The plasmaspheric plume and magnetopause reconnection
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Abstract

We present near-simultaneous measurements from two THEMIS spacecraft at the dayside magnetopause with a 1.5 h separation in local time. One spacecraft observes a high-density plasmaspheric plume while the other does not. Both spacecraft observe signatures of magnetic reconnection, providing a test for the changes to reconnection in local time along the magnetopause as well as the impact of high densities on the reconnection process. When the plume is present and the magnetospheric density exceeds that in the magnetosheath, the reconnection jet velocity decreases, the density within the jet increases, and the location of the faster jet is primarily on field lines with magnetosheath orientation. Slower jet velocities indicate that reconnection is occurring less efficiently. In the localized region where the plume contacts the magnetopause, the high-density plume may impede the solar wind-magnetosphere coupling by mass loading the reconnection site.

1. Introduction

It has been predicted that solar wind-magnetosphere coupling is limited during times when dense plasmaspheric plumes contact the dayside magnetopause. These predictions are based on theory and modeling which show that the reconnection rate decreases when the plasma density increases [e.g., Cassak and Shay, 2007; Birn et al., 2008]. As the plume contacts the magnetopause, it should mass load the region and slow magnetopause reconnection. This in turn should decrease the level of solar wind-magnetosphere coupling.

Observationally, the control of solar wind-magnetosphere coupling by the plume has been inferred in statistical work by Borovsky and Denton [2006]. Borovsky and Denton [2006] examined many years of solar wind measurements and geosynchronous plume observations to show reduced solar wind-magnetosphere coupling as measured through geomagnetic indices. Global magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) modeling shows that mass loading of the magnetopause reconnection will greatly slow the reconnection rate in a localized region, which is consistent with previous results [Borovsky et al., 2008].

Although many measurements of the plume exist at geosynchronous orbit [e.g., Moldwin et al., 1994; Elphic et al., 1996; Borovsky and Denton, 2008], few spacecraft measurements have been reported of the plume actually contacting the magnetopause [e.g., Su et al., 2000; McFadden et al., 2008a; Walsh et al., 2013]. The current study provides simultaneous spacecraft observations at the magnetopause with a separation in local time. One spacecraft observes a high-density plume contacting the magnetopause near local noon while another spacecraft encounters the magnetopause prenoon and does not observe the plume. Several studies have presented cases with multiple spacecraft observing reconnection at the magnetopause with local time separation [Phan et al., 2000; Dunlop et al., 2011], but this is the first involving the plume. Since the magnetosheath properties are roughly the same at the two spacecraft, the observations serve as a test for the impact of the plume on magnetopause reconnection and solar wind-magnetosphere coupling.

2. Instrumentation

In situ observations from the Time History of Events and Macroscale Interactions during Substorms (THEMIS) spacecraft are used. Magnetic field measurements are made with the fluxgate magnetometer (FGM) [Auster et al., 2008]. Onboard plasma moments from the ESA instrument [McFadden et al., 2008b] are used for bulk flow velocities, and energetic particle measurements are obtained from the Solid State Telescope. The electron density measurements come from the spacecraft potential measured by EFI [Bonnell et al., 2008], since much of the cold plasmaspheric population is below the energy threshold of ESA (~8 eV).
3. Observations

During a 45 min interval from 13:10 to 13:55 UT on 15 September 2008, both ThA and ThD cross the magnetopause a number of times. The spacecraft are primarily equatorial and are separated by 1.5 h in magnetic local time at 11.6 h and 10.0 h, respectively. The locations of the spacecraft are shown in Figure 1.

Figure 2 presents solar wind observations from ThB which is located just upstream of the bow shock at GSM (X, Y, Z) = (26, −16, 3) RE. The interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) Bz component remains southward for the entire interval with the exception of several short periods near 13:41 UT. For much of the interval, the IMF clock angle ($\theta = \tan^{-1}(B_y/B_z)$) is greater than 120°. The magnetic field strength and electron density remain nearly constant during this interval, indicating steady inputs to the magnetopause. Propagation time of the measurements from the upstream spacecraft (ThB) to the subsolar magnetopause is estimated at less than 13 min based on the bulk flow velocity.

Figure 3 shows the measurements from ThA and ThD during the same time period while each encounters the magnetopause. Both spacecraft measure the electron density in the magnetosheath to be $\sim 5$–$10$ cm$^{-3}$; however, the density measured inside the magnetosphere by the two spacecraft differs by 2 orders of magnitude. Inside the magnetosphere ThD observes a density of 0.4–0.7 cm$^{-3}$, typical for the dayside outer magnetosphere. ThA measures the density of the plume plasma contacting the magnetopause to be 18–72 cm$^{-3}$. The magnetic field and velocity measurements are presented in the boundary normal coordinate system (LMN) where L is along the outflow direction, M is along the X line, and N is the current sheet normal. The coordinate system was identified through minimum variance of the magnetic field (MVAB) [Sonnerup and Cahill, 1967]. The spacecraft observe jets or enhancements in the $V_L$ component in both the positive and negative directions while encountering the boundary layer. This indicates that the spacecraft were making observations both above and below the reconnection site as seen by Trenchi et al. [2008].
We attribute the difference in density between the two spacecraft to be from a localized plasmaspheric plume given the magnitude of the density and the location of the observations. A number of studies have demonstrated a connection between the plasmaspheric structure and geomagnetic activity [e.g., Chappell et al., 1970; Higel and Lei, 1984; Carpenter et al., 1993]. During geomagnetically disturbed periods, the plasmapause erodes radially inward and a drainage plume can form in the dusk sector extending sunward toward the magnetopause [Spasojević et al., 2003]. The current event is consistent with this picture as it occurs during a moderate geomagnetic storm with a Sym-H index of \(-40\) nT. During this time period, the enhanced magnetospheric convection brings the plasmaspheric plume to the magnetopause where it is observed by one of the two spacecraft at the boundary.

4. Reconnection

During the time period from 13:10 to 13:55 UT, both spacecraft experience a number of full and partial magnetopause crossings. For closer analysis of the structure of reconnection, we select full magnetopause crossings with reconnection occurring. We identify full crossings by a rotation of the magnetic field and a change in density. For a rotational discontinuity at the magnetopause, MHD predicts that the outflow will be Alfvénic in the reference frame of the X line. In the case of asymmetric reconnection the Alfvén speed is a hybrid of the Alfvén speed on each side of the current sheet. A 15 s time period just inside and outside the magnetopause is averaged to obtain the plasma parameters in the magnetosphere and magnetosheath. Reconnection during these crossings is identified when the jet speed is within 25% of the predicted hybrid Alfvén velocity from Cassak and Shay [2007]. The jet velocities are obtained by subtracting the reconnecting component of the exhaust velocity \(v_e\) from the background flow in the \(L\) direction. The exhaust velocity is selected as the maximum value within the current sheet.

The background flow is taken from the side with the larger mass inflow which is determined from \(\rho/B\) following Cassak and Shay [2007]. The ratio of mass inflow for each crossing is given in Table 1 with the assumption that the effective mass is similar for the plasma on both sides of the magnetopause. At ThD, the magnetosheath mass inflow clearly dominates and subtracting the background \(v_e\) from the magnetosheath is a clear choice. At ThA, the magnetospheric mass inflow is larger but does not dominate. In this scenario it
Table 1. Parameters for Reconnection Measurementsa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>$v_{jet}$ (km/s)</th>
<th>$v_{CS}$ (km/s)</th>
<th>$n_{jet}$ (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$n_s$ (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$n_m$ (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$B_L$ (nT)</th>
<th>$B_m$ (nT)</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Jet Field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13:29</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:34</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:44</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:26</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>sheath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:28</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>sheath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:31</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>sheath</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a“SC” is the THEMIS spacecraft. $v_{CS}$ is the calculated Cassak and Shay hybrid Alfvén velocity. The subscript $m$ indicates magnetospheric side while $s$ is the magnetosheath side. The ratio of magnetosphere to magnetosheath mass inflow is $n_m/n_s$. “Jet Field” is the orientation of the magnetic field vector at the time of the maximum jet velocity. A field orientation with $+B_L$ is magnetospheric while $-B_L$ is magnetosheath for this event.

is likely that both sides are contributing plasma, so the decision is less clear. Since we are only selecting one side to subtract a background, we choose the side with the larger mass inflow. In these crossings $v_L$ from the magnetosheath is subtracted when no plume is present (ThD), while $v_L$ from the magnetosphere is subtracted when the plume is present (ThA). We note, however, that our criteria for reconnection are met using $v_L$ from either side of the boundary.

Using the crossing by ThD at 13:34 UT as an example (shown in Figure 4), the maximum $v_L$ component within the exhaust is 370 km/s while the background component (magnetosheath in this case) is 8 km/s. This gives a jet velocity of $v_{jet} = v_{exhaust} - v_{background} = 362$ km/s. The hybrid Alfvén speed is 364 km/s, so the jet measurement is 99% of the predicted value and is therefore selected as a reconnection event. With these criteria, we have identified three periods for each spacecraft when reconnection is observed during a full magnetopause crossing. The times of these periods and reconnection parameters are provided in Table 1. The times are also shown in Figure 3 with black arrows. We note that the derivation of the hybrid Alfvén velocity from Cassak and Shay [2007] assumes $v_L = 0$ km/s on both sides of the current sheet which does not occur in these measurements. The background flow is subtracted from the exhaust measurement to obtain an appropriate reference frame for comparison with the prediction.

Figure 4. Magnetopause crossing from ThD and ThA. (a, e) Ion energy spectragram, (b, f) electron density derived from spacecraft potential, (c, g) bulk flow components, and (d, h) magnetic field components. The magnetic field and bulk flow are in boundary normal coordinates determined through MVAB. The vertical dashed bars indicate the time of the peak jet velocity.
Two near-simultaneous crossings from ThA and ThD are shown in Figure 4 for closer analysis. These are representative of the other crossings by each spacecraft. In this example ThA crosses the magnetopause from the magnetosheath to the magnetosphere at 13:31:37 UT. Three minutes later, ThD passes from the magnetosphere to the magnetosheath at 13:34:45 UT. ThD measures a density of $0.2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ inside the while ThA measures a magnetospheric density of $55 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Figures 4b and 4f). Part of the cold plume plasma can be seen as a flux enhancement in the ion energy spectrogram with an energy near 10 eV (Figure 4e). This cold population coexists with the hotter magnetospheric population observed by both spacecraft.

The density asymmetry between the spacecraft impacts several aspects of the reconnection. The first aspect is the location of the reconnection jet in respect to the X line. In the case of ThD, the density is higher in the magnetosheath, resulting in asymmetric reconnection where the jet lies primarily on magnetic field lines with magnetospheric orientation (+$B_L$) (Figures 4c and 4d). This is typical for terrestrial magnetopause reconnection. ThA observes a higher density in the magnetosphere, causing the reverse asymmetry. In this scenario the jet lies primarily on magnetic field lines of magnetosheath orientation ($-B_L$) or lower density (Figures 4g and 4h). In each case the jet is displaced toward the side with lower density and lower $\rho/B$, consistent with predictions by MHD theory [Cassak and Shay, 2007]. The magnetic field orientation during the peak jet velocity for the other crossings is consistent with what each spacecraft observes in this example and is included in Table 1.

The magnitude of the jet velocities are also different between the spacecraft (Figures 4c and 4g). ThD observes a significantly larger jet velocity ($v_{\text{jet}}=362 \text{ km/s}$) than ThA ($v_{\text{jet}}=162 \text{ km/s}$). ThD also observes lower density inside the magnetopause than ThA. The jet is caused by the magnetic curvature force that accelerates the exhaust plasma to the hybrid Alfvén speed. The Alfvén speed is inversely proportional to $n^{1/2}$, so a slower jet velocity in the crossings with higher density is consistent with the observations. In addition to the velocity, the density within each exhaust jet is also different in the two cases. The density shows mixing of the plasma populations from both sides. In the case of ThA, when reconnection is highly asymmetric, the bulk of the contribution comes from the magnetosheath side or the side with larger density. The velocity and density within each jet are given in Table 1.

5. Discussion

A predicted effect of the plasmaspheric plume at the magnetopause is that the dense plasma in the magnetosphere will slow reconnection and decrease solar wind-magnetosphere coupling [Borovsky and Denton, 2006]. Theory of symmetric [Sweet, 1958], as well as asymmetric reconnection [Cassak and Shay, 2007], shows the reconnection rate to scale with the Alfvén speed or inversely with $n^{1/2}$. As the density increases, the reconnection rate decreases. The multispacecraft observations presented here show reconnection occurring over a range of local time with similar magnetosheath but greatly different magnetospheric densities.

With current spacecraft instrumentation, observational measurements of a dimensionless reconnection rate can vary by a factor of 2 or more for a single event depending on what techniques are used [Phan et al., 2001]. These techniques often require identifying a boundary normal of the current sheet which can be done through several methods, as well as identifying the velocity of the X line structure under an assumption of constant motion. Both of these can introduce significant errors into the measurement. An alternative way to measure the efficiency of reconnection is to monitor the velocity of the exhaust jet. Although it does not produce a rate quantitatively similar to other methods (i.e., $B_y/|B|$ or $v_y/v_A$), the efficiency of reconnection at two spacecraft can be compared by the magnitude of the reconnection jet. Using the jet velocity assumes that the dimensionless reconnection rate stays roughly constant over the time period of the spacecraft pass. If the reconnection rate does not change significantly, the velocity of the jet is a function of the inflow speed which is in turn a measure of the reconnection rate.

ThD observes faster jets attending crossings without a plume than ThA observes with a plume. This is consistent with the idea that the reconnection rate decreases with higher densities and that the plasmaspheric plume can slow reconnection when it contacts the magnetopause. On a larger scale, these observations show that reconnection will be impacted in a localized region where the plume contacts the magnetopause. The size of the plume at the magnetopause must also determine the impact on the overall solar wind-magnetosphere coupling, and a measure of this size is needed to quantify this effect. In addition to
6. Conclusion

Near-simultaneous spacecraft observations at the magnetopause show the presence of a localized region with high densities from the plasmaspheric plume. Separated by 1.5 h in magnetic local time, both spacecraft measure magnetic reconnection; however, only one measures the high-density plume. When the plume is present, the reconnection jets have lower velocities and larger densities. A decreased jet velocity indicates a localized reduction in solar wind-magnetosphere coupling due to the presence of the plasmaspheric plume. These observations also show that the properties of reconnection are a function of the local plasma density and vary along the surface of the magnetopause.
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