Operational Collision Avoidance

Bill Guit/NASA/GSFC
Topics

• Early Days
• EOS Debris Avoidance Maneuvers
• EOS High-Interest Events Statistics
• A-Train Systematic Conjunctions
  – Landsat-5 Crossing A-Train
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We do not inherit the Earth from our ancestors, we borrow it from our children

- Native American Proverb
- Haida Indian Saying
Over the 50-year development of the global space program – all spacefaring nations have contributed to the growing challenge of potential collisions between operational missions and orbital debris.
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Early Days
NASA/GSFC Orbital Debris Workshop (March 2002)

• NASA Policy to Limit Orbital Debris Generation

• Case Studies:
  – CGRO Controlled Reentry (May-June 2000)
  – Landsat-4 Decommissioning (May-June 2001)
  – TRMM Orbit Raise (August 2001)

• Landsat-7 Conjunction Assessment Study (January 2002)
  – Multiple conjunctions per day
  – Begin working to establish procedures for ongoing support
The Good, the Bad and the Ugly
(Who to credit – or blame depending on your perspective)

• Good: CGRO De-orbit & TRMM Re-boost
  – Well coordinated from and interagency perspective
  – DOD/NASA (GSFC and JSC/HSF)

• Bad: Landsat-4
  – Not well coordinated at an interagency level
  – Flipped spacecraft over and depleted the propellant

• Ugly: EP/EUVE Reentry
  – Couldn’t then/can’t now predict the date, time and location of reentry with any real accuracy
    – METRIC: Average error at T minus 2-hours is +/- 26-minutes
  – Uncontrolled reentry over the mid-east in January 2002
  – Midnight notification of Presidential Chief of Staff
Early Days – 2002
(EOS Aqua launch May 4, 2002)

Screened ascent maneuvers for EOS Aqua
"Hey! What's that clown think he's doing?"
Early Days – 2004
(EOS Aura and PARASOL launches)
May 2004: NASA request to US Department of Defense to establish routine conjunction assessment process for NASA robotic missions
Routine Conjunction Assessment
2005 Screening Build-up

Aqua & Aura

Parasol

Landsat-7 and Landsat-5

Terra

SAC-C

EO-1

IceSat
Early EOS Conjunction Assessment Experience
(2005 – CARA began logging HIEs in May)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>TCA</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>82095</td>
<td>21-Jan-05</td>
<td>First EOS High Interest Event (HIE) Repeating Close Approach (CAs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>11113</td>
<td>31-Jan-05</td>
<td>Predicted miss distance of 380 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>05808</td>
<td>05-Feb-05</td>
<td>Predicted miss distance of 69 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>13465</td>
<td>20-Mar-05</td>
<td>Predicted miss distance of 31 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>12194</td>
<td>24-Apr-05</td>
<td>Repeating CAs (8), Pc 1.6E-03 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>03927</td>
<td>12-May-05</td>
<td>Waived-off planned 5/11 routine drag make-up maneuver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>18665</td>
<td>17-May-05</td>
<td>Repeating CAs (6), additional CAs with 82095 and 87331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>28370</td>
<td>25-May-05</td>
<td>Repeating CAs, near co-planar, low relative velocity CAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>4579</td>
<td>06-Jun-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>89085</td>
<td>09-Jun-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>14345</td>
<td>19-Jun-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>26181</td>
<td>26-Jul-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>87722</td>
<td>07-Aug-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>27493</td>
<td>21-Aug-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>87278</td>
<td>18-Sep-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>14222</td>
<td>23-Oct-05</td>
<td>First NASA Robotic Mission Debris Avoidance Maneuver (DAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>26260</td>
<td>08-Nov-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>19105</td>
<td>12-Nov-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>24138</td>
<td>04-Dec-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>24097</td>
<td>06-Dec-05</td>
<td>Waived-off planned routine drag make-up maneuver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terra (5), Aqua (9), Aura (6) – TOTAL 20

CREDIT: NASA Robotic Mission CARA Team
Early Conjunction Assessment Statistics (First half of 2005)

About 11 conjunctions per week per mission

CREDIT: NASA Robotic Mission CARA Team
EOS
Debris Avoidance Maneuvers
EOS Terra vs. 14222 (SCOUT G-1 Debris) Debris Avoidance Maneuver

- 1st NASA Robotic Mission Debris Avoidance Maneuver (DAM)
  - October 21, 2005
  - Peak Pc of about 1:12
  - Minimum miss distance about 37 meters
  - Mitigated by 2.7 second mini-drag make-up maneuver
  - Burn at TCA minus 48-hours
  - Miss Distance $\rightarrow$ about 4.5 km
  - Pc $\rightarrow$ 0

Reference: Lauri Newman and Matt Duncan, AIAA 2006-6291
Collision Avoidance Maneuver Performed by NASA's Terra Spacecraft

The Terra spacecraft, often referred to as the flagship of NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS), successfully performed a small collision avoidance maneuver on 21 October 2005 to ensure safe passage by a piece of orbital debris two days later. This action demonstrated the effectiveness of a conjunction assessment procedure implemented in 2004 by personnel of the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) and the U.S. Space Surveillance Network (SSN). The trajectories of Terra and its companion EOS spacecraft are frequently compared with the orbits of thousands of objects tracked by the SSN to determine if an accidental collision is possible. More than 2600 objects are known to pass through the altitude regime of Terra multiple times (sometimes more than two dozen) each day.

Terra (International Designator 1999-068A, U.S. Satellite Number 25994) was launched on 18 December 1999 on a nominal 6-year mission to monitor the complex nature of the Earth's atmosphere and surface. The nearly five-meter-long spacecraft circles the Earth at an altitude of 705 km with an orbital inclination of 98.2°. When a conjunction assessment on 17 October predicted a piece of debris from a Scout G-1 upper stage (International Designator 1983-063C, U.S. Satellite Number 14222) would come within 500 m of Terra on 23 October, GSFC and SSN personnel undertook a more detailed assessment of the coming conjunction.

The Scout debris was in an orbit with an altitude similar to that of Terra (approximately 680 km by 710 km), but its postgrade inclination of 82.4° and different orbit plane meant that a collision would have occurred at a high velocity of nearly 12 km/s. By 21 October refined analysis of the future close approach indicated that the miss distance was only approximately 50 m with an uncertainty that yielded a probability of collision on the order of 1 in 100. Consequently, a decision was made for Terra to execute a collision avoidance maneuver.

Terra normally maneuvers a few times each year to maintain its precision orbit, and the collision avoidance maneuver was designed to serve this same function to prevent the waste of precious propellant. A very small maneuver was performed nearly two days before the anticipated encounter, ensuring that the Scout debris would pass Terra at a distance of more than 4 km. A post-encounter assessment confirmed that this goal was achieved without disruption to the important Terra mission.
# Early EOS Conjunction Assessment Experience (2006 and 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>TCA</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>12-Jan-06</td>
<td>Waived-off planned routine drag make-up maneuver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>4519</td>
<td>14-Jan-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>3048</td>
<td>10-Feb-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>3048</td>
<td>20-Apr-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>7338</td>
<td>12-Oct-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>17649</td>
<td>19-Apr-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>4579</td>
<td>15-May-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>82441</td>
<td>25-May-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>82317</td>
<td>1-Jun-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>31410</td>
<td>23-Jun-07</td>
<td>Second NASA Robotic Mission Debris Avoidance Maneuver (DAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>25450</td>
<td>15-Jul-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>31496</td>
<td>17-Aug-07</td>
<td>Insufficient information to warrant a maneuver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>30821</td>
<td>29-Sep-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>81134</td>
<td>29-Oct-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>11113</td>
<td>22-Nov-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Terra (5), Aqua (5), Aura (5) – TOTAL 15**
Chinese ASAT Destruction of Fengyun-1C (January 11, 2007)

FENGYUN 1C DEBRIS March 2007

Images courtesy NASA Orbital Debris Program Office
**EOS Terra vs 31410 (Fengyun-1C Debris) Debris Avoidance Maneuver**

- 2\(^{nd}\) EOS Terra Debris Avoidance Maneuver (DAM)
  - June 22, 2007
  - Peak Pc of about 1:6
  - Minimum miss distance about 19 meters
  - Mitigated by 1.35 second mini-drag make-up maneuver
  - Burn at TCA minus 24-hours
  - Miss distance → about 1.2 km
  - Pc → 0

- 1\(^{st}\) NASA Robotic Mission DAM vs. Fengyun-1C Debris
NASA Moves Terra Satellite to Avoid Debris Caused by Chinese A-Sat Test

Brian Berger, Washington

Flight controllers at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md., had to maneuver the Terra environmental spacecraft in late June to avoid orbital debris created by the Jan. 11 test of a Chinese anti-satellite (A-Sat) weapon.

NASA officials said July 6 that the event marked the first time the agency has had to move one of its spacecraft to avoid a potential collision with debris created by the controversial Chinese A-Sat test.

A defunct Chinese weather satellite, Fengyun 1-C, was orbiting at an altitude of roughly 850 kilometers when it was destroyed Jan. 11 after being struck by a kinetic energy A-Sat weapon, producing a cloud of debris that is being tracked by the U.S. military's Space Surveillance Network.

A "Terra Mission Status Update" posted on the U.S. space agency's Web site says Goddard repositioned the Terra satellite (above) to avoid one of its spacecraft to avoid a potential collision with debris created by the controversial Chinese A-Sat test. The resulting momentum raised the satellite out of harm's way.

"This was a pretty large piece working satellite."

Europe's ATV Moves to Launch Site

After three years of tests, Europe's Automated Transfer Vehicle (ATV) will begin a two-week journey to its French Guiana launch site for launch preparation. See story, page 10

Civil Space

House, Senate Bills Boost NASA's Budget

The House Appropriations Committee is poised to take up a spending measure that would boost NASA's 2009 funding to $17.6 billion, an increase of $4 billion over this year's level and some $290 million more than the U.S. space agency requested. See story, page 6

Suborbital Flight Sales Remain Strong

After the initial surge of interest in suborbital space tourism, Virgin Galactic thought the pace of paid reservations might slow — but sales remain strong. See story, page 12
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Program Executive Officer, National Polar-Orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System
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Rocketplane Work Force Shrinks by a Fifth

Rocketplane, the company behind the K-1 reusable rocket and X-series suborbital space plane, has shed about a fifth of its work force since the first of the year. See story, page 4

Civil Space

House, Senate Bills Boost NASA's Budget

The House Appropriations Committee is poised to take up a spending measure that would boost NASA's 2009 funding to $17.6 billion, an increase of $4 billion over this year's level and some $290 million more than the U.S. space agency requested. See story, page 6

Europe's ATV Moves to Launch Site

After three years of tests, Europe's Automated Transfer Vehicle (ATV) will begin a two-week journey to its French Guiana launch site for launch preparation. See story, page 10
The large number of debris from Fengyun-1C are posing greater collision risks for spacecraft operating in low Earth orbit. The number of close approaches has risen significantly. On 22 June, NASA’s Terra spacecraft had to execute a collision avoidance maneuver to evade a fragment from Fengyun-1C that was on a trajectory which would have passed within 19 meters of Terra.
EOS Aura vs. 01399 (TRIAD 1 Debris) Debris Avoidance Maneuver

- **1st EOS Aura DAM**
  - June 26, 2008
  - Peak Pc of about 1:2
  - Minimum miss distance about 11 meters (half the size of Aura)
  - Mitigated by 2.0 second mini-drag make-up maneuver
  - Burn at TCA minus 24-hours
  - Miss distance $\rightarrow$ about 500 meters
  - Pc $\rightarrow$ 0

EOS Aqua vs. 30420 (Fengyun 1C Debris) Debris Avoidance Maneuver

- 1st EOS Aqua DAM
  - November 25, 2009
  - Peak Pc of about 1:14
  - Minimum miss distance of about 25 meters
  - Mitigated by 1.25 sec mini-drag make-up maneuver
  - Burn at TCA minus 24-hours
  - Miss Distance $\rightarrow$ about 560 meters
  - Pc $\rightarrow$ 0

Maneuver options limited due to routine drag make up maneuver performed 8-days prior to TCA
EOS Aura and Shijian (SJ)-11-02 satellite Conjunction with active satellite

- On September 3, 2013, there was a predicted close approach between the EOS Aura satellite and the Shijian (SJ)-11-02 satellite.
- Second in a series of SJ-11 satellites launched by China into an orbit very similar to that of the Morning and Afternoon Constellations.
- Aura flight controllers prepared a RMM to avoid the close approach. They did not know whether SJ-11-02 was capable of maneuvering.
- A request was sent through the US State Department to its Chinese counterpart to let their space agency know of NASA's planned maneuver.
- Both satellites maneuvered within hours of each other.
- Fortunately, the 2 maneuvers mitigated the risk.
- Example of the need to improve communication with non-constellation satellites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary Object Number</th>
<th>Secondary Description</th>
<th>Maneuver Date</th>
<th>Time of Closest Approach (GMT)</th>
<th>Minimum Miss Distance (m)</th>
<th>Maximum Pc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>31410</td>
<td>Fengyun 1C Debris</td>
<td>22 Jun 2007</td>
<td>2007 Jun 23 21:44:00</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.58E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>TRIAD 1 Debris</td>
<td>26 Jun 2008</td>
<td>2008 Jun 27 15:34:00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.80E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>30420</td>
<td>Fengyun 1C Debris</td>
<td>25 Nov 2009</td>
<td>2009 Nov 26 15:36:57</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.01E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>34700</td>
<td>Iridium 33 Debris</td>
<td>22 Jan 2010</td>
<td>2010 Jan 23 20:46:55</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>5.74E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>30262</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>22 Nov 2010</td>
<td>2010 Nov 24 11:16:52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.90E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>35957</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>02 Jan 2011</td>
<td>2011 Jan 05 18:17:23</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>8.40E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>34494</td>
<td>Iridium 33 Debris</td>
<td>08 Feb 2011</td>
<td>2011 Feb 08 19:32:28</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.70E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>49117</td>
<td>Thorad Agena D Debris</td>
<td>01 Mar 2011</td>
<td>2011 Mar 02 02:45:09</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>3.40E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>34574</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>16 May 2012</td>
<td>2012 May 17 19:09:17</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4.70E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>Thor Ablestar Debris</td>
<td>10 Mar 2013</td>
<td>2013 Mar 12 04:02:53</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>2.57E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>35733</td>
<td>Iridium 33 Debris</td>
<td>23 Mar 2013</td>
<td>2013 Mar 24 00:30:17</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2.40E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>12343</td>
<td>Cosmos 1174 Debris</td>
<td>24 Mar 2013</td>
<td>2013 Mar 26 04:24:18</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>2.38E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>26209</td>
<td>CZ-4 Debris</td>
<td>18 Aug 2013</td>
<td>2013 Aug 19 07:43:02</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6.72E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>37765</td>
<td>SJ-11-02</td>
<td>02 Sep 2013</td>
<td>2013 Sep 03 04:02:44</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>2.23E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>31201</td>
<td>Fengyun 1C Debris</td>
<td>17 Nov 2013</td>
<td>2013 Nov 18 05:42:16</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1.01E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>26347</td>
<td>CZ-4 Debris</td>
<td>10 Feb 2014</td>
<td>2014 Feb 10 11:52:32</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.24E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>9040</td>
<td>Delta 1 Debris</td>
<td>21 Mar 2014</td>
<td>2014 Mar 23 00:17:43</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.35E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>36712</td>
<td>Fengyun 1C Debris</td>
<td>29 Aug 2014</td>
<td>2014 Sep 02 12:32:48</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1.19E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>35925</td>
<td>Iridium 33 Debris</td>
<td>31 Dec 2014</td>
<td>2015 Jan 01 06:24:00</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>9.67E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## EOS Maneuvers Postponed or Re-planned (2005 – 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary Object Number</th>
<th>Secondary Description</th>
<th>Maneuver Mitigation</th>
<th>Maneuver Date</th>
<th>Time of Closest Approach (GMT)</th>
<th>Minimum Miss Distance (m)</th>
<th>Maximum Pc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>Titan 3C Transtage Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>UNK</td>
<td>2006 Jan 12 17:46:17</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>Titan 3C Transtage Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>17 Jun 2008</td>
<td>2008 Jun 13 03:26:59</td>
<td>5671</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>28297</td>
<td>DMSP 5D-2 F11 debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>17 Jun 2008</td>
<td>2008 Jun 15 20:34:51</td>
<td>7340</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>29107</td>
<td>CloudSat</td>
<td>Replan</td>
<td>08 Jun 2011</td>
<td>2011 May 22 00:00:00</td>
<td>UNK</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>33640</td>
<td>Fengyan 1-C debris</td>
<td>Replan</td>
<td>23 Jun 2011</td>
<td>2011 Jun 23 17:27:17</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>4.92E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>34429</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>25 Aug 2011</td>
<td>2011 Aug 29 03:57:45</td>
<td>30739</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>34002</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>Replan</td>
<td>08 Sep 2011</td>
<td>2011 Sep 03 05:57:41</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>2.20E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>Titan 3C Transtage Debris</td>
<td>Postpone/Replan</td>
<td>20 Dec 2011</td>
<td>2011 Dec 16 19:36:36</td>
<td>45775</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>37789</td>
<td>Nigeriasat-2</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>31 May 2012</td>
<td>2012 Jun 01 22:49:38</td>
<td>19970</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>87438</td>
<td>AnalystSat</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>29 Aug 2012</td>
<td>2012 Sep 02 13:28:57</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.74E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>30984</td>
<td>Fengyun 1-C debris</td>
<td>Replan</td>
<td>13 Sep 2012</td>
<td>2012 Sep 16 06:50:39</td>
<td>63005</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>27606</td>
<td>LatinSat B</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>03 Apr 2013</td>
<td>2013 Mar 24 22:04:30</td>
<td>5096</td>
<td>7.28E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>22409</td>
<td>SL-16 Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>03 Apr 2013</td>
<td>2013 Mar 30 03:08:00</td>
<td>20095</td>
<td>3.24E-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>27123</td>
<td>PSLV Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>30 Apr 2013</td>
<td>2013 Apr 24 07:44:05</td>
<td>3810</td>
<td>6.63E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>35469</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>Replan</td>
<td>15 Nov 2013</td>
<td>2013 Nov 18 17:50:39</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>1.27E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>22481</td>
<td>SL-16 Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>14 Jan 2014</td>
<td>2014 Jan 09 23:30:54</td>
<td>3355</td>
<td>2.50E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>37538</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>14 Jan 2014</td>
<td>2014 Jan 14 17:24:52</td>
<td>2930</td>
<td>4.06E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>20281</td>
<td>Magion 2</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>26 Feb 2014</td>
<td>2014 Feb 26 02:42:38</td>
<td>6806</td>
<td>1.14E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>37343</td>
<td>Cosmos 2251 Debris</td>
<td>Postpone</td>
<td>13 Nov 2014</td>
<td>2014 Nov 08 22:46:45</td>
<td>6067</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Aura    | 30085                   | Fengyun 1-C debris    | Postpone             | 28 Jan 2015        | 2015 Jan 24 13:34:36            | 1460                      | 6.82E-04    

As much or more effort as RMMMs/DAMs

CREDIT: NASA Robotic Mission CARA Team
EOS Debris Avoidance Activities (January 2011 – April 2015)

T1 – Notify (email/phone), T2 – Conduct Briefing
T3 – Plan Maneuver, T4 – Execute Maneuver

CREDIT: CARA Team & Eric Moyer
Debris Avoidance Related Maneuver (DARM)

• Maneuver planned and executed
• Planned maneuver postponed
EOS Aqua Conjunction Assessment Statistics (January 2005 to March 2015)

Number of Unique Events (+/-0.5x5x5-km volume) Per Month by Object Type - EOS Aqua

Count

- All Secondary Objects
- All Debris Objects
- Fengyun 1-C Debris
- Iridium-33 / Cosmos-2251 Debris
- DMSP 5D-2 F13 Debris
- Analyst

Repeating conjunctions with Object 35733 (Iridium 33 Debris)

7 to 8-fold increase in the number of conjunctions

1/11/07 ASAT
2/10/09 Collision

4
32
28

CREDIT: CARA Team & Ryan Frigm
A-Train
Systematic
Conjunctions
A-Train
Afternoon Constellation
Systematic Conjunctions
Landsat 5 Crossing through the Afternoon Constellation (A-Train)

(Start slide show to view animation)

Situation is similar near the South Pole crossings

CREDIT: Warren Case, ESMO Project Support Team
Landsat-5 Conjunctions with the A-Train (Afternoon Constellation)

- The Morning Constellation and Afternoon Constellation satellites follow similar 705 km polar sun-synchronous orbits.
- Orbit planes intersect near the poles.
- In February 2010, monitoring results indicated that Landsat-5 was crossing through the orbit plane intersections at the poles between CloudSat and CALIPSO.
- Further investigation revealed that Landsat-5 had “passed’ through the Afternoon Constellation in 2004 and 2008.
- NASA formed a “Red Team” in March 2010 to analyze the situation and determine the best courses of action to minimize risks while continuing to meet mission requirements.
Landsat-5/A-Train Orbit Crossing Coordination Plan (Red Team)

Independent Review of the CA Process for NASA Robotic Assets (Tiger Team)
STRATEGY FOR MITIGATING COLLISIONS BETWEEN LANDSAT-5 AND THE AFTERNOON CONSTELLATION

Joshua A. Levi and Eric J. Palmer

The NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Earth Science Mission Operations project, the French space agency Centre National d’Études Spatiales, the Argentinian space agency Comisión Nacional de Actividades Espaciales, and the United States Geological Survey all operate spacecraft in sun-synchronous frozen orbits. The orbits are planned to not place any of the spacecraft at risk of colliding with another. However, evolution of these orbits over time has compromised the safe interaction between Landsat-5 and the Afternoon Constellation. This paper analyzes the interactions between the Landsat-5 spacecraft and the Afternoon Constellation members over a period of 6 years, describing the current risk and plan to mitigate collisions in the future.
CloudSat under-flight of Aqua in 2011

- **4/17 CloudSat battery anomaly**
  - CloudSat unable to maneuver and drifting towards Aqua
  - Predicted to pass under Aqua around June 9-13th
- **Weekly coordination meetings**
- **Postponed 5/19 Aqua DMUM**
  - Aqua would drift towards CloudSat
- **Considered multiple maneuver options to maximize radial separation at the time of the passing**
- **6/5 Aqua +10km CB violation**
- **6/8 Aqua DMUM (part 1)**
- **6/18 CloudSat orbit lowering**
- **6/18 CloudSat passes under Aqua**
- **6/22 Aqua DMUM (part 2)**

*CREDIT: EOS Flight Dynamics Team*
SAC-C crossing the Afternoon Constellation (A-Train)

- May 2011: SAC-C anomaly left spacecraft unable to maneuver
  - Declared lost August 2013
  - Safely crossed all Earth Science Constellation member satellites in 2013/2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft</th>
<th>Estimated Crossing Date</th>
<th>Radial Separation (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landsat-8</td>
<td>Nov. 25, 2013</td>
<td>-4 to -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aura</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 2013</td>
<td>-1.3 to -0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat-7</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 2013</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CloudSat</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 2014</td>
<td>-0.8 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIPSO</td>
<td>Jan. 9, 2014</td>
<td>-1 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>Jan. 14, 2014</td>
<td>-1 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCOM-W1</td>
<td>Jan 31, 2014</td>
<td>-0.7 to 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>Mar. 30, 2014</td>
<td>0.5 to 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CREDIT: EOS Flight Dynamics Team
Future Challenges
Debris Population Projected to get Worse

Note: Five-fold increase from 2005 to 2010

Note: Predicted to get slightly worse thru 2020

CREDIT: NASA/JSC Orbital Debris Program Office
Updated Space Fence
(200 – 250K Object Space Catalog)

Initial Operational Capability scheduled for 2017

CREDIT: Lockheed Martin
You Know you’re a Space Fence Junkie – IF

1. You pointed out all the inconsistencies in the movie Gravity…the first time you watched it.

   You knew Pluto wasn’t a planet the first time you saw a drawing of the Solar System…in kindergarten.

2. When you’re stargazing with your friends, they ask you to name the constellations because you’re more accurate than their Sky Map app.

3. You named your dog Hubble.

4. In Boy Scouts/Girl Scouts, you earned your first badge from the NASA Orbital Debris Program Office.

5. You’ve scheduled your honeymoon in the Kwajalein Atoll in the Marshall Islands to coincide with the installation of the first Space Fence radar.

6. You have a painting on your living room wall of astronaut Ed White’s glove, the one he dropped while outside Gemini 4 in 1965, and that remained in orbit for a month. You lost a bid for his other glove on eBay.


8. Your bedroom is modeled after the interior of the International Space Station.

9. You know Space Fence isn’t really a fence.
EOS Challenges Encountered

• Relatively short time frame to work the predicted close approaches
  – Dynamically changing
  – Often considerable uncertainties

• Spacecraft Constraints limit options (i.e. no retrograde maneuvers)

• Mission Orbit Maintenance and Constellation Flying Requirements that limit response

• Operational Constraints that determine minimum turn-around time

• Limited Resources
EOS Observations and/or Lessons Learned

• Risk of an on-orbit collision between an operational mission and a piece of orbital debris is increasing

• Things will get worse before they get better

• Close approaches occur all the time

• Need to be able to plan and execute on short notice

— Mission Operations Paradigm Shift —
From monitoring Mission Health and Safety to Mission Protection & Preservation of orbital environment

* A risk to one is a risk to all *

Collision Avoidance

Coordination of Predicted Conjunctions Between NASA Satellites and Satellites of Other Countries

International Earth Observing Constellations

- Satellites from the U.S., Japan, and France fly within seconds to minutes of each other to enable near simultaneous observations of the Earth's systems (land, oceans, and atmosphere). They operate in polar, non-synchronous 16-day repeating orbits at an altitude of 700 km. Sensors/instruments were provided by U.S., Japan, United Kingdom, Canada, France, The Netherlands and Brazil.
  - Morning Constellation: cross the equator between 10:30 a.m. - 10:45 a.m. MEZ (MLT)
  - Afternoon Constellation (known as the A-Train): cross the equator between 1:30 p.m. - 1:45 p.m. MLT.

Space Objects

- Maneuverable Satellites
  - Satellites that change their orbit periodically, usually to maintain their required orbital location
  - As needed, satellites maneuver to avoid a close approach with other space objects
- Non-Maneuverable Satellites
  - Some active satellites lack propulsion systems
  - Satellites out of fuel
- Non-maneuverable Objects
  - Orbital debris (estimated: 200,000 objects between 1 and 10 cm, 100 million less than 1 cm)

NASA’s Approach to Satellite Safety

- Constellations maintain their location to satisfy science requirements
- Monitoring is performed to ensure safety
  1. Conjunction Assessment Risk Analysis (CARA) Team
     - Monitors all NASA satellites and related constellation satellites
     - Notify the affected mission teams if a potential threat from a space object is detected
  2. Constellation Coordination System (CCS)
     - Web-based system
     - Monitors constellation configuration and provides warnings of predicted deviations
     - Used to share mission products and spacecraft status
     - Includes tools for further detailed analysis and visualizations
- If needed, the satellite operators perform risk mitigation maneuvers

Satellite Safety Web Site

- Promotes safety
- Facilitates communications between satellite operators
- Provides information to other satellite operators about NASA and constellation missions:
  a. Constellation mission descriptions (including maneuver requirements)
b. Constellation contact persons at the NASA control centers
- Plans to provide additional information to operators involved in a high-risk predicted close approach with a NASA satellite

Case History: A-Train and Landsat 5

- In 2010, Landsat 5 crossed through the A-Train orbit plane elevating the risk of close approaches with A-Train satellites.
- NASA and USGS adapted a “managed granting approach” that took advantage of the highly predictable nature of the orbits. Procedures were documented and implemented.
- Coordination and timely communications are key to keeping all satellites at the 700 km orbit safe.

http://satellitesafety.gsfc.nasa.gov/
Collision avoidance: Coordination of predicted conjunctions between NASA satellites and satellites of other countries

Angelita C. Kelly
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md. 20771 U.S.A.

Wynn J. Watson
Project Manager, Earth Science Mission Operations /Code 428
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md. 20771 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

This paper describes one of the challenges facing the flight operations teams of the International Earth Observing constellation satellites at the 705 km orbit, including National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) satellites. The NASA Earth Science Mission Operations (ESMO) Project has been dealing with predicted conjunctions (close approaches) between operational/non-operational space objects and the satellites in the International Earth observing constellations for several years.

The NASA Conjunction Analysis and Risk Assessment (CARA) team provides daily reports to the ESMO Project regarding any “close approach” high interest events (HIEs) involving the constellation satellites. The daily CARA reports provide risk assessments that help the operations teams to determine if they need to perform a risk mitigation action. If the conjuncting space object is an operational satellite that is capable of maneuvering, the affected satellite team needs to coordinate their action plan with the operator of the conjuncting satellite. It is absolutely critical for the two teams to communicate as soon as possible. The goal is to minimize the collision risk; for this to happen, both satellite operators need to coordinate their maneuver plans.

The constellation teams have established guidelines for coordinating HIEs among themselves. This coordination process has worked successfully for several years for satellites that are operated by other organizations in the United States and by NASA’s international partners, all with whom NASA has a cooperative agreement. However, the situation is different for predicted conjunctions with satellites of foreign operators that do not have an agreement with NASA and the constellation organizations. The current process for coordinating conjunctions is neither timely nor satisfactory. Due to the concern that the Earth observing satellites at the 705 km orbit can become unusable by a collision with other satellites, the NASA ESMO Project and the CARA team are proposing a more timely coordination and communication process to resolve and safely mitigate these predicted high-risk events. This proposed process does not violate any existing communication constraints between the United States and certain foreign operators. Comments from other satellite operators are welcomed and greatly appreciated.
Do you believe?

“For those who believe, no explanation is necessary; for those who do not believe, no explanation is possible.”

- 1943 film “The Song of Bernadette”

Thank you for your time

Merci bien
Questions