significant difference between these two fractions. The methylamine, however, showed a significant enrichment in 13C in the hydrolyzed fraction compared to the unhydrolyzed fraction. Slight 13C enrichment was observed in n-propylamine and n-butylamine; based on the analysis of standards (Table S2), it is unlikely that the hydrolysis method causes isotopic fractionation of amines. These results suggest that the increase in 13C content of these amines in the hydrolyzed extract is due to the addition of “bound” amines released after vapor hydrolysis. These bound amines could include amides or other precursors present in the initial aqueous extract. This observation is supported by the increase in the abundance of these molecules after hydrolysis. This observation is inconsistent with the large amounts of terrestrial amino acids and amines that are likely to be present in the hydrolyzed extract. The observed trends of decreasing 13C with increasing carbon number, beginning with methylamine and ethylamine and their amino acid analogs (glycine and α- or β-alanine). The straight-chain C3 amine (n-propylamine), shows slight 13C enrichment over one of its corresponding amino acid analogs (α-amino-n-butyric acid) (δ13C values for β-aminoisobutyric acid and γ-amino-n-butyric acid are not available for Murchison). In contrast, the δ13C values observed for branched C3 and C4 amines (isopropylamine and sec-butylamine) and their corresponding amino acids (α-aminoisobutyric acid and isovaline) are the same within analytical uncertainties ±4−5‰, Table 2). Again, no δ13C values are available for the minor C3 amino acids; isobutyramine coelution with S-TPC acid precluded its measurement.

To understand the high isotopic difference between methylamine and ethylamine and their amino acid analogs, we first evaluated various possible sources of fractionation. Previously measured n- and ω-alanine δ13C values were identical within error (Table 2; Engel et al., 1990; Pizzarello et al., 2004; Elsila et al., 2012), indicating a lack of contamination from terrestrial biological ω-alanine. This observation is inconsistent with the large amounts of terrestrial amino acids that would be needed to account for a 40‰ difference between methylamine and ethylamine and their analogous amino acids; therefore, we disregard terrestrial contamination as the cause of the large δ13C difference found between these compound classes. Meteorite sample variability is also unlikely to account for such large isotopic differences; although isotopic ratios may vary somewhat throughout a meteorite, glycine and alanine δ13C values have been shown to be relatively consistent across several different CM2 chondrites (Elsila et al., 2012), and Table 2 shows that the range of amino acid δ13C values measured in separate samples of the Murchison meteorite is smaller than the difference between the C1 and C2 primary amines and their corresponding amines. Isotopic fractionation during extraction and workup also seems unlikely, given that our experiments with aliphatic amine standards showed no variation in their 13C content after extraction and workup (Table S2). The 13C enrichment could be produced by the isotopic fractionation during loss of the more volatile compounds such as methylamine and ethylamine either during their residence on the parent body or since the collection of the Murchison meteorite. If amines and amino acids had the same δ13C initially, but the amines preferentially lost the lighter fraction, they would be more enriched in 13C; this process of fractionation
ABA: aminobutyric acid; AIB: aminoisobutyric acid.

would also affect their abundance, indicating that the original concentrations of methylamine and ethylamine would have been even higher than those we observed (Table 1), and the contribution of these two compounds to the total amine content in Murchison would have exceeded the 70% we report. Alternatively, a direct explanation for the isotopic differences and similarities found between amines and amino acids would suggest that C1 and C2 primary amines and their amino acid analogs were formed by distinct synthetic routes, while C3 and C4 amines and their amino acid analogs were formed by similar synthetic processes.

Methyamine can be produced by reductive amination of carbon monoxide (CO) or hydrogenation of hydrogen cyanide (HCN); these two distinct synthetic routes can occur in gas phase, ice grains, or inside the parent body (Tielens and Hagen, 1982; Charnley et al., 2004; Theule et al., 2011; Elsila et al., 2012). These precursor molecules could then react with 12C-methylamine and 12CO in order to produce ethylamine, glycine and other amino acid precursors such as formaldehyde and formic acid, leaving 13C-methylamine unreacted and resulting in products that decrease in 13C content with increasing chain length and complexity. The observed isotopic differences suggest that amines and their analogous amino acids were formed from precursor molecules that amplify isotopic fractionation through a chain elongation mechanism (Yuen et al., 1984).

Elsila et al. (2012) proposed that the observed 13C-depletion with increasing chain length for α-H-α-amino acids may result from the synthesis of these compounds from aldehydes and ketones synthesized from fractionated 13C-enriched CO, and then reacted to their constitutional isomers, and their similar carbon isotopic compositions, it has been postulated that there may be a potential synthetic relationship between sec-butylamine and isovaline (Pizzarello et al., 1994; Hudson et al. 2009).

Fig. 5 presents the gas chromatograph of (R)- and (S)-sec-butylamine (compounds 8 and 10) monitored at m/z $= 166.0 \pm 0.5$ and the mass spectra of these peaks in the Murchison extract compared to a standard. As seen in Table 1, the measured concentrations of (R)- and

Table 2
Comparison of the $\delta^{13}C$ (‰, VPDB) values of structurally analogous amino acids (previous studies) and aliphatic amines (this study) from the Murchison CM2 carbonaceous chondrite.

| Amino acid   | $\delta^{13}C$ | Aliphatic amine       | Free $\delta^{13}C$ | Total $\delta^{13}C$
|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------
| Glycine      | 22°, 41°, 13° | Methylamine           | 94                  | 129                  |
| D-Alanine    | 30°, 52°, 38° | Ethylamine            | 80                  | 80                   |
| L-Alanine    | 27°, 38°, 40° |                       |                     |                      |
| β-alanine    | 5°, 10°       |                       |                     |                      |
| D-α-ABA      | 29°, 18°      | α-Propylamine          | 35                  | 40                   |
| α-AIB        | 5°, 43°, 38°  | Isopropylamine        | 42                  | 42                   |
| D, L-Isovaline | 17°, 22°, 39° | (R)-sec-Butylamine    | 34                  | 37                   |
|              |               | (S)-sec-Butylamine    | 34                  | 35                   |

ABA: aminobutyric acid; AIB: aminoisobutyric acid.

a Engel et al. (1990).
b Pizzarello et al. (2004).
c Elsila et al. (2012).

$\delta^{13}C$ values for sec-butylamine measured in this study falls within the range of values previously measured for D,L-isovaline (Engel et al., 1990; Pizzarello et al., 2004; Elsila et al., 2012). Given their structural similarity, their higher abundance in relation to their constitutional isomers, and their similar carbon isotopic compositions, it has been postulated that there may be a potential synthetic relationship between sec-butylamine and isovaline (Pizzarello et al., 1994; Hudson et al. 2009).
(S)-sec-butylamine were equal within experimental error, indicating a racemic mix of these compounds. This is the first full report of the separation and quantitation of these compounds in the Murchison meteorite. A preliminary examination of the enantiomeric composition of sec-butylamine extracted from one piece of the Murchison meteorite was previously reported in an abstract (Pizzarello, 2002). That examination reported an L-enantiomeric excess of 17.8%; however, a brief mention in a book chapter (Pizzarello et al., 2006) suggested that analysis of a second sample did not show any statistically significant enantiomeric excess and that the discrepancy was the result of terrestrial contamination or sample heterogeneity.

Table 3 compares the enantiomeric ratios of D- and L-isovaline with those of R- and S-sec-butylamine. Given the previously reported variability observed in the organic composition in different fragments of the Murchison meteorites (Cronin and Pizzarello, 1983; Krishnamurthy et al., 1992; Pizzarello et al., 2003), we used a portion of the same meteorite fragment to extract isovaline. Our analyses indicate that both the free and total meteoritic sec-butylamine are racemic, while the free and total isovaline contain significant enrichments of the L-enantiomer (Table 3). Isovaline in both the free and total amino acid extracts showed significant enrichments of the L-enantiomer, with enantiomeric excesses of 9.6% (free) and 9.8% (total) respectively. These excesses are in agreement with previous analyses, which reported varying degrees of L-isovaline enantiomeric excess up to 18% in the Murchison meteorite (Cronin and Pizzarello, 1997; Pizzarello et al., 2003; Glavin and Dworkin, 2009).

The observations of different enantiomeric compositions but similar distribution of the constitutional isomers and carbon isotopic ratios for sec-butylamine and isovaline can constrain the synthetic relationship between these two compounds. While the location and processes that lead to the enantioenrichment of isovaline remain unclear, our data indicate that those processes did not create similar enantiomeric enrichments of sec-butylamine. Two primordial...
environments can be envisioned for the formation of these compounds: cold interstellar regions and the Murchison parent body. The rate of racemization of sec-butyramine and isovaline under radiation in cold interstellar simulated conditions remains to be determined. However, α-dialkylation amino acids are highly resistant to racemization (Pollock et al., 1975); similarly, the low acidity of the α-hydrogen present in sec-butyramine also makes this compound resistant to racemization (pKa = 40; Bordwell et al., 1981) through parent body processes. Thus, any primordial enantiomeric excess occurring before their incorporation to the parent body should have been observable from our sample.

The similarity in the distribution of their constitutional isomers and 13C isotopic composition of sec-butyramine and isovaline suggests that these compounds may have formed from the same carbon pool and precursors, and that the enantiomeric imbalance found in isovaline developed through yet-to-be-discovered mechanisms that did not have an effect on sec-butyramine. Such common precursors could include sec-butyramine and its hydrolysis product methyl ethyl ketone. The achiral imine or ketone may undergo a cyanhydrin (Strecker-type) reaction (Peltzer and Bada, 1978; Peltzer et al., 1984) to form isovaline or could undergo hydrogenation (from the imine) or reductiveamination (from the ketone) to form sec-butyramine. It is plausible that a small enantiomeric excess (within our experimental error) could be introduced to either compound during these formation processes if the reactions are driven by circularly polarized light as previously shown for the synthesis of D- and L-alanine (Takano et al., 2007; De Marcellus et al., 2011). This small excess could then be amplified through parent-body processes (Glavin et al., 2012) that affected the isovaline but not the sec-butyramine, leading to the observed enantiomeric compositions. Further experiments are needed to prove if symmetry breaking can occur when synthesizing sec-butyramine and isovaline from achiral reagents and to examine potential amplification mechanisms.

There may also be direct synthetic connections between the sec-butyramine and isovaline through either the loss or addition of a CO2 group. The similarity in 13C isotopic composition of the two compounds suggests that the δ13C value of the carbon in that CO2 is not significantly different from the δ13C value of the other four carbons. The formation of sec-butyramine through the decarboxylation of isovaline has been previously proposed (Pizzarello et al., 1994). Although decarboxylation experiments for isovaline under cosmochemical conditions have yet to be reported, bond breaking induced by radiation should result in higher degrees of decomposition than racemization, as exemplified by the low degree of racemization found after photolysis of l-alanine (Ehrenfreund et al., 2001b). Furthermore, it is likely that decarboxylation would lead to the loss of any enantiomeric excess present in the amino acid parent molecule because of the formation of a prochiral ion intermediate. It is unclear, however, how prominent this decomposition reaction may have been on the asteroid parent body, given the low temperature of aqueous alteration (maximum 80°C; Breamley, 2006; Aponte et al., 2011), and the shielding offered by the parent body against cosmic radiation. Therefore, only a minor portion of the sec-butyramine found in Murchison may derive from the decarboxylation of isovaline.

A second potential synthetic relationship between sec-butyramine and isovaline is the formation of isovaline through the addition of carbon dioxide (CO2) to the amine (Hudson et al., 2009). Gas-grain phase reactions happening on the surface of cold grains coated with ices via ions and radical species using achiral precursors will result in racemic products (Cronin and Chang, 1993; Herbst, 1995; Bernstein et al., 2002; Munoz Caro et al., 2002). When such reactions are driven by ultraviolet circularly polarized light, however, small enantiomeric excesses may be produced (Takano et al., 2007; De Marcellus et al., 2011), although no experiments have yet examined the synthesis of isovaline from racemic sec-butyramine under these conditions. The addition of CO2 (or other acid forming species such as a nitrile group) to sec-butyramine after incorporation into the parent body seems unlikely given the low acidity of the amine α-hydrogen and the shielding of these molecules from cosmic radiation. Further investigations on the isotopic and enantiomeric composition of sec-butyramine and isovaline present in carbonaceous chondrites of different petrologic types are needed to understand the influence of thermal and aqueous processes on the origins and evolution of these chiral molecules.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study was threefold: (a) to analyze the abundance and distribution of free and bound meteoritic amines; (b) to determine the enantiomeric composition of sec-butyramine and compare to that of isovaline in a single meteorite sample; and (c) to evaluate the 13C isotopic composition of aliphatic amines present in the Murchison meteorite for the first time. This compilation of data, combined with previous analyses of meteoritic amino acids, allowed us to evaluate the origins and synthetic mechanisms of these compounds under cosmochemical conditions:

- We observed trends of abundance and structural diversity similar to those previously reported for meteoritic amino acids and carboxylic acids, which may be indicative of the homogeneous synthetic origins of organic compounds in the Solar System.
- We found large 13C enrichments of methylamine and ethylamine relative to C3 and C4 amines, as well as between C1 and C3 linear amines and their structurally analogous amino acids, while no significant 13C isotopic differences were observed for branched C3–C4 amines and their structural amino acid analogs. These results may be consistent with the chain elongation mechanism under kinetic control previously proposed for other meteoritic aliphatic organic compounds.
- sec-Butyramine and isovaline exhibited similar δ13C values; in contrast, sec-butyramine was racemic but L-isovaline extracted from the same Murchison piece showed 9.7% ee. These results suggest that sec-butyramine and isovaline may share common synthetic origins, but that processes that led to enantiomeric excess in the amino acid did not affect the amine.
Further analyses of the isotopic and enantiomeric composition of aliphatic amines and their structurally analogous amino acids present in various different types of carbonaceous chondrites may shed light on the primordial synthesis and development of these compounds.
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