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QDAM Goals

• **Reduce work load and dependency on staff and systems.**
  – Enhance capabilities so that repetitive processes are not necessary for every option.
  – Streamline process so that unnecessary preparation steps, systems, personnel are not needed.

• **Reduce turn-around time and provide emergency last minute capabilities.**
  – With Drag Make Up (DMU) and Risk Mitigation Maneuver (RMM) flow, all steps must be completed, in order to execute a burn.
  – Create a streamlined and safe process to be able to prepare for RMMs with minimal time so that the Flight Operations Team (FOT) does not have to ‘gear up’ for every plausible RMM scenario.
  – This would also save the FOT from having to ‘waive off’ RMMs where the threat self-mitigates.

• **Increase burn parameter flexibility.**
  – Execution time of burn and burn duration are fixed in stored command sequence (SCS).
  – In RMM scenarios, sometimes last minute tracking updates cause a desired change in burn parameters.
Summary of Previous RMM Capability Enhancements

• Manual Instrument Commanding for Aqua
  – SCSs were developed that would allow the FOT to configure two instruments (CERES and AIRS) without having to place the commands in the daily stored command load built two days before the maneuver date.
  – This allowed more flexibility in changing the time of maneuver.
  – This also gave the capability to execute a maneuver with less warning and preparation time.

• No Slew DMUs and RMMs
  – In 2012 the FOT developed a method of conducting DMUs and RMMs without performing the wheel based yaw slew maneuver necessary to align the thrusts with the orbital velocity vector before hand.
  – By eliminating the slew out and slew back, the DMU and RMM sequence was greatly simplified, making it easier to plan multiple options, requiring less contacts and load building.
• QDAM 2.0 is actually the 5th generation operational RMM enhancement implemented.
  – Variable Burn Duration (VBD) Slewed version
  – QDAM 1.0 Slewed version
  – Variable Burn Duration (VBD) No-Slew version
  – QDAM 1.0 No-Slew version
  – QDAM 2.0 (No-Slew)

• QDAM 2.0 Key Enhancements over QDAM 1.0
  – There were 3 critical drawbacks with the QDAM 1.0 No-Slew version presently onboard.
    1 - A communications contact was needed to execute the burn, limiting options, and making
        obtaining communications contacts a critical step.
    2 - The burn would execute at an imprecise time whenever command was sent real-time.
    3 - Only pre-canned burn durations were available, limiting burn size fidelity.
        These key drawbacks made QDAM 1.0 an emergency only option and
        was never utilized.

• QDAM 2.0 Key Enhancements over VBD
  – More flexibility to change the burn duration at time of execution.
  – Reduced the number of SCSs required if many different durations were being at any same start time.
  – Pre-canned options were no longer limited.
SCS Background

- From DMU to DMU, there are only two things in the custom built SCS that vary.

- Absolute time delay, 32-bit unsigned integer in GIRD epoch seconds.

- The burn duration (BD), 32-bit floating point.
**QDAM 1.0 Concept**

- Replaced the first absolute time delay with a no-op. So SCS runs as soon as its activated.

- Replaced burn duration command with a call to another ‘sub’-SCS. There are multiple versions of this sub-SCS with different burn durations that user can uplink.
Since QDAM 1.0 had the critical flaws that made it an emergency only option, it was desired to make a new concept that can be used for all DAMs.

It was proposed that a memory write command could be used to overwrite the absolute time and burn duration memory with whatever the user wants.

However memory write commands cannot overwrite “protected memory” areas; such as SCSs, algorithms.

In response, the ground system maintenance contractor (Raytheon) created a new ground system command that mimicked a memory load.

The FOT has completed testing on these commands.
New vs Old DAM Flows
Past Nominal RMM vs Quick DAM 2.0 Planning Flows

Past Nominal RMM Flow

- **Step One**: CARA, FDS, FOT, ESMO Decide Burn Options. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Two**: GNC Delivers Maneuver Plan. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Three**: INST Notifies IOTs. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Four**: COMM Requests Critical Contacts. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Five**: COMM Verifies Critical Contacts. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Six**: GNC and FSW Verify Maneuver SCSs. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Seven**: FDS Delivers DMU Products to MMS. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Eight**: GNC and CM Build Maneuver SCSs. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Nine**: INST Verifies MCL Commanding. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Ten**: COMM Verifies MCL Commanding. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Eleven**: CM Delivers Maneuver SCSs to Online. (~1-2 days before RMM)
- **Step Twelve**: FOT Prepares DMU Day Plan, CAM & PPCRs. (1 day before RMM)
- **FOP 4.902**: FOT Executes RMM Maneuver.

Quick DAM 2.0 Flow

- **Step One**: CARA, FDS, FOT, ESMO Decide GDAM Burn Options. (~2 days before GDAM)
- **Step Two**: GNC Notifies FOT and Delivers Maneuver Plan. (~2 days before GDAM)
- **Step Three**: INST Notifies IOTs. (~1-2 days before GDAM)
- **Step Four**: COMM Verifies Instrument Configurations. (~1-2 days before GDAM)
- **Step Five**: COMM/PAS Verifies Critical Contacts. (~1-2 days before GDAM)
- **Step Six**: INST Verifies MCL Commanding. (~1-2 days before GDAM)
- **Step Seven**: FOT Prepares GDAM Day Plan, CAM & PPCRs. (1 day before GDAM)
- **FOP 4.904**: FOT Executes GDAM Maneuver.

All burn time options must satisfy instrument and comm constraints.

These 4 steps repeated for each option.

Contacts will not be as critical but should be obtained when possible.
Past Nominal RMM vs Quick DAM 2.0 Execution Flows

Past Nominal RMM Flow

Quick DAM 2.0 Flow
Original RMM vs Quick DAM 2.0

Execution Timeline

**Original RMM**

- Real Time Setup Commanding
- Instruments Configure (Aqua)
- Slew Out to ~14° Yaw
- ~9 min
- 10 - ~30 min

**QuickDAM 2.0**

- Real Time Commanding
- Instruments Configure (Aqua)
- Change to Thruster Mode and Burn
- ~3 min
- 1-2 hrs
# RMM Capability History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Past RMM Concepts</th>
<th>2012-2014 (Nominal RMM)</th>
<th>2012-2014 (Emergency RMM)</th>
<th>Current (Quick DAM 2.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre 2012 (Original RMM)</strong></td>
<td>24-48 Hours</td>
<td>~6-12 hours</td>
<td>~2-6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burn Parameter Flexibility</strong></td>
<td>~12 hours out, complete fidelity</td>
<td>Last minute, reduced fidelity</td>
<td>Last minute, imprecise start time, reduced fidelity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Precise Burn Time</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personnel</strong></td>
<td>FDS, CM, GNC, INST, FSW</td>
<td>FDS, CM, GNC, INST, FSW</td>
<td>GNC, INST, FSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systems Required</strong></td>
<td>FDS, MMS, EMOS</td>
<td>FDS, MMS, EMOS</td>
<td>EMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCSs to Build</strong></td>
<td>3 per burn time/duration option</td>
<td>1 per burn time option</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burn Contact Required</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Planning SOP Steps</strong></td>
<td>12 (4 repeated for every option)</td>
<td>12 (4 repeated for every burn time option)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All turn around times, systems and personnel listed are in reference to FOT operational constraints/requirements.*