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Introduction: We report evidence from epithermal neutron flux observations that show that the Moon’s high latitude surfaces are being actively hydrated, dehydrated and rehydrated in a diurnal cycle. The near-surface hydration is indicated by an enhanced suppression of the lunar epithermal neutron leakage flux on the dayside of the dawn terminator on poleward-facing slopes (PFS). At 0600 to 0800 local-time, hydrogen concentrations within the upper 1 meter of PFS are observed to be maximized relative to equivalent equator-facing slopes (EFS). During the lunar day surface hydrogen concentrations diminish towards dusk and then rebuild overnight. Surface hydration is determined by differential comparison of the averaged EFS to PFS epithermal neutron count rates above ±75° latitude. At dawn the contrast bias towards PFS is consistent with at least 15 to 25 parts-per-million (ppm) hydrogen that dissipates by dusk. We review several lines of evidence derived from temperature and epithermal neutron data by a correlated analysis of observations from the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter’s (LRO) Lunar Exploration Neutron Detector (LEND) that were mapped as a function of lunar local-time, Lunar Observing Laser Altimeter (LOLA) topography and Diviner (DLRE) surface temperature [1-4].

Background: Evidence from several studies have found surface hydration is enhanced near the Moon’s dawn terminator. In 2009, observations of a diurnally active and global-scale hydration of the lunar surface was detected by independent observations from three infrared (IR) detector systems including, Chandrayaan-1’s Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M’), Deep Impact and Cassini’s Visual Infrared Mapping Spectrometer [5-7]. Loosely bound hydrogen-bearing volatiles including water (H2O) and hydroxyl (OH) were observed to shift during the day to less illuminated surfaces [5]. In particular, the distribution of absorption in the 3μm band, indicated H2O and OH was found to be concentrated in early morning hours after sunrise [6]. Subsequent M’ studies found hydrogen concentrations increasing with latitude and diurnally persistent above ±60° [8,9]. However, the IR hydrogen detections remain quantitatively inconclusive as IR observations may be degraded by photometric and temperature effects, do not explore the depth of hydrated materials, are less reliable in polar conditions and are not available at night.

Results from epithermal neutron observations by the Lunar Prospector Neutron Spectrometer (LPNS) and LEND are only partially consistent with IR results [10-12]. Both LPNS and LEND detected strong evidence of increased accumulations of hydrogen towards the poles. Recent evidence derived from LEND equatorial observations have possibly detected a diurnal surface hydration process, where hydrogen is enhanced at the dawn terminator [13]. However, regolith temperature, spacecraft and instrument induced effects are plausible explanations for the detection [14,15]. To constrain these possibilities we analyze the Moon’s northern and southern epithermal leakage flux on PFS and EFS as a function of local-time. The objective is to identify and quantify diurnal hydration processes on these thermally contrasting surfaces and minimize the possibility of alternative explanations.

Methods: Epithermal neutron counting rates from LEND’s Collimated Sensor for Epithermal Neutrons (CSETN) were mapped using a low resolution technique as a function of lunar local-time. Maps included north polar observations from LRO’s circular mission (Sept 15, 2009 to December 2011) and south polar full-mission observations from (Sept 15, 2009 to June 2014). For each pole a series of twenty-four maps were produced, each centered on an hour of the lunar day, each containing observations within ±3 hours of a given maps local-time. For each map, we averaged the epithermal neutron rates as a function of several topography conditions including five fixed masks derived from the LOLA terrain models [12]. Each mask contains pixels corresponding to topographic conditions used to select and average epithermal map pixels. Figures 1, 2 show background subtracted epithermal rates for south and north regions above ±75° latitude including: 1) All topography (green-dashed) 2) All EFS (brown) 3) Large-scale EFS (red) 4) All PFS (light-blue) 5) Large-scale PFS (dark-blue).

Several key experimental concerns are addressed. 1) The possibility that spacecraft and instrument induced effects are accountable for diurnal trends is reduced by averaging the north and south polar epithermal maps as a function of the spatially intersected PFS and EFS masks. 2) the possibility is reduced that the diurnal epithermal rate variation observed in [13] is due solely to regolith temperature variation by constraining the analysis to high latitudes.
Results: North (N) and south (S) polar evidence support the hypothesis that enhanced concentrations of hydrogen-bearing volatiles are found on PFS at dawn. Flux suppression in both N and S results suggest hydrogenation of all surfaces during evening hours from 1800 to 0600, as shown by decreasing epithermal rates. Minimal PFS (N, S) rates occur at 0600 to 0800 indicating the local-time when maximum hydrogen concentrations are observed. Towards dusk all epithermal rates increase and differences are minimized, suggesting a combination of hydrogen loss or regolith temperature effects on the epithermal neutron flux. N and S PFS minima exhibit a ~3 hour local-time lag vs. the respective EFS minima.

Importantly, the expected polar diurnal temperature variability is greater for EFS vs. PFS, therefore defining an upper-bound constraint for any regolith temperature contribution to the epithermal leakage flux. However, for both N and S, the PFS profiles show the greater diurnal variability indicating an additional diurnal process influences the epithermal rates, possibly hydration, that is independent of regolith temperature. Statistical F-tests of the EFS vs. PFS profile variations show the N and S results are significantly different in Table 1. Further evidence of enhanced hydration on dawn PFS is shown by the increased suppression response of epithermal rates on Large-scale PFS (blue vs light-blue) where no consistent difference is observed for EFS rates (red vs brown), consistent with [12]. At 0600 to 0800 the N, S difference in EFS and PFS rates is consistent with 15 to 25 ppm hydrogen respectively. Hydration estimates may also be larger due observational limitations.

Conclusions: LEND epithermal neutron evidence suggests that both N and S polar, EFS and PFS illustrate a diurnal process that hydrates polar surfaces near the lunar dawn. Primary evidence of diurnal surface hydration is shown by the greater variability of N and S, PFS vs EFS profiles. EFS should have a larger temperature variability and bound any temperature contribution, yet PFS have the larger profile variances. Reviewed evidence also suggests a latitude dependent regolith temperature effect exists, but is too small to fully account for the observed latitude dependent variation in epithermal rates [15]. Results are consistent with IR results [5-7] and partially consistent with Livengood’s equatorial observations [13].
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Profiles Compared Test Stat Probability Same

| SP: PFS All vs EFS All | 2.74 | 0.019 |
| SP: PFS Lrg vs EFS Lrg | 4.77 | 4.0e-04 |
| NP: PFS All vs EFS All | 2.68 | 0.022 |
| NP: PFS Lrg vs EFS Lrg | 2.89 | 0.014 |

Table 1: F-test comparisons of EFS vs PFS profile variation. Diurnal N and S, PFS profile variations are significantly greater than respective EFS profile variations suggesting PFS are being hydrated.

Figure 1.2 - LEND Collimated Sensor for Epithermal Neutron (CSETN) count-rate profiles derived as a function of local-time for equator-facing and pole-facing slopes. left: South polar profiles derived from local-time maps: -75° to -90° latitude. right: North polar profiles derived from local-time maps: 75° to 90°. Five averages are derived from each of 24-maps. Maps span six-hours of local-time. Units are in background subtracted counts per second. 1) All topography (green-dashed) 2) All EFS (brown) 3) Large-scale EFS (red) 4) All PFS (light-blue) 5) Large-scale PFS (dark-blue). N and S results show maximum PFS hydration near 0600 to 0800. Regolith temperature cannot fully account for the greater variability in PFS rates relative to EFS rates suggesting a large-scale surface hydration process is evidenced in polar latitudes. Uncertainties: 1-σ standard-error of the mean uncertainties are below 0.001 cps due to large amounts of area in each class and large latitude band > ±75°.