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Key Points

Region 1 can be a sum of current flowing from/to solar wind & interhemispheric current.

Interhemispheric currents can be related to "double auroral oval".

Accounting for interhemispheric currents can help predict Region 1 features.
Abstract

An asymmetry in ionospheric conductivity between two hemispheres results in the formation of additional, interhemispheric field-aligned currents flowing between conjugate ionospheres within two auroral zones. These interhemispheric currents are especially significant during summer-winter conditions when there is a significant asymmetry in ionospheric conductivity in two hemispheres. In such conditions, these currents may be comparable in magnitude with the Region 1 field-aligned currents. In this case, the R1 current is the sum of two FACs: one is going from/to the solar wind, and another is flowing between conjugate ionospheres. These interhemispheric currents can also cause the formation of auroras extended along the nightside polar cap boundary, which may be related to the so-called “double auroral oval”. In this study, we present the results of analytical and numerical solutions for the interhemispheric currents and their effect on the Region 1 currents.
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1. Introduction

There are three major systems of Field-Aligned Currents (FACs), transporting energy into and out from polar ionospheres: the R1 FACs at the polar cap boundary, the Region 2 (R2) FACs at the auroral zone equatorward boundary (both were extensively studied from observational data [e.g., Iijima and Potemra, 1976, 1978; Weimer, 2001; Christiansen et al., 2002; Papitashvili et al., 2002; Anderson et al., 2005] and theoretically [e.g., Jaggi and Wolf, 1973; Wolf, 1975; Harel et al., 1981; Lyatsky and Maltsev, 1983; Spiro and Wolf, 1984; Richmond, 1992; Potemra, 1994]), and the so-called "substorm current wedge" appearing during substorms [e.g., McPherron et al., 1973].

More recent studies [Benkevich et al., 2000; Benkevich and Lyatsky, 2000; Ohtani et al., 2005a; 2005b; Østgaard et al., 2005; Lyatskaya et al., 2008, 2009; etc.] showed that an important role in the global 3-D current system can be played by the interhemispheric currents (IHCs). The IHCs redistribute ionospheric currents between two polar ionospheres in the regions of closed magnetic field lines in case of asymmetry of ionospheric conductivity between two polar ionospheres, which may happen during unequal illumination of polar ionospheres and other effects [e.g., Richmond and Roble, 1987; Kozlovsky et al., 2003; Atkinson and Hutchinson, 1978; Rishbeth, 1997; Benkevich et al., 2000; Benkevich and Lyatsky, 2000; Yamashita and Iyemori, 2002; Lyatskaya et al., 2008, 2009; Ohtani et al., 2005a, 2005b; Østgaard et al., 2005, and references therein]. However, since it is difficult to separate the IHCs from other FACs (especially when they flow in the same region), despite the important role of the IHCs in dynamics of the global 3-D current system, they have not been sufficiently investigated.

The IHCs can be generated on the gradient of ionospheric conductivity (e.g., at the terminator separating the sunlit and dark ionospheric regions) and at the boundaries of auroral
precipitation regions. Rishbeth [1997] suggested that IHCs may be “a significant fraction of the
total current, circulating in the ionosphere”, and the results of numerical modeling by Benkevich
et al. [2000] showed that the IHCs can reach up to half of the R1 currents.

The IHCs can also affect the high-latitude ionosphere and upper atmosphere. The Joule
heating by field-aligned and ionospheric currents are the main factor, which affects the
temperature and expansion of the high-latitude ionosphere and upper atmosphere [e.g., Chun et
al., 2002; Baker et al., 2004; Knipp et al., 2005; McHarg et al., 2005]. The present research and
modeling results show that the role of IHCs is even more extensive than we suggested in our
previous works.

The main purpose of this study is to examine the effect of interhemispheric FACs (IHCs)
(which are flowing between two conjugate ionospheres) on the R1 FACs, which transport the
electric field and energy from the solar wind to the ionosphere. The IHCs are especially
significant during summer-winter conditions when there is significant asymmetry in ionospheric
conductivity in two hemispheres; in these cases, the IHCs may be comparable in magnitude with
and significantly affect the R1 currents. Another goal is to investigate a possible effect of the
IHCs on the auroral events in the vicinity of the polar cap boundary such as the double auroral
oval. These two problems are not investigated yet due to the necessity to solve this problem
simultaneously in two hemispheres with different distributions of ionospheric conductivity.

2. Interhemispheric Currents near Polar Cap Boundary

For better understanding of the effect of IHCs on the R1 currents, first we consider a
simple case when the polar cap and auroral zone have the shape of a circle and axisymmetric
ring, respectively. The ionospheric conductivity poleward of the auroral equatorward boundary
in each hemisphere is assumed to be uniform. We also assume that the electric potential, \( \varphi_1 \), coming from the magnetopause, is the same at both polar cap boundaries and varying as

\[
\varphi_1 = E_0 r \sin \lambda,
\]

where \( E_0 \) is the electric field (which we assume to be homogeneous and the same in both polar caps), and the angle, \( \lambda \), is the longitude (\( \lambda = 0 \) at the midnight meridian). The potential at the equatorward boundaries of the auroral zones is assumed to be zero due to the shielding effect on the plasma sheet inner boundary [e.g., Jaggi and Wolf, 1973], which is related to auroral zone equatorward boundaries. In this case, the potential in the auroral zone, \( \varphi_A \), is a simple function of the radius, \( r \), and the angle, \( \lambda \),

\[
\varphi_A = E_0 \frac{r_{PC}^2}{r} \sin \lambda,
\]

where \( r_{PC} \) is the polar cap radius. The potential and electric field distribution is the same in both hemispheres. The FACs are derived as \( \nabla \cdot J_i \), where \( J_i \) are the ionospheric currents, \( J_i = \Sigma E \), where \( \Sigma \) is the height-integrated ionospheric conductivity, and \( E \) is the electric field. The obtained distribution of the FACs is schematically shown in Figure 1a. If conductivity distributions in two hemispheres are the same, the FACs distributions are also the same.

Then we consider a case when the ionospheric conductivity in two auroral zones is uniform but different in two hemispheres. In this case, we can expect that a part of ionospheric currents in one hemisphere can go along the highly-conductive magnetic field lines from one ionosphere to be closed in the opposite ionosphere, which results in the formation of the IHCs. The distribution of ionospheric currents and IHCs, \( I_{ih} \), in this case is shown schematically in Figure 1b.
In the case of symmetric ionospheric conductivity in two hemispheres (as in Fig. 1a), the R1 currents \( I_{R1} \) on the polar cap boundaries correspond to the traditional R1 currents, equal to the \( I_{sw} \) currents going from and to the solar wind (these currents are generated near the magnetopause due to solar wind - magnetosphere dynamo effect). In this case, \( I_{R1} = I_{sw} \). However, in the case of different ionospheric conductivities in two hemispheres (as in Fig. 1b), the FACs at the polar cap boundaries are the sum of two FACs: the traditional R1 currents \( (I_{sw}) \) going from/to the solar wind, and the IHCs \( (I_{ih}) \)

\[
I_{R1} = I_{sw} + I_{ih},
\]

(3)

Since both \( I_{sw} \) and \( I_{ih} \) currents in Figure 1b flow at the polar cap boundary, it is difficult to separate the \( I_{ih} \) from \( I_{sw} \). To separate these currents, in the winter auroral zone we included a narrow conductive ring attached to the polar cap boundary (see Figure 2) with ionospheric conductivity equal to the conductivity in the conjugate region in the opposite summer ionosphere. Due to the small width of the ring, it insignificantly affects the magnitude of the currents; however, it relocates the \( I_{ih} \) currents to the equatorward boundaries of this ring, which allows separating the \( I_{sw} \) and \( I_{ih} \) currents. Note that a similar meridional displacement of \( I_{ih} \) currents relatively to \( I_{sw} \) at the night side in reality can be caused by the equatorward \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \) convection drift of magnetospheric plasma across the polar caps, which results in the equatorward displacement of the \( I_{ih} \) FACs while they propagate between two hemispheres; this effect is known as the Alfven wings (e.g., Lyatsky et al. [2010a]). The resulting equatorward displacement, \( \Delta r \), of \( I_{ih} \) relatively to the polar cap boundary can be estimated on the ionospheric level as \( \Delta r = V_d \Delta t \), where \( V_d \) is the equatorward \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \) convection velocity, and \( \Delta t \) is the propagation time of the Alfven wave, transporting FACs between two hemispheres (e.g., Kivelson and Ridley [2007]; Lyatsky et al. [2010b]). For reasonable values of \( V_d \approx 0.3 \) km/s and
$\Delta t = 5$ min ($\Delta t = l / V_A$ where $l$ is the length of the field line and $V_A$ is an average Alfvén velocity along this field line), we obtain $\Delta r = 100$ km at the ionosphere level, which is sufficient for separation of these two currents. For simplicity, we assume that the equatorward displacement of the $I_{lh}$ currents is the same for all local times; in this case, the problem is similar to that (considered above) with a narrow conductive ring attached to the polar cap boundaries in the winter ionosphere.

The resulting model is shown in Figure 2. The conductivity of the summer hemisphere (which is not shown) is high and uniform; the conductivity of the winter hemisphere is low and uniform everywhere except the narrow ring with conductivity equal to the conductivity in the conjugate summer ionosphere, which provides the separation between the $I_{sw}$ and $I_{lh}$ currents. This model also allows us to compare the results of analytical solution with numerical simulation (we remind that the results obtained in this case are related to the night side only).

In each hemisphere, there are three given regions: (1) the polar cap with the radius $r_1$, (2) an adjacent narrow ring (shown in white on Fig. 2 with the outer radius $r_2$, and (3) the remaining auroral zone with outer radius $r_3$. For simplicity, we suggest the Pedersen conductivity, $\Sigma_P$, to be equal to the Hall conductivity, $\Sigma_H$, in each of the regions (which is approximately correct in the case of relatively-low geomagnetic activity). In the entire Southern auroral zone, the conductivity is uniform, $\Sigma_P = \Sigma_H = 3S$, while in the Northern conductivity in the region 1 and 3: $\Sigma_{P1} = \Sigma_{H1} = \Sigma_{P3} = \Sigma_{H3} = 1S$, while in the region 2 (where $r_1 < r < r_2$) $\Sigma_{P2} = \Sigma_{H2} = 3S$. For calculating the potential distribution outside the polar caps, we solved the problem accounting for different conductivities in two auroral zones. Inside the polar caps, where the conductivities are different but uniform in each polar cap, the potential does not depend on conductivity and is derived by Eq. (1).
First, we computed the potential distribution, which is the same in both hemispheres due to high conductivity along the field lines. The analytical solution for the potential in three consecutive axially symmetric regions with accounting for both Pedersen and Hall conductivities can be written in the following form [Lyatsky and Maltsev, 1983; Lyatsky et al., 2006]:

\[ \varphi_2 = E_0 \left[ \frac{r_1}{r_2 - r_2/r_1} \sin \lambda + \alpha r_2 \frac{r_1/r_2 - r_1/r_1}{r_2/r_1 - r_1/r_1} \sin(\lambda - \lambda') \right] \]  
\[ \varphi_3 = E_0 \alpha r_2 \frac{r/r_3 - r/r_2}{r_2/r_3 - r_2/r_2} \sin(\lambda - \lambda') \]  

where \( E_0 \) is the electric field within the polar cap, \( r_1 \) is the radius of a polar cap boundary (region 1 in the Fig. 2), \( r_2 \) and \( r_3 \) are the radii of the outer boundaries of the narrow ring (region 2) and the auroral zone (region 3), respectively, while \( \varphi_2 \) and \( \varphi_3 \) are potentials at the boundaries of these regions. The potential on the polar cap boundary is given by Eq. (1), the potential at the auroral zone equatorward boundary is assumed to be zero.

The coefficient \( \alpha \) and the angle \( \lambda' \) in Eqs. (4, 5) are the functions of the radii and the Pedersen and Hall ionospheric conductivities of these zones:

\[ \tan \lambda' = \frac{\Sigma_{H2} - \Sigma_{H3}}{\chi_2 \Sigma_{P2} + \chi_3 \Sigma_{P3}} ; \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \lambda' < \frac{\pi}{2} \]  
\[ \alpha = \chi_1 \Sigma_{P2} \left[ \left( \chi_2 \Sigma_{P2} + \chi_3 \Sigma_{P3} \right)^2 + \left( \Sigma_{H2} - \Sigma_{H3} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2} \]  
\[ \chi_1 = \frac{r_1^2}{r_2^2 - r_1^2} ; \quad \chi_2 = \frac{r_2^2 + r_1^2}{r_2^2 - r_1^2} ; \quad \chi_3 = \frac{r_3^2 + r_2^2}{r_3^2 - r_2^2} \]  

Note that Lyatsky and Maltsev [1983] considered only the case of symmetric distributions of ionospheric conductivity in two hemispheres, and they did not account for IHCs. In the case of different ionospheric conductivity in two hemispheres and the existence of IHCs, we should assume the conductivities in the regions 2 and 3 to be the sums of the related ionospheric
conductivities in Northern and Southern auroral zones. The FACs (including the IHCs) are found from the computed electric field in each of these regions. Then we used our numerical model that includes IHCs [Benkevich et al., 2000] for the same conductivity distribution. The obtained results were compared and found very close. For the potential difference across the polar caps of 100 KV, we obtained the following magnitudes of the currents in Northern hemisphere: $I_{R1} = 0.46$ MA, $I_{th} = 0.19$ MA, $I_{R2} = 0.14$ MA; and in Southern hemisphere: $I_{R1} = 0.66$ MA, $I_{th} = 0.19$ MA, $I_{R2} = 0.42$ MA. The computed distributions of the ionospheric and field-aligned currents are shown in Figure 3.

3. Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the effect of the interhemispheric currents (IHCs) on the R1 FACs, which transport the electric field and energy from the solar wind into the ionosphere. In the case of asymmetry in ionospheric conductivity between two hemispheres (particularly, during summer-winter conditions and specific UT intervals), the R1 currents on the polar cap boundaries are significantly different from the traditional R1 FACs related to symmetric ionospheric conductivity in two hemispheres. In the case of interhemispheric asymmetry in ionospheric conductivity, the FACs on the polar cap boundary include also (additionally to the traditional R1 currents) the IHCs going along the closed magnetic field lines between two conjugate ionospheres. The magnitude of these IHCs is proportional to the difference in ionospheric conductivities in two hemispheres on the polar cap boundaries, and during summer-winter seasons the IHCs can be comparable in magnitude with the R1 FACs. This shows the important contributions from the IHCs to the global current system.
Accounting for the $E \times B$ convection drift of magnetospheric plasma with the frozen-in magnetic field results in an equatorward displacement of the IHCs on the night side (while these currents propagate between two hemispheres). This displacement of the IHCs relatively to the polar cap boundary results in the formation of double-stream FACs near the nightside polar cap boundary. As a result, the two FACs, separated along the meridian, in summer hemisphere have the same direction, whereas in the winter hemisphere these currents flow in opposite directions. The spatial separation of the FACs near the polar cap boundary can partially explain the separation of FACs near the polar cap boundary, observed with the ST-5 spacecraft [e.g., Le et al., 2008, 2009].

In the winter hemisphere, the spatially-separated double-stream FACs flow in opposite directions; these FACs can be responsible for the formation of the so-called "double auroral oval" [e.g., Elphinstone et al., 1995; Lyatsky et al., 2001; Kornilova et al., 2006; Ohtani et al., 2012]. Indeed, it is well known [e.g., Knight, 1973; Janhunen and Olsson, 1998] that the energy flux of precipitating electrons depends on the direction of FACs: to provide upward-directed FACs in heated plasma in the convergent magnetic field, it should be a field-aligned electric field accelerating these electrons. Thus, the upward FACs are associated with fluxes of accelerated precipitating electrons, which can result in increasing auroral activity. Since the double-stream FACs in winter hemisphere flow in opposite directions, one of these FACs (upward-directed) can be responsible for the generation of the auroras and the formation of auroras along the nightside polar cap boundary, which is the main feature of the double auroral oval. Note that this explanation for these events is only one of possible effect contributing to the double auroral oval configuration; other explanations were proposed, e.g., by Ohtani et al. [2012] and recently Sandholt et al. [2014].
Thus, in this study we showed that any asymmetry in solar luminosity and, consequently, ionospheric conductivity in two hemispheres results in the generation of the IHCs flowing between two hemispheres. These IHCs can significantly affect the global 3-D current system in winter/summer conditions and some UT intervals.

The main results of this study can be summarized as follows:

1. Thus, in the case of asymmetry in ionospheric conductivity between two hemispheres, the R1 currents are the sum of two FACs: the traditional R1 FACs (the $I_{sw}$ currents) going from/to the solar wind, and the interhemispheric currents (IHCs). In a sunlit hemisphere, the IHCs are going in the same direction as the $I_{sw}$ currents, which results in increasing R1 currents. In the winter hemisphere, however, the IHCs are directed oppositely to the $I_{sw}$ currents; as a result, the magnitude of the R1 currents in dark winter hemisphere can be less than each of these currents. In the case considered in this study, the IHCs in the winter hemisphere comprise approximately 40% of the total R1 currents. The strong contribution from the IHCs to the R1 currents explains an important role played by the IHCs in the dynamics of the total 3-D current system.

2. Although both $I_{sw}$ currents and IHCs are placed near the polar cap boundary (the boundary of open-closed field lines), the locations of these two currents do not totally coincide (at least at the night side) due to an equatorward displacement of the IHCs while they propagate to the opposite hemisphere. This equatorward displacement of the IHCs with respect to the $I_{sw}$ currents results in the formation the double-stream FACs near the nightside polar cap boundaries.

3. The formation of double-stream FACs near the nightside winter polar cap boundary can lead to some interesting results. Since upward FACs are usually associated with fluxes of accelerated electrons precipitating into the ionosphere (that is explained as a result of the Knight...
mechanism [e.g., Knight, 1973]), the double-stream FACs over the nightside polar cap boundary
can create a band of precipitating accelerated electrons and auroras stretched out along the polar
cap boundary. In the evening sector, this band can be associated with the upward $L_w$ FACs (the
traditional R1 FACs) while in the morning sector the upward-directed IHCs, located somewhat
equatorward of the polar cap boundary, can be observed as part of so-called "double auroral
oval" [e.g., Elphinstone et al., 1995; Lyatsky et al., 2001; Kornilova et al., 2006; Ohtani et al.,
2012].
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Figure 1. A sketch of FACs and ionospheric currents in the dawn-dusk meridional cross-section for the cases: (a) when the ionospheric conductivity is the same in both hemispheres and (b) when the conductivity in Southern high-latitude ionosphere is higher than that in the Northern hemisphere. In the first (a) case, the traditional R1 currents are going on the polar cap boundaries from and to the solar wind (these FACs closing through the solar wind we will call the $I_{sw}$), while in the case (b) the R1 currents are the sum of the $I_{sw}$ and IHCs. Shown also are the R2 FACs closing the partial Ring Currents in the vicinity of the equatorial plane, and ionospheric currents in the polar caps, $I_{pc}$, and auroral zones, $I_{a}$. The ionospheric conductivity in Northern auroral zone and polar cap in Figure 1b is assumed to be very low.
Figure 2. A sketch showing the solar wind $I_{sw}$ currents (going from/to the solar wind) and separated interhemispheric $I_{ih}$ currents (flowing at the outer boundary of the narrow ring of enhanced conductivity shown in white) in Northern winter hemisphere. The R2 currents at the auroral zone outer boundary are also shown. The ionospheric conductivity in the auroral zone is assumed to be much less than that in the opposite summer auroral zone. Note that in the case of separated $I_{sw}$ and $I_{ih}$ currents, the R1 currents are equal to solar wind currents $I_{R1}=I_{sw}$. 


Figure 3. Computed currents in Northern winter hemisphere (top left) and Southern summer hemisphere (top right). Ionospheric currents are shown by blue arrows. The magnitude of FACs is shown as the contour plots. FACs entering the ionosphere are shown in blue while going out from in red and yellow. The FACs currents going from/to the solar wind at the polar cap
boundaries are shown as the $I_{sw}$ currents, the FACs at the outer boundaries of the narrow rings slightly equatorward of the polar caps are the interhemispheric currents ($I_{ih}$); the FACs at the outer boundaries of the auroral zones are the R2 currents. Note that $I_{ih}$ currents have the same direction as the $I_{sw}$ currents in summer hemisphere (the top right panel) but the opposite directions in winter hemisphere (the top left panel). The panels below show the meridional plots of the relative locations of the FACs, integrated within 20° of longitude along the dawn meridian (06 MLT), and the conductivity profile (lower panel) in the same meridian. Currents and conductivity in the Northern hemisphere are shown in solid, while in Southern in dashed lines.