Directly imaging damped Ly-α galaxies at $z > 2$. III: The star formation rates of neutral gas reservoirs at $z \sim 2.7$
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ABSTRACT

We present results from a survey designed to probe the star formation properties of 32 damped Lyα systems (DLAs) at $z \sim 2.7$. By using the “double-DLA” technique that eliminates the glare of the bright background quasars, we directly measure the rest-frame FUV flux from DLAs and their neighbouring galaxies. At the position of the absorbing gas, we place stringent constraints on the unobscured star formation rates (SFRs) of DLAs to 2σ limits of $\dot{\psi} < 0.09 - 0.27 M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, corresponding to SFR surface densities $\Sigma_{SFR} < 10^{-2.6} - 10^{-1.5} M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, kpc^{-2}$. The implications of these limits for the star formation law, metal enrichment, and cooling rates of DLAs are examined. By studying the distribution of impact parameters as a function of SFRs for all the galaxies detected around these DLAs, we place new direct constraints on the bright end of the UV luminosity function of DLA hosts. We find that $\Psi < 13\%$ of the hosts have $\dot{\psi} \geq 2M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ at impact parameters $b_{\text{dla}} < (\dot{\psi}/M_\odot \, yr^{-1})^{0.8} + 6 \, kpc$, differently from current samples of confirmed DLA galaxies. Our observations also disfavor a scenario in which the majority of DLAs arise from bright LBGs at distances $20 \leq b_{\text{dla}} < 100 \, kpc$. These new findings corroborate a picture in which DLAs do not originate from highly star forming systems that are coincident with the absorbers, and instead suggest that DLAs are associated with faint, possibly isolated, star-forming galaxies. Potential shortcomings of this scenario and future strategies for further investigation are discussed.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The continuous improvement of the instrumentation available at ground based observatories and on board of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has facilitated numerous deep galaxy surveys across wide areas of the sky to characterize the typical star formation rates (SFRs), dust content, stellar masses, and structural properties of galaxies across cosmic time, up to the epoch of reionization. However, the redshift-dependent sensitivity of these surveys offers only a view of the tip of the iceberg of the galaxy population in the distant Universe. An appealing alternative to explore the physical properties of galaxies across a wider range of luminosity and masses is the study of damped Lyman-α absorbers (DLAs; Wolfe et al. 1986, 2005) that are detected along the line of sight to bright background sources such as quasars or the afterglows of γ-ray bursts (GRBs). Being selected solely according to their neutral hydrogen column density ($N_{HI} \geq 2 \times 10^{20} \, cm^{-2}$), DLAs arise in dense and neutral gas and, as suggested also by models and hydrodynamic simulations, they appear to trace the hydrogen content of galaxies over a wide range of halo masses (e.g. Nagamine et al. 2007; Pontzen et al. 2008; Tescari et al. 2009; Hong et al. 2010; Cen 2012; Bird et al. 2014).

The study of hydrogen absorption lines in large spectroscopic samples of DLAs (e.g. Prochaska et al. 2005; Prochaska & Wolfe 2009; Noterdaeme et al. 2009, 2012a; Zafar et al. 2013) and of the associated metal lines in high-resolution spectra (e.g. Ledoux et al. 2006; Prochaska et al. 2007; Rafelski et al. 2012; Jorgenson et al. 2013; Møller et al. 2013; Neeleman et al. 2013; Rafelski et al. 2014)
have constrained the kinematics and metallicities of the gas in these absorbers at different redshifts. DLAs at redshifts $z = 2 - 3$ cover roughly one third of the sky and evolve in time such that the shape of the column density distribution function is preserved (Zwaan et al. 2005; Prochaska & Wolfe 2009; but see Braun 2012). They are typically sub-solar in composition, but more enriched ($\sim 5\%$ of the solar metallicity) than the intergalactic medium (IGM; Pettini et al. 1997; Prochaska et al. 2007; Fumagalli et al. 2011; Rafelski et al. 2012; Jorgenson et al. 2013). Finally, DLAs exhibit abundance patterns that can be described with bursty star formation histories that are common in irregular and dwarf galaxies in stochastic regimes (Dessauges-Zavadsky et al. 2007; da Silva et al. 2014; Domínguez et al. 2014), and, as suggested by recent studies, that follow the chemical composition observed in Galactic halo stars (Rafelski et al. 2012) or local dwarfs (Cooke et al. 2014).

However, an outstanding challenge that has limited our understanding of the nature of DLAs, and their link to the galaxy population at high redshift, is the identification of the host galaxies of the absorbers. In fact, the bright background light that enables absorption spectroscopy becomes a limiting source of contamination during imaging observations, hampering the identification of galaxies at close impact parameters to the quasars (e.g. Moller & Warren 1998). For this reason, despite over twenty years of investigation (see Appendix B of Fumagalli et al. 2010b), the emitting counterparts of only a dozen DLAs have been detected to date at $z \gtrsim 2$ (Table 2; see also Krogager et al. 2012). Many of these detections have stemmed from recent spectroscopic and integral field unit (IFU) searches (Fynbo et al. 2010, 2011; Péroux et al. 2012; Noterdaeme et al. 2012b; Fynbo et al. 2013; Bouché et al. 2013; Jorgenson & Wolfe 2014; Rafelski et al. 2014).

Despite these recent successes, it is difficult to assess the extent to which the identified DLA counterparts represent the general population of DLA host galaxies; this is due to both the selection criteria used in some searches (e.g. targeting high metallicity DLAs) and the absence of a reliable census of non-detections. Furthermore, by design, the bright background source used for absorption spectroscopy is perfectly aligned to the absorbing material, making a direct study of the in-situ SFRs of DLAs detected against quasars impossible even in surveys that target representative samples of DLAs at the high resolution offered by HST (e.g. Warren et al. 2001). In turn, this prevents a systematic analysis of the star formation law in neutral gas clouds using far ultraviolet (FUV) tracers in the distant Universe. And, in fact, previous work on the subject has been limited to Lyman emission (Rahmani et al. 2010; Noterdaeme et al. 2014; Cai et al. 2014) or indirect methods only, such as statistically connecting DLAs to low surface brightness galaxies (Wolfe & Chen 2006) and to the outskirts of compact Lyman break galaxies (LBGs; Rafelski et al. 2011).

Pressing questions on what the typical DLAs counterparts are or at what rate these H I clouds form stars remain open. Are DLA galaxies massive rotating disks as suggested by the absorption line profiles (e.g. Prochaska & Wolfe 1997) or the small building blocks predicted by the theories of the hierarchical assembly of structures (e.g. Haehnelt et al. 1998; Rauch et al. 2008)? Or are DLAs gas clouds in the halo of star-forming galaxies (e.g. Bouché et al. 2013), possibly hosting vigorous starburst-driven outflows (e.g. Noterdaeme et al. 2012b)? And what is the source of heating that balances the cooling rates estimated from the [C II] absorption lines (Wolfe et al. 2003b,a)?

Recently, two promising methods to address some of the above questions have been explored. The first approach focuses on intervening DLAs detected along the line of sight to GRBs, taking advantage of the fact that once the bright afterglow has faded, deep imaging follow-up can be conducted to search for DLA counterparts in emission at all impact parameters. The downside of this approach is that the transient nature of GRBs limits the size of the samples for which good-quality high-resolution spectra are available to study the DLA properties in absorption (e.g. Cucchiara et al. 2014). At present, only a handful of GRB sightlines with intervening DLAs have been searched for the optical counterparts of these absorbers (Schulze et al. 2012).

The second approach is to exploit the fortuitous alignment of two optically-thick absorbers along individual quasars (Steidel & Hamilton 1992; O'Meara et al. 2006; Christensen et al. 2009). The basic idea of this technique, which we dub the “double-DLA” or the “Lyman limit” technique, is to image quasar fields that host DLAs at lower redshift and optically-thick absorbers (DLAs or LLSs, that is Lyman limit systems) at higher redshift. As discussed in Fumagalli et al. (2010b) and Fumagalli et al. (2014b), this second redshift-foreground system acts as a natural blocking filter that absorbs all the quasar light blueward of its Lyman limit, potentially allowing the detection of DLA counterparts at all impact parameters at these shorter wavelengths. Nevertheless, given the high intrinsic fluxes of the background quasars, positive detections should be carefully vetted to exclude residual contamination from the background sources (see Cai et al. 2014, Section 2.1.1). The main limitation of this approach is that it requires a specific alignment of two absorbers both in space and redshift. However, thanks to the large volume probed by modern spectroscopic surveys (e.g. Noterdaeme et al. 2012a), this experiment can be performed for several quasars with intervening DLAs at $z \gtrsim 2$.

In previous papers of this series (Fumagalli et al. 2010b, 2014b), we discuss in detail the survey rationale, and we demonstrate the power of this double-DLA technique in avoiding the contamination of the bright background quasars, which enables us to achieve the same sensitivity limit at all impact parameters from the DLAs. In this previous work, we also describe the imaging and spectroscopic observations of the 32 quasar fields with intervening DLAs at $z \sim 1.9 - 3.8$, which comprise our sample. Information on the data reduction, survey completeness limits, and preparation of the galaxy catalogues can be found in Fumagalli et al. (2014b), where we also present the $N_{\text{HI}}$ and metallicity distribution of our sample, as measured in absorption with echellette spectra. In our previous work, we also show that the targeted DLAs constitute an unbiased sample that represents the full range of absorption properties of the parent DLA population at these redshifts.

In this third paper, we discuss the results of this survey. In Section 2 we derive the first direct limits for the observed in-situ SFRs of DLAs in the UV, also discussing the implications for the metal content and the heating rates of DLA gas probed in absorption. In this section, we also compare our results to modern theories for star formation in atomic gas. Section 3 further extends our analysis beyond the inner few kpc where absorption arises, exploring the connection between DLAs and star-forming galaxies at any impact parameter. We also compare our findings with previous observational studies. In Section 4, we use our findings to inform the discussion of what DLAs are, while a summary and conclusions follow in Section 5.

Throughout this work, consistent with our previous analysis, we express distances in proper units and magnitudes in the AB system, adopting the following cosmological parameters: $H_0 = 70.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ (Komatsu et al. 2011). As in previous papers of this series, we refer to the absorption systems as “DLA gas” or simply DLAs, while we will
Table 1. Measured in-situ SFRs in different apertures centered at the quasar position for individual fields and for the composite images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>z_{dla}</th>
<th>D_{Lum} (Mpc)</th>
<th>φ_{igm} (mag)</th>
<th>f_{lim(&lt; 0.25''')} (10^{-31} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Hz^{-1})</th>
<th>ψ(&lt; 0.25'') (M_{⊙} yr^{-1})</th>
<th>f_{lim(&lt; 1.5''')} (10^{-31} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Hz^{-1})</th>
<th>ψ(&lt; 1.5'') (M_{⊙} yr^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:G1</td>
<td>2.9181</td>
<td>25152</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 6.85</td>
<td>&lt; 1.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:G2</td>
<td>2.6878</td>
<td>22760</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 4.53</td>
<td>&lt; 0.60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:G3</td>
<td>2.3887</td>
<td>19706</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6±1.0</td>
<td>0.3±0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:G4</td>
<td>2.6878</td>
<td>22760</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 4.92</td>
<td>&lt; 0.65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:G5</td>
<td>2.5713</td>
<td>21563</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.7±2.6</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:G6</td>
<td>3.7861</td>
<td>34422</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 16.43</td>
<td>&lt; 3.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:G7</td>
<td>2.7544</td>
<td>23449</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 4.70</td>
<td>&lt; 0.65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:G9</td>
<td>2.7584</td>
<td>23490</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 3.49</td>
<td>&lt; 0.49</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:G10</td>
<td>2.4592</td>
<td>20420</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 1.84</td>
<td>&lt; 0.21</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:G11</td>
<td>3.5297</td>
<td>31646</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.2±2.3</td>
<td>1.5±0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:G12</td>
<td>2.6606</td>
<td>22480</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 3.93</td>
<td>&lt; 0.51</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:G13</td>
<td>2.5978</td>
<td>21835</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 3.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.41</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:H1</td>
<td>2.7803</td>
<td>23717</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>&lt; 3.81</td>
<td>&lt; 0.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:H2</td>
<td>1.9127</td>
<td>14990</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>&lt; 3.25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:H3</td>
<td>3.2530</td>
<td>26865</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>&lt; 2.68</td>
<td>&lt; 0.49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:H4</td>
<td>2.7067</td>
<td>22955</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt; 3.76</td>
<td>&lt; 0.51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:H5</td>
<td>3.0010</td>
<td>26021</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>&lt; 4.13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:H6</td>
<td>2.9566</td>
<td>25576</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt; 3.04</td>
<td>&lt; 0.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:H7</td>
<td>3.3069</td>
<td>29259</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>&lt; 1.59</td>
<td>&lt; 0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:H8</td>
<td>2.6320</td>
<td>22186</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt; 2.28</td>
<td>&lt; 0.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:H9</td>
<td>1.8639</td>
<td>14518</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>&lt; 2.58</td>
<td>&lt; 0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:H10</td>
<td>2.6826</td>
<td>22707</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>&lt; 3.36</td>
<td>&lt; 0.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23:H11</td>
<td>3.2332</td>
<td>28474</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>&lt; 1.88</td>
<td>&lt; 0.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24:H12</td>
<td>3.5629</td>
<td>32004</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>&lt; 2.99</td>
<td>&lt; 0.64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:H13</td>
<td>2.6500</td>
<td>23371</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>&lt; 2.86</td>
<td>&lt; 0.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26:H14</td>
<td>2.7333</td>
<td>23230</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>&lt; 2.79</td>
<td>&lt; 0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27:H15</td>
<td>2.9236</td>
<td>25210</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 2.95</td>
<td>&lt; 0.45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28:H16</td>
<td>3.4035</td>
<td>30291</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>&lt; 1.81</td>
<td>&lt; 0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29:H17</td>
<td>2.6289</td>
<td>22154</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 2.04</td>
<td>&lt; 0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30:H18</td>
<td>2.6079</td>
<td>21938</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt; 2.34</td>
<td>&lt; 0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31:H19</td>
<td>2.8721</td>
<td>24672</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>&lt; 0.85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32:H20</td>
<td>2.6722</td>
<td>22600</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>&lt; 3.21</td>
<td>&lt; 0.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For this sightline, we cannot exclude contamination from quasar light (see Section 2.1.1 for details). The columns of the table are (1) name of the quasar field; (2) redshift of the DLA; (3) luminosity distance to the DLA; (4) correction for intervening IGM absorption; (5,7) limit on the FUV flux measured at the DLA position, within the aperture specified in parenthesis; (6,8) limit on the in situ SFR measured at the DLA position, within the aperture specified in parenthesis.

explicitly refer to the host galaxies as “DLA galaxy.” Furthermore, we will often refer to two sub-samples: the first one, the “HST sample”, includes 20 DLAs which have been observed with WFC3 on board of HST; the second one, the “ground-based sample”, includes 12 DLAs which have been observed from the ground at Keck or at the Large Binocular Telescope. Details on the properties of these two sub-samples can be found in Fumagalli et al. (2014b).

2 THE IN-SITU STAR FORMATION OF DLAs

In this section, we take advantage of the unique possibility offered by the adopted double-DLA technique to explore, for the first time with direct measurements in the rest-frame UV, the star-formation activity associated with the absorbing DLA gas. We start by analyzing emission properties in-situ, that is at the position of the absorbing gas, inside individual DLAs (Section 2.1.1). We then generate composite images to extend our study to fainter limits (Section 2.1.2). Next, we connect these direct measurements to the absorption properties, discussing in turn the implications for the star formation law (Section 2.2), the metal enrichment (Section 2.3), and the cooling rates (Section 2.4) of DLAs.

Before proceeding, we emphasize that our observations probe FUV emission; thus, the measured SFRs represent only the fraction of the intrinsic star formation that originates in unobscured regions. In principle, we cannot rule out the existence of obscured star formation, but reddening measurements against quasars hosting DLAs imply the presence of only a modest amount of dust, with mean dust-to-gas ratios A_V/N_HI ~ 2 – 4 x 10^{-23} mag cm^{-2} (Vladilo et al. 2008; Khare et al. 2012). In the following, we will quote observed fluxes, non corrected for dust extinction. To help gauge the effects of dust extinction in our sample, we note that dust obscuration at the DLA position should lie in the range A_V ~ 0.004–0.06 mag in the DLA rest-frame. For an SMC-type extinction curve, this translates into a flux correction between 2–40% at 1200Å, depending on the gas column density. This calculation reassures us that, at least to first order, the in-situ SFR measurements of this section are representative of the bulk of the ongoing star formation in DLAs. However, as we will discuss in more detail below, our measurements average emission from regions that are more extended than...
the typical scales probed by absorption. Therefore, we cannot fully exclude the presence of clumps of obscured star formation which may lie in close proximity to the quasar sightline.

2.1 The star formation rate in DLA gas

2.1.1 SFRs in individual systems

We start by integrating the flux within two apertures of diameters \( \theta = 0.25'' \) and \( \theta = 1.5'' \) in each image. These apertures represent physical sizes of \( \sim 2 \) and \( \sim 12 \) kpc at the median redshift of the DLA sample. These two apertures probe a population of DLAs that form stars in situ, either within compact or extended regions. We emphasize that in our analysis we consider the background quasar position as a reference, although the location of a sightline may not coincide with the geometric center of the physical structure that gives rise to the DLA. The sky level is computed locally in annuli centered at the DLA positions, extending between \( 4'' - 14'' \) in radius. For the ground-based imaging, we compute SFRs only within the large aperture, which is more extended than the size of a point source. Conversely, we refrain from computing limits in the larger aperture for the HST sample, because, at the depth of the HST images, these limits are not informative compared to those obtained from the ground-based sample. These integrated fluxes, \( f_{\text{int}} \), are then compared to the flux upper limits, \( f_{\text{ul}} \), at \( 2\sigma \) confidence level (C.L.), which we compute by integrating the error models discussed in Fumagalli et al. (2014b) inside the same apertures. The final flux limits are set to be \( f_{\text{lim}} \equiv \max(f_{\text{int}}, f_{\text{ul}}) \). Lacking spectroscopic information, this definition allows us to express the most conservative upper limit on the in-situ SFRs of DLAs by treating positive detections as potential interlopers along the line of sight.

As discussed in Fumagalli et al. (2014b), our sightlines are selected to ensure that the higher redshift LLSs (the natural blocking filters) fully block the quasar light at the wavelengths of our observations. In fact, in most cases (20/32) the higher redshift absorber is a DLA or a super LLS, and in all cases it is a highly optically-thick (\( r > 2 \)) LLS. For a typical quasar spectral energy distribution (SED) \( F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-1.4} \), and given the redshift distributions of the intervening LLSs, the transmitted flux integrated in the filters used for this imaging survey is \( \ll 1\% \) of the intrinsic flux for blocking filters with \( N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{17.5} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), and \( < 2\% \) for a blocking filter with \( N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). We therefore exclude leakage from the background quasars as an important contaminant in our measurements. This will also become evident in the following discussion, given that only 3 DLAs show emission at the position of the absorber. Of these, two cases are clearly associated to a neighboring galaxy.

The 10:G11 sightline deserves a particular note. From the gallery presented in Figure 8, one can see emission right at the quasar position. For this DLA, a strong LLS at \( z_{\text{sys}} = 4.4671 \) acts as the blocking filter, but we can only constrain the column density to a lower limit of \( N_{\text{HI}} > 10^{17.8} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). Damping wings are not readily visible in the spectrum, but we cannot trivially set a robust upper limit, as this is a proximate system. For the observed quasar flux density \( F_{\nu,\text{obs}} \approx 3.7 \times 10^{-22} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1} \) at \( 50000 \AA \), the upper limit of the quasar flux leakage within the imaging filter is \( F_{\nu,\text{lim}} < 1.7 \times 10^{-20} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1} \). As shown in Table 1, the flux detected against this quasar is below this limit, and therefore we cannot exclude leakage as a source of contamination for this sightline.

Throughout this work, fluxes \( (f_{\nu}) \) are converted into luminosity \((L_{\nu})\) and SFR \((\dot{\psi})\) with the calibration (cf. Fumagalli et al. 2010b)

\[
\dot{\psi} = 7.91 \times 10^{-29} 4\pi D_{\text{lim}}^2(z) f_{\nu} K_{\text{core}}(z) \phi_{\text{igm}}(z)
\]

where \( D_{\text{lim}} \) is the luminosity distance to the DLA, \( K_{\text{core}} \) is the K-correction, and \( \phi_{\text{igm}} \) accounts for the fact that our observations probe flux bluelow of 1215Å in the DLA rest-frame and thus should be corrected for absorption in the IGM. We emphasize that the quoted SFRs bear significant uncertainty, especially given that we probe the FUV SED at \( \lesssim 12000 \text{ Å} \) in the DLA rest-frame. At these wavelengths, UV fluxes are not an optimal tracer of recent star formation due to both a flattening of the SED around 10000-11000 Å, and the onset of strong absorption lines (e.g. Leitherer et al. 2002). Nevertheless, to first approximation, a simple power law \( f_{\nu} \propto \lambda^{-\beta} \) with \( \beta = 2 \) can be supposed to model the FUV continuum between \( \sim 1000 - 15000 \text{ Å} \) within a factor of two. This error, albeit large, is comparable to the scatter in the FUV slopes from galaxy to galaxy and it is also comparable to the scatter in the model SEDs for different input metallicities and star formation histories. A power-law index \( \beta = 2 \) further allows us to adopt standard calibrations at 1500Å, and to trivially compute K-corrections.

We estimate the IGM correction for each DLA by combining the appropriate filter transmission curve with the mean IGM transmission, computed following standard procedures (e.g. Madau 1995), but using the updated calculations by Inoue et al. (2014). We also account for the fact that the UV flux is fully absorbed at wavelengths bluerward of the DLA Lyman limit. Additionally, absorption from molecular gas and metals are considered negligible (Noterdaeme et al. 2008; Becker et al. 2013; Jorgenson et al. 2014). As discussed above, we do not correct for intrinsic dust absorption, which we argued is modest in DLAs. The final limits on the SFRs are listed in Table 1 where formal 2\( \sigma \) upper limits are marked by the ‘<’ sign to distinguish them from the few instances in which positive flux is detected. The listed errors account only for the uncertainty in the flux, without systematic errors on the SFR calibration.

The measured upper limits on the in-situ SFRs of individual DLAs are shown in Figure 1, both for the HST sample (blue squares) and for the ground-based sample (red circles). Assuming the median upper limits as a typical reference value (dashed lines in the figure), we conclude from the HST imaging that DLAs do not form stars at rates \( \gtrsim 0.38 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) within compact regions of \( \sim 2 \text{ kpc} \) in size. Similarly, the ground-based sample indicates that typical DLAs do not form stars in extended regions of \( \sim 12 \text{ kpc} \) in size with SFRs \( \gtrsim 0.65 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \). However, differently from the HST sample in which we do not have positive detections, for the ground-based sample we detect flux at more than 2\( \sigma \) C.L. in 3/12 apertures. As noted above, we cannot exclude that the flux detected against the DLA 10:G11 is free from quasar contamination, thus conservatively we conclude that \( \lesssim 9\% \) of the DLAs form stars with a rate above our sensitivity limits, and that virtually no DLAs form in-situ stars at these rates within compact clumps. Given the small sample size, these percentages bear significant uncertainty, but they offer the first quantitative description of the local star forming properties of DLA gas in the high redshift Universe from FUV tracers.

As for the positive detections, without spectroscopic redshifts, we do not know if these sources are genuine DLA galaxies. However, for a given magnitude \( m \) and projected distance \( r \) from the DLA, we can compute the expected number \( N' \) of interlopers with \( m \leq m' \) and \( r \leq r' \) by using the observed galaxy number counts in deep \( U \) and \( B \) band images. Using the published

---

1 For the adopted cosmology, 1'' corresponds to 8.1 kpc at \( z = 2.7 \).
values by Kashikawa et al. (2004) and Grazian et al. (2009), we find $N' \sim 0.09$, $N' \sim 0.01$, and $N' \sim 0.0002$ for 3:G3, 5:G5, and 10:G11 respectively. This translates into a low probability of finding random projected galaxies at the separations of 5:G5 and 10:G11. Conversely, the probability that 3:G3 is an interloper is not completely negligible. Keeping the caveat of possible leakage in mind for 10:G11, it is plausible that some of these detections, and especially 5:G5, are physically associated to the DLAs (or lower redshift absorbers). Spectroscopic follow-up of these candidates is now necessary, although this represents a non-trivial task given their faint magnitudes ($\gtrsim 25.5$).

### 2.1.2 SFRs in composite images

To probe even fainter SFRs, we generate two composite images by separately combining the 20 HST images and the 12 ground-based images. These stacks are generated after converting each image to the same pixel size at the median redshift of pixel size 0.32 kpc and 1.1 kpc, for the HST and ground-based samples respectively. This pixel size, close to the native image pixel size at the median redshift $z = 2.7$, ensures a fairly uniform mapping of the original images in the final stack, with $\sim 19$ and $\sim 11$ independent pixels entering each pixel in the final composite for the HST and ground-based samples, respectively. Given that we are not interested in accurate image reconstruction, we adopt a simple shift-and-add algorithm that preserves the total flux.

When producing stacks, a choice has to be made on what statistics should be used in combining images. Given the small sample size, especially for the ground-based sample, the mean stack shows a significant numbers of positive detections across the field, due to the large number of galaxies which lie in proximity to the quasars in these deep images. The corresponding flux distribution as a function of distance from the DLAs encodes interesting information regarding the clustering of sources near the targeted absorbers. We will consider the above in Section 3. In this section, we are interested in characterizing the typical in situ star forming properties of the DLA gas. We hence choose to use the median statistic as a better estimator of the SFRs of typical DLAs, given that it is less sensitive to the bright pixels associated with the few positive detections within the regions of interest. During our analysis, we empirically reconstruct the noise properties of the two stacks rather than propagating the error map from individual images. The two median images are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. As in the previous analysis, we compute the SFRs within two apertures of 2 and 12 kpc diameters to constrain the in situ star formation in compact and extended regions using the HST and ground-based imaging, respectively.

We also measure SFRs within a larger aperture of 4 kpc in the HST image to test for the presence of more extended emission in this sub-sample.
A proper assessment of the error is critical to establish whether a low flux level is present in the stacked images. The pixel standard deviation of the composite images, shown in the bottom panel of Figure 2 and Figure 3, is well-behaved, implying that our median images are free from large-scale gradients. Furthermore, this pixel standard deviation, computed empirically across the image, is consistent with the typical pixel variance recovered from a bootstrap technique with 500 iterations. Therefore, we can use the pixel variance as our estimator of the noise in the composite image. To further account for correlated noise, we estimate the flux standard deviation for the science apertures by measuring the standard deviation of the recovered SFRs in 10000 apertures which we randomly position inside the composite images far from the quasar location.

For the HST sample, the distribution of SFRs in these randomly-distributed apertures is well approximated by a Gaussian with standard deviation 0.045 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Therefore, the SFR $\psi = 0.011 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, measured in the 2 kpc aperture, is consistent with the range of SFRs detected in random apertures. We hence place a 2$\sigma$ upper limit of $\psi < 0.090 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ on the median in situ SFR of the DLAs of the HST sample. For the ground-based sample, instead, the distribution of SFRs measured in random apertures is more skewed towards positive values. This is because, differently from the HST sample, both the smaller sample size and the higher density of detected sources bias the median of each pixel towards positive values (in a correlated fashion). Nevertheless, this distribution can still be approximated by a Gaussian with standard deviation 0.14 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. It follows that the measured SFR $\psi = 0.13 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ within a 12 kpc aperture at the quasar position is statistically consistent with random noise, and we set a 2$\sigma$ upper limit of $\psi < 0.27 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for the DLAs of the ground-based sample. These limits are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Finally, no flux is detected in the larger 4 kpc aperture in the HST composite, and we obtain a 2$\sigma$ upper limit of $\psi < 0.23 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Low surface brightness flux from even more extended sources would be buried under the noise, which increases as a function of the number of pixels within the aperture. For comparison, Reddy & Steidel (2009) report $M_\* = -20.97 \pm 0.14$ at 1700Å as the characteristic luminosity for star-forming galaxies between 2.7 $< z < 3.4$, and thus our limits at $\lesssim 11000Å$ translate into SFRs which are typical for galaxies with $\lesssim 0.01L_*$ for the HST composite and $\lesssim 0.03L_*$ for the ground-based composite.

Before proceeding further, we validate the adopted procedure by combining the HST images after artificially inserting at the DLA position in each image a source with size 1.6 kpc and SFR that is distributed as a Gaussian with median $\psi = 0.11 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. After processing these fake images through the same analysis pipeline, we recover an SFR $\psi = 0.119 \pm 0.045 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ in the composite image. Similarly, when we insert a source with size 5.5 kpc and SFR distributed around a median $\psi = 0.25 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ in each image of the ground-based sample, we recover a median $\psi = 0.38 \pm 0.14 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ from the stack. Thus, in both cases we recover the input SFRs within the errors.

2.1.3 Comparison with previous work

Both the analysis of individual systems and the study of the two composite images corroborate a picture in which DLAs do not form stars in situ with rates $\psi \gtrsim 0.5 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. With the higher resolution HST images, we also exclude the presence of compact star forming regions with SFRs as low as $\psi \sim 0.1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ at the position of the quasar. Keeping in mind that the conversion between UV flux and SFR is complicated by subtle systematic errors and it is only marginal.

In fact, more recent work by Cai et al. (2014) sets an even more stringent limit on the Ly$\alpha$ emission from a composite of ~2000 DLAs to $\lesssim 0.01L_*$ at $z \sim 2.6$. Emission is detected when restricting to the highest column density DLAs with $\log N_{\HI} > 5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Noterdaeme et al. 2014), which are however a small subset of the general DLA population. Considering the many uncertainties at play in the two different measurements, we conclude that both the analysis of Ly$\alpha$ in composite spectra and our
The star formation law of DLAs

Having established direct limits on the in-situ SFRs in a representative sample of DLAs, we now turn to the relationship between the neutral gas observed in absorption and the rate with which new stars form, as probed in emission. Empirically, the link between gas and star formation is described via a star formation (SF) law. One common parametrization of the SF law, known as the Kennicutt-Schmidt law (Schmidt 1959; Kennicutt 1998), is in the form

$$\Sigma_{\text{sfr}} = K (\Sigma_{\text{gas}}/\Sigma_{\text{gas,0}})^{\beta},$$

where \(\Sigma_{\text{sfr}}\) and \(\Sigma_{\text{gas}}\) are the SFR and gas surface densities, with constants \(K\), \(\Sigma_{\text{gas,0}}\), and \(\beta\). While comparing \(\Sigma_{\text{sfr}}\) and \(\Sigma_{\text{gas}}\) in our observations, we should bear in mind that pencil beam surveys intrinsically probe scales of few parsecs, while our SFR surface density extends to scales of few kpc. Therefore, this does not allow us to formally define in quantitative terms an SF law, which is known to be scale-dependent in the nearby Universe (e.g. Schruba et al. 2010). For this reason, and because the measured upper limits do not allow us to constrain the SF law parameters in detail, here we only present a general discussion of the link between \(\Sigma_{\text{sfr}}\) and \(\Sigma_{\text{gas}}\), also in comparison with previous studies.

Figure 4 shows that, once recast as SFR surface densities, our limits become \(\log \Sigma_{\text{sfr}} \lesssim -0.90 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-2}\) in the compact regions probed by the HST imaging, and \(\log \Sigma_{\text{sfr}} \lesssim -2.25 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-2}\) in the more extended regions probed by the ground-based imaging. The composite images provide even tighter constraints on the median SFR surface densities of the DLA population: \(\log \Sigma_{\text{sfr}} < -1.54 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-2}\) for the HST sample, and \(\log \Sigma_{\text{sfr}} < -2.02 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-2}\) for the ground-based sample. Once compared to the H I column densities, we see that DLAs could, in principle, consume the available neutral gas reservoir quite rapidly, despite their modest in-situ SFRs (cf. Prochaska & Wolfe 2009).

For a range of DLA column densities that brackets our sample \((N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{20.3} - 10^{21.3} \, \text{cm}^{-2})\), we can infer lower limits on the depletion time scales, which lie in the range \(0.06 - 0.56\) Gyr given the median SFR limits of the HST sample. Similarly, for the ground-based sample, the depletion time scales lie in the range \(0.06 - 6.60\) Gyr. These values are simply a reflection of the fact that for typical DLAs, our limits lie for the most part above the extrapolation of the local SF law considering for example the original fit by Kennicutt (1998) with \(\beta = 1.40 \pm 0.15\), \(K_{\text{disk}} = (2.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-2}\), and \(\Sigma_{\text{gas,0}} = 1 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{pc}^{-2}\).

As shown by Figure 4, only the SFR limits for the highest column density DLAs in the ground-based sample are comparable to the extrapolation of the local SF law. Therefore, deeper limits, by 1–2 orders of magnitude, would be required to probe the in-situ SFRs if the DLA gas had to form stars according to the extrapolation of the local SF law. This finding is in line with previous studies, as discussed in Section 2.2.1.

Given the recent progress in understanding the phenomenology and the physics of the SF law, we should also consider from a theoretical point of view whether some star formation, if any, is to be expected in DLA gas with column densities \(N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{20.3} - 10^{21.3} \, \text{cm}^{-2}\). Modern studies (e.g. Wong & Blitz 2002; Fumagalli & Gavazzi 2008; Bigiel et al. 2008; Fumagalli et al. 2010a; Bigiel et al. 2010; Gnedin & Kravtsov 2010; Ostriker et al. 2010; Feldmann et al. 2011; Krumholz et al. 2012; Glover & Clark 2012; Leroy et al. 2013) have shown that new stars preferentially form in regions of higher gas surface density and metallicity, where gas can cool efficiently. Conversely, star formation is reduced or completely suppressed in regions of low metallicity and at low column densities. More quantitatively, both simple numerical models or detailed hydrodynamic calculations (e.g. Krumholz et al. 2009b; Gnedin & Kravtsov 2010) reveal the existence of a threshold in \(\Sigma_{\text{gas,0}}\), below which \(\Sigma_{\text{sfr}}\) sharply drops. At the low metallicity of DLA gas with \(Z \sim 0.1 Z_\odot\), \(\Sigma_{\text{sfr}}\) is \(\sim 10 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{pc}^{-2}\).

While the exact relationships between metallicity, molecular gas, and star formation are still being investigated (e.g. Glover & Clark 2012; Krumholz 2012), high in-situ SFRs in DLAs should not be common given that these systems lack a favorable environment for star formation (cf. Krumholz et al. 2009a). Deeper imaging surveys that use the same strategy adopted here have the potential of directly constraining the physics of star formation in the lower column density and lower metallicity regions common to DLAs, offering a direct test of these models. This will be possible with future 30m telescopes, but it is also within the reach of current facilities, provided one carefully selects targets in narrow redshift ranges so as to maximize the FUV emission within the imaging filters.

### 2.2.1 Comparison with previous work

A few studies have already investigated the star-formation law in DLAs, using UV as a tracer for star formation (e.g. Wolfe & Chen 2006; Rafelski et al. 2011). However, because of the bright quasar glare, these analyses rely on indirect or statistical methods to link the H I column density seen in absorption to the UV emission. The first of these studies is the work by Wolfe & Chen (2006), who searched for extended low-surface brightness galaxies with sizes...
between $2 \sim 31$ kpc in the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF). This search yielded for the most part non-detections, which were used by the authors to constrain the comoving SFR densities of DLAs at $z = 2.5 \sim 3.5$. Comparing these limits to the SFR densities expected if DLAs were to form stars according to an SF law, Wolfe & Chen (2006) concluded that star formation in DLAs proceeds with an efficiency\footnote{In agreement with previous DLA studies, in this section, we define the constant $K$ in the star-formation law as efficiency, noting that modern investigations on the SF law prefer the definition of depletion time for the inverse of this quantity.} of less than 10% compared to nearby galaxies. More recently, the study by Rafelski et al. (2011) revisited the problem of the SF law in DLAs, under the assumption that these absorbers arise recently, the study by Rafelski et al. (2011) revised the problem of the SF law in DLAs, under the assumption that these absorbers arise instead in the outskirts of compact LBGs. By stacking a sample of $\sim 50$ LBGs at $z \sim 3$ in the HUDF, Rafelski et al. (2011) detected FUV emission to radii of $\sim 6 \sim 8$ kpc from the LBG centres. After connecting the observed SFR densities to the column density distribution of DLAs, they concluded that the SFR efficiency of this gas is a factor of $10 \sim 50$ lower than what one would predict from the local SF law.

Figure 4 offers a comparison between our survey and these previous results, after rescaling all SFRs to the calibration assumed in this work. Our composite images probe SFR surface densities which are comparable to the ones probed by Wolfe & Chen (2006) for both compact and more extended regions. However, the original work of Wolfe & Chen (2006) does not provide direct limits on the SFR surface density of DLAs, but only limits on the SFR comoving density of the DLA population. Thus, our analysis complements and extends the results of their investigation, as it provides direct observational evidence that in fact DLAs do not form stars in-situ within regions of appreciable UV surface brightness. If these limits are extrapolated to all DLAs up to a column density of $N\text{HI} \sim 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, our observations naturally explain the lack (or the scarce number) of low-surface brightness sources in the HUDF, reinforcing the conclusions of the statistical study of Wolfe & Chen (2006).

Compared instead to the analysis of Rafelski et al. (2011), limits from our composite HST image are not informative, given the superior depth of the HUDF and the larger sample size of LBGs used by these authors to create their stack. Our deep ground-based imaging, however, places more interesting constraints on the SFRs in an extended low-surface brightness component, similar to the one probed around LBGs by Rafelski et al. (2011). At first, one may conclude that the limit on the median SFR surface density which our study recovers is in tension with the surface brightness detected in the LBG composite by Rafelski et al. (2011). However, this is not the case for two reasons.

First, Rafelski et al. (2011) produced a stack of compact LBGs, centered at the position of the peak surface brightness. Thus, for an underlying radial surface brightness profile, faint flux levels are coherently stacked when moving away from the LBG center. Conversely, in our stack, we coadded images centering at the DLA position, thus off-centre compared to the core of a putative nearby LBG. This has interesting consequences for the expected number of LBGs within a given projected distance from the quasar, and we will return to this point in the next section. For the purpose of this discussion, we note instead that, because DLAs can arise from many impact parameters, different surface brightness levels are not coadded coherently in our stack. This geometric effect causes an intrinsic dilution of the signal, and thus our limits are not necessarily at odds with the measurement of Rafelski et al. (2011).

Secondly, and perhaps most importantly, according to the model proposed by Rafelski et al. (2011), the detected emission arises from column densities $N\text{HI} \gtrsim 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, which are not typical of the DLAs in our sample. For this reason, and given the above discussion about the SF law, the measurement of Rafelski et al. (2011) applies to only a small fraction of the DLA population. Intriguingly, a similar trend has been seen in studies of Ly$\alpha$ emission in the DLA trough, where flux is detected in composite spectra of the highest and most rare column density DLAs (Noterdaeme et al. 2014), but not when considering the more typical lower column density systems (Cai et al. 2014) included in our study. Our measurement and the previous discussion on the SF law thus highlights how the results of Rafelski et al. (2011) should not be simply extrapolated to the more general DLA population, outside of the column density interval that has been explored by our study.

\subsection{2.3 Implications for metal production}

By leveraging the new vantage point offered by our observations, we can compare directly the observed in-situ star formation of DLAs in emission to the observed metal content of DLAs in absorption. During this part of the analysis, we restrict to the subsample of 26 DLAs for which we have high quality spectroscopy to compute the neutral gas phase metallicity, as discussed in Fumagalli et al. (2014b). As emphasized for the previous analysis of the SF law, the absorption spectroscopy and the available imaging do not constrain the physical properties of DLAs on the same scales. For instance, the recent analysis by Kanekar et al. (2014) shows how the H$\text{I}$ column densities measured on the smallest scales probed in absorption differ from the values measured when smooth-
ing on much larger spatial scales. Thus, the results presented in this section rely on the crude assumption that the measured column densities of hydrogen and metals are, to first order, representative of the typical DLA gas on scales of \( \sim 2 - 12 \) kpc.

To link the limits on the SFRs and the measurements of metallicity, we compare the mass in metals within DLAs (\( M_{z_{\text{obs}}} \)) to the upper limits on the mass in metals produced by in-situ star formation (\( M_{z_{\text{sfr}}} \)), given the constraints from our imaging observations.

With this comparison, we infer lower limits on the enrichment time \( \Delta t_{z_{2}} \), a quantity which in turn offers a simple metric for whether the in-situ SFR alone is potentially sufficient to enrich DLAs, or whether external contributions are needed. Specifically, we compute the mass in metals within DLAs as \( M_{z_{\text{obs}}} = 10^{11.7} N_{2} (Z_{\odot}/N_{\text{H}})^{2} \), where \([\text{X}/\text{H}]\) is the metallicity measured in absorption and \( N_{2} = 0.0181 \) is the metallicity of the Sun (Asplund et al. 2009).

In this equation, the term in parenthesis translates the H1 column density to the total hydrogen mass, assuming a cylinder of diameter \( r = 2 \) and \( r = 12 \) kpc for the HST and ground-based imaging, respectively. Given the negligible ionization of DLAs, the neutral gas phase is assumed to trace the bulk of the mass in the system. For this reason, our calculation is restricted to metals within DLAs, without considering metals in an ionized phase, which may nevertheless be physically associated to these absorbers (Fox et al. 2007; Lehner et al. 2014).

Next, we compute the expected mass in metals related to star formation as \( M_{z_{\text{sfr}}} = y_{\text{z}} \psi \Delta t_{z_{2}} \), where \( y_{\text{z}} \) is the metal yield, weighted according to a given initial mass function. Several choices for \( y_{\text{z}} \) are available in the literature (see, e.g., the discussion in Peeples et al. 2014), with modern values ranging between \( \sim 0.02 - 0.04 \). In the following, we assume \( y_{\text{z}} = 1/42 \) (Madau et al. 1996), a common choice for such studies. Finally, comparing the inferred lower limits on \( M_{z_{\text{sfr}}} \) with the values of \( M_{z_{\text{obs}}} \), we derive lower limits on the enrichment time, as shown in Figure 5.

Having only upper limits on the SFRs, we cannot set stringent constraints on the history of the metal enrichment of DLAs. It is however interesting to note that the amount of metals locked in the DLAs is fairly modest once integrated over the 2 kpc area used for the analysis of the HST sample. Thus, if the DLAs of our sample have SFRs just below the detection limit of the HST imaging (\( \sim 0.1 - 0.6 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \)), it would be straightforward to achieve the observed enrichment levels within \( \sim 0.05 - 10 \, \text{Myr} \).

For the ground-based sample, integrating over the larger (12 kpc) apertures, \( \sim 1 - 300 \, \text{Myr} \) would be needed to achieve the observed enrichment levels, if the SFRs were at the detection threshold (\( \sim 0.2 - 2 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \)).

Given that the available time for star formation to enrich DLA gas is \( \sim 2 \, \text{Gyr} \) if stars form as early as \( z \to 10 \), SFRs as low as \( \psi = 2 \times 10^{-3} \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \), 500 times lower than the limits derived in our HST composite image, can still satisfy the observed metallicity in compact DLAs. A similar result holds for more extended DLAs, if star formation proceeds with a rate of \( \psi = 9 \times 10^{-3} \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \), 30 times less than the limits of our ground-based composite image. We therefore conclude that the metals produced by low levels of in-situ star formation are in principle sufficient to account for the modest metal content of DLAs. However, if in fact no star formation occurs at the lower column densities common in DLAs, then external sources of metals are required to raise the mean DLA metallicity to \( \sim 0.1 Z_{\odot} \), which is significantly above the metal content of the IGM (Schaye et al. 2003; Simcoe 2011). Again, deeper versions of this experiment have the potential of better constraining the mechanisms through which the densest regions of the high-redshift Universe are enriched.

### 2.3.1 Comparison with previous work

Previous work to constrain the enrichment histories of DLAs has compared two statistical quantities, i.e. the cosmic density of metals produced by star forming galaxies (\( \rho_{z_{\text{dla}}} \)) and the amount of metals that reside in DLA gas at any given time (\( \rho_{z_{\text{sfr}}} \)). The consensus is that DLAs at \( z \sim 2 - 3 \) contain only a small fraction of the metals produced in star-forming galaxies (e.g. Pettini et al. 1999; Bouché et al. 2007; Rafelski et al. 2014), with the latest estimates suggesting \( \rho_{z_{\text{sfr}}} \sim 0.01 \rho_{z_{\text{dla}}} \) at \( z \sim 2.3 \) (Rafelski et al. 2014). Albeit with substantial uncertainties, most notably not accounting for a potential contribution from an enriched and dust-obscured DLA population, it clearly appears that DLAs are not enriched by all the metals produced in galaxies. A similar “missing metal problem” was found by Wolfe et al. (2003b), who compared \( \rho_{z_{\text{sfr}}} \) with a new estimate of \( \rho_{z_{\text{sfr}}} \) in DLAs (and not LBGs) derived from the cooling rates inferred from \([\text{CII}]^{*}\) absorption lines (see also Section 2.4).

However, the comparison between \( \rho_{z_{\text{sfr}}} \) and \( \rho_{z_{\text{dla}}} \) from indirect constraints on the in-situ SFRs in DLAs has also yielded contrasting results, depending on the different assumptions on the nature of DLAs which were made by different authors. In particular, combining their inferred star formation efficiency for extended low-surface brightness DLAs with the results of numerical simulations, Wolfe & Chen (2006) suggested that metals may be underproduced in DLAs compared to the observed values. When accounting for the yields associated with the extended UV emission detected in the outskirts of LBGs, Rafelski et al. (2011) achieved instead a closure of the metal budget in DLAs.

The analysis presented in Figure 5 is consistent with the statistical arguments at the origin of the missing metal problem. Given the lack of appreciable local star formation, as pointed out by our observations, one can understand why DLAs contain fewer metals than those produced in LBGs, which form stars at much higher rates.

Furthermore, as shown in Figure 5, DLAs can be enriched to the observed values even by low levels of star formation, either in-situ or, if \( \psi \ll 10^{-2} - 10^{-4} \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \), by modest episodes of star formation occurring in the immediate surroundings (e.g. Rafelski et al. 2011). Conversely, at the limits of our observations or those by Wolfe & Chen (2006), it seems unlikely that we are facing a problem of underproduction of metals in DLA gas.

### 2.4 Implications for cooling rates

The \([\text{CII}]^{*} \lambda 1335.7\) absorption line arises from the \( ^{2}P_{3/2} \rightarrow ^{2}P_{1/2} \) level in the ground state of ionized carbon (C\(^{+}\)), and thus provides a tool to measure the column density of C\(^{+}\) ions in the j = 3/2 state. This is of much interest as these ions give rise to the \([\text{CII}]^{*} \lambda 158 um \) transition (\( ^{2}P_{3/2} \rightarrow ^{2}P_{1/2} \)), which is believed to be the major coolant of the neutral ISM (e.g. Wolfe et al. 1995). The strength of the \([\text{CII}]^{*} \lambda 1335.7\) absorption can hence be used to measure the cooling rate (\( \ell_{c} \)) of DLA gas (Pottasch et al. 1979; Wolfe et al. 2003a), and, under the assumption of thermal balance, to infer the corresponding heating rate. Leveraging this idea, Wolfe et al. (2003a,b) have developed a formalism to quantify the intensity of the radiative field that is responsible for the photoelectric heating of the DLA gas, and hence for the corresponding SFR surface density.

Applying this formalism to a sample of 38 DLAs for which \( \Sigma_{sfr} \) could be inferred, Wolfe et al. (2008) noted a bimodal distribution in the inferred star formation surface densities, which reflects the bimodality in the DLA cooling rates. Measurements of \( \ell_{c} \) show two different populations, one of “high-cool” sys-
the C II* absorption is redshifted inside the Lyα forest, providing a critical test for the high-cool population. As shown in Figure 6, the systems and one of “low-cool” systems, separated at around $\ell_c \sim 10^{-2}$ erg s$^{-1}$ H$^{-1}$ (see also Neelamegam et al. 2013). While a low cooling rate can be balanced by the heating rate from the galactic UV background alone, Wolfe et al. (2008) concluded in their study that an additional heating input is generally required by their model to describe these observations. These authors therefore computed the SFR surface densities needed to satisfy the measured cooling rates, under the assumptions detailed in Wolfe et al. (2003a,b). These distributions are shown in Figure 6 for both the low-cool (solid gray histogram) and the high-cool (green dashed histogram) DLAs.

The ability to measure the UV surface brightness in the same DLAs with detected C II* absorption would offer a direct test of this model, in turn providing interesting constraints on the cooling and heating mechanisms at work in DLA gas. Unfortunately, our survey is designed to target $z \sim 2.7$ DLAs in $z \gtrsim 3.5$ quasars, for which the C II* absorption is redshifted inside the Lyα forest, hampering a reliable determination of the cooling rates in individual systems. However, we can proceed with a statistical approach, by comparing in Figure 6 the limits on the in-situ SFR surface densities measured in the composite HST and ground-based images, with the surface densities inferred by Wolfe et al. (2008) from the observed C II* cooling rates.

Considering the low-cool DLAs at first, we see that even the stringent limits derived from the composite images do not provide interesting constraints. A factor of 10 deeper survey is needed to probe the nature of this sub-population. Considering the high-cool DLAs instead, the null detection in our ground-based composite image appears at odds with the $\Sigma_{SFR}$ implied by the high-cool DLA populations for extended galaxies. As shown in Figure 6, the median $\Sigma_{SFR}$ in the ground-based sample is about 0.5 dex lower than the median of the $\Sigma_{SFR}$ inferred from the cooling rates when including both low-cool and high-cool, under the assumption that our sample is not biased towards one of the two populations (but see below). In their work, Wolfe et al. (2008) reached a similar conclusion on how a population of star forming DLAs which satisfy the $\Sigma_{SFR}$ required by the high-cool population would violate the SFR surface density limits imposed by the Wolfe & Chen (2006) analysis. This is not surprisingly, given the previous discussion on the limits shown in Figure 4.

As noted by Wolfe et al. (2008), this tension can however be alleviated if compact LBGs in proximity to, but not fully embedded with, the DLAs act as heating sources. Because of simple geometrical arguments related to the $r^{-2}$ dependence of the flux, however, the inferred SFR surface densities for the high-cool population should be corrected upward by the relative filling factor of star forming regions and DLA gas. Once this correction is applied, the distribution of $\Sigma_{SFR}$ for the high-cool DLAs shifts to higher values, as shown in Figure 6 with a brown dot-dashed histogram. However, our observations constrain this possibility: if the heating arises from compact LBGs embedded in DLA gas, these sources must be located at distances $> 1 - 6$ kpc (i.e. the radii of the adopted apertures throughout our analysis) from the DLA position, as indicated by the lack of emission at $\Sigma_{SFR} \gtrsim 0.1 M_{\odot} yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}$ in the HST and ground-based samples (Figure 4). It is however possible that compact LBGs with high $\Sigma_{SFR}$ lie at larger impact parameters, a hypothesis we will explicitly test for in Section 3.4 when studying the distribution of star-forming galaxies in proximity to DLAs.

Before proceeding, we caution the reader that the basis for the comparison presented in this section is that, if the bimodal distribution of the cooling rates and the inferred $\Sigma_{SFR}$ are typical of DLAs, than comparable SFRs should be detected in our sample which is representative of the DLA population. However, it should be noted that the cooling rates of DLAs correlate with metallicity, with the majority of high-cool systems having $[X/H] \gtrsim -1.2$ (Wolfe et al. 2008). The distribution of metallicity of DLAs in our study peaks instead around $[X/H] \sim -1.5$ (see figure 14 in Fumagalli et al. 2014b). Furthermore, throughout this analysis, we are assuming an equal split between the low-cool and high-cool population, following Wolfe et al. (2008). However, the estimate of Wolfe et al. (2008) is based on a small sample of DLAs and may not reflect the actual distribution in the general DLA population. Our non-detection could therefore be simply explained by a larger percentage of low-cool DLAs, for which we do not expect UV flux in excess to our sensitivity levels. For these reasons, and also because of the small number of systems in our sample, any tension between our observations and the inferred $\Sigma_{SFR}$ from C II* absorption should be regarded only as tentative at this point. Future work in larger samples, and in particular a direct comparison of the observed versus inferred SFR surface densities in individual systems, is needed to confirm this apparent tension.

3 THE CONNECTION BETWEEN DLAS AND GALAXIES

In Section 2, our discussion focused entirely on the emission properties of DLA gas, directly at the position where spectroscopy in absorption reveals the presence of neutral hydrogen with column densities above $N_{HI} \gtrsim 10^{20.3}$ cm$^{-2}$. In this section, we expand our study beyond the properties of the in-situ SFRs of DLA gas, by considering associations between DLAs and star-forming galaxies.
at all impact parameters $b_{\text{dla}}$, which we define here as the projected quasar-galaxy separation.

Given that our images probe only the rest-frame FUV emission, our analysis will only consider galaxies with appreciable unobscured SFRs, typically $\psi \gtrsim 1 - 2 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$. Following early studies that employed color selection techniques to identify a population of star-forming galaxies at $z > 2.5$ (e.g. Steidel et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1996; Giavalisco 2002), the term LBG has become a synonym for star-forming galaxy in the literature. Throughout this work, we follow the same convention. We clarify however that the term LBG here refers to any star-forming galaxy, regardless of its actual SFR. Traditionally, LBG samples included primarily UV-bright galaxies with SFRs of tens to hundreds of $M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$, but our study also probes galaxies with lower SFRs, by a factor of 10 to 100. In this discussion we will make a distinction between “bright” LBGs and “faint” LBGs, or dwarfs. With the expression bright LBGs, we will refer to a population with properties similar to the original samples of spectroscopically-confirmed LBGs, with SFRs $\psi \sim 20 - 50 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and halo masses $\sim 10^{12} \, M_{\odot}$. Conversely, with the expression faint LBGs, we will refer instead to a population of star-forming galaxies with SFRs $\psi < 10 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$, down to the sensitivity limits of our survey. For this second population, we will also distinguish between faint LBGs which are isolated, i.e. central to their dark matter halos, from faint LBGs which are satellites of brighter LBGs. The reason for this distinction will become apparent in the following discussion.

Finally, we note that in the remainder of this paper, we will be naturally biased against a population of star-forming galaxies that is significantly dust obscured (see the discussion in Section 4.2). In Section 2, we argued that the low dust extinction seen along the quasar line of sight rules out severe dust obscuration as the cause of the observed lack of appreciable in-situ star formation. However, when considering galaxies at all impact parameters, we do not have any prior knowledge of the dust properties. Thus, the conclusions drawn from our following analysis apply to a galaxy population with unobscured SFRs comparable to our detection limits. Galaxies below this detection limit could in principle be still forming stars to appreciable intrinsic rates. Unfortunately, with our current data, we cannot assess the importance of dust obscuration in searches of DLA galaxies, which remains an open question that future studies at infrared and millimetre wavelengths should address.

### 3.1 Limits on the impact parameters and SFRs

Without a deep and dense redshift survey of the galaxies in the targeted quasar fields, we cannot establish unique DLA-galaxy associations for all the absorbers. However, we continue in the spirit of the previous analysis by studying the distribution of SFRs and impact parameters of the identified galaxies to derive statistical constraints on the properties of the DLA galaxy population. We start our analysis by focusing on the galaxies in proximity to the quasar sightline ($b \lesssim 10 - 30 \, \text{kpc}$). From a physical point of view, associations between DLAs and galaxies at these separations are useful to constrain models in which DLAs arise from a gaseous disk or a more amorphous structure in the surroundings of an embedded star-forming disk (e.g. Fynbo et al. 2008; Rafelski et al. 2011; Danovich et al. 2014). More practically, this is the interval of impact parameters for which our observations become the most constraining, given the low number of random interlopers predicted within the small projected area subtended by impact parameters of $b \lesssim 30 \, \text{kpc}$.

To derive limits on the SFRs and impact parameters of DLA galaxies, we produce for each quasar field $k$ a ranked list of impact parameters with associated SFRs $\{b_{\text{dla}}^{i}, \psi^{i}\}_{k}$, assuming that each galaxy $i$ is at the DLA redshift. For this analysis, we limit our search to $b_{\text{dla}} = 200 \, \text{kpc}$, which corresponds to $\sim 2$ times the virial radius of a massive LBG at $z \sim 2.7$ (e.g. Fumagalli et al. 2014a). This distance is considered sufficient to encompass both DLAs that arise from the immediate surroundings of galaxies and systems that originate from gas structures (including but not limited to tidal debris or accreting filaments) associated with the halo of a galaxy. In this ranked list, the impact parameters can be generally considered as lower limits of the true impact parameters because, if the $i$–th galaxy is not the DLA host, the $i + 1$ galaxy will become the next candidate with $b_{\text{dla}}^{i+1} > b_{\text{dla}}^{i}$. However, if the $i$–th galaxy is in fact the true DLA galaxy, or the DLA galaxy is fainter than our detection limit, then $b_{\text{dla}}^{i}$ for $j > i$ (or even for each $j$) will be distributed as dictated by random galaxy counts. It follows that, by comparing the observed $\{b_{\text{dla}}^{i}, \psi^{i}\}_{k}$ distribution in the quasar surroundings with the distribution of random galaxies $\{b_{\text{ran}}, \psi_{m}\}_{k}$, we can identify which galaxies have impact parameters and SFRs which are unusual given random galaxy counts, thus constraining the impact parameters and SFRs of the DLA galaxy population.

We present results from this comparison in Figure 7, where we show the distribution of impact parameters and SFRs for all the galaxies detected as a function of the distance from the 32 DLAs included in this study. In each quasar field, we also select three apertures of $200 \, \text{kpc}$ in radius, which we place at random positions far from the quasar locations. All the galaxies detected in these random apertures are used to characterize $\{b_{\text{ran}}, \psi_{m}\}_{k}$, that is the SFR and impact parameter distributions of a “background” galaxy population given random counts of galaxies that are not physically associated with the targeted DLAs. These distributions, which are shown in the right panels of Figure 7, are subject to the same selection effects as the galaxy population near the DLAs (see a discussion in Fumagalli et al. 2014b). Thus, by empirically measuring the background in proximity to the regions of interest with the same selection function, we automatically account for the field-by-field variation in the sensitivity limits of our survey, the differences arising from the fact that we have adopted multiple filters, and potential differences in the large-scale distribution of galaxies in the targeted fields.

The main result of this analysis is already apparent from the histograms in Figure 7. The distributions of SFRs and impact parameters for the galaxies close to the quasar sightline match the corresponding distribution for random galaxies far from the quasars, without an obvious excess that can be attributed to the DLA hosts. The only noticeable difference is at $b_{\text{dla}} < 10 \, \text{kpc}$, where few galaxies are detected. The same excess is visible also as a function of the SFR, as shown in the left panel of Figure 7. Even with these few detections, consistent with our previous discussion on the in-situ SFR, the region $b_{\text{dla}} < 10 \, \text{kpc}$ is almost completely empty, with only $3/32$ detected galaxies ($2/32$ if we interpret the detection in the 10:G11 field as due to quasar leakage). From this analysis, we draw an important conclusion: not only do DLAs not form appreciable stars in situ, but also that $< 7\%$ of the DLAs are associated with galaxies with $\psi \gtrsim 2 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $b_{\text{dla}} < 10 \, \text{kpc}$. In turn, this implies that the majority of DLAs arise from galaxies with $\psi < 2 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and/or at $b_{\text{dla}} > 10 \, \text{kpc}$.

Figure 7 also offers a convenient empirical way to summarize the effective completeness of our survey. One can see that the distribution of impact parameters reaches its maximum density around $\psi \sim 2 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$, while it is more sparse at both larger and...
smaller SFRs. At larger SFRs, such a decrease is expected according to the general form of the luminosity function, for which the number of sources decreases as the apparent magnitude decreases (hence the luminosity increases). The decrease of density for increasing apparent magnitudes is instead a result of incompleteness in our flux-limited survey. In the following analysis, we will consider \( \dot{\psi} = 2 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \) as our effective completeness limit, keeping in mind that the depth of individual images varies from field to field. We note that the discrepancy between the completeness limit and the more sensitive limits on the \( \psi^{-0.5} \) measured in the \emph{in situ} SFR arises from the different nature of the two measurements. While the \emph{in situ} measurements are only limited by pixel variance, the completeness limit also depends on the procedures used to identify sources in the images. We refer the reader to Fumagalli et al. (2014b) for a detailed description of these effects.

Beyond \( b_{\text{dla}} = 10 \, \text{kpc} \), one can instead see an increasingly high number of detections, which, as suggested by the distributions of SFRs and impact parameters, are for the most part unrelated to the DLAs. But are we seeing any excess compared to the background distribution, which we can associate with the intervening DLAs? To answer this question more quantitatively, we derive an estimate for the locus of the minimum impact parameter as a function of SFR, given a random galaxy population and a reference point in the sky. In other words, we seek for an expression \( b_{\text{min}} = b_{\text{min}}(\dot{\psi}) \) which quantifies the typical distance to the closest galaxy in the sky, given an SFR and a preferred view point as set by a quasar. We emphasize that in this calculation \( \dot{\psi} \) is a surrogate for the apparent magnitude, and it should not be interpreted as an actual SFR measurement.

To derive \( b_{\text{min}} \), we simulate the locus of impact parameters as a function of SFRs using the distributions of background galaxies with 10000 mock samples. Specifically, for each trial, we draw \( N_{\text{ran}} \) pairs \( \{b_{\text{ran}}, \dot{\psi}\}_k \) from the distributions of impact parameters and SFRs of the background galaxy population, with \( N_{\text{ran}} \) equal to the number of sources detected within 200 kpc from the 32 DLAs included in this study. We then define \( b_{\text{min}}(\dot{\psi}) = \min(b_{\text{ran},\dot{\psi}}) \) in bins of SFR. By repeating this procedure 10000 times, we recover a distribution \( \{b_{\text{min}}\}_t \), the median of which is shown in Figure 7, together with the 0.1, 0.25, 0.75, 0.9 percentiles. To first approximation, \( b_{\text{min},25\%}/kpc = (\psi/M_\odot \, yr^{-1})^{0.8} + 6 \). This parametrization should be generalized to other samples with caution, being related to the extremes of a distribution.

With an estimate for the typical \( b_{\text{min}} \) in a random galaxy sample, we have a metric to conclude that there is a statistically significant excess of galaxies at small impact parameters, with 4/32 detections below \( b_{\text{min},10\%} \), and 6/32 detections below \( b_{\text{min},20\%} \). Images of these 6 quasar fields are shown in Figure 8. Thus, from this analysis, we can conservatively conclude that \( \lesssim 13\% \) of the DLAs are associated with galaxies with \( \dot{\psi} \geq 2 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \) and impact parameters \( b_{\text{dla}} \leq b_{\text{min},25\%} \). In Figure 7, we also label the location of the galaxy in the field 3:G3 that gives rise to the positive detection within the 12 kpc aperture centered on the DLA in the previous section. This galaxy lies close to the typical \( b_{\text{min}} \) for random galaxies, in line with our previous estimate of a \( \sim 10\% \) probability to detect a random interloper with comparable magnitude in the search area defined by the projected impact parameter.
Imaging DLAs at $z > 2$ (III)

The association of the detected emission for this DLA is therefore tentative.

Nevertheless, we believe that we have detected a handful of sources that are likely associated to the intervening DLAs along the targeted sightlines. We emphasize that, although the target fields were selected due to the presence of a DLA and we are hence “biased” towards the association of any detected galaxy with the DLA, we cannot formally rule out associations with lower-redshift absorption line systems (e.g. MgII or CIV absorbers) which are present in these spectra. This is especially true given the statistical nature of this argument and the small number of positive detections. Thus, the 6/32 identifications should be regarded as quite conservative upper limits.

Finally, we note that the host galaxies of the higher redshift LLSs and DLAs which act as blocking filters should not be detectable below their Lyman limit, unless they are characterized by an unusually high escape fraction of ionizing photons. Thus, the presence of higher redshift blocking filters does not affect our estimates. In passing, we point out that the search for dropout galaxies in proximity to these quasars will offer interesting candidates for the association with the higher redshift DLAs and LLSs in these sightlines. At the same time, the search for DLA hosts at $b_{dla} > 10$ kpc through a statistical analysis like the one here presented does not have to be restricted to sightlines with double DLAs, and it can be easily performed in larger samples. We defer these searches to future work.

3.2 The galaxy-absorber correlation function

In section 3.1, we focused our attention on the closest impact parameters, in the range $b_{dla} \lesssim 30$ kpc. We now extend our analysis to larger impact parameters to investigate whether there is an excess of galaxies near DLAs compared to a background galaxy population. To address this question, we measure the projected DLA-galaxy correlation function, by comparing the observed number of galaxies at a given separation from the targeted DLAs to the expected number from a random galaxy population (see, e.g., Cooke et al. 2006). Again, for this calculation, we consider a circular aperture of 200 kpc around each DLA.

Figure 8. Images in the bluest available filters of the six quasar fields with candidate DLA galaxies at close impact parameters. Each panel shows a $30'' \times 30''$ region centered at the DLA position, which is marked by a red circle. The detected sources are marked with white ellipses, which represent the Kron apertures used for photometry.

Figure 9. The projected DLA-galaxy correlation function as measured around the 32 DLAs included in this study within bins of 40 kpc (except the innermost bin of 20 kpc). The measurement obtained with real data is shown with black squares, while blue circles and red stars show the projected correlation function after injecting 16 and 32 artificial DLA hosts with random impact parameters in the range $b_{dla} = 20 - 100$ kpc. For these two cases, we show only the two relevant bins, as the other values remain unchanged. The central values have also been offset by 5 and 10 kpc for visualization purposes.
Specifically, the number of random galaxies inside an annulus $\Delta b$ at a given projected separation $b$ from a DLA is

$$N_{\text{ran}} = 2\pi b\Delta b \mu_{\text{ran}},$$

(2)

where $\mu_{\text{ran}} = (2.566\pm0.046)\times10^{-4}$ kpc$^{-2}$ is the surface number density of galaxies at the depth of our imaging survey, which we compute using the previously defined apertures at large distances from the quasar positions. By comparing $N_{\text{ran}}$ to the observed number of galaxies $N_{\text{obs}}$ in a given annulus around the DLAs, we obtain a binned estimator of the projected DLA-galaxy correlation function, $w_{\text{DLG}}(b)$, defined by

$$w_{\text{DLG}}(b, \Delta b) = N_{\text{obs}}(b, \Delta b)/N_{\text{ran}}(b, \Delta b) - 1.$$ (3)

Errors are simply set according to Poisson statistics in the observed number counts. A similar analysis, but employing mock galaxy catalogues constructed in apertures of 200 kpc and the DD/RR estimator, yields the same result. Given our qualitative discussion, we do not resort to the more advanced techniques for measuring correlation functions and estimating errors, which exist in the literature (e.g. Landy & Szalay 1993).

The measurements of $w_{\text{DLG}}(b)$ are shown in Figure 9. In agreement with the nearest neighbor statistics presented in Section 3.1, the projected DLA-galaxy correlation function exhibits an excess for the innermost bin, in the interval $0 < 20$ kpc. This excess is however only marginal, especially since our simple errors probably underestimate the true variance. Furthermore, this excess is severely dependent on the choice of the bin size, as is typical for correlation functions (e.g. Croft et al. 1997). Increasing the innermost bin size to 30 kpc would cause the signal to disappear due to the rapid increase in the number of background sources, as one could also guess from Figure 7.

Conversely, for $b_{\text{DLA}} > 20$ kpc, we do not see any excess of galaxies in proximity to the DLAs compared to background values. This is expected, since we are computing the projected correlation function without spectroscopic information, thus integrating over a huge volume. We can gauge the sensitivity of our measurement to DLA host galaxies by recomputing the correlation functions after injecting 32 or 16 fake DLA galaxies in the observed catalogues with impact parameters $b_{\text{DLA}} = 20 - 100$ kpc. In this simple experiment, we assume that all galaxies in the observed catalogues are random interlopers, and that the fake sources are the only galaxies associated to the DLAs. Results from this calculation are shown in Figure 9. As expected, the presence of tens of DLA galaxies at these impact parameters boosts the clustering signal, although the detection remains marginal. A comparison between $w_{\text{DLG}}(b)$ with mock and real data suggests that a large fraction ($\gg 50\%$) of DLA galaxies with $\text{SFR} \, \psi > 2\text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $b_{\text{DLA}} = 20 - 100$ kpc are not present in our data, thus implying that not all DLAs originate nearby to highly star-forming galaxies.

### 3.3 Comparisons with other DLA searches

The search for DLA host galaxies has been a long-time effort which, especially thanks to recent new detections (e.g. Fynbo et al. 2011; Péroux et al. 2011, 2012; Noterdaeme et al. 2012b; Fynbo et al. 2013; Bouché et al. 2013; Jorgensen & Wolfe 2014), has yielded 11 DLA galaxies currently known at $z > 1.9$. In Table 2, we present a summary of the properties of these confirmed DLA galaxies. This compilation is the result of several heterogeneous searches, which include both serendipitous discoveries, and results from programmes that have pre-selected targets according to absorption properties, such as high metallicity. For this reason, it is quite difficult to reconstruct the selection function of these searches, and it is even harder, if not impossible, to obtain a full census of the DLAs for which a search has been attempted without success. Some notable exceptions are the recent VLT/SINFONI survey by Péroux et al. (2011) and Péroux et al. (2012), or the Gemini/NIFS programme by Wang et al. (MNRAS submitted), as these authors report both detections and non-detections.

A comparison between the properties of the galaxies listed in Table 2 and the results of more homogeneous searches, such as our study, becomes therefore critical to understand the extent to which the confirmed DLA galaxies are representative of the general population of DLA hosts. This comparison is offered in Figure 10, where we examine the distributions of impact parameters, metallicity, column densities, and SFRs for the known DLA hosts, the galaxies detected in our images, and the results of the IFU searches. Based
Figure 10. Distribution of impact parameters as a function of SFRs for the detected galaxies in proximity of the DLA position (grey crosses), compared to the distribution of the confirmed DLA galaxies from Table 2 (blue squares). Empty squares indicate galaxies without published SFRs, which are shown at the arbitrary value of $1\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$. The brown triangles mark the upper limits on the SFRs for the 9 DLAs without counterparts in the sample of Péroux et al. (2012), which we plot at the maximum distance probed by their observations. The red solid and dotted lines represent the locus of the minimum impact parameters and the corresponding 25–75 percentiles (as in Figure 7). The black line and upper limits show the maximum impact parameter for a random background population, with $\sim 8/11$ systems having impact parameters below $b_{\text{min}}$ at $0.25\%$. Conversely, our survey indicates that $\lesssim 6/32$ of the DLA galaxies are found below $b_{\text{min}}$ at comparable SFRs. In our survey no more than $\sim 15\%$ of the DLAs have hosts with $\psi > 1\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ at low impact parameters. Conversely, previous searches would suggest instead that these SFRs and impact parameters should arise in $\sim 70\%$ of the DLAs. This comparison is affected by the small sample size, but it highlights how the emission properties of the confirmed hosts cannot be generalized to the entire DLA population without considering the various selection effects for the sample listed in Table 2.

Figure 11. Left panels. The metallicity (top) and column density (bottom) distributions of known DLA galaxies (blue squares) compared to the distribution of the sources detected in our survey (grey crosses) for $\psi > 1\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $b_{\text{DLA}} < b_{\text{min,25\%}}$. Right panel. Same as the central panels, but comparing the SFRs in emission with the metallicity in absorption. The limits from Péroux et al. (2012) and Wang et al. (submitted) are also included as downward triangles (filled and open, respectively). SFRs are shown as published, and thus these measurements contain additional scatter due to inhomogeneous tracers and calibrations.

on the published star formation indicators for 8/11 DLA galaxies (Table 2), it appears that the population traced by the known objects is generally above the completeness limit of our survey ($\psi = 2\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$). In the following, we will assume that the three DLAs without published SFRs (Q0139–0824, Q0338–0005, and Q0953+47) lie within the sensitivity of our images. We note, however, that the compiled SFRs in Table 2 have a substantial uncertainty due to observational errors (e.g. slit losses in some cases). They are also quite heterogeneous, due to the use of different SFR indicators and calibration schemes in the literature.

With these caveats in mind, Figure 10 shows that the known DLA galaxies lie all at impact parameters below the typical minimum impact parameter for a random background population, with $\lesssim 8/11$ systems having impact parameters below $b_{\text{min}}$. Conversely, our survey indicates that $\lesssim 6/32$ of the DLA galaxies are found below $b_{\text{min}}$ at comparable SFRs. In our survey no more than $\sim 15\%$ of the DLAs have hosts with $\psi > 1\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ at low impact parameters. Conversely, previous searches would suggest instead that these SFRs and impact parameters should arise in $\sim 70\%$ of the DLAs. This comparison is affected by the small sample size, but it highlights how the emission properties of the confirmed hosts cannot be generalized to the entire DLA population without considering the various selection effects for the sample listed in Table 2.

Similar considerations can be made from the VLT/SINFONI survey by Péroux et al. (2012). This programme provides IFU data and hence a complete spectroscopic search up to distances of $\sim 40\, \text{kpc}$ in $10\, z > 2$ DLAs with a wide range of absorption properties, similar to those shared by our targets. A direct comparison between the SINFONI survey and known DLA galaxies is slightly complicated by the wide range of sensitivities reached by this programme, with upper limits between $\psi > 1 - 10\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$. Nevertheless, the low detection rate (a single detection in a sample of 10 DLAs) reinforces our conclusions (see also Bouché et al. 2012). Similarly, Wang et al. (submitted) did not find DLA hosts in their Gemini/NIFS IFU data down to $\psi < 2.2\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ for two systems, and $\psi < 11\, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ for a third.

As discussed, it is far from trivial to quantify the relative importance of the different selection effects, and here we bring to the attention of the reader three of the most obvious ones. First, the sample listed in Table 2 suffers from a luminosity bias, which is typical of any imaging or spectroscopic search. In this case, the confirmed DLA galaxies would represent only the “tip of the iceberg” at the bright end of the luminosity function. The luminosity bias alone could explain the excess of sources at small impact parameters reported in Figure 10. However, it is worth considering a second more subtle selection effect which may arise from the observational technique adopted in the discovery of several of the known DLA hosts. A non-negligible number of these DLA galaxies have been discovered by triangulating the signal detected in two or three slits centered at the quasar position (see e.g. figure 1 in Fynbo et al. 2010; Møller et al. 2004). In this layout, the likelihood of detecting galaxies at small impact parameters is higher, purely because this is where the area covered by the slits is maximal. While the majority of the DLAs would be detected given the model proposed by Fynbo et al. (2008), a fraction of DLA hosts may still be undetected purely because they lie at larger impact parameters. This effect would skew the impact parameter distributions of the detected DLAs towards small $b_{\text{DLA}}$, similarly to the observations in Figure 10.

Furthermore, as highlighted in Figure 11, the absorption properties of the DLAs with known hosts are skewed towards high metallicity (right panel), and slightly towards higher column den-
sities (left bottom panel). The excess of systems at high metallicity is a known consequence of the well-documented targeting strategy. Observations of metal-rich DLAs are indeed favored because of a possible mass-metallicity relation (Møller et al. 2004; Fynbo et al. 2008, 2010; Neeleman et al. 2013) that would increase the odds of detecting the hosts of the more metal-rich systems. From this discussion, we conclude that: i) care should be exerted when interpreting the properties of DLA host galaxies solely based on compilations like the one in Table 2; ii) models aiming to reproduce DLA galaxies should not only reproduce the scaling relations of the known DLA hosts, but also the number of non-detections in homogeneously searched (such as the present one).

Finally, in Figure 11, we also compare the few galaxies detected in our survey at $b_{\text{Dla}} \leq b_{\text{min,25%}}$ against some previously reported correlations between absorption and emission properties in DLA host galaxies. Correlations between impact parameters and column densities or metallicity have been already reported for the known DLAs (e.g. Krogager et al. 2012), as is also shown in the panels of Figure 11. Our candidates appear instead to be more scattered, but these deviations are not particularly significant in the absence of spectroscopic confirmation. When examining how SFRs vary with metallicity (right panel of Figure 11), no clear trend is evident in either our sample of candidate hosts or in the sample of confirmed DLA galaxies. To first order, if a mass-metallicity relation is in place for DLAs (Møller et al. 2004; Fynbo et al. 2008; Neeleman et al. 2013; Møller et al. 2013), one would expect a similar correlation between SFRs and metallicity, given the known correlation between SFRs and masses in galaxies. However, due to the small sample size and the intrinsic errors in the SFRs mentioned above, this lack of correlation is inconclusive. This is especially true for our candidates without redshift confirmations. Furthermore, the trend of metallicity with impact parameter complicates the relation between metallicity in absorption and SFR integrated over the entire host galaxy (Fynbo et al. 2008). It would nevertheless be interesting to augment the size of uniformly-selected DLA hosts to investigate further how a mass-metallicity relation for DLAs is reflected into a metallicity-SFR correlation.

### 3.4 Implications for cooling rates and emission from LBG outskirts

Having characterized the distribution of galaxies in the surroundings of DLAs, we are now able to comment on the last possible scenario for the heating mechanism of the high-cool DLAs, which we discussed in Section 2.4. By means of the limits on the in-situ SFRs, we identified a potential discrepancy between the SFRs that would provide the heating rate necessary to power high-cool DLAs and the limits inferred by our direct observations for both extended low-surface brightness sources and compact ($r \leq 0.25'$) LBGs embedded in the DLA gas at very small impact parameters. As noted, this tension could be resolved if the heat is provided by LBGs at larger impact parameters, an hypothesis we can now address explicitly.

Due to the $r^{-2}$ dependence of the UV flux, LBGs at larger distances have to be much more luminous to provide the same photon flux at the DLA position. In Figure 10, we compare the locus of impact parameters as a function of SFRs for all the galaxies detected near the targeted DLAs with the maximum impact parameters at which we can find an LBG that satisfies the constraints imposed by the DLA cooling rates (cf. Figure 6). This limit is computed as follows. Given the size of LBGs $r_{\text{lbg}}$, the size of the associated DLA $r_{\text{Dla}} \pm 0.25'$, and hence of the maximum impact parameter is constrained by the area covered by DLAs relative to the area covered by LBGs, $C_{\text{Dla, lbg}}$, Wolfe & Chen (2006) and Wolfe et al. (2008) estimate $C_{\text{Dla, lbg}} \sim 330$ between $z \sim 2.5 - 3.5$. We can therefore compute the SFR required to satisfy the SFR surface density inferred for the high-cool population as $\dot{\psi} = \Sigma_{\text{sr}} \pi r_{\text{Dla}}^2 = \Sigma_{\text{sr}} \pi r_{\text{lbg}}^2 C_{\text{Dla}},$ where the covering fraction of the high-cool population is $C_{\text{Dla}} \sim 1/26 C_{\text{Dla, lbg}}$, as discussed in Wolfe et al. (2008).

Figure 10 shows the resulting limits on the impact parameters for LBGs, where the minimum at $\dot{\psi} \sim 2 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ is imposed by the constraint $r_{\text{lbg}} \geq 0.5$ kpc. In this calculation we further assume $\Sigma_{\text{sr}} \sim 10^{−1.8}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$ for the high-cool DLAs from the median of the distribution shown in Figure 6. We note that the presence of a dust-obsured population, unexplored in our study, would not contribute towards the budget of UV photons needed to satisfy the model prediction for the high-cool DLAs, as these photons will be screened by the same dust that prevents the detection of the galaxies in our imaging.

Given our sample of 32 DLAs and assuming a roughly equal split between low-cool and high-cool DLAs, we would expect to have detected approximately 8 galaxies below the impact parameter limits imposed by the calculation above. Our data show that $\leq 6$ candidate DLA hosts (without double-counting the two detections in 5G5 field) are found below this line. If we allow for possible leakage in the 10:G11 field, only $\leq 5$ galaxies are found out of the $\sim 8$ galaxies expected. Currently, these numbers are in formal agreement, but the modest number of star-forming galaxies below $\sim 30$ kpc may become uncomfortable for the model of Wolfe et al. (2008) if some of these candidates were found to not be at the DLA redshift. However, any tension should be considered modest, if present at all, given the many uncertainties at play. Firstly, this comparison hinges on a small number of galaxies; secondly, the fraction of high-cool DLAs relative to low-cool DLAs is unknown in our sample and may differ from what has been reported by Wolfe et al. (2008); thirdly, previous estimates of the relative covering fraction of DLAs and LBGs rely on shallower luminosity functions than those now available (e.g. Alavi et al. 2014). It will be very interesting to use larger samples and new direct measurements to investigate the assumptions at the base of the model by Wolfe et al. (2003b,a).

Finally, with a census of the LBGs in the surroundings of these DLAs, we can compare our findings with the model proposed by Rafelski et al. (2011), according to which DLAs arise in the outskirts of compact LBGs. In Figure 10, we show the $0.25 - 0.75$ percentiles of the SFRs for the galaxies included in the stack of Rafelski et al. (2011), which lie in the range $\dot{\psi} = 0.8 - 2 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Unfortunately, our survey starts being incomplete below $\dot{\psi} \sim 2 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, preventing a statistical comparison between our detections and the presence of compact LBGs. Again, deeper imaging surveys will provide valuable constraints for this model.

### 4 WHAT ARE DLAs?

A definite answer to the question of what DLAs are has been hard to obtain, despite much progress in characterizing the emission and absorption properties of these absorbers. Besides the interest in unveiling the nature of these systems, a deeper understanding of DLAs has far reaching implications. DLAs represent the major repository of neutral gas in the $z \sim 2 - 3$ Universe, and studies of the SFRs in representative samples of these absorbers, like the one presented here, offer new insight into how the most significant HI overdensities relate to star formation.
The primary results emerging from our analysis are: 1) new limits on the in-situ SFR of DLAs, to $\psi < 0.09 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ for compact regions or $\psi < 0.27 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ for more extended sources; 2) a deep search for galaxy counterparts in the surroundings of DLAs, through which we have detected an excess of sources only at small impact parameters ($\lesssim 30$ kpc), and for $\lesssim 4/32$ DLAs to a completeness limit of $\psi = 2 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$. Thus, our survey places new quantitative limits on the allowed parameter space for DLA galaxies, ruling out the parameter space $\psi \gtrsim 2 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ and $b_{\text{dla}} < b_{\text{min,25kpc}}$ kpc for the general DLA galaxy population.

In this section, we aim to discuss how these new limits fit with the empirical knowledge accumulated over the years on the origin of DLAs. In particular, we will focus on three scenarios: i) DLAs as systems where star formation occurs only throughout the absorbing gas; ii) DLAs as moderately star-forming galaxies which are however sufficiently dusty to become invisible at UV wavelengths; iii) DLAs as UV-bright star-forming galaxies, or LBGs. At this stage, the discussion will be qualitative and, occasionally, even speculative. Future theoretical work is encouraged to incorporate more quantitatively the new empirical constraints we have provided in the context of the many models of DLAs that can be found in the literature (e.g. Fynbo et al. 1999; Nagamine et al. 2007; Fynbo et al. 2008; Pontzen et al. 2008; Tescari et al. 2009; Hong et al. 2010; Cen 2012; Rahmati & Schaye 2014; Bird et al. 2014; Barnes & Haehnelt 2014; Danovich et al. 2014).

Furthermore, in the following, we will not explore the even harder question of the morphology of DLAs, which can take the form of disk-like structures, clumps, tidal debris, inflows, outflows, or even quite extended structures (Giovanelli & Haynes 1989). We will simply focus on the more general relationship between DLA gas and star formation. It is also implicit that a rigorous classification within the three classes mentioned above is not mandatory, or may even be incorrect if DLAs arise from a diverse population.

4.1 DLAs as aggregates of gas and stars

In this first class, we envision DLAs as aggregates of H I gas giving rise to the absorption signature, with star formation occurring throughout the same gas. In this scenario, the DLA gas and the DLA galaxy are coincident in the same system, which can be probed either in absorption (the DLA gas) or in emission (the DLA galaxy). The plausibility of this scenario has already been investigated in the literature, most notably by Wolfe & Chen (2006), or more recently by means of composite spectra of DLAs (Rahmani et al. 2010; Noterdaeme et al. 2014; Cai et al. 2014). In agreement with these previous studies, our direct measurements of the in-situ SFRs via FUV emission rule out that typical DLAs are star-forming pockets of gas that are buried under the glare of the background quasars, up to SFR surface density limits of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} = 10^{-1.54} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, kpc^{-2}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} = 10^{-2.62} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, kpc^{-2}$, for sizes of 2 kpc and 12 kpc, respectively (Figure 4).

During our analysis, we emphasized that the low in-situ SFRs inferred from our observations are not consistent with other observed properties of DLAs, essentially allowing for a DLA population with typically very little star formation. As shown in Figure 5, even modest star formation events can still satisfy the local metal enrichment. Additionally, provided that most of the DLA gas at higher redshift merges onto other structures that are forming stars at higher rates (Prochaska & Wolfe 2009), we can still preserve the general argument that DLAs contain enough neutral hydrogen to form about a third of the stars produced in the universe by $z \sim 0$, even without imposing that these stars are formed in situ.

Therefore, our observations do not exclude the possibility that star formation occurs throughout the DLA gas at rates below our inferred limits, leaving open a scenario in which stars are formed fully within the DLA gas for the origin of most DLAs. However, as argued in Section 2.2, this scenario is more difficult to corroborate from a theoretical point of view: typical DLAs with column densities $N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{20.2} - 10^{21} \, cm^{-2}$ may not provide a favorable environment for star formation. Direct observations are needed to test this hypothesis, but we note that, in line with our argument, there is evidence for in-situ star formation only in DLAs with $N_{\text{HI}} > 10^{21} \, cm^{-2}$ (Rafelski et al. 2011; Noterdaeme et al. 2014), and not at lower column densities. Furthermore, the potential discrepancy between the observed limits and the inferred SFR surface densities from the [C II] cooling rates (Figure 6) may be resolved by associating “high-cool” DLAs to nearby star-forming galaxies (Wolfe et al. 2008), a fact that would make a picture in which DLAs form stars fully within the absorbing gas insufficient to account for all the known observables.

And while recent studies have uncovered a population of “dark galaxies” (Rauch et al. 2008; Cantalupo et al. 2012), despite the evidence that DLAs lack appreciable star formation in situ, we believe that it would be incorrect to extrapolate our findings to conclude that DLAs simply arise from systems not related to star formation. In fact, the general DLA population is not an aggregate of pristine gas, nor does it share the same metal content of the IGM (e.g. Rafelski et al. 2012). Furthermore, the median velocity widths of DLAs (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997) suggest that DLA gas resides in significant potential wells, in which it is plausible to expect star-forming regions.

We conclude that a scenario in which DLAs are aggregates of gas and stars is not completely ruled out by observations, although it is not the most favorable hypothesis, given the aforementioned issues. Thus, if not fully embedded with DLA gas, star formation has to occur at least in the proximity of DLAs, a scenario we will explore in the next two sections.

4.2 DLAs as dusty star-forming galaxies

In the two scenarios we discuss in this and in the following section, we consider DLAs that are associated with some star formation, which is however not fully embedded in the absorbing gas, as it was instead the case for the scenario in Section 4.1. The readers who are familiar with the work by Wolfe & Chen (2006) and Wolfe et al. (2008) will recognize this as the “bulge hypothesis”.

A substantial fraction of the total cosmic star formation arises from obscured regions (e.g. Blain et al. 1999; Chary & Elbaz 2001; Bouwens et al. 2009; Decarli et al. 2014), and given that DLAs contain enough neutral hydrogen to account for about a third of the stars ever formed, we consider whether most DLAs may originate in proximity to dusty star-forming regions. In this category, we do not envision associations between DLAs and submillimetre or ultra luminous infrared galaxies for a few reasons. Firstly, the space density of these extreme populations is insufficient to account for the more abundant DLA population. Secondly, the few direct searches in emission for molecular gas in DLAs rule out the presence of massive reservoirs of cold gas and dust near the absorbing gas (e.g. Wiklind & Combes 1994, ; Dessauges-Zavadsky et al. in prep.).

Thus, with the expression “dusty star-forming galaxies”, we identify instead a population of galaxies with masses comparable to or even lower than the classic LBGs ($M_{\text{halo}} \sim 10^{11} - 10^{12} \, M_\odot$), in which star formation occurs within regions that are dusty enough to be attenuated and become invisible to surveys that select galaxies
solely based on FUV emission. Several studies (e.g. Reddy & Steidel 2004; Reddy et al. 2010) concluded that dust in bright LBGs suppresses the FUV emission by a factor of $\sim 4-5$. Extinction up to $\sim 2$ mag, if extrapolated also to fainter systems, could therefore play an important role in shaping the parameters of the impact parameter as a function of SFR (Figure 7), especially given that our results are based on rest-frame wavelengths $\lesssim 1000 - 1100\AA$.

Therefore, the presence of a relatively massive but dusty galaxy population near DLAs should be considered further, as it would for instance ease the potential tension between studies that reveal how DLAs originate from regions with high bias (Cooke et al. 2006; Font-Ribera et al. 2012) and theoretical works that instead struggle to incorporate both this high bias and all the other observed properties of a single model (e.g. Bird et al. 2014; Barnes & Haehnelt 2014).

However, measurements of the in-situ metallicity (e.g. Figure 5) place DLA gas in regions of modest dust content. This is also seen directly in the low reddening measurements along the line of sight to quasars with DLAs (Vladilo et al. 2008; Khare et al. 2012), although we cannot fully rule out with current observations the presence of dusty clumps in close proximity to, but not overlapping with, the locations probed in absorption. Similarly, the paucity of cold gas and molecules in high-redshift DLAs (see e.g. Carilli et al. 1996; Kanekar et al. 2006; Curran & Webb 2006; Jorgenson et al. 2006; Noterdaeme et al. 2008; Ellison et al. 2012; Srianand et al. 2012; Kanekar et al. 2014; Jorgenson et al. 2014) points towards an environment that is atypical for dusty, gas rich, galaxies. Finally, we note that, although the exact relationship between the observed cooling rate and the UV photon flux may be subject of future revision, the presence of high-cool DLAs disfavor a scenarios in which the UV radiation field is completely suppressed by dust.

In summary, given these contrasting pieces of evidence, the possibility that dust obscuration plays a role in shaping the detection rates of DLA galaxies remains open. This scenario is currently almost completely unexplored for galaxies of modest IR luminosity, and unbiased surveys at infrared and millimeter wavelengths to very faint levels are now needed to address the importance of dusty galaxies of modest mass as an important or even dominant population of DLA hosts.

### 4.3 DLAs as UV-selected star-forming galaxies

As already noted, the absorption properties of DLAs (e.g. metallicity and cooling rates), the fact that DLAs contain most of the neutral gas reservoir at high redshift, and the results of cross-correlations of DLAs and LBGs suggest that DLAs arise in the vicinity of star forming galaxies. With the caveat of a possible dusty population discussed in Section 4.2, it is therefore natural to associate DLAs with LBGs, as done by many authors in the literature (e.g. Fynbo et al. 1999; Wolfe et al. 2003b; Møller et al. 2004; Fynbo et al. 2008; Pontzen et al. 2008; Rafelski et al. 2011; Rahmati & Schaye 2014). Given that DLAs are selected purely based on gas cross-section and not luminosity, and because of the difficulties of identifying DLA host galaxies, it seems natural to associate DLAs with the faint end of the luminosity function (Fynbo et al. 2008; Rauch et al. 2008; Rafelski et al. 2011). In this scenario, which is also corroborated by simulations (Haehnelt et al. 2000; Nagamine et al. 2007; Pontzen et al. 2008; Rahmati & Schaye 2014), the few known DLA hosts with $\psi \gtrsim 1 - 2 M_\odot yr^{-1}$ arise from the brighter LBGs.

Our results are consistent with this picture, providing for the first time quantitative limits on the bright end of the luminosity function of the DLA host galaxies. Our observations rule out the possibility that the DLA galaxies are LBGs with $\psi \gtrsim 2 M_\odot yr^{-1}$ (unobscured) which are outshined by the background quasars. Furthermore, the lack of LBGs with $\psi \gtrsim 2 M_\odot yr^{-1}$ and $b_{\text{dla}} \lesssim b_{\text{min,25%}}$ kpc (Figure 7) rules out “bright” LBGs with large HI disks or more amorphous structures as those predicted by modern simulations (e.g. Danovich et al. 2014) of sizes $\sim 5 - 20$ kpc as the dominant population that gives rise to DLAs. At face value, this empirical fact disfavors models in which most of DLAs originate from extended rotating disks (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997; Maller et al. 2001).

Given these considerations, we are therefore forced to conclude that DLAs arise in the surroundings of fainter galaxies, with typical SFRs of $\psi \lesssim 2 M_\odot yr^{-1}$ (e.g. Rauch et al. 2008; Rafelski et al. 2011). If these dwarf LBGs are centrals of their dark matter halos (i.e. if they are field galaxies), then it would entirely explain the lack of bright LBGs in the surroundings of DLAs (e.g. Figure 9). However, a scenario in which DLAs are typically isolated dwarf LBGs may be difficult to reconcile with the measured DLA bias (Cooke et al. 2006; Font-Ribera et al. 2012), which suggests instead a comparable clustering amplitude of DLAs and bright LBGs. As speculated by Font-Ribera et al. (2012), this tension may be alleviated if DLAs arise from dwarf galaxies which are instead satellites of more massive (brighter) LBGs, but a more quantitative analysis is now needed. Incidentally, we note that the idea of an extended DLA gas structure, in which sub-halos are embedded and which is larger than the typical HI disk, is what motivated the simple picture of extended disks put forward by early models (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997; Maller et al. 2001) to explain the observed kinematics. And this picture gains also support from modern cosmological simulations, in which DLA gas extends inside or in proximity to massive dark matter halos, also encompassing smaller sub-halos (Rahmati & Schaye 2014).

To muddle the picture, however, simulations still struggle to reproduce correctly some of the most basic properties of DLAs, such as the redshift evolution of their number (e.g. Bird et al. 2014). Also, the low rate of detections in the IFU observations of Péroux et al. (2012) and Péroux et al. (2011) out to 40 kpc from the DLA position may not be easy to reconcile with this idea, as one would expect to detect the bright LBGs at the center of the massive parent halos at these distances in some instances. Perhaps more critical is the lack of significant excess in the DLA-LBG correlation function beyond 20 kpc, which is at odds with the idea of an abundant DLA population in satellites of massive LBGs out to their virial radii (Figure 9).

We therefore conclude that, while the idea of a link between LBGs and DLAs is sound from a qualitative point of view, more work is needed to quantitatively incorporate the properties of the observed DLA galaxies (e.g. Krogager et al. 2012), the limits of the bright end of the luminosity function (Figure 7), the DLA bias, kinematics, and the presence of metals in high-ionization states (Lehner et al. 2014) in a coherent model. Deep and complete redshift surveys in the surroundings of these DLA galaxies are further needed to better constrain the importance of clustering of dwarf galaxies around massive LBGs in shaping the properties of the DLA host galaxies. These searches should however account for the subtle biases induced by the stochastic nature of star formation at these low masses, which shapes the detection rates of faint galaxies (da Silva et al. 2014; Domínguez et al. 2014).
5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented results from an imaging survey of 32 quasar fields hosting DLAs at $z \sim 1.9 - 3.8$, with a median redshift $z \sim 2.7$. By leveraging the double-DLA technique that allows us to image the FUV continuum of DLA host galaxies at all impact parameters, including the innermost regions traditionally outshined by the background quasars, we have directly studied the in-situ emission properties of the DLA gas and the distribution of the surrounding galaxies. We have also systematically compared our findings to the properties of DLAs inferred from absorption spectroscopy. Our main results are summarized below.

- By positioning apertures of 2 kpc and 12 kpc centered on the DLA in each field, we have constrained the median in-situ SFRs of the DLA gas to be $\psi \lesssim 0.38 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ and $\psi \lesssim 0.65 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ in individual systems. With a stacking analysis, we have derived even tighter constraints on the median SFRs of DLAs, $\psi < 0.09 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ and $\psi < 0.27 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ in the 2 kpc and 12 kpc apertures. Converted into SFR surface densities, these limits become $\log \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} < -1.54 \, M_\odot \, km^{-2}$ and $\log \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} < -2.62 \, M_\odot \, km^{-2}$, ruling out the existence of appreciable star formation embedded in the DLA gas. These limits reinforce the statistical conclusion of Wolfe & Chen (2006) and are in line with expectations borne from the current theoretical understanding of the star formation law.

- By comparing the limits on the in-situ star formation to the observed metal content of DLAs in absorption, we have found that DLA gas can be enriched to the observed levels in $\gtrsim 0.05 - 10$ Myr for compact DLAs and in $\gtrsim 1 - 300$ Myr for extended DLAs. Given the available time for the enrichment to occur ($\sim 2$ Gyr), even lower rates of star formation ($30 - 500$ times lower than the measured upper limits) would suffice to enrich DLAs to the observed values, without the need for external sources of metals. It thus appears unlikely that star formation in or near DLAs underproduces the metals locked in DLAs, in line with the fact that DLAs thus appears unlikely that star formation in or near DLAs underproduces the metals locked in DLAs, in line with the fact that DLAs.

- When compared to the inferred SFR surface densities required to satisfy the $[^{12}\text{C}]$ cooling rates of the "high-cool" DLA population, we find a tentative discrepancy between our measured limits and the star formation required to heat the DLA gas. Furthermore, the number of star-forming galaxies in the surroundings of the DLAs with our deep imaging is slightly lower than the number expected to satisfy the heating rates predicted by models. This tension is however only very marginal and should be investigated further in larger samples.

- By studying the distribution of impact parameters as a function of the star formation rates for all the galaxies detected in proximity to the DLA position, we have identified 6 possible fields with an excess of sources compared to expectations from a random galaxy background. Additional follow-up spectroscopy is needed to confirm the redshift of these candidate DLA galaxies.

- At the completeness limit of our survey ($\psi = 2 M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$), we find that $\lesssim 15\%$ of the DLAs lie at impact parameters $b_{\text{DLA}}/kpc \lesssim (\psi/M_\odot \, yr^{-1})^{0.8} + 6$, in contrast with samples of known DLA hosts which appear to suffer from selection effects in luminosity and/or impact parameters. Furthermore, we do not detect excess in the projected DLA-galaxy correlation function between $20 - 100$ kpc, ruling out an abundant population ($\gtrsim 50\%$) of bright DLA host galaxies at these separations.

Our study adds new direct constraints to the debate of what DLAs are, albeit not solving this puzzle. Our new findings, combined with findings from other studies, suggest that DLAs cannot originate from aggregates of gas which are forming stars throughout to levels of $\sim 0.1 - 0.6 M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$. Through indirect observations and theoretical arguments, we argued that a more plausible scenario, which has been already advocated for by many authors, puts DLA gas in proximity to star-forming galaxies, possibly UV-selected LBGs, although the presence of a bias induced by dust needs to be investigated further. While it appears evident that DLAs are not directly associated to bright LBGs and that dwarf galaxies are the more natural hosts, one could envision associations with either dwarf isolated galaxies, or dwarf galaxies which are clustered with more massive LBGs. The latter case may be required to reproduce the observed bias of DLAs, but it appears to be at odds with the paucity of bright LBGs found in previous IFU searches or as suggested by our measurement of the DLA-galaxy projected correlation function. Further modeling is now required to quantitatively explore how to incorporate our new constraints with earlier observations and models for the origin of DLAs.

This study further opens new prospects to finally unveil the nature of DLAs. Our survey has placed strong limits on the presence of star formation in galaxies close to the quasar sightline. An important open question that future redshift surveys should address is the distribution of star-forming galaxies at large impact parameters to the sightline. Large integral field spectrographs, such as MUSE at VLT and KWCI at Keck, will soon provide this piece of information. Similarly, the deployment of the full ALMA array should enable the first glimpse into a potential dust obscured galaxy population invisible to UV studies, which may be associated with (a fraction of) the DLA population, but which would have been completely missed by our study.

Furthermore, we highlighted on several occasions the potential of a deeper imaging survey which adopts the double-DLA technique at the basis of our study. A similar survey, but with an improvement by a factor of at least 10, would yield stringent constraints on the in-situ properties of DLAs, critical to investigate the SF law for low metallicity gas and the mechanisms that are responsible for the enrichment and heating of the largest neutral hydrogen reservoir in the high-redshift Universe. Furthermore, these observations would provide unprecedented information on the clustering of faint dwarf galaxies around DLAs, as well as a direct discriminant between models for DLAs. Thus, carefully designed surveys at current facilities and, in the future, observations at the 30 m telescopes have the potential of finally answering some of the most challenging questions that have characterized DLA studies in the past thirty years.
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